

RULES AND DIRECTIVES  
BRANCH  
LEAD

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Attn: Cindy Bladey  
Office of Administration, NRC  
Mail Stop: 3WFN-06-A44M  
Washington, DC 20555-0001

2014 DEC 29 PM 4: 29

Dear Commissioners,

RECEIVED

As a resident of Laguna Beach, which is located within the 20-mile area subject to permanent relocation in the event of a radiation accident at the **San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station (SONGS)**, I was alarmed to learn that the decommissioning plan for the plant involves storage of its spent nuclear fuel rods on site for sixty years or indefinitely until the government removes them to a final deposit repository.

It's my understanding that:

- (1) The spent fuel stored on the site will remain highly radioactive for tens of thousands of generations and
- (2) The plant was closed due to faulty steam generator replacements and had a history of safety complaints from its employees and contractors.
- (3) The plant is not a safe place to store dangerous spent fuel as it is situated in a recognized tsunami, earthquake, and firestorm zone and is vulnerable to climate change and terrorist attack in a densely populated area on the ocean.**
- (4) If there were a radiation accident there, we here in Laguna might escape with our lives, but we would never be able to come back to the homes we love.

The people of California were promised that the spent fuel rods would be removed from San Onofre, and it's imperative that that happen as soon as possible.

I urge you

- (1) To work with the Department of Energy and the Department of Defense to create a secure interim location—perhaps on a military base or in some other sparsely populated location—for the spent fuel rods from SONGS and require that they be moved and safely stored there before decommissioning funds are depleted on site restoration activities; and
- (2) To hold Southern California Edison responsible for safety and security at SONGS as long as the spent fuel rods remain there.

Sincerely,

Carey Strombotne

Carestrom1@gmail.com

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Attn: Cindy Bladey  
Office of Administration, NRC  
Mail Stop: 3WFN-06-A44M  
Washington, DC 20555-0001

2014 DEC 29 PM 4: 29

Dear Commissioners,

RECEIVED

As a resident of Laguna Beach, which is located within the 20-mile area subject to permanent relocation in the event of a radiation accident at the **San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station (SONGS)**, I was alarmed to learn that the decommissioning plan for the plant involves storage of its spent nuclear fuel rods on site for sixty years or indefinitely until the government removes them to a final deposit repository.

It's my understanding that:

- (1) The spent fuel stored on the site will remain highly radioactive for tens of thousands of generations and
- (2) The plant was closed due to faulty steam generator replacements and had a history of safety complaints from its employees and contractors.
- (3) The plant is not a safe place to store dangerous spent fuel as it is situated in a recognized tsunami, earthquake, and firestorm zone and is vulnerable to climate change and terrorist attack in a densely populated area on the ocean.**
- (4) If there were a radiation accident there, we here in Laguna might escape with our lives, but we would never be able to come back to the homes we love.

The people of California were promised that the spent fuel rods would be removed from San Onofre, and it's imperative that that happen as soon as possible.

I urge you

- (1) To work with the Department of Energy and the Department of Defense to create a secure interim location—perhaps on a military base or in some other sparsely populated location—for the spent fuel rods from SONGS and require that they be moved and safely stored there before decommissioning funds are depleted on site restoration activities; and
- (2) To hold Southern California Edison responsible for safety and security at SONGS as long as the spent fuel rods remain there.

Sincerely,



*Done*

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Attn: Cindy Bladey  
Office of Administration, NRC  
Mail Stop: 3WFN-06-A44M  
Washington, DC 20555-0001

2014 DEC 29 PM 4: 29

Dear Commissioners,

RECEIVED

As a resident of Laguna Beach, which is located within the 20-mile area subject to permanent relocation in the event of a radiation accident at the **San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station (SONGS)**, I was alarmed to learn that the decommissioning plan for the plant involves storage of its spent nuclear fuel rods on site for sixty years or indefinitely until the government removes them to a final deposit repository.

It's my understanding that:

- (1) The spent fuel stored on the site will remain highly radioactive for tens of thousands of generations and
- (2) The plant was closed due to faulty steam generator replacements and had a history of safety complaints from its employees and contractors.
- (3) The plant is not a safe place to store dangerous spent fuel as it is situated in a recognized tsunami, earthquake, and firestorm zone and is vulnerable to climate change and terrorist attack in a densely populated area on the ocean.**
- (4) If there were a radiation accident there, we here in Laguna might escape with our lives, but we would never be able to come back to the homes we love.

The people of California were promised that the spent fuel rods would be removed from San Onofre, and it's imperative that that happen as soon as possible.

I urge you

- (1) To work with the Department of Energy and the Department of Defense to create a secure interim location—perhaps on a military base or in some other sparsely populated location—for the spent fuel rods from SONGS and require that they be moved and safely stored there before decommissioning funds are depleted on site restoration activities; and
- (2) To hold Southern California Edison responsible for safety and security at SONGS as long as the spent fuel rods remain there.

Sincerely,

*Pamela Goldstein*  
49 LAGUNA DR.  
LAGUNA BEACH, CA. 92651  
949-497-7412  
PAMELAGUNA@Verizon.net

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Attn: Cindy Bladey  
Office of Administration, NRC  
Mail Stop: 3WFN-06-A44M  
Washington, DC 20555-0001

2014 DEC 29 PM 4: 29

Dear Commissioners,

RECEIVED

As a resident of Laguna Beach, which is located within the 20-mile area subject to permanent relocation in the event of a radiation accident at the **San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station (SONGS)**, I was alarmed to learn that the decommissioning plan for the plant involves storage of its spent nuclear fuel rods on site for sixty years or indefinitely until the government removes them to a final deposit repository.

It's my understanding that:

- (1) The spent fuel stored on the site will remain highly radioactive for tens of thousands of generations and
- (2) The plant was closed due to faulty steam generator replacements and had a history of safety complaints from its employees and contractors.
- (3) The plant is not a safe place to store dangerous spent fuel as it is situated in a recognized tsunami, earthquake, and firestorm zone and is vulnerable to climate change and terrorist attack in a densely populated area on the ocean.**
- (4) If there were a radiation accident there, we here in Laguna might escape with our lives, but we would never be able to come back to the homes we love.

The people of California were promised that the spent fuel rods would be removed from San Onofre, and it's imperative that that happen as soon as possible.

I urge you

- (1) To work with the Department of Energy and the Department of Defense to create a secure interim location—perhaps on a military base or in some other sparsely populated location—for the spent fuel rods from SONGS and require that they be moved and safely stored there before decommissioning funds are depleted on site restoration activities; and
- (2) To hold Southern California Edison responsible for safety and security at SONGS as long as the spent fuel rods remain there.

Sincerely,



Hasan Nouri  
310 Avenida Del Mar #6  
San Clemente, CA 92672  
(949) 633-5035

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Attn: Cindy Bladey  
Office of Administration, NRC  
Mail Stop: 3WFN-06-A44M  
Washington, DC 20555-0001

2014 DEC 29 PM 4: 29

Dear Commissioners,

RECEIVED

As a resident of Laguna Beach, which is located within the 20-mile area subject to permanent relocation in the event of a radiation accident at the **San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station (SONGS)**, I was alarmed to learn that the decommissioning plan for the plant involves storage of its spent nuclear fuel rods on site for sixty years or indefinitely until the government removes them to a final deposit repository.

It's my understanding that:

- (1) The spent fuel stored on the site will remain highly radioactive for tens of thousands of generations and
- (2) The plant was closed due to faulty steam generator replacements and had a history of safety complaints from its employees and contractors.
- (3) **The plant is not a safe place to store dangerous spent fuel as it is situated in a recognized tsunami, earthquake, and firestorm zone and is vulnerable to climate change and terrorist attack in a densely populated area on the ocean.**
- (4) If there were a radiation accident there, we here in Laguna might escape with our lives, but we would never be able to come back to the homes we love.

The people of California were promised that the spent fuel rods would be removed from San Onofre, and it's imperative that that happen as soon as possible.

I urge you

- (1) To work with the Department of Energy and the Department of Defense to create a secure interim location—perhaps on a military base or in some other sparsely populated location—for the spent fuel rods from SONGS and require that they be moved and safely stored there before decommissioning funds are depleted on site restoration activities; and
- (2) To hold Southern California Edison responsible for safety and security at SONGS as long as the spent fuel rods remain there.

Sincerely,

*Verna L Rollinger*  
855 Park Avenue  
Laguna Beach, CA 92651  
vernarollinger@cox.net

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Attn: Cindy Bladey  
Office of Administration, NRC  
Mail Stop: 3WFN-06-A44M  
Washington, DC 20555-0001

2014 DEC 29 PM 4: 29

Dear Commissioners,

RECEIVED

As a resident of Laguna Beach, which is located within the 20-mile area subject to permanent relocation in the event of a radiation accident at the **San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station (SONGS)**, I was alarmed to learn that the decommissioning plan for the plant involves storage of its spent nuclear fuel rods on site for sixty years or indefinitely until the government removes them to a final deposit repository.

It's my understanding that:

- (1) The spent fuel stored on the site will remain highly radioactive for tens of thousands of generations and
- (2) The plant was closed due to faulty steam generator replacements and had a history of safety complaints from its employees and contractors.
- (3) The plant is not a safe place to store dangerous spent fuel as it is situated in a recognized tsunami, earthquake, and firestorm zone and is vulnerable to climate change and terrorist attack in a densely populated area on the ocean.**
- (4) If there were a radiation accident there, we here in Laguna might escape with our lives, but we would never be able to come back to the homes we love.

The people of California were promised that the spent fuel rods would be removed from San Onofre, and it's imperative that that happen as soon as possible.

I urge you

- (1) To work with the Department of Energy and the Department of Defense to create a secure interim location—perhaps on a military base or in some other sparsely populated location—for the spent fuel rods from SONGS and require that they be moved and safely stored there before decommissioning funds are depleted on site restoration activities; and
- (2) To hold Southern California Edison responsible for safety and security at SONGS as long as the spent fuel rods remain there.

Sincerely,

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Attn: Cindy Bladey  
Office of Administration, NRC  
Mail Stop: 3WFN-06-A44M  
Washington, DC 20555-0001

2014 DEC 29 PM 4: 29

Dear Commissioners,

RECEIVED

As a resident of Laguna Beach, which is located within the 20-mile area subject to permanent relocation in the event of a radiation accident at the **San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station (SONGS)**, I was alarmed to learn that the decommissioning plan for the plant involves storage of its spent nuclear fuel rods on site for sixty years or indefinitely until the government removes them to a final deposit repository.

It's my understanding that:

- (1) The spent fuel stored on the site will remain highly radioactive for tens of thousands of generations and
- (2) The plant was closed due to faulty steam generator replacements and had a history of safety complaints from its employees and contractors.
- (3) The plant is not a safe place to store dangerous spent fuel as it is situated in a recognized tsunami, earthquake, and firestorm zone and is vulnerable to climate change and terrorist attack in a densely populated area on the ocean.**
- (4) If there were a radiation accident there, we here in Laguna might escape with our lives, but we would never be able to come back to the homes we love.

The people of California were promised that the spent fuel rods would be removed from San Onofre, and it's imperative that that happen as soon as possible.

I urge you

- (1) To work with the Department of Energy and the Department of Defense to create a secure interim location—perhaps on a military base or in some other sparsely populated location—for the spent fuel rods from SONGS and require that they be moved and safely stored there before decommissioning funds are depleted on site restoration activities; and
- (2) To hold Southern California Edison responsible for safety and security at SONGS as long as the spent fuel rods remain there.

Sincerely,



U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Attn: Cindy Bladey  
Office of Administration, NRC  
Mail Stop: 3WFN-06-A44M  
Washington, DC 20555-0001

2014 DEC 29 PM 4: 29

Dear Commissioners,

RECEIVED

As a resident of Laguna Beach, which is located within the 20-mile area subject to permanent relocation in the event of a radiation accident at the **San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station (SONGS)**, I was alarmed to learn that the decommissioning plan for the plant involves storage of its spent nuclear fuel rods on site for sixty years or indefinitely until the government removes them to a final deposit repository.

It's my understanding that:

- (1) The spent fuel stored on the site will remain highly radioactive for tens of thousands of generations and
- (2) The plant was closed due to faulty steam generator replacements and had a history of safety complaints from its employees and contractors.
- (3) The plant is not a safe place to store dangerous spent fuel as it is situated in a recognized tsunami, earthquake, and firestorm zone and is vulnerable to climate change and terrorist attack in a densely populated area on the ocean.**
- (4) If there were a radiation accident there, we here in Laguna might escape with our lives, but we would never be able to come back to the homes we love.

The people of California were promised that the spent fuel rods would be removed from San Onofre, and it's imperative that that happen as soon as possible.

I urge you

- (1) To work with the Department of Energy and the Department of Defense to create a secure interim location—perhaps on a military base or in some other sparsely populated location—for the spent fuel rods from SONGS and require that they be moved and safely stored there before decommissioning funds are depleted on site restoration activities; and
- (2) To hold Southern California Edison responsible for safety and security at SONGS as long as the spent fuel rods remain there.

Sincerely,



U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Attn: Cindy Bladey  
Office of Administration, NRC  
Mail Stop: 3WFN-06-A44M  
Washington, DC 20555-0001

2014 DEC 29 PM 4: 30

Dear Commissioners,

RECEIVED

As a resident of Laguna Beach, which is located within the 20-mile area subject to permanent relocation in the event of a radiation accident at the **San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station (SONGS)**, I was alarmed to learn that the decommissioning plan for the plant involves storage of its spent nuclear fuel rods on site for sixty years or indefinitely until the government removes them to a final deposit repository.

It's my understanding that:

- (1) The spent fuel stored on the site will remain highly radioactive for tens of thousands of generations and
- (2) The plant was closed due to faulty steam generator replacements and had a history of safety complaints from its employees and contractors.
- (3) The plant is not a safe place to store dangerous spent fuel as it is situated in a recognized tsunami, earthquake, and firestorm zone and is vulnerable to climate change and terrorist attack in a densely populated area on the ocean.**
- (4) If there were a radiation accident there, we here in Laguna might escape with our lives, but we would never be able to come back to the homes we love.

The people of California were promised that the spent fuel rods would be removed from San Onofre, and it's imperative that that happen as soon as possible.

I urge you

- (1) To work with the Department of Energy and the Department of Defense to create a secure interim location—perhaps on a military base or in some other sparsely populated location—for the spent fuel rods from SONGS and require that they be moved and safely stored there before decommissioning funds are depleted on site restoration activities; and
- (2) To hold Southern California Edison responsible for safety and security at SONGS as long as the spent fuel rods remain there.

Sincerely,



U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Attn: Cindy Bladey  
Office of Administration, NRC  
Mail Stop: 3WFN-06-A44M  
Washington, DC 20555-0001

2014 DEC 29 PM 4: 30

Dear Commissioners,

RECEIVED

As a resident of Laguna Beach, which is located within the 20-mile area subject to permanent relocation in the event of a radiation accident at the **San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station (SONGS)**, I was alarmed to learn that the decommissioning plan for the plant involves storage of its spent nuclear fuel rods on site for sixty years or indefinitely until the government removes them to a final deposit repository.

It's my understanding that:

- (1) The spent fuel stored on the site will remain highly radioactive for tens of thousands of generations and
- (2) The plant was closed due to faulty steam generator replacements and had a history of safety complaints from its employees and contractors.
- (3) The plant is not a safe place to store dangerous spent fuel as it is situated in a recognized tsunami, earthquake, and firestorm zone and is vulnerable to climate change and terrorist attack in a densely populated area on the ocean.**
- (4) If there were a radiation accident there, we here in Laguna might escape with our lives, but we would never be able to come back to the homes we love.

The people of California were promised that the spent fuel rods would be removed from San Onofre, and it's imperative that that happen as soon as possible.

I urge you

- (1) To work with the Department of Energy and the Department of Defense to create a secure interim location—perhaps on a military base or in some other sparsely populated location—for the spent fuel rods from SONGS and require that they be moved and safely stored there before decommissioning funds are depleted on site restoration activities; and
- (2) To hold Southern California Edison responsible for safety and security at SONGS as long as the spent fuel rods remain there.

Sincerely,



4 Westerly  
Aliso Vieja, Ca. 92656

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Attn: Cindy Bladey  
Office of Administration, NRC  
Mail Stop: 3WFN-06-A44M  
Washington, DC 20555-0001

2014 DEC 29 PM 4: 30

Dear Commissioners,

RECEIVED

As a resident of Laguna Beach, which is located within the 20-mile area subject to permanent relocation in the event of a radiation accident at the **San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station (SONGS)**, I was alarmed to learn that the decommissioning plan for the plant involves storage of its spent nuclear fuel rods on site for sixty years or indefinitely until the government removes them to a final deposit repository.

It's my understanding that:

- (1) The spent fuel stored on the site will remain highly radioactive for tens of thousands of generations and
- (2) The plant was closed due to faulty steam generator replacements and had a history of safety complaints from its employees and contractors.
- (3) **The plant is not a safe place to store dangerous spent fuel as it is situated in a recognized tsunami, earthquake, and firestorm zone and is vulnerable to climate change and terrorist attack in a densely populated area on the ocean.**
- (4) If there were a radiation accident there, we here in Laguna might escape with our lives, but we would never be able to come back to the homes we love.

The people of California were promised that the spent fuel rods would be removed from San Onofre, and it's imperative that that happen as soon as possible.

I urge you

- (1) To work with the Department of Energy and the Department of Defense to create a secure interim location—perhaps on a military base or in some other sparsely populated location—for the spent fuel rods from SONGS and require that they be moved and safely stored there before decommissioning funds are depleted on site restoration activities; and
- (2) To hold Southern California Edison responsible for safety and security at SONGS as long as the spent fuel rods remain there.

Sincerely,

  
Linda Scott  
817 Buena Vista Way  
Laguna Beach CA  
92651

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Attn: Cindy Bladey  
Office of Administration, NRC  
Mail Stop: 3WFN-06-A44M  
Washington, DC 20555-0001

2014 DEC 29 PM 4: 30

Dear Commissioners,

RECEIVED

As a resident of Laguna Beach, which is located within the 20-mile area subject to permanent relocation in the event of a radiation accident at the **San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station (SONGS)**, I was alarmed to learn that the decommissioning plan for the plant involves storage of its spent nuclear fuel rods on site for sixty years or indefinitely until the government removes them to a final deposit repository.

It's my understanding that:

- (1) The spent fuel stored on the site will remain highly radioactive for tens of thousands of generations and
- (2) The plant was closed due to faulty steam generator replacements and had a history of safety complaints from its employees and contractors.
- (3) The plant is not a safe place to store dangerous spent fuel as it is situated in a recognized tsunami, earthquake, and firestorm zone and is vulnerable to climate change and terrorist attack in a densely populated area on the ocean.**
- (4) If there were a radiation accident there, we here in Laguna might escape with our lives, but we would never be able to come back to the homes we love.

The people of California were promised that the spent fuel rods would be removed from San Onofre, and it's imperative that that happen as soon as possible.

I urge you

- (1) To work with the Department of Energy and the Department of Defense to create a secure interim location—perhaps on a military base or in some other sparsely populated location—for the spent fuel rods from SONGS and require that they be moved and safely stored there before decommissioning funds are depleted on site restoration activities; and
- (2) To hold Southern California Edison responsible for safety and security at SONGS as long as the spent fuel rods remain there.

Sincerely,

  
49 LAGUNITA DRIVE  
LAGUNA BEACH, CA 92651  
949-497-7411  
SAMPLAGUNA@VERIZON.NET

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Attn: Cindy Bladey  
Office of Administration, NRC  
Mail Stop: 3WFN-06-A44M  
Washington, DC 20555-0001

2014 DEC 29 PM 4: 30

Dear Commissioners,

RECEIVED

As a resident of Laguna Beach, which is located within the 20-mile area subject to permanent relocation in the event of a radiation accident at the **San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station (SONGS)**, I was alarmed to learn that the decommissioning plan for the plant involves storage of its spent nuclear fuel rods on site for sixty years or indefinitely until the government removes them to a final deposit repository.

It's my understanding that:

- (1) The spent fuel stored on the site will remain highly radioactive for tens of thousands of generations and
- (2) The plant was closed due to faulty steam generator replacements and had a history of safety complaints from its employees and contractors.
- (3) The plant is not a safe place to store dangerous spent fuel as it is situated in a recognized tsunami, earthquake, and firestorm zone and is vulnerable to climate change and terrorist attack in a densely populated area on the ocean.**
- (4) If there were a radiation accident there, we here in Laguna might escape with our lives, but we would never be able to come back to the homes we love.

The people of California were promised that the spent fuel rods would be removed from San Onofre, and it's imperative that that happen as soon as possible.

I urge you

- (1) To work with the Department of Energy and the Department of Defense to create a secure interim location—perhaps on a military base or in some other sparsely populated location—for the spent fuel rods from SONGS and require that they be moved and safely stored there before decommissioning funds are depleted on site restoration activities; and
- (2) To hold Southern California Edison responsible for safety and security at SONGS as long as the spent fuel rods remain there.

Sincerely,



U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Attn: Cindy Bladey  
Office of Administration, NRC  
Mail Stop: 3WFN-06-A44M  
Washington, DC 20555-0001

2014 DEC 29 PM 4: 30

Dear Commissioners,

RECEIVED

As a resident of Laguna Beach, which is located within the 20-mile area subject to permanent relocation in the event of a radiation accident at the **San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station (SONGS)**, I was alarmed to learn that the decommissioning plan for the plant involves storage of its spent nuclear fuel rods on site for sixty years or indefinitely until the government removes them to a final deposit repository.

It's my understanding that:


- (1) The spent fuel stored on the site will remain highly radioactive for tens of thousands of generations and
- (2) The plant was closed due to faulty steam generator replacements and had a history of safety complaints from its employees and contractors.
- (3) The plant is not a safe place to store dangerous spent fuel as it is situated in a recognized tsunami, earthquake, and firestorm zone and is vulnerable to climate change and terrorist attack in a densely populated area on the ocean.**
- (4) If there were a radiation accident there, we here in Laguna might escape with our lives, but we would never be able to come back to the homes we love.

The people of California were promised that the spent fuel rods would be removed from San Onofre, and it's imperative that that happen as soon as possible.

I urge you

- (1) To work with the Department of Energy and the Department of Defense to create a secure interim location—perhaps on a military base or in some other sparsely populated location—for the spent fuel rods from SONGS and require that they be moved and safely stored there before decommissioning funds are depleted on site restoration activities; and
- (2) To hold Southern California Edison responsible for safety and security at SONGS as long as the spent fuel rods remain there.

Sincerely,



922 Emerald Bay  
Laguna Beach, CA  
92651

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Attn: Cindy Bladey  
Office of Administration, NRC  
Mail Stop: 3WFN-06-A44M  
Washington, DC 20555-0001

2014 DEC 29 PM 4: 30

Dear Commissioners,

RECEIVED

As a resident of Laguna Beach, which is located within the 20-mile area subject to permanent relocation in the event of a radiation accident at the **San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station (SONGS)**, I was alarmed to learn that the decommissioning plan for the plant involves storage of its spent nuclear fuel rods on site for sixty years or indefinitely until the government removes them to a final deposit repository.

It's my understanding that:

- (1) The spent fuel stored on the site will remain highly radioactive for tens of thousands of generations and
- (2) The plant was closed due to faulty steam generator replacements and had a history of safety complaints from its employees and contractors.
- (3) The plant is not a safe place to store dangerous spent fuel as it is situated in a recognized tsunami, earthquake, and firestorm zone and is vulnerable to climate change and terrorist attack in a densely populated area on the ocean.**
- (4) If there were a radiation accident there, we here in Laguna might escape with our lives, but we would never be able to come back to the homes we love.

The people of California were promised that the spent fuel rods would be removed from San Onofre, and it's imperative that that happen as soon as possible.

I urge you

- (1) To work with the Department of Energy and the Department of Defense to create a secure interim location—perhaps on a military base or in some other sparsely populated location—for the spent fuel rods from SONGS and require that they be moved and safely stored there before decommissioning funds are depleted on site restoration activities; and
- (2) To hold Southern California Edison responsible for safety and security at SONGS as long as the spent fuel rods remain there.

Sincerely,

Leon Drucker  
  
922 Emerald Bay  
Laguna Beach CA  
92651

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Attn: Cindy Bladey  
Office of Administration, NRC  
Mail Stop: 3WFN-06-A44M  
Washington, DC 20555-0001

2014 DEC 29 PM 4: 30

Dear Commissioners,

RECEIVED

As a resident of Laguna Beach, which is located within the 20-mile area subject to permanent relocation in the event of a radiation accident at the **San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station (SONGS)**, I was alarmed to learn that the decommissioning plan for the plant involves storage of its spent nuclear fuel rods on site for sixty years or indefinitely until the government removes them to a final deposit repository.

It's my understanding that:

- (1) The spent fuel stored on the site will remain highly radioactive for tens of thousands of generations and
- (2) The plant was closed due to faulty steam generator replacements and had a history of safety complaints from its employees and contractors.
- (3) The plant is not a safe place to store dangerous spent fuel as it is situated in a recognized tsunami, earthquake, and firestorm zone and is vulnerable to climate change and terrorist attack in a densely populated area on the ocean.**
- (4) If there were a radiation accident there, we here in Laguna might escape with our lives, but we would never be able to come back to the homes we love.

The people of California were promised that the spent fuel rods would be removed from San Onofre, and it's imperative that that happen as soon as possible.

I urge you

- (1) To work with the Department of Energy and the Department of Defense to create a secure interim location—perhaps on a military base or in some other sparsely populated location—for the spent fuel rods from SONGS and require that they be moved and safely stored there before decommissioning funds are depleted on site restoration activities; and
- (2) To hold Southern California Edison responsible for safety and security at SONGS as long as the spent fuel rods remain there.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, consisting of several overlapping loops and a long horizontal stroke at the bottom.

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Attn: Cindy Bladey  
Office of Administration, NRC  
Mail Stop: 3WFN-06-A44M  
Washington, DC 20555-0001

2014 DEC 29 PM 4: 30

RECEIVED

Dear Commissioners,

As a resident of Laguna Beach, which is located within the 20-mile area subject to permanent relocation in the event of a radiation accident at the **San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station (SONGS)**, I was alarmed to learn that the decommissioning plan for the plant involves storage of its spent nuclear fuel rods on site for sixty years or indefinitely until the government removes them to a final deposit repository.

It's my understanding that:

- (1) The spent fuel stored on the site will remain highly radioactive for tens of thousands of generations and
- (2) The plant was closed due to faulty steam generator replacements and had a history of safety complaints from its employees and contractors.
- (3) The plant is not a safe place to store dangerous spent fuel as it is situated in a recognized tsunami, earthquake, and firestorm zone and is vulnerable to climate change and terrorist attack in a densely populated area on the ocean.**
- (4) If there were a radiation accident there, we here in Laguna might escape with our lives, but we would never be able to come back to the homes we love.

The people of California were promised that the spent fuel rods would be removed from San Onofre, and it's imperative that that happen as soon as possible.

I urge you

- (1) To work with the Department of Energy and the Department of Defense to create a secure interim location—perhaps on a military base or in some other sparsely populated location—for the spent fuel rods from SONGS and require that they be moved and safely stored there before decommissioning funds are depleted on site restoration activities; and
- (2) To hold Southern California Edison responsible for safety and security at SONGS as long as the spent fuel rods remain there.

Sincerely,



27260 Los Altos Apt. 1021  
Mission Viejo CA, 92691

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Attn: Cindy Bladey  
Office of Administration, NRC  
Mail Stop: 3WFN-06-A44M  
Washington, DC 20555-0001

2014 DEC 29 PM 4: 30

RECEIVED

Dear Commissioners,

As a resident of Laguna Beach, which is located within the 20-mile area subject to permanent relocation in the event of a radiation accident at the **San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station (SONGS)**, I was alarmed to learn that the decommissioning plan for the plant involves storage of its spent nuclear fuel rods on site for sixty years or indefinitely until the government removes them to a final deposit repository.

It's my understanding that:

- (1) The spent fuel stored on the site will remain highly radioactive for tens of thousands of generations and
- (2) The plant was closed due to faulty steam generator replacements and had a history of safety complaints from its employees and contractors.
- (3) The plant is not a safe place to store dangerous spent fuel as it is situated in a recognized tsunami, earthquake, and firestorm zone and is vulnerable to climate change and terrorist attack in a densely populated area on the ocean.**
- (4) If there were a radiation accident there, we here in Laguna might escape with our lives, but we would never be able to come back to the homes we love.

The people of California were promised that the spent fuel rods would be removed from San Onofre, and it's imperative that that happen as soon as possible.

I urge you

- (1) To work with the Department of Energy and the Department of Defense to create a secure interim location—perhaps on a military base or in some other sparsely populated location—for the spent fuel rods from SONGS and require that they be moved and safely stored there before decommissioning funds are depleted on site restoration activities; and
- (2) To hold Southern California Edison responsible for safety and security at SONGS as long as the spent fuel rods remain there.

Sincerely, Dharam Singh



RULES AND DIRECTIVES  
BRANCH  
UNIT

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Attn: Cindy Bladey  
Office of Administration, NRC  
Mail Stop: 3WFN-06-A44M  
Washington, DC 20555-0001

2014 DEC 29 PM 4: 30

Dear Commissioners,

RECEIVED

As a resident of Laguna Beach, which is located within the 20-mile area subject to permanent relocation in the event of a radiation accident at the **San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station (SONGS)**, I was alarmed to learn that the decommissioning plan for the plant involves storage of its spent nuclear fuel rods on site for sixty years or indefinitely until the government removes them to a final deposit repository.

It's my understanding that:

- (1) The spent fuel stored on the site will remain highly radioactive for tens of thousands of generations and
- (2) The plant was closed due to faulty steam generator replacements and had a history of safety complaints from its employees and contractors.
- (3) The plant is not a safe place to store dangerous spent fuel as it is situated in a recognized tsunami, earthquake, and firestorm zone and is vulnerable to climate change and terrorist attack in a densely populated area on the ocean.**
- (4) If there were a radiation accident there, we here in Laguna might escape with our lives, but we would never be able to come back to the homes we love.

The people of California were promised that the spent fuel rods would be removed from San Onofre, and it's imperative that that happen as soon as possible.

I urge you

- (1) To work with the Department of Energy and the Department of Defense to create a secure interim location—perhaps on a military base or in some other sparsely populated location—for the spent fuel rods from SONGS and require that they be moved and safely stored there before decommissioning funds are depleted on site restoration activities; and
- (2) To hold Southern California Edison responsible for safety and security at SONGS as long as the spent fuel rods remain there.

Sincerely,



STEVECONNOR@HOTMAIL.COM

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Attn: Cindy Bladey  
Office of Administration, NRC  
Mail Stop: 3WFN-06-A44M  
Washington, DC 20555-0001

2014 DEC 29 PM 4: 30

Dear Commissioners,

RECEIVED

As a resident of Laguna Beach, which is located within the 20-mile area subject to permanent relocation in the event of a radiation accident at the **San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station (SONGS)**, I was alarmed to learn that the decommissioning plan for the plant involves storage of its spent nuclear fuel rods on site for sixty years or indefinitely until the government removes them to a final deposit repository.

It's my understanding that:


- (1) The spent fuel stored on the site will remain highly radioactive for tens of thousands of generations and
- (2) The plant was closed due to faulty steam generator replacements and had a history of safety complaints from its employees and contractors.
- (3) The plant is not a safe place to store dangerous spent fuel as it is situated in a recognized tsunami, earthquake, and firestorm zone and is vulnerable to climate change and terrorist attack in a densely populated area on the ocean.**
- (4) If there were a radiation accident there, we here in Laguna might escape with our lives, but we would never be able to come back to the homes we love.

The people of California were promised that the spent fuel rods would be removed from San Onofre, and it's imperative that that happen as soon as possible.

I urge you

- (1) To work with the Department of Energy and the Department of Defense to create a secure interim location—perhaps on a military base or in some other sparsely populated location—for the spent fuel rods from SONGS and require that they be moved and safely stored there before decommissioning funds are depleted on site restoration activities; and
- (2) To hold Southern California Edison responsible for safety and security at SONGS as long as the spent fuel rods remain there.

Sincerely,



bradconn172@aol.com

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Attn: Cindy Bladey  
Office of Administration, NRC  
Mail Stop: 3WFN-06-A44M  
Washington, DC 20555-0001

2014 DEC 29 PM 4: 30

Dear Commissioners,

RECEIVED

As a resident of Laguna Beach, which is located within the 20-mile area subject to permanent relocation in the event of a radiation accident at the **San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station (SONGS)**, I was alarmed to learn that the decommissioning plan for the plant involves storage of its spent nuclear fuel rods on site for sixty years or indefinitely until the government removes them to a final deposit repository.

It's my understanding that:


- (1) The spent fuel stored on the site will remain highly radioactive for tens of thousands of generations and
- (2) The plant was closed due to faulty steam generator replacements and had a history of safety complaints from its employees and contractors.
- (3) The plant is not a safe place to store dangerous spent fuel as it is situated in a recognized tsunami, earthquake, and firestorm zone and is vulnerable to climate change and terrorist attack in a densely populated area on the ocean.**
- (4) If there were a radiation accident there, we here in Laguna might escape with our lives, but we would never be able to come back to the homes we love.

The people of California were promised that the spent fuel rods would be removed from San Onofre, and it's imperative that that happen as soon as possible.

I urge you

- (1) To work with the Department of Energy and the Department of Defense to create a secure interim location—perhaps on a military base or in some other sparsely populated location—for the spent fuel rods from SONGS and require that they be moved and safely stored there before decommissioning funds are depleted on site restoration activities; and
- (2) To hold Southern California Edison responsible for safety and security at SONGS as long as the spent fuel rods remain there.

Sincerely,



HOWARD COWAN  
LAGUNA BEACH CA

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Attn: Cindy Bladey  
Office of Administration, NRC  
Mail Stop: 3WFN-06-A44M  
Washington, DC 20555-0001

2014 DEC 29 PM 4:30

Dear Commissioners,

RECEIVED

As a resident of Laguna Beach, which is located within the 20-mile area subject to permanent relocation in the event of a radiation accident at the **San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station (SONGS)**, I was alarmed to learn that the decommissioning plan for the plant involves storage of its spent nuclear fuel rods on site for sixty years or indefinitely until the government removes them to a final deposit repository.

It's my understanding that:

- (1) The spent fuel stored on the site will remain highly radioactive for tens of thousands of generations and
- (2) The plant was closed due to faulty steam generator replacements and had a history of safety complaints from its employees and contractors.
- (3) **The plant is not a safe place to store dangerous spent fuel as it is situated in a recognized tsunami, earthquake, and firestorm zone and is vulnerable to climate change and terrorist attack in a densely populated area on the ocean.**
- (4) If there were a radiation accident there, we here in Laguna might escape with our lives, but we would never be able to come back to the homes we love.

The people of California were promised that the spent fuel rods would be removed from San Onofre, and it's imperative that that happen as soon as possible.

I urge you

- (1) To work with the Department of Energy and the Department of Defense to create a secure interim location—perhaps on a military base or in some other sparsely populated location—for the spent fuel rods from SONGS and require that they be moved and safely stored there before decommissioning funds are depleted on site restoration activities; and
- (2) To hold Southern California Edison responsible for safety and security at SONGS as long as the spent fuel rods remain there.

Sincerely,

  
1960 Glenney St  
Laguna Beach Ca 92651

RULES AND DIRECTIVES  
BRANCH  
USNRC

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Attn: Cindy Bladey  
Office of Administration, NRC  
Mail Stop: 3WFN-06-A44M  
Washington, DC 20555-0001

2014 DEC 29 PM 4: 29

Dear Commissioners,

RECEIVED

As a resident of Laguna Beach, which is located within the 20-mile area subject to permanent relocation in the event of a radiation accident at the **San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station (SONGS)**, I was alarmed to learn that the decommissioning plan for the plant involves storage of its spent nuclear fuel rods on site for sixty years or indefinitely until the government removes them to a final deposit repository.

It's my understanding that:

- (1) The spent fuel stored on the site will remain highly radioactive for tens of thousands of generations and
- (2) The plant was closed due to faulty steam generator replacements and had a history of safety complaints from its employees and contractors.
- (3) **The plant is not a safe place to store dangerous spent fuel as it is situated in a recognized tsunami, earthquake, and firestorm zone and is vulnerable to climate change and terrorist attack in a densely populated area on the ocean.**
- (4) If there were a radiation accident there, we here in Laguna might escape with our lives, but we would never be able to come back to the homes we love.

The people of California were promised that the spent fuel rods would be removed from San Onofre, and it's imperative that that happen as soon as possible.

I urge you

- (1) To work with the Department of Energy and the Department of Defense to create a secure interim location—perhaps on a military base or in some other sparsely populated location—for the spent fuel rods from SONGS and require that they be moved and safely stored there before decommissioning funds are depleted on site restoration activities; and
- (2) To hold Southern California Edison responsible for safety and security at SONGS as long as the spent fuel rods remain there.

Sincerely,

Tracy Ferguson  
626 Aint St.  
Laguna Beach, Ca  
92651

TracyFerguson@ME  
.com