Official Transcript of Proceedings NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Title:	Crowe Butte Resources, Inc. In Situ Leach Facility, Crawford, Nebraska
Docket Number:	40-8943
ASLBP Number:	08-867-02- OLA-BD01
Location:	(telephone conference)
Date:	Thursday, January 8, 2015

Work Order No.: NRC-1309

Pages 565-589

NEAL R. GROSS AND CO., INC. Court Reporters and Transcribers 1323 Rhode Island Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005 (202) 234-4433

	50	55
1	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	
2	NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION	
3	+ + + +	
4	ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD PANEL	
5	+ + + +	
6	PRE-HEARING CONFERENCE	
7	x	
8	In the Matter of: : Docket No.	
9	CROW BUTTE RESOURCES, INC. : 40-8943	
10	(License Renewal for the : ASLBP No.	
11	In Situ Leach Facility : 08-867-02- OLA-BD01	
12	Crawford, Nebraska) :	
13	x	
14	Thursday, January 8, 2015	
15		
16	Teleconference	
17		
18	BEFORE:	
19	MICHAEL M. GIBSON, Chair	
20	DR. RICHARD E. WARDWELL, Administrative Judge	
21	BRIAN K. HAJEK, Administrative Judge	
22		
23		
24		
25		
	NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS	
	(202) 234-4433 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701 (202) 234-44	33

			566
1	APPEARANCE	as:	
2			
3	<u>On</u>	Behalf of the Applicant, Cro	w Butte
4	<u>Resources</u> ,	Inc.:	
5		TYSON R. SMITH, ESQ.	
6	Of:	Winston & Strawn, LLP	
7		101 California Street	
8		San Francisco, CA 94111	
9		Tel: (415) 591-6874	
10		Email: <u>trsmith@winston.com</u>	
11			
12		MARK D. McGUIRE, ESQ.	
13	Of:	McGuire Law Firm	
14		625 South 14th Street	
15		Suite C	
16		Lincoln, NE 68508	
17		Tel: (401) 434-2390	
18		Fax: (401) 434-2393	
19		Email: <u>mdmcguire46@gmail.com</u>	
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
l	I		

	567
1	On Behalf of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission:
2	MARCIA J. SIMON, ESQ.
3	DAVID CYLKOWSKI, ESQ.
4	of: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
5	Office of the General Counsel
6	Mail Stop 0-15D21
7	Washington, DC 20555-0001
8	Tel: (301) 415-1631 (Cylkowski)
9	(301) 415-1261 (Simon)
10	Email: <u>david.cylkowski@nrc.gov</u>
11	<u>marcia.simon@nrc.gov</u>
12	
13	On Behalf of Tom and Loretta Cook, and Joe
14	American Horse:
15	THOMAS BALLANCO, ESQ.
16	945 Travel Street, No. 186
17	San Francisco, CA 94116
18	Email: <u>harmonicengineering@mac.com</u>
19	
20	On Behalf of Consolidated Intervenors:
21	BRUCE ELLISON, ESQ.
22	P.O. Box 2508
23	Rapid City, SD 57709
24	Tel: (855) 761-9934
25	Email: <u>belli4law@aol.com</u>
I	

		568
1	DAVID FRANKEL, ESQ.	
2	P.O. Box 3014	
3	Pine Ridge, SD 57770	
4	Tel: (605) 515-0956	
5	Fax: (530) 463-9428	
6	Email: <u>arm.legal@gmail.com</u>	
7		
8	On Behalf of Oglala Sioux Tribe:	
9	ANDREW REID, ESQ.	
10	1075 Waite Drive	
11	Boulder, CO 80303	
12	Tel: (303) 437-0280	
13	Fax: (303) 623-2101	
14	Email: <u>lawyerreid@gmail.com</u>	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
ļ	I	

	569
1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
2	(9:08 a.m.)
3	JUDGE GIBSON: This is Judge Michael
4	Gibson. With me on the phone are Judges Richard
5	Wardwell and Brian Hajek. Also on the phone with the
6	Board is our law clerk on this matter, Nick Sciretta.
7	I would like at this time for counsel for
8	the various participants to identify themselves for
9	the record as well as the name of your client and
10	anyone else who is present with you. Let's start with
11	counsel for Crow Butte.
12	MR. SMITH: This is Tyson Smith, counsel
13	for Crow Butte and also on the line is Mark McGuire,
14	also counsel for Crow Butte.
15	JUDGE GIBSON: Okay. Thank you. Counsel
16	for the NRC staff.
17	MS. SIMON: Good morning. This is Marcia
18	Simon for the NRC staff and with me is my co-counsel,
19	David Cylkowski.
20	JUDGE GIBSON: Thank you. Okay. Counsel
21	for the tribe.
22	MR. REID: Andrew Reid for the Oglala
23	Sioux Tribe.
24	JUDGE GIBSON: Counsel for Consolidated
25	Intervenors.
I	I

	570
1	MR. FRANKEL: David Frankel, present for
2	Consolidated Intervenors. I believe Tom Ballanco is
3	also on the call.
4	MR. BALLANCO: Yes.
5	JUDGE GIBSON: Mr. Ballanco?
6	MR. BALLANCO: This is Tom Ballanco for
7	Tom and Loretta Cook and Joe American Horse for
8	Consolidated Intervenors.
9	MR. ELLISON: Bruce Ellison, Consolidated
10	Intervenors.
11	JUDGE GIBSON: Okay, good. Thank you. Is
12	there anyone else, is there any other counsel present
13	on the call? Very well. I would ask that each time
14	any of you speak hereafter that you please identify
15	yourself for the benefit of the court reporter.
16	We are here today on a scheduling
17	conference for the evidentiary hearing on contentions
18	challenging the terms of Crow Butte's renewed license
19	and the NRC staff's environmental assessment in
20	support of the renewal of that license. Now I have
21	not been with the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
22	Panel terribly long, but I have been here six and a
23	half years.
24	And in all that time I have never
25	encountered a situation where the parties have not
ļ	1

(202) 234-4433

	571
1	been able to agree on proposed dates for a hearing and
2	on deadlines that lead to that hearing. And it's
3	usually been quite simple for the Boards so simply
4	take the parties dates and insert them in a scheduling
5	order.
6	But you guys have pretty well broken the
7	mold. And as a consequence it now falls on the Board
8	to resolve the differences among yourselves that you
9	apparently cannot overcome on your own. I would like
10	to begin with a question for counsel for Crow Butte.
11	Have you had a chance to scan the
12	contentions that were filed earlier this week, Mr.
13	Smith?
14	MR. SMITH: Yes, we have.
15	JUDGE GIBSON: And having done so, are you
16	planning on objecting to the admissibility of any of
17	those contentions?
18	MR. SMITH: Well we haven't reviewed them
19	in detail. I expect that we will object to the
20	admission of some of those contentions.
21	JUDGE GIBSON: Thank you. Counsel for the
22	NRC staff, have you had a chance to look at those
23	contentions?
24	MS. SIMON: Yes, we have, Your Honor.
25	JUDGE GIBSON: And are you planning on
I	

(202) 234-4433

	572
1	objecting to the admissibility of any of them?
2	MS. SIMON: This is Marcia Simon, by the
3	way. And, yes, we will be objecting to at least some
4	of them.
5	JUDGE GIBSON: Thank you. So knowing now
6	that we will have objections to the admissibility of
7	those contentions, we are going to need to hold oral
8	argument on their admissibility. If my math is right
9	the final EA was available on October 27th and because
10	of a joint extension request from all the parties the
11	new and amended contention motions were not due until
12	January 5.
13	And answers from the NRC staff and from
14	Crow Butte will be due on January 30. And replies
15	from the intervenors will be due on February 6. Does
16	anyone dispute my math on that?
17	Hearing none, we next need to determine
18	how soon after February 6th the parties will be
19	prepared to stand for oral argument on those
20	contentions. Let me begin with counsel for Crow
21	Butte. Mr. Smith, how soon after February 6th can you
22	be prepared for oral argument on those contentions?
23	MR. SMITH: Crow Butte can be prepared for
24	oral argument immediately following the submittal of
25	the replies.
I	I

(202) 234-4433

573 1 JUDGE GIBSON: Okay. Counsel for NRC staff? 2 Your Honor, this is Marcia 3 MS. SIMON: 4 Simon. The staff would be available in probably two 5 weeks after February 6th. So that would be February 6 JUDGE GIBSON: 20? 7 8 MS. SIMON: Yes, Your Honor. 9 Okay. JUDGE GIBSON: Counsel for the 10 tribe? MR. REID: The end of February. 11 JUDGE GIBSON: The end of February. 12 MR. REID: Well February 20th would work. 13 14 JUDGE GIBSON: February 20th is okay for 15 you? 16 MR. REID: Yes. JUDGE GIBSON: Counsel for Consolidated 17 Intervenors? February 20th okay for you guys? 18 19 MR. FRANKEL: David Frankel for Consolidated Intervenors. Your Honor, February 20th 20 works for me. 21 Tom Ballanco, counsel for 22 MR. BALLANCO: Consolidated Intervenors. That works for me as well, 23 24 Your Honor. Okay. 25 JUDGE GIBSON: Can we make it

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

	574
1	February 19, no, can we make it February 23? That's
2	the Monday following that Friday. No, we can't do
3	that. Hold on one second please.
4	Okay. The Board has a bit of a scheduling
5	problem itself. The 18th is bad for one of our Board
6	members. The 19th through the 27th is bad for another
7	Board member. Can we do this on the 17th of February?
8	MR. SMITH: This is counsel for Crow
9	Butte, Tyson Smith. The 17th of February works for
10	me.
11	JUDGE GIBSON: Counsel for NRC staff?
12	MS. SIMON: This is Marcia Simon. That
13	will work for the staff.
14	JUDGE GIBSON: Counsel for the tribe?
15	MR. REID: It's not good, but I can make
16	it work.
17	JUDGE GIBSON: Thank you very much. We
18	appreciate that. Counsel for Consolidated
19	Intervenors, can you all make the 17th?
20	MR. FRANKEL: David Frankel, speaking for
21	myself the 17th works for me.
22	MR. BALLANCO: Tom Ballanco, yes, the 17th
23	works.
24	MR. ELLISON: It does for me too, Bruce
25	Ellison. Thank you.
I	

JUDGE GIBSON: Thank you so much. We appreciate you all working that out. Okay. So we are good to go for oral argument on Tuesday, February 17th. Now the Board will be able to issue a decision on contention admissibility within a month of oral argument.

7 And that would take us to March 16th. So 8 let's just assume for present purposes that the Board 9 can make its time commitment and get it done by March 10 16th. Now not in any way to prejudge these pleadings one way or another, Mr. Smith and Ms. Simon, but just 11 solely for planning purposes, let us assume that the 12 order on contention admissibility admits at least one 13 14 of these contentions and issues on March 16th.

We next need to determine once that order 15 16 issues how soon thereafter you will be able to 17 complete your initial statement of position, your direct narrative testimony and your affidavits and 18 19 support that direct exhibits in of narrative testimony. Let me begin with counsel for Crow Butte. 20 How soon after March 16, knowing what contentions are 21 admitted and what are not, can you have that filed? 22 This is Tyson Smith, counsel 23 MR. SMITH: 24 for Crow Butte. I think one month seems to be a fair time not knowing the number of contentions that may, 25

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

Í	576
1	you know, again not to prejudge it I understand, but
2	recognizing that there may be one or more I think a
3	month would certainly allow us to prepare for any
4	number of contentions being admitted.
5	JUDGE GIBSON: Okay. One month would be
6	from March 16th would be April 16, that's a Sunday.
7	How about, I'm sorry that's not. How about April 16
8	then?
9	MR. SMITH: That works for, this is Tyson
10	Smith, counsel for Crow Butte. That works for Crow
11	Butte.
12	JUDGE GIBSON: Okay. Counsel for NRC
13	staff, assume with me that the Board is able to make
14	its time commitment and get an order out on March 16.
15	How soon after that do you need to prepare your
16	initial statement of position, your direct narrative
17	testimony and your affidavits and exhibits in support
18	of your direct narrative testimony?
19	MS. SIMON: Yes, this is Marcia Simon. We
20	can probably do that within a month also. We have one
21	witness who is going to be out on an extended sick
22	leave and I'm not entirely sure when that's starting.
23	I only have the dates from April 20th on based on the
24	original hearing times.
25	So I would have to check that, but I think

(202) 234-4433

	577
1	we can probably make that work.
2	JUDGE GIBSON: Okay. Counsel for the
3	tribe?
4	MR. REID: This is Andrew Reid. If I
5	could, could you skip me and check with Consolidated
6	Intervenors first? I think they're going to have the
7	information regarding most of the scientific witnesses
8	that we have.
9	JUDGE GIBSON: Sure. Okay. Counsel for
10	Consolidated Intervenors?
11	MR. FRANKEL: David Frankel, Your Honor,
12	for Consolidated Intervenors. I want to note for the
13	record we are all pro bono counsels lacking large law
14	firm resources. So my estimate without knowing which
15	of the contentions might be admitted, but based on our
16	experience in litigating the Powertech Dewey Burdock
17	case I would like until May 1st, a six week period
18	instead of a four week period.
19	And in the event that conflicts with a
20	time line that's going to get us, you know, a hearing
21	date in the summer then, you know, we can work with
22	that. But I would like to not commit to a one month
23	time table not knowing the contentions that will be at
24	issue and knowing that things go a lot slower when
25	you're asking experts and the like to work for free
ļ	I

(202) 234-4433

578 1 than when you're paying them top dollar. just to be realistic, 2 So unless it 3 conflicts with the time lines that get us a summer 4 hearing, we would like May 1st. If it does conflict 5 with those then we are willing to bend and hustle even more than we are already and make it work for an 6 7 earlier date. But the extra two weeks would be very 8 consequential for Consolidated Intervenors. 9 MR. ELLISON: This is Bruce Ellison for 10 Consolidated Intervenors. I would also just like to add with regard to the scheduling, I have a federal 11 jury trial which is going to be scheduled some time, 12 it's a criminal trial towards the end of March or the 13 14 very beginning of April, which would make my ability 15 as a sole practitioner without staff to do much work 16 in March because I would have to be preparing for that 17 case. It's a criminal justice court appointed 18 19 case from the federal court. JUDGE GIBSON: You would, even with those 20 strictures I take it you would be okay with May 1st? 21 MR. ELLISON: Yes, sir, I believe that Mr. 22 Frankel's argument and assessment is correct. 23 And I 24 think we could do that, yes, sir. JUDGE GIBSON: Mr. Ballanco? 25

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

	579
1	MR. BALLANCO: May 1st works better for
2	me, Your Honor, for the reasons that Mr. Frankel
3	articulated. And, you know, we will work around that
4	but that would be our ideal.
5	JUDGE GIBSON: Okay. Well, you know,
6	we're only talking about two weeks here. I think, you
7	know, May 1st seems like a reasonable time. Mr.
8	Tyson, Mr. Smith, May 1st?
9	MR. SMITH: Absolutely. This is Tyson
10	Smith for Crow Butte. Absolutely, we're okay with
11	that.
12	JUDGE GIBSON: Okay. And how about Ms.
13	Simon, are you okay with that?
14	MS. SIMON: Yes, Your Honor. And I think
15	that would probably overcome our previously mentioned
16	issue as well.
17	JUDGE GIBSON: Okay, perfect. Then let's
18	make May 1st be the date that direct testimony will be
19	submitted. Okay. Now three weeks after that would be
20	May 22. And on that date each party will need to file
21	its rebuttal statements of position, rebuttal
22	testimony and affidavits and exhibits in support of
23	its rebuttal testimony.
24	Is everyone okay, let me rephrase that.
25	Is there anyone not okay with May 22? Hearing no

(202) 234-4433

	580
1	objection it will be May 22. Ten days after that will
2	be, let's see
3	MR. REID: This is counsel for the tribe.
4	I do have a jury trial that runs from May 18th through
5	May 22nd.
6	JUDGE GIBSON: Okay. That's a serious
7	problem. That is a serious problem. I don't think
8	any of us would expect you to do that, to cut that
9	short. If we made that, let me do it. Since, if we
10	cut you slack on May, to make that May 29 instead of
11	May 22 allowing for your trial, let's go back to
12	counsel for the NRC staff and for Crow Butte.
13	Normally we would have rebuttal testimony
14	three weeks after direct testimony. In this case this
15	would be a month. My question to you is are you all
16	okay with having four weeks instead of three weeks?
17	MR. SMITH: This is Tyson Smith, counsel
18	for Crow Butte. We're okay with that. We certainly
19	have no objection to four weeks for preparing rebuttal
20	testimony.
21	I do think I'm starting to have a little
22	bit of concern about the overall time line for when we
23	might schedule a hearing. But I don't have any
24	objection to the 29th.
25	JUDGE GIBSON: Thank you. Counsel for NRC
I	

(202) 234-4433

	581
1	staff?
2	MS. SIMON: This is Marcia Simon. The
3	staff has no objection to that, Your Honor.
4	JUDGE GIBSON: Okay. Then we'll do that
5	and we'll make that May 29. Ten days after May 29
6	would be, what is that? The 9th of June. Is that a
7	federal holiday?
8	FEMALE PARTICIPANT: No.
9	JUDGE GIBSON: No, okay. The 9th of June
10	each party will need to file its motions in limine and
11	motions to strike by that date, okay? No objection on
12	that I hope. All right.
13	Three weeks after that which would be
14	let's see, one, two, three, June 30, each party will
15	need to file in camera with the Board any questions it
16	would like the Board to ask the witnesses along with
17	any motions to cross examine any adverse witnesses if
18	you think the Board is going to do an inadequate job
19	of that.
20	Any objection to that? Hearing none once
21	all this
22	MR. SMITH: Pardon me, Judge Gibson. This
23	is Tyson Smith, counsel for Crow Butte. We have no
24	objection to the three weeks in general. I don't
25	believe that three weeks is necessary to prepare those
ļ	I

(202) 234-4433

	582
1	questions, I don't believe given the other time and to
2	the extent it affects the potential for the overall
3	time line if we need to shorten later I would suggest
4	that is a period of time that we could perhaps reduce.
5	But I don't have any objection to it.
6	JUDGE GIBSON: How about June 23? Is that
7	okay with you?
8	MR. SMITH: Yes.
9	JUDGE GIBSON: Okay.
10	MR. SMITH: Both dates are fine with me.
11	I'm just concerned about overall time line and we
12	haven't gotten to that yet. So that is, my ultimate
13	time line and I'm flexible on the interim milestone
14	dates.
15	JUDGE GIBSON: Thank you. We appreciate
16	your flexibility, Mr. Smith. Is anybody, does anybody
17	have an objection to getting those proposed questions
18	for the Board that will be filed in camera as well as
19	any motions to cross examine any adverse witnesses to
20	us by June 23?
21	MR. REID: If I may inquire, this is
22	Andrew Reid from the tribe.
23	JUDGE GIBSON: Yes.
24	MR. REID: Do you have any idea as to when
25	we might expect a ruling on the motions in limine that
ļ	1

(202) 234-4433

	583
1	are filed on the 9th of June?
2	JUDGE GIBSON: Yes, you will probably get
3	those the day of trial, possibly shortly before it.
4	But, you know, the important thing is you need to know
5	what you're looking at. You'll have an opportunity to
6	respond to those.
7	The Board will be looking at an awful lot
8	of information about that period of time trying to
9	prepare for trial and that's one of the things we'll
10	be looking at.
11	MR. REID: All right. Then the only
12	reason why I raise that is it would be helpful to have
13	that prior to the submission of questions and
14	examination. But if we're not going to have that even
15	by 30th then it won't make any difference.
16	JUDGE GIBSON: So you're okay with the
17	23rd then? Is that correct, Mr. Reid?
18	MR. REID: I believe so.
19	JUDGE GIBSON: Thank you. Mr. Frankel?
20	MR. FRANKEL: Your Honor, David Frankel
21	for Consolidated Intervenors and I can make it work
22	for the 23rd.
23	JUDGE GIBSON: Mr. Ballanco and Mr.
24	Ellison, are you okay with June 23?
25	MR. BALLANCO: This is Tom Ballanco. Yes,
I	1

(202) 234-4433

	584
1	I'm okay with the 23rd, Your Honor.
2	JUDGE GIBSON: Okay.
3	MR. ELLISON: Bruce Ellison, that would be
4	fine, Your Honor.
5	JUDGE GIBSON: Okay. Now as I just
6	mentioned, that is a great deal of information for the
7	Board to try to review. And so I would say we're
8	going to need at least 30 days after June 23 before
9	we'll be ready to tee this up.
10	So my question is that's going to be July
11	21, say. Let's start with that week of July 20 and
12	move forward. Let's start with counsel for Crow
13	Butte. Is the week of July 20 acceptable to you?
14	MR. SMITH: Yes, Your Honor. This is
15	Tyson Smith for Crow Butte. Yes, that is acceptable
16	for us.
17	JUDGE GIBSON: Counsel for the NRC staff,
18	is that week acceptable to you?
19	MS. SIMON: This is Marcia Simon for the
20	staff. Yes, that week is acceptable.
21	JUDGE GIBSON: Okay. Mr. Reid, is that
22	acceptable to you?
23	MR. REID: No, it is not.
24	JUDGE GIBSON: Okay. All right. How
25	about the week of July 27th, is that acceptable to
I	

```
(202) 234-4433
```

	585
1	you, Mr. Reid?
2	MR. REID: No, it is not. As we've
3	indicated in our motions that's Sundance in the tribe
4	and most of our witnesses are engaged in religious
5	ceremonies at that time.
6	JUDGE GIBSON: Okay. The week of August
7	3, is that acceptable to you, Mr. Reid?
8	MR. REID: Yes, it is.
9	JUDGE GIBSON: Okay. That's not going to
10	work for one of our judges. The week of August 17, is
11	that acceptable to you, Mr. Reid?
12	MR. REID: Yes, it is.
13	JUDGE GIBSON: Mr. Frankel?
14	MR. FRANKEL: Yes, it is.
15	JUDGE GIBSON: Mr. Ballanco?
16	MR. BALLANCO: Yes, Your Honor, it is.
17	JUDGE GIBSON: Mr. Ellison?
18	MR. ELLISON: Yes, sir.
19	JUDGE GIBSON: Mr. Smith?
20	MR. SMITH: This is Tyson Smith. That
21	will work for Crow Butte.
22	JUDGE GIBSON: Ms. Simon?
23	MS. SIMON: This is Marcia Simon. Your
24	Honor, one of our key witnesses on hydrogeology is
25	unavailable that week.
I	1

(202) 234-4433

	586
1	JUDGE GIBSON: Okay. So
2	MR. FRANKEL: Sorry, David Frankel for
3	Consolidated Intervenors. I've reviewed an e-mail
4	from Dr. LaGarry and the week of August 17th is not
5	good for him due to prior commitments for Oglala
6	Lakota College. He's available the following week and
7	I apologize for not having that information a moment
8	ago.
9	JUDGE GIBSON: That's okay. Ms. Simon
10	just said her witness can't be there either. The week
11	of the 24th, Ms. Simon, is your witness available?
12	MS. SIMON: Yes, Your Honor. This is
13	Marcia Simon.
14	JUDGE GIBSON: So you're okay with that
15	week?
16	MS. SIMON: Yes, Your Honor.
17	JUDGE GIBSON: Mr. Frankel, are you okay
18	with that week?
19	MR. FRANKEL: David Frankel for
20	Consolidated Intervenors, yes, Your Honor.
21	JUDGE GIBSON: Mr. Reid?
22	MR. REID: Yes, Your Honor.
23	JUDGE GIBSON: Mr. Ellison?
24	MR. ELLISON: Yes, sir.
25	JUDGE GIBSON: And, Mr. Smith?
I	·

(202) 234-4433

	587
1	MR. SMITH: This is Tyson Smith. As I had
2	indicated previously that is the week of a planned,
3	significant planned maintenance work at the site. We
4	are prepared to support the hearing, obviously that
5	appears to be the only week that works for other
6	parties. So we will make it work.
7	JUDGE GIBSON: Well please apologize to
8	your client for any inconvenience this may cause to
9	them. But I think you can see that, you know, it's
0	not very easy to pick a date when everybody can do
1	this.
2	MR. SMITH: Understood.
3	JUDGE GIBSON: And we apologize for that.
4	We're set, we're a go for August 24. Again, without
5	prejudging anything that there actually are some
6	admissible contentions out there. But if there are
7	which we'll let you know in the middle of March, I
8	guess the 16th. Isn't that what we committed to?
9	We will be, that will be a go for August
0	24th for our trial. Now the exact date or dates that
1	week we will let you know. But please hold that open.
2	You all can see how complicated this was to agree on
3	a date. We need to get this, we all need to make this
4	happen. So please don't let anything else happen the

25 week of the 24th.

(202) 234-4433

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

	588
1	We will be issuing a scheduling order that
2	reflects these dates. And with that let me ask if
3	there is anything else that we need to address at this
4	time with the parties.
5	MR. FRANKEL: David Frankel, Your Honor,
6	for Consolidated Intervenors. One quick question on
7	that oral argument for admissibility in February are
8	you going to want to see us in person or do you want
9	to see us over the phone, so to speak?
10	JUDGE GIBSON: No, we'll be doing that
11	over the phone.
12	MR. FRANKEL: Okay. Thank you, Your
13	Honor.
14	JUDGE GIBSON: All right. We'll be doing
15	that over the phone and that's going to be the 17th of
16	February. Is that correct? Okay.
17	MR. FRANKEL: Yes, sir. David Frankel,
18	yes, sir.
19	JUDGE GIBSON: No, we'll be doing that
20	over the phone. If we tried to do this in person with
21	the weather being what it is and with all the other
22	conflicts that we seem to generate in this case, it
23	might not be possible to do it on the 17th and then
24	we'd be postponing it even further.
25	Now that we've got something in, settled
	I

(202) 234-4433

	589
1	we will get this done. And I do apologize and please
2	extend our apologies to your clients that we cannot do
3	this in person. But I think it's, we've already had
4	an opportunity to explore a lot of these issues
5	before.
6	Hopefully we can get all this done over
7	the phone without undue inconvenience. Is there
8	anything else, Mr. Frankel?
9	MR. FRANKEL: David Frankel for
10	Consolidated Intervenors. No, I have nothing further.
11	Thank you, Your Honor.
12	JUDGE GIBSON: Is there anything else that
13	anyone else has that needs to be brought to the
14	Board's attention at this time? Hearing none, we will
15	stand adjourned and we will be speaking with you again
16	on February 17th. Good day.
17	MR. SMITH: Thank you, Your Honor.
18	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went
19	off the record at 11:35 a.m.)
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	I