

NRR-PMDAPEm Resource

From: Michael Mulligan [steamshovel2002@yahoo.com]
Sent: Friday, January 30, 2015 5:43 PM
To: Rankin, Jennivine
Subject: Re: Issuance of proposed director's decision associated with your 10 CFR 2.206 petition regarding impellers

Mrs Rankin,

New Material

January 30, 2015: SUBJECT: SALEM NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION, UNIT NOS. 1 AND 2 –NRC INTEGRATED INSPECTION REPORT 05000272/2014005 AND 05000311/2014005

Contrary to this, from 2011 to 2014, PSEG did not promptly identify that a vendor evaluation was inadequate and thereby, did not initiate actions to correct the adverse condition of the RCP TVB heads failing due to IGSCC, enabling the turning vanes to drop on three of the four RCPs, with contact being made between turning vanes and impellers on two RCPs.

So here we are with the Salem RCP adverse to quality and USFAR Violation like I questioned in My Palisade's Director Decision. It questions if RCP or PCP vendors are maintaining accurate safety reports. One wonders if the vendor plays stupid in order to give the licensee justification to get to the next outage or out of current outage unharmed by doing the proper maintenance...don't perform comprehensive and preventative maintenance on these pumps to bolster executive bonuses.

The inspectors determined that because the potential existed to have multiple pumps with degraded coast down capabilities, the condition was not bounded by the UFSAR Chapter 15 accident analyses.

But you can't turn bad behavior of these utilities if can't prick up the vendor inaccurate determination" of possible for machining to occur between the rotating and stationary parts" before the hordes of broken bolts are discovered in the coolant pipe. Finding two heads in the coolant piping in 2011 should have keyed the agency into verifying all vendor reports associated with the pump diffusers. At that point in the inaccurate machining justification, the agency should ordered Salem to shut down or preform in the current outage a comprehensive repair and maintenance period of the pumps. It is only in inflecting painful punishment, will all of the player follow the rules conservatively.

I suspect Palisades "PCP impeller blade cracking and broken blades in the coolant vendor report inaccuracy" in Palisades are just like Salem. I request an independent "referred them to a regional materials inspector" review of all safety reports associated with the PCP broken off blades and debris in the core.

Sincerely,

Mike Mulligan

Hinsdale, NH

Hearing Identifier: NRR_PMDA
Email Number: 1854

Mail Envelope Properties (1328286892.3220732.1422657807156.JavaMail.yahoo)

Subject: Re: Issuance of proposed director's decision associated with your 10 CFR 2.206 petition regarding impellers
Sent Date: 1/30/2015 5:43:27 PM
Received Date: 1/30/2015 5:43:28 PM
From: Michael Mulligan

Created By: steamshovel2002@yahoo.com

Recipients:
"Rankin, Jennivine" <Jennivine.Rankin@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None

Post Office: mail.yahoo.com

| Files | Size | Date & Time |
|--------------|-------------|------------------------|
| MESSAGE | 2974 | 1/30/2015 5:43:28 PM |

Options
Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received: