



U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REGULATORY RESEARCH  
**DRAFT REGULATORY  
GUIDE**

December 2014  
Revision 1  
Technical Lead  
Steve Garry

**DG-8031 December, 2014**  
**DRAFT REGULATORY GUIDE 8.34**  
(First Draft was issued as DG-8031, on October 2013)

**MONITORING CRITERIA AND METHODS TO  
CALCULATE OCCUPATIONAL RADIATION DOSES**

**A. INTRODUCTION**

**Purpose**

This guide provides methods acceptable to the staff of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) for monitoring the occupational radiation dose to individuals and for calculating occupational radiation doses. The regulatory guide (RG) applies to both reactor and materials licensees under both NRC and Agreement State licenses.

**Applicable Rules and Regulations**

The regulations established by the NRC in Title 10, "Energy," of the *Code of Federal Regulations* (10 CFR) Part 20, "Standards for Protection against Radiation" (Ref. 1), Section 20.1101, "Radiation Protection Programs," establish requirements for licensees (a) to keep individuals' exposures to radiation below the specified regulatory radiation dose limits and (b) to keep such radiation doses "as low as is reasonably achievable" (ALARA). To demonstrate compliance with the dose limits, licensees must perform surveys and, when appropriate, monitor individuals' radiation exposure and calculate the doses resulting from the exposure.

Also, 10 CFR 20.1201, "Occupational Dose Limits for Adults," establishes radiation dose limits for occupationally exposed individuals. These limits apply to the sum of the dose received from external exposure and the dose from internally deposited radioactive material. Conditions that require individual monitoring of external and internal occupational doses are specified in 10 CFR 20.1502, "Conditions Requiring Individual Monitoring of External and Internal Occupational Dose." Monitoring the intake of radioactive material and assessing the committed effective dose equivalent (CEDE) (for internal exposures) is required by 10 CFR 20.1502(b). The calculations that licensees are required to perform in order to comply with these regulations were affected by the 2007 revisions of 10 CFR 20.1003 and 10 CFR 50.2 (Ref. 2), both titled

---

Written suggestions regarding this guide or development of new guides may be submitted through the NRC's public Website under the Regulatory Guides document collection of the NRC Library at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/reg-guides/contactus.html>.

Electronic copies of this regulatory guide, previous versions of this guide, and other recently issued guides are available through the NRC's public Web site under Regulatory Guides document collection of the NRC Library at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collection/>. The regulatory guide is also available through the NRC's Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>, under ADAMS Accession No. **MLXXXXXXXXX**. The regulatory analysis may be found in ADAMS under Accession No. **MLXXXXXXXXX** and the staff responses to the public comments on DG-8031 may be found under ADAMS Accession No. **MLXXXXXXXXX**.

---

“Definitions.” This revision redefined the “total effective dose equivalent” (TEDE) as the sum of the effective dose equivalent (for external exposures) and the CEDE (for internal exposures).

The following regulatory requirements are also discussed in this guide:

- 10 CFR 20.1007, “Communications”
- 10 CFR Part 19, “Notices, Instructions, and Reports to Workers: Inspection and Investigations”(Ref. 3)
- 10 CFR 20.1202, “Compliance with Requirements for Summation of External and Internal Doses”
- 10 CFR 20.1204, “Determination of Internal Exposure”
- 10 CFR 20.1206, “Planned Special Exposures”
- 10 CFR 20.1207, “Occupational Dose Limits for Minors”
- 10 CFR 20.1208, “Dose Equivalent to an Embryo/Fetus”
- 10 CFR 20.1501, “General,” in 10 CFR 20 Subpart F, “Surveys and Monitoring”
- 10 CFR 20.1703, “Use of Individual Respiratory Protection Equipment”
- 10 CFR 20.2106, “Records of Individual Monitoring Results”
- 10 CFR 20.2206, “Reports of Individual Monitoring”

#### **Related Guidance**

The NRC has developed guidance related to calculating occupational doses for monitored individuals and has provided criteria regarding which individuals should be monitored for radiation exposure. Such guidance includes the following:

- RG 8.7, “Instructions for Recording and Reporting Occupational Radiation Exposure Data” (Ref. 4)
- RG 8.9, Revision 1, “Acceptable Concepts, Models, Equations, and Assumptions for a Bioassay Program” (Ref. 5)
- RG 8.11, “Applications of Bioassay for Uranium” (Ref. 6)
- RG 8.25, Revision 1, “Air Sampling in the Workplace” (Ref. 7)
- RG 8.29, “Instruction Concerning Risks from Occupational Radiation Exposure” (Ref. 8)

- 74 • RG 8.35, Revision 1, "Planned Special Exposures" (Ref. 9)
- 75
- 76 • RG 8.36, "Radiation Dose to the Embryo/Fetus" (Ref. 10)
- 77
- 78 • RG 8.40, "Methods for Measuring Effective Dose Equivalent from External
- 79 Exposure" (Ref. 11)
- 80

81

## 82 **Purpose of Regulatory Guides**

83 The NRC issues RGs to describe to the public methods that the staff considers acceptable  
84 for use in implementing specific parts of the agency's regulations, to explain techniques that the  
85 staff uses in evaluating specific problems or postulated accidents, and to provide guidance to  
86 applicants. RGs are not substitutes for regulations and compliance with them is not required.  
87 Methods and solutions that differ from those set forth in RGs will be deemed acceptable if they  
88 provide a basis for the findings required for the issuance or continuance of a permit or license by the  
89 Commission.

## 90 **Paperwork Reduction Act**

91 This RG discusses information-collection requirements covered by 10 CFR Part 20 and  
92 10 CFR Part 50, "Domestic Licensing of Production and Utilization Facilities," that the Office of  
93 Management and Budget (OMB) approved under OMB control numbers 3150-0014  
94 and 3150-0011 respectively. The NRC may neither conduct nor sponsor, and a person is not  
95 required to respond to, an information-collection request or requirement unless the requesting  
96 document displays a currently valid OMB control number.

97

98	<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	
99	<b>A. INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>1</b>
100	PURPOSE.....	1
101	APPLICABLE RULES AND REGULATIONS.....	1
102	RELATED GUIDANCE.....	2
103	PURPOSE OF REGULATORY GUIDES .....	3
104	PAPERWORK REDUCTION ACT .....	3
105	<b>B. DISCUSSION .....</b>	<b>5</b>
106	REASON FOR REVISION .....	5
107	BACKGROUND.....	5
108	OCCUPATIONAL DOSE LIMITS FOR ADULTS, MINORS, AND EMBRYOS/FETUSES.....	6
109	PLANNED SPECIAL EXPOSURES (PSEs) .....	6
110	SURVEYS .....	6
111	MONITORING AT LEVELS SUFFICIENT TO DEMONSTRATE COMPLIANCE.....	7
112	USE OF EFFECTIVE DACs .....	7
113	ALPHA MONITORING AT NUCLEAR POWER PLANTS .....	7
114	DISCRETE RADIOACTIVE-PARTICLE MONITORING AND SDE.....	8
115	HARMONIZATION WITH INTERNATIONAL STANDARDS.....	9
116	DOCUMENTS DISCUSSED IN STAFF REGULATORY GUIDANCE .....	9
117	<b>C. STAFF REGULATORY GUIDANCE.....</b>	<b>10</b>
118	1. MONITORING CRITERIA .....	10
119	2. OCCUPATIONAL DOSE.....	11
120	3. PROSPECTIVE ASSESSMENTS OF THE NEED FOR OCCUPATIONAL DOSE MONITORING .....	11
121	4. DETERMINATION OF EXTERNAL DOSES.....	12
122	5. DETERMINATION OF INTAKES.....	14
123	6. DETERMINATION OF INTERNAL DOSES.....	15
124	7. USE OF INDIVIDUAL OR MATERIAL-SPECIFIC INFORMATION .....	18
125	8. LIMITATION ON URANIUM INTAKE .....	18
126	9. RECORDING OF INDIVIDUAL MONITORING RESULTS .....	18
127	<b>D. IMPLEMENTATION .....</b>	<b>19</b>
128	<b>REFERENCES.....</b>	<b>20</b>
129	<b>APPENDIX A, METHODS OF CALCULATING INTERNAL DOSE .....</b>	<b>23</b>
130		
131		
132		
133		
134		
135		

## B. DISCUSSION

### Reason for Revision

This revision of RG 8.34 provides updated regulatory guidance on monitoring criteria and methods of calculating occupational dose based on the revised definition of the TEDE. This RG also provides updated guidance on acceptable methods of:

- Determining the need for monitoring and demonstrating compliance with occupational dose limits.
- Monitoring alpha intakes and determining internal dose from alpha-emitting radionuclides.
- Assessing deep-dose equivalent (DDE) when the measurements of the primary monitoring device (dosimeter) are inconsistent with other radiological measurements (e.g., surveys or electronic dosimeters).
- Assessing intakes and committed dose equivalent (CDE) from wounds.
- Examples of calculational methods to assess intakes and internal doses.

### Background

On December 4, 2007, the NRC revised the definition of the TEDE in 10 CFR 20.1003 and 10 CFR 50.2 (as published in the *Federal Register* at 72 FR 68043 (Ref. 12)). The revision subsequently affected the methods of monitoring and calculating occupational radiation doses and demonstrating compliance with the occupational dose limits. Previously, the definition of the TEDE was the sum of the DDE (to account for external exposure) and the CEDE (to account for internal exposure). Under the revised rule 10 CFR 20.1003, the TEDE was redefined by replacing the DDE with the effective dose equivalent-external (EDEX).

Old definition:  $TEDE = DDE + CEDE$

New definition:  $TEDE = EDEX + CEDE$

Regulations in 10 CFR 20.1201(c) require that, when external exposure is determined by measurement with an external personal monitoring device, the DDE for the part of the body receiving the highest exposure be used in place of the effective dose equivalent (i.e., the EDEX) unless the EDEX is determined by a dosimetry method approved by the NRC (see RG 8.40). In uniform radiation fields, the EDEX is normally determined by measuring the DDE and, therefore, the revised TEDE definition has little impact on monitoring methods. However, for exposures in non-uniform radiation fields, the revised TEDE definition provides greater monitoring flexibility and accuracy for licensees in monitoring worker exposures. Under non-uniform conditions, the previous TEDE definition tended to provide dose assessments that were excessively conservative.

Occupational dose limits are applicable during routine operations, planned special exposures, and during emergencies. Doses received during declared nuclear emergencies (including international emergencies) must be included in the determination of annual occupational dose. However, the potential for exceeding a dose limit during a declared emergency should not prevent a licensee from taking necessary actions to protect health and safety.

174

175 **Occupational Dose Limits for Adults, Minors, and Embryos/Fetuses**

176 For adults, occupational dose limits (except for planned special exposures) are established in  
177 10 CFR 20.1201(a) as follows:

- 178 • For protection against stochastic effects, the annual TEDE limit is 5 rem  
179 (50 millisieverts (mSv)).
- 180 • For protection against nonstochastic effects, the annual total organ dose equivalent  
181 (TODE) limit is 50 rem (500 mSv).
- 182 • For protection of the lens of the eye, the annual lens dose equivalent (LDE) limit is  
183 15 rem (150 mSv).
- 184 • For protection of the skin of the whole body or of the skin of any extremity, the  
185 annual shallow-dose equivalent (SDE) limit is 50 rem (500 mSv).

186 For minors, occupational dose limits are established in 10 CFR 20.1207, “Occupational Dose  
187 Limits for Minors,” as annual limit at 10 percent of the adult dose limits.

188 For the embryo/fetus of a declared pregnant woman, a dose equivalent limit during the entire  
189 pregnancy is established in 10 CFR 20.1208, “Dose Equivalent to an Embryo/Fetus,” as 0.5 rem  
190 (5 mSv).

191 **Planned Special Exposures (PSEs)**

192 PSEs are subject to the conditions specified in 10 CFR 20.1206, “Planned Special  
193 Exposures” (e.g., exceptional circumstances, specific authorizations, and informing and instructing  
194 the worker). RG 8.35, “Planned Special Exposures,” provides guidance on conducting PSEs. For  
195 dose-accounting purposes, dose received during a PSE is in addition to and accounted for  
196 separately from the dose that is limited by 10 CFR 20.1201.

197 **Surveys<sup>1</sup>**

198 Surveys (i.e., evaluations of the radiological conditions and potential hazards) should be  
199 conducted as necessary in support of radiological monitoring and calculation of occupational dose.  
200 Instruments and equipment used in performing surveys must be calibrated periodically for the type  
201 of radiation measured in accordance with 10 CFR 20.1501(c).

202 When a licensee assigns or permits the use of respiratory protection equipment to limit the  
203 intake of radioactive material, 10 CFR 20.1703(c)(2) requires surveys and bioassays, as necessary,  
204 to evaluate actual intakes. Indications of an intake could include facial contamination, nasal

---

1 “Survey” means an evaluation of the radiological conditions and potential hazards incident to the production, use, transfer, release, disposal, or presence of radioactive material or other sources of radiation. When appropriate, such an evaluation includes a physical survey of the location of radioactive material and measurements or calculations of levels of radiation or concentrations or quantities of radioactive material present.

205 contamination, malfunctioning respiratory protection equipment, loss of engineering controls  
206 creating an airborne radioactivity area, and work in unknown or unplanned airborne radioactivity  
207 areas.

208 During operations, licensees should perform airborne radioactivity surveys as required in  
209 10 CFR 20.1502 to characterize the radiological hazards that may be present and, as appropriate,  
210 use engineering and respiratory protection equipment to reduce intakes. When it is not practical to  
211 use process or engineering controls to reduce the concentrations of airborne radioactivity to values  
212 below those that define an airborne radioactivity area, licensees are required under  
213 10 CFR 20.1702(a), to be consistent with keeping the TEDE ALARA, to increase monitoring  
214 (e.g., perform air sampling and track Derived Air Concentration (DAC)-hours and bioassay  
215 measurements) and to limit intakes by using access controls, limiting exposure times, or having  
216 individuals use respiratory protection equipment.

### 217 **Monitoring at Levels Sufficient To Demonstrate Compliance**

218 Regulations in 10 CFR 20.1502 require monitoring at levels sufficient to demonstrate  
219 compliance with the occupational dose limits; therefore, monitoring methods should be reasonably  
220 accurate. In addition, licensees may voluntarily issue individual monitoring devices or use  
221 calculational methodologies for reasons other than for required personnel monitoring under the  
222 requirements in 10 CFR 20.1502 (e.g., to inform individuals of exposure conditions, or to alleviate  
223 safety concerns). The results of monitoring that is voluntarily provided but not required by  
224 10 CFR 20.1502 are not subject to the dose recording or reporting requirements in 10 CFR Part 20,  
225 Subpart L, "Records," or Subpart M, "Reporting." However, licensees may voluntarily provide these  
226 reports to the exposed individual(s) and to the NRC.

### 227 **Use of Effective DACs**

228 The regulation at 10 CFR 20.1204(e) provides a method for determining internal exposure  
229 when the identity and concentration of each radionuclide in a mixture is known. The identities and  
230 concentrations of radionuclides may be determined based on representative radiological surveys  
231 identifying the specific radionuclides and quantifying their relative mix. Once the relative mix is  
232 known, licensees may apply scaling factors applicable to the mixture for use in calculating DACs and  
233 tracking DAC-hours as specified in 20.1204(e). This is commonly referred to as "effective DACs"  
234 and is applicable to beta/gamma activity, alpha activity, and hard-to-detect radionuclides.

235 The use of effective DAC values may be needed in operational radiological protection  
236 programs to establish airborne radioactivity postings, determining alarm set points for continuous air  
237 monitors, determining the need for respiratory protection, estimating internal dose, or determining  
238 when bioassay measurements may be needed. When using effective DACs, licensees may disregard  
239 those radionuclides in the mixture (based on prior representative surveys) having a concentration less  
240 than 10% of the radionuclide's DAC, given that the sum of disregarded radionuclides does not exceed  
241 30% (see 10 CFR 20.1204(g)).

242

### 243 **Alpha Monitoring at Nuclear Power Plants**

244 For reactor facilities that have experienced significant fuel defects, alpha contamination  
245 may be a radiological hazard requiring specific evaluation. Alpha contamination (when present)

246 requires specific evaluation because the DAC values for alpha emitting isotopes are generally  
247 orders of magnitude more restrictive than DACs for beta-emitting and gamma-emitting isotopes.

248 Each facility should characterize and update its alpha source term as needed based on the  
249 facility's operational history. Alpha source-term characterization should not be based solely on the  
250 samples of dry activated waste collected for waste-classification purposes under 10 CFR Part 61,  
251 "Licensing Requirements for Land Disposal of Radioactive Waste." Loose contamination surveys  
252 may not be sufficient to identify fixed alpha contamination that may pose a hazard during abrasive  
253 work (e.g., grinding, cutting, or welding). The characterization should determine the extent of the  
254 alpha hazard within the facility such as within localized areas.

255 The extent of the radiological characterization that is needed depends on the relative  
256 significance of the alpha source term compared to other radiological contaminants. The  
257 characterization may be used to determine the specific alpha radionuclides and to determine their  
258 relative concentrations in a mixture. Once the relative concentrations are known, an effective DAC  
259 may be determined and used in radiological protection and dose assessment (in lieu of using the  
260 most restrictive DAC of any radionuclide in the mixture as required by 10 CFR 20.1204(f)).

261 The principal transuranic nuclides producing alpha radiological hazards include the  
262 isotopes of curium, plutonium, and americium. For historical fuel failures (e.g., ten years have  
263 passed since significant fuel failure), the shorter-lived curium-242 will have largely decayed,  
264 leaving the longer-lived alpha radionuclides with more restrictive DACs and annual limits on  
265 intake (ALI) as the most prevalent hazard. However, investigations of more recent fuel failures are  
266 likely to identify curium-242 as the most abundant alpha-emitting nuclide, which has less  
267 restrictive DAC and ALI values. Therefore, effective DAC values must be updated as needed to  
268 account for the time-dependent (decayed) mix of alpha radionuclides. In addition, consideration  
269 should be given to transuranic isotopes which decay by other than alpha emission (e.g., Pu-241).

270 The extent of radiological protection measures against alpha radionuclides may be  
271 determined based on:

- 272 • knowledge of the specific alpha radionuclide mix
- 273 • knowledge of the solubility/insolubility of the radionuclides
- 274 • conservative assumptions about the most restrictive radionuclide in the mixture
- 275 • determination of site-specific effective-DAC alpha values

## 276 **Discrete Radioactive-Particle Monitoring and SDE**

277 A discrete radioactive particle (DRP) is a small (usually microscopic) and highly  
278 radioactive particle emitting either only beta or both beta and gamma radiation and having  
279 relatively high specific activity. DRPs are primarily an external exposure hazard to the skin, as  
280 measured by the SDE.

281 In 2002, the NRC amended its regulations related to the shallow-dose equivalent/skin-dose  
282 limit in 10 CFR Part 20 (at 67 FR 16298 (Ref. 13); see also Regulatory Issue Summary 2002-10,  
283 "Revision of the Skin Dose Limit in 10 CFR Part 20" (Ref. 14)). The amended regulations  
284 changed the definition and method of calculating SDEs by specifying that the assigned SDE must  
285 be the dose averaged over the contiguous 10 cm<sup>2</sup> of skin receiving the highest exposure.



## 286 **Harmonization with International Standards**

287 The NRC has a goal of harmonizing its guidance (to the extent that this is practical) with  
288 international standards. The International Commission on Radiological Protection (ICRP) and the  
289 International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) have issued a significant number of standards,  
290 guidance and technical documents, and recommendations addressing good practices in most  
291 aspects of radiation protection. The NRC encourages licensees to consult the international  
292 documents noted throughout this guide and implement the applicable good practices they contain  
293 that are consistent with NRC regulations.

294 Such documents include the following:

- 295 • ICRP Publication 26, “Recommendations of the International Commission on  
296 Radiological Protection” (Ref. 15)
- 297 • ICRP Publication 30, (7-volume set including supplements), “Limits for Intakes of  
298 Radionuclides by Workers” (Ref. 16)
- 299 • ICRP Publication 54, “Individual Monitoring for Intakes of Radionuclides by Workers”  
300 (Ref. 17)
- 301 • ICRP Publication 60, “1990 Recommendations of the International Commission on  
302 Radiological Protection” (Ref. 18)
- 303 • ICRP Publication 68, “Dose Coefficients for Intakes of Radionuclides for Workers”  
304 (Ref. 19)
- 305 • ICRP Publication 78, “Individual Monitoring for Internal Exposure of Workers” (Ref. 20)
- 306 • ICRP Publication 103, “The 2007 Recommendations of the International Commission on  
307 Radiological Protection” (Ref. 21)

## 308 **Documents Discussed in Staff Regulatory Guidance**

309 Although this RG uses information, in part, from one or more reports developed by  
310 external organizations and other third-party guidance documents, the RG does not endorse these  
311 references other than as specified in this RG. These reports and third-party guidance documents  
312 may contain references to other reports or third-party guidance documents (“secondary  
313 references”). If a secondary reference has itself been incorporated by reference in NRC regulations  
314 as a requirement, licensees and applicants must comply with that requirement in the regulation.

315 If the secondary reference has been endorsed in an RG as an acceptable approach for  
316 meeting an NRC requirement, the reference constitutes a method acceptable to the NRC staff for  
317 meeting that regulatory requirement as described in the specific RG. If the secondary reference has  
318 neither been incorporated by reference in NRC regulations nor endorsed in an RG, the secondary  
319 reference is neither a legally binding requirement nor a “generic” NRC approval as an acceptable  
320 approach for meeting an NRC requirement. However, licensees and applicants may consider and  
321 use the information in the secondary reference, if it is appropriately justified and consistent with  
322 current regulatory practice, in ways consistent with applicable NRC requirements such as those in  
323 10 CFR Part 20.

324

## C. STAFF REGULATORY GUIDANCE

### 1. Monitoring Criteria

Regulations in 10 CFR 20.1502 require individual monitoring of external and internal occupational dose at levels sufficient<sup>2</sup> to demonstrate compliance with the occupational dose limits. As a minimum, licensees must monitor occupational exposure to radiation from licensed and unlicensed radiation sources<sup>3</sup> under the control of the licensee.

For external occupational exposure, licensees are required to supply and require the use of individual monitoring devices if the external occupational dose:

- for adults, is likely to exceed 10 percent of the occupational dose limits in 10 CFR 20.1201(a);
- for minors, in one year, is likely to exceed a deep-dose equivalent of 0.1 rem (1 mSv), a lens dose equivalent of 0.15 rem (1.5 mSv), or a shallow-dose equivalent to the skin of the whole body or to the skin of the extremities of 0.5 rem (5 mSv); or
- for declared pregnant women, during their entire pregnancy, is likely to exceed a deep-dose equivalent of 0.1 rem (1 mSv), and
- for individuals entering a high or very high radiation area.

For internal occupational exposure, licensees are required to monitor the intake of radioactive material and assess the CEDE by 10 CFR 20.1502(b) if the intake is likely to exceed:

- 10 percent of the applicable annual limit on intake (ALI) for adults;
- 0.1 rem (1 mSv) for minors in one year; or
- 0.1 rem (1 mSv) for declared pregnant women during the entire pregnancy.

---

<sup>2</sup> Monitoring performed to assess the magnitude of an inadvertent or unplanned exposure (from external radiation or from intakes of radionuclides) is required monitoring per 10 CFR 20.1502 (i.e., required to demonstrate compliance with the dose limits in Part 20) and are subject to the recording requirements in 20.2106(a) and the reporting requirements 20.2206(b).

<sup>3</sup> Unlicensed sources are radiation sources not licensed by the NRC or Agreement States; such as products or sources covered by exemptions from licensing requirements (e.g., 10 CFR 30.14, "Exempt Concentrations"; 10 CFR 30.15, "Certain Items Containing Byproduct Material"; 10 CFR 30.18, "Exempt Quantities"; 10 CFR 30.19, "Self-Luminous Products Containing Tritium, Krypton-85, or Promethium-147"; 10 CFR 30.20, "Gas and Aerosol Detectors Containing Byproduct Material"; 10 CFR 30.22, "Certain Industrial Devices"; or 10 CFR 40.13, "Unimportant Quantities of Source Material"), naturally occurring radioactive materials that are not covered by the Atomic Energy Act, radioactive materials possessed by or nuclear facilities operated by another Federal entity such as the U.S. Department of Defense or the U.S. Department of Energy, and machines that produce radiation (such as x-ray radiography machines and x-ray machines used by security staff).

351       **2.       Occupational Dose**

352               The definition of occupational dose in 10 CFR 20.1003 includes dose received during the  
353       course of employment in which assigned duties involve exposure to radiation or radioactive  
354       material from licensed and unlicensed sources of radiation, whether in the possession of the  
355       licensee or of another person. The definition of occupational dose was changed in 1995 (at  
356       60 FR 36038) (Ref. 22) so that occupational dose applies to workers whose assigned duties involve  
357       exposure to radiation, irrespective of their location inside or outside a restricted area. Note:  
358       A member of the public does not become an occupationally exposed individual simply as a result of  
359       entering a restricted area.

360               Individuals who receive occupational exposure and are likely to receive more than  
361       100 mrem must be instructed in accordance with 10 CFR 19.12, "Instruction to Workers." See  
362       RG 8.29 for further information.

363       **3.       Prospective Assessments of the Need for Occupational Dose Monitoring**

364               Licensees must identify those individuals receiving occupational dose, either individually  
365       or as a group or category of individuals. Individuals pre-designated by the licensee as receiving  
366       occupational dose are subject to the occupational dose limits; otherwise, individuals must be  
367       considered as members of the public subject to public dose limits in 10 CFR 20.1301, "Dose Limits  
368       for Individual Members of the Public."

369               Once occupationally exposed individuals are identified, licensees should perform a  
370       prospective assessment to determine whether those individuals are "likely to exceed" the minimum  
371       exposure levels specified in 10 CFR 20.1502 (i.e., to determine the need for monitoring of the  
372       occupational dose). The potential for unlikely exposures and accident conditions need not be  
373       considered because these events, by definition, are unlikely. However, as discussed at  
374       60 FR 36039, the term "likely to receive" includes "normal situations as well as abnormal  
375       situations involving exposure to radiation which can reasonably be expected to occur during the life  
376       of the facility." Therefore, licensees should consider normal operations and anticipated operational  
377       occurrences (e.g., unplanned onsite events, such as sudden increases in external radiation levels, or  
378       localized areas of high airborne radioactivity) but would not need to consider design-basis  
379       accidents

380               The prospective assessment determines the type of monitoring required (e.g., external-dose or  
381       internal-dose monitoring). In performing a prospective assessment, an evaluation should be  
382       performed based on planned work activities and likely exposure conditions. In the prospective  
383       assessment, licensees may take credit for the use of engineering controls (e.g., containment,  
384       decontamination, ventilation, and filtration). However, if licensees are using respiratory protection  
385       equipment to limit the intake of radioactive material, licensees must establishing a respiratory  
386       protection program and perform air sampling, surveys, and bioassays to evaluate intakes and  
387       estimate dose in accordance with the 10 CFR 20.1703. Prospective assessments should be revised  
388       when there are substantial changes to the radiological conditions of personnel exposure  
389       (e.g., changes in work activities, airborne concentrations, beta energy spectra, or use of  
390       radiation-producing equipment emitting new or different types of energies).

391               The requirements for monitoring in 10 CFR 20.1502 refer to exposures that might occur at  
392       each licensee individually. Doses that have already been received while in the employ of another  
393       licensee, or that might be received in the future while in the employ of another licensee or  
394       unlicensed entity, are excluded from consideration in a licensee's determination of the need to  
395

396 monitor an individual. The need for monitoring should be based on the anticipated exposure to  
397 licensed or unlicensed sources under the control of a single licensee.

#### 398 **4. Determination of External Doses**

##### 399 **a. Determination of the TEDE**

400 Under 10 CFR 20.1202, if a licensee is required to monitor both external dose and internal  
401 dose, the licensee must demonstrate compliance with the dose limits by summing external and  
402 internal doses (i.e.,  $TEDE = EDEX + CEDE$ ). However, if the licensee is required to monitor only  
403 external doses under 10 CFR 20.1502(a) or only internal doses under 10 CFR 20.1502(b),  
404 summation is not required to demonstrate compliance with the occupational dose limits. For  
405 example, if the internal dose is not monitored, the CEDE can be assumed to be equal to zero and the  
406 TEDE is equal to the EDEX. Similarly, if the external dose is not monitored, the EDEX can be  
407 assumed to be equal to zero and the TEDE is equal to the CEDE.

##### 408 **b. Determination of the EDEX**

409 The EDEX is determined using one or more combinations of the following methods in  
410 accordance with 10 CFR 20.1201(c). These methods are described in RG 8.40 as follows:

- 411 1. Measuring the DDE at the most highly exposed part of the whole body with an external  
412 personal monitoring device, as required by 10 CFR 20.1201(c), when an NRC method for  
413 determining EDEX is not used.
- 414 2. Measuring external exposure with one or more external personal monitoring devices and  
415 determining EDEX using an NRC-approved method (such as those provided in RG 8.40 or  
416 as specifically approved elsewhere by the NRC).
- 417 3. Calculating the EDEX based on survey data obtained under 10 CFR 20.1501 or on other  
418 radiological data (such as known source activity, dose rates, and exposure times) using  
419 scientifically sound technical methods. This might be required (a) under unique exposure  
420 situations (e.g., if an individual's body were partially exposed to radiation streaming in a  
421 narrow beam geometry), (b) when the individual's monitoring device was not in the region  
422 of the highest whole-body exposure (in accordance with 10 CFR 20.1201(c)), or (c) when  
423 the results of the individual monitoring are not available (i.e., the monitoring device is  
424 damaged or lost).

425 Note: Within the same monitoring period, a licensee may use a combination of the  
426 methods above: A licensee may routinely determine EDEX for the majority of a monitoring period  
427 using method 1 above, and then use method 2 or 3 for special exposure situations at other times.  
428 The results of the different dosimetry methods must be combined to determine the EDEX for the  
429 entire monitoring period.

##### 430 **c. Determination of the Deep-Dose Equivalent (DDE)**

431 The DDE (external exposure of the whole body) is typically measured with a passive  
432 primary monitoring device that assesses the dose at a tissue depth of 1 centimeter (cm) (a mass  
433 thickness of  $1,000 \text{ mg/cm}^2$ ). The DDE can also be calculated if the appropriate parameters are  
434 known (i.e., the radiation source strength, the exposure geometry, and whether full or partial  
435 shielding was in place).

An individual monitoring device located at the most highly exposed part of the whole body measuring the DDE is a conservative and (for uniform exposures) a reasonably accurate estimate of the EDEX. However, if the radiation dose is highly non uniform, causing a specific part of the whole body (head, trunk, arms above the elbow, or legs above the knees) to receive a substantially higher dose than the rest of the whole body, the individual monitoring device should be placed near that part of the whole body expected to receive the highest dose. There are several other NRC-approved methods for determining EDEX provided in RG 8.40.

In many exposure situations, a required monitoring device (e.g., a passive dosimeter) may be voluntarily supplemented with an additional, active dosimeter (e.g., an electronic dosimeter used for work control and daily dose accounting purposes). Due to the differences in dosimeter design and detection technology, and the relative measurement errors associated with each type of dosimeter, there can be valid differences in readings of these two dosimeters for the same exposure, even if the dosimeters are co-located on the monitored individual. Within a reasonable, licensee pre-determined accuracy criteria (depending on dosimeter designs), small differences between measurements can be disregarded and either dosimetry value used as the measured dose (since both results are considered valid and equal within measurement error). However, a significantly higher reading on the voluntary dosimeter may indicate that the required dosimeter was not appropriately placed to measure the highest exposed part of the whole body. Licensees should investigate those cases where a significant discrepancy exists between dosimeters. If the differences cannot be resolved, an assessment must be performed to determine the DDE, LDE, and SDE for the highest exposed part of the whole body, as provided for in 10 CFR 20.1201(c).

**d. Determining the LDE**

If the LDE is being monitored with a dosimeter, that dosimeter should be calibrated to measure the dose at a tissue depth of 0.3 centimeter (cm) (a mass thickness 300 mg/cm<sup>2</sup>). Alternatively, the LDE may be conservatively determined based on SDE measurements at 7 mg/cm<sup>2</sup>. In many exposure situations, safety glasses can be worn to minimize exposures to the lens of the eye from low-energy (or poorly penetrating) radiations, potentially eliminating the need for monitoring the LDE.

**e. Determination of the SDE**

The SDE is defined only for external exposure at a tissue depth of 0.007 cm (a mass thickness of 7 mg/cm<sup>2</sup>), and is the dose averaged over the contiguous 10 cm<sup>2</sup> of skin receiving the highest exposure. If the SDE is being measured with a dosimeter, that dosimeter should be calibrated to measure the dose at a tissue depth of 7 mg/cm<sup>2</sup>. For skin contamination, the computer code described in NUREG/CR-6918, "VARSKIN: A Computer Code for Skin Contamination Dosimetry" (Ref. 23) may be used to assess the SDE. The SDE may also be determined from analytical calculational methods based on survey data when dosimetry methods are not representative of the actual exposure conditions.

The SDE for exposure to submersion-class radionuclides containing low-energy betas is not readily measurable by direct survey techniques or dosimetry methods and hence may need to be calculated based on air-sample analyses and DAC-hr tracking. This submersion exposure information may be needed for informing workers of radiological exposure conditions (e.g., informing workers of the SDE rates during pre-job briefings) and also to account in dose records for the SDE that might not be adequately measured by dosimeters (e.g., because of the dosimeter's lack of response to a low-energy beta spectrum).

## 481       **5.       Determination of Intakes**

482               For those licensees monitoring internal dose in accordance with 10 CFR 20.1204, a  
483 determination must be made of the intake that can occur through inhalation, ingestion, absorption  
484 through the skin, or absorption through wounds. The amount of the intake may be assessed from  
485 suitable and timely measurements of airborne radionuclides or may be based on bioassay  
486 measurements.

487               The assessment of intake should include not only the readily detected radionuclides but  
488 also the hard-to-detect radionuclides if their dose contribution is significant. The activity of  
489 hard-to-detect radionuclides may be based on scaling factors that correspond to the amount of  
490 readily detected radionuclides. See RG 8.25, “Air Sampling in the Workplace,” and Regulatory  
491 Guide 8.9, “Acceptable Concepts, Models, Equations, and Assumptions for a Bioassay Program,”  
492 for further guidance on determining uptakes and intakes.

493               Unless respiratory protection is used, the concentration of radionuclides in the intake  
494 (i.e., the breathing-zone concentration) is assumed to be equal to the ambient concentration.  
495 Therefore, when selecting the air-sample location, one should consider engineered features such as  
496 containment, airflow, and filtration to ensure that the air sample is representative of the air  
497 breathed.

498               If respiratory protection is used to limit the intake of radioactive materials,  
499 10 CFR 20.1703(c)(4)(i) requires internal monitoring to be implemented as part of the respiratory  
500 protection program. When respiratory protection is provided, the intake is adjusted by dividing the  
501 ambient air concentration by the appropriate Assigned Protection Factor (APF) listed in  
502 Appendix A, “Assigned Protection Factors for Respirators,” to 10 CFR 20. If the ambient air  
503 concentration is determined by performing breathing-zone air sampling inside the respiratory  
504 protective device (such as with a lapel air sampler inside a loose-fitting supplied air hood or suit),  
505 no APF adjustment is made to the ambient air concentration as measured in the breathing-zone air  
506 sample.

### 507               **a.       Determining the Intake Based on Air Sampling**

508               Intake (I) based on air-sampling results can be assessed by multiplying the airborne  
509 concentration (C) by the breathing rate and the exposure time:

510                $I = C_{\text{Air sample}} (\mu\text{Ci/ml}) * \text{breathing rate (ml/minutes)} * \text{exposure time (minutes)}$ , where the  
511 breathing rate of a “Reference Man” under light working conditions is 2E+4 ml/minute  
512 (20 liters/minute).

513               The intake of radionuclides can also be estimated by “DAC-hour” tracking in which the  
514 ambient airborne concentration (expressed as a fraction of the DAC) is multiplied by exposure time  
515 (expressed in hours).

516               If the intake assessment is based on measurements from a lapel air sampler, the intake may  
517 be assessed by multiplying the activity on the lapel air sampler by the breathing rate divided by the  
518 lapel air sampler’s flow rate as follows:

519                $I = A_{\text{Air sample}} (\mu\text{Ci}) * \text{breathing rate/air sampler flow rate (ml/min)}$ , where the breathing rate  
520 of a “Reference Man” under light working conditions is 2E+4 ml/minute  
521 (20 liters/minute).

522           **b.       Determining the Intake Based on Bioassay Measurements**

523           The intake can be determined based on initial bioassay measurements of uptakes and on  
524 follow-up bioassay measurements to determine the retention/elimination rates (which can also  
525 assist in the evaluation of the mode of intake (inhalation or ingestion)). Time and motion  
526 conditions may support assessments of intake as well. Guidance on methods of estimating intake  
527 based on bioassay measurements of uptake is provided in NUREG/CR-4884, “Interpretation of  
528 Bioassay Measurements” (Ref. 24).

529           Any intake from wounds is generally assessed based on bioassay measurements using a  
530 combination of whole body *in vivo* bioassay and handheld instrumentation. The bioassay  
531 measurements should determine the location and depth of the injected source so that CDE dose  
532 calculations may be made to the most highly exposed 10 cm<sup>2</sup> area of the skin at a depth of 0.007 cm  
533 (see Section 6.d below).

534           Note: The amount of the “intake” may be assessed using newer, updated biokinetic models  
535 (e.g., those described in ICRP Publication 60, “1990 Recommendations of the International  
536 Commission on Radiological Protection,” and ICRP Publication 103, “The  
537 2007 Recommendations of the International Commission on Radiological Protection”). However,  
538 the CEDE must be calculated using the existing 10 CFR 20.1003 organ weighting factors (unless  
539 the use of other weighting factors has been specifically approved by the NRC).

540           **c.       Determining Intakes of Alpha Emitters**

541           Alpha intakes may be assessed based on gross surface area and/or airborne surveys of the  
542 alpha-emitting isotopes present in the work area at the time of exposure. Scaling factors based on  
543 beta/gamma activity may be determined and used to assess the identity and relative concentration  
544 of alpha isotopes.

545           Internal doses may also be assessed based on whole-body count data and scaling factors  
546 when nominal (e.g., less than 500 mrem CEDE) alpha doses occur. However, when an alpha intake  
547 resulting in alpha doses exceeding a nominal quantity is considered likely, excreta sampling or lung  
548 counting may be needed to assess intakes and assign dose. When excreta sampling is to be  
549 initiated, sampling should begin as soon as possible following detection of the exposure and should  
550 continue for a 24-hour period or until at least one sample is collected (following the first void for  
551 urine). ANSI N13.39-2001 (R2011), “Design of Internal Dosimetry Programs” (Ref. 25), provides  
552 additional guidance on excreta sampling.

553       **6.       Determination of Internal Doses**

554           **a.       Calculation of the Committed Effective Dose Equivalent (CEDE)**

555           The dose quantity for protection against stochastic effects of internal dose is the CEDE;  
556 i.e., a 50-year committed effective dose equivalent from intakes occurring during the monitoring  
557 period. There are three fundamental methods described below for calculating the CEDE:

558 • Using dose coefficients<sup>4</sup> from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency’s Federal  
559 Guidance Report No. 11 (FGR-11) (Ref. 26).

560 • Using ALI methods.

561 • Using DAC-hour methods.

562 For details about and examples of calculating the CEDE, see Appendix A.

563 Note: When performing CEDE calculations using the ALI and DAC-hour methods, the  
564 ALI and DAC values provided in Appendix B, “Annual Limits on Intake (ALIs) and Derived Air  
565 Concentrations (DACs) of Radionuclides for Occupational Exposure; Effluent Concentrations;  
566 Concentrations for Release to Sewerage,” to 10 CFR Part 20 must be used unless the licensee has  
567 obtained prior NRC approval in accordance with 10 CFR 20.1204(c)(2) to adjust the ALI or DAC  
568 values.

569 **b. Calculation of the Committed Dose Equivalent (CDE)**

570 The CDE is the 50-year committed dose equivalent from the intake of radioactive material.  
571 For methods and examples of calculating the CDE, see Appendix A. The special case of  
572 calculating the CDE from wound intakes is discussed in Section 6.d below.

573 **c. Calculation of the Total Organ Dose Equivalent (TODE)**

574 The dose limit for protection against nonstochastic effects is expressed in terms of the  
575 TODE; i.e., the sum of the DDE and the CDE.

576 
$$\text{TODE} = \text{DDE} + \text{CDE}$$

577 The TODE is determined by adding the DDE (measured at the most highly exposed part of  
578 the whole body) to the CDE.

579 If only internal monitoring is being performed, the TODE is equal to the CDE to the most  
580 highly exposed organ (given that the DDE was not monitored and is assumed to be equal to zero).  
581 Further details on acceptable methods of calculating the CDE are described in Appendix A.

582 If both internal and external monitoring are being performed, the licensee must  
583 demonstrate that both the 5-rem TEDE and the 50-rem TODE limits are met. One method of  
584 demonstrating compliance with the TODE limit is by summing the DDE and the CDE to the most  
585 highly exposed organ. Another acceptable method of demonstrating that the TODE limit is met is  
586 by keeping the maximum DDE below 5 rem and the CEDE below 1 rem<sup>5</sup>; if this is done, the TODE  
587 cannot exceed its 50-rem limit. In this case, the CDE does not need to be determined because

---

<sup>4</sup> Note: Federal Guidance Report No. 11 (FGR-11) uses the terminology “dose conversion factors.” However, more recent ICRP documents use the terminology “dose coefficients.” This regulatory guide is adopting the newer terminology “dose coefficients” (this change in terminology is acceptable because the terminology is not incorporated in the regulations).

<sup>5</sup> The value of 1 rem is based on the most limiting tissue-weighting factor (i.e., the weighting factor for the thyroid tissue is 0.03; therefore, 1 rem divided by thyroid weighting factor of 0.03 results in a CDE of 33.3 rem. A CDE value of 33.3 rem, when added to an assumed 5-rem DDE value, is less than the CDE limit of 50 rem.



588 compliance was demonstrated by calculation. If the CEDE does exceed 1 rem, the CDE must be  
589 determined in order to demonstrate compliance with the dose limits.

590 **d. Doses from Intakes through Wounds**

591 In accordance with 10 CFR 20.1202(d), the licensee shall evaluate and, to the extent  
592 practical, account for intakes through wounds.

593 Regulations in 10 CFR 20.1201 also specify two annual dose limits:

- 594 • TODE limits (10 CFR 20.1201(a)(1)(ii))—the sum of the DDE and the CDE to any  
595 individual organ or tissue other than the lens of the eye being equal to 50 rem  
596 (0.5 Sv)—and
- 597 • SDE limits (10 CFR 20.1201(a)(2)(ii))—the SDE to the skin of the whole body or skin of  
598 any extremity being equal to 50 rem (0.5 Sv).

599 However, because the SDE is defined only for external exposure, the SDE quantity and its  
600 dose limit are not applicable to dose from wound intakes. Therefore, the TODE dose limit becomes  
601 the only applicable limit; i.e., a CDE limit of 50 rem to any individual organ, including the skin.  
602 Note that in most skin-exposure situations, the skin dose is from external exposure (and therefore  
603 the dose to the skin is normally equal to the SDE). However, when the dose to the skin is from a  
604 wound, the CDE dose limit applies (not the SDE).

605 In making the TODE dose calculation (to the skin organ) under 20.1201(a)(1)(ii), the DDE  
606 component is zero (because DDE is specifically defined as an external whole-body exposure). As a  
607 result, the CDE is determined for the basal layer of the skin at a depth of 0.007 cm below skin  
608 surface for the most highly exposed, contiguous 10-cm<sup>2</sup> area.

609 In summary, the CDE to the skin is the appropriate quantity to be calculated as the  
610 integrated dose from the time of injection to the time the source is removed or by the 50-year  
611 integration period for committed dose. The CDE is to be determined at a depth of  
612 0.007 centimeters below the surface of the skin, averaged over the most highly exposed 10 cm<sup>2</sup> of  
613 the basal layer of the skin. In order to do this calculation, the location (depth) of the source and  
614 distance to the basal layer must be determined as an input parameter. The VARSKIN computer  
615 code may be used in performing the CDE skin-dose calculations.

616 Bioassay measurements should be performed to determine whether there is a systemic  
617 uptake from the injected radioactive material. For wound intakes with systemic uptakes, an  
618 evaluation must be performed of the CEDE and TEDE. Additional information on assessing  
619 intakes through wounds is available in ICRP-54, ICRP-78, NCRP-87 (Ref. 27), and technical  
620 articles by Toohey (Ref. 28) and Ishigure (Ref. 29).

621 Note: With respect to tissue dose, there is no regulatory limit for small-volume localized  
622 tissue dose. However, licensees should estimate the committed dose to small volumes of  
623 underlying tissues (e.g., 1 cm<sup>3</sup>) at the wound site for purposes of determining the potential for  
624 tissue impairment and whether medical intervention is warranted (e.g., surgical removal). The  
625 guidance in National Council on Radiation Protection & Measurements (NCRP) Report No. 156,  
626 “Development of a Biokinetic Model for Radionuclide-Contaminated Wounds and Procedures for  
627 Their Assessment, Dosimetry, and Treatment” (Ref. 30), is acceptable for this evaluation.

628 **e. Calculating the CDE and CEDE for Inhalation, Submersion and Absorption**

629 A number of methods are acceptable for calculating the CDE and CEDE from the intake of  
630 radioactive materials. Some of these methods are described below. However, calculations of the  
631 CEDE must be based on organ weighting factors and tissues specified in 10 CFR Part 20. The dose  
632 coefficients based on ICRP Publication 60 cannot be used unless specifically approved by the  
633 NRC, because ICRP 60 and ICRP 103 tissues and weighting factors are different from those in  
634 10 CFR Part 20.

## 635 **7. Use of Individual or Material-Specific Information**

636 The regulation in 10 CFR 20.1204(c) states that “when specific information on the  
637 physical and biochemical properties of the radionuclides taken into the body or the behavior of the  
638 material in an individual is known, the licensee may [...] use that information to calculate the  
639 committed effective dose equivalent [...]” Prior NRC approval is not required, but detailed records  
640 must be kept to demonstrate the acceptability of the dose assessment.

641 The characteristics most amenable to such individual or site-specific consideration are the  
642 activity median aerodynamic diameter (AMAD) of the inhaled aerosol and the solubility (or  
643 insolubility) of the material in the lungs and in the gastrointestinal (GI) tract (particularly for alpha  
644 intakes). The use of specific information on the physical and biochemical properties to calculate  
645 the CEDE requires the licensee to do considerably more work and to have greater technical  
646 expertise than the other methods, so this method might not be useful for small infrequent intakes.  
647 Conversely, the use of specific information on the physical and biochemical properties of  
648 radionuclides taken into the body might be appropriate in the cases of accidental large exposures if  
649 more accurate information would lead to a better estimate of the actual dose.

## 650 **8. Limitation on Uranium Intake**

651 In accordance with 10 CFR 20.1201(e), in addition to the annual dose limits, the licensee  
652 shall limit the soluble uranium intake by an individual to 10 mg in a week, in consideration of its  
653 chemical toxicity. RG 8.11, “Applications of Bioassay for Uranium,” describes methods  
654 acceptable for the design of bioassay programs for protection against intake of uranium, conditions  
655 under which bioassay is necessary, minimum quantifiable values for direct and indirect bioassay  
656 measurements, protection guidelines, and objectives.

## 657 **9. Recording Of Individual Monitoring Results**

658 The requirements for recording individual monitoring results are contained in 10 CFR 20.2106,  
659 which requires that the recording be done on NRC Form 5, or in clear and legible records  
660 containing all the information required by NRC Form 5. Regulatory Guide 8.7 provides further  
661 guidance for recording and reporting occupational radiation dose data.

662 Licensees should avoid entering doses on NRC Form 5 with more significant figures than justified  
663 by the precision of the basic measured values. In general, it is appropriate to enter dose values with  
664 two significant figures on NRC Form 5 using the standard rules for round-off. Thus, a  
665 computer-generated calculated dose of "1.726931 rems" should be entered on NRC Form 5 as "1.7  
666 rems." However, licensees should generally carry at least three significant figures in calculations to  
667 avoid loss of accuracy due to multiple round-offs.

668  
669  
670 In addition, licensees should not enter doses smaller than 0.001 rem on NRC Form 5 because  
671 smaller values are insignificant relative to the dose limits. Therefore, a calculated committed

672 effective dose equivalent of "0.006192 rem" should be entered as "0.006 rem," and a value of  
673 "0.000291 rem" should be entered as "0 rem."

674 **D. IMPLEMENTATION**

675

676 The purpose of this section is to provide information to applicants and licensees regarding  
677 the NRC's plans for using this RG.

678 Methods or solutions that differ from those described in this regulatory guide may be  
679 deemed acceptable if they provide sufficient basis and information for the NRC staff to verify that  
680 the proposed alternative complies with the appropriate NRC regulations. Current licensees may  
681 continue to use guidance the NRC found acceptable for complying with the identified regulations  
682 as long as their current licensing basis remains unchanged.

683

## REFERENCES<sup>6</sup>

1. *U.S. Code of Federal Regulations* (CFR), “Standards for Protection against Radiation,” Part 20, Chapter I, Title 10, “Energy.”
2. 10 CFR 50, “Domestic Licensing of Production and Utilization Facilities,” Part 50, Chapter I, Title 10, “Energy.”
3. 10 CFR 19, “Notices, Instructions, and Reports to Workers: Inspection and Investigations,” Part 19, Chapter I, Title 10, “Energy.”
4. U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC), “Instructions for Recording and Reporting Occupational Radiation Exposure Data,” RG 8.7, Revision 2, November 2005, Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) Accession No. ML052970092.
5. NRC, “Acceptable Concepts, Models, Equations, and Assumptions for a Bioassay Program,” RG 8.9, Revision 1, July 1993, ADAMS Accession No. ML003739554.
6. NRC, “Applications of Bioassay for Uranium,” RG 8.11, June 1974, ADAMS Accession No. ML003739450.
7. NRC, “Air Sampling in the Workplace,” RG 8.25, Revision 1, June 1992, ADAMS Accession No. ML003736916.
8. NRC, “Instruction Concerning Risks from Occupational Radiation Exposure,” RG 8.29, Revision 1, February 1996, ADAMS Accession No. ML003739438.
9. NRC, “Planned Special Exposures,” RG 8.35, Revision 1, August 2010, ADAMS Accession No. ML101370008.
10. NRC, “Radiation Dose to the Embryo/Fetus,” RG 8.36, July 1992, ADAMS Accession No. ML003739548.
11. NRC, “Methods for Measuring Effective Dose Equivalent from External Exposure,” RG 8.40, July 2010, ADAMS Accession No. ML100610534.
12. NRC, “Occupational Dose Records, Labeling Containers, and the Total Effective Dose Equivalent,” *Federal Register*, Vol. 72, No. 232, December 4, 2007, pp. 68043-68059 (72 FR 68043).<sup>7</sup>

---

<sup>6</sup> Publicly available NRC published documents are available electronically through the NRC Library on the NRC’s public Web site at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/> and through the NRC’s Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) at <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. The documents can also be viewed online or printed for a fee in the NRC’s Public Document Room (PDR) at 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, MD. For problems with ADAMS, contact the PDR staff at 301-415-4737 or (800) 397-4209; fax (301) 415-3548; or e-mail [pdr\\_resource@nrc.gov](mailto:pdr_resource@nrc.gov).

<sup>7</sup> Printed copies of *Federal Register* notices are available for a fee from the U.S. Government Printing Office, 732 N. Capitol Street NW, Washington, DC 20401, telephone (866) 521-1800, or they may be downloaded for free from the Government Printing Office Web site, <http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/>.

- 713 13. NRC, "Revision of the Skin Dose Limit," *Federal Register*, Vol. 67, No. 66, April 5, 2002,  
714 pp. 16298-16301 (67 FR 16298).
- 715 14. NRC, "Revision of the Skin Dose Limit in 10 CFR Part 20," Regulatory Issue  
716 Summary 2002-2010, July 9 2002, ADAMS Accession No. ML021860332.
- 717 15. International Commission on Radiological Protection (ICRP), "Recommendations of the  
718 International Commission on Radiological Protection," ICRP Publication 26, Oxford, UK:  
719 Pergamon Press, 1977.
- 720 16. ICRP, "Limits for Intakes of Radionuclides by Workers," ICRP Publication 30 (7-volume  
721 set including supplements), Oxford, UK: Pergamon Press, 1982.
- 722 17. ICRP, "Individual Monitoring for Intakes of Radionuclides by Workers," ICRP  
723 Publication 54, Oxford, UK: Pergamon Press, 1989, specifically Sections 4.2 and 4.3.
- 724 18. ICRP, "1990 Recommendations of the International Commission on Radiological  
725 Protection," ICRP Publication 60, Oxford, UK: Pergamon Press, 1990.
- 726 19. ICRP, "Dose Coefficients for Intakes of Radionuclides for Workers," ICRP  
727 Publication 68, Oxford, UK: Pergamon Press, 1994.
- 728 20. ICRP, "Individual Monitoring for Internal Exposure of Workers," ICRP Publication 78,  
729 Oxford, UK: Pergamon Press, 1997, specifically Section 4.2.
- 730 21. ICRP, "The 2007 Recommendations of the International Commission on Radiological  
731 Protection," ICRP Publication 103, Oxford, UK: Pergamon Press, 2007.
- 732 22. NRC, "Radiation Protection Requirements: Amended Definitions and Criteria," *Federal*  
733 *Register*, Vol. 60, No. 134, July 13, 1995, pp. 36038-36043 (60 FR 36038).
- 734 23. NRC, "VARSKIN 5: A Computer Code for Skin Contamination Dosimetry,"  
735 NUREG/CR-6918, Rev. 2, July 2014, Accession No. ML14204A361.
- 736 24. NRC, "Interpretation of Bioassay Measurements," NUREG/CR-4884, June 1990,  
737 ADAMS Accession No. ML11285A018.
- 738 25. American National Standards Institute (ANSI), "Design of Internal Dosimetry Programs,"  
739 ANSI N13.39-2001 (R2011), Washington, DC, 2011.
- 740 26. Eckerman, K.F., A.B. Wolbarst, and A.C.B. Richardson, "Limiting Values of  
741 Radionuclide Intake and Air Concentration and Dose Conversion Factors for Inhalation,  
742 Submersion, and Ingestion," Federal Guidance Report No. 11 (EPA 520/1-8-020),  
743 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, DC, 1988.
- 744 27. National Council on Radiation Protection & Measurements (NCRP), "Use of Bioassay  
745 Procedures for Assessment of Internal Radionuclide Deposition," NCRP Report No. 87,  
746 Bethesda, MD, March 1987, specifically subsections 5.3.1, 5.3.2, and 5.4.6.
- 747 28. Toohey, R.E., et al., "Dose Coefficients for Intakes of Radionuclides via Contaminated  
748 Wounds," *Health Physics* 100(5):508-14, May 2011; a much larger and revised version  
749 (Ver. 2, August 2014) is available from the Oak Ridge Institute for Science and Education

750 at <http://orise.orau.gov/reacts/resources/retention-intake-publication.aspx> (accessed  
751 October 10, 2014).

752 29. Ishigure, N., “Implementation of the NCRP Wound Model for Interpretation of Bioassay  
753 Data for Intake of Radionuclides Through Contaminated Wounds,” *Journal of Radiation*  
754 *Research* 50(3):267-76, May 2009.

755 30. NCRP, “Development of a Biokinetic Model for Radionuclide-Contaminated Wounds and  
756 Procedures for Their Assessment, Dosimetry, and Treatment,” NCRP Report No. 156,  
757 Bethesda, MD, 2007.

758 31. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, ORNL/TM-13188, “Recommended ALIs and DACs for  
759 10 CFR 20: A Consistent Numerical Set” (1996), ADAMS Accession No.  
760 ML14322A420.

761

762

## Appendix A Methods of Calculating Internal Dose

### 1. Calculations of the CDE and the CEDE Based on Bioassay Measurements Using Federal Guidance Report No. 11 (FGR-11)

This method is based on using tabulated dose coefficients to calculate the dose. FGR-11 provides tables of dose coefficients (DCs) (FGR-11 uses the terminology “dose conversion factors”) for intakes by inhalation and by ingestion (see excerpt below for inhalation of cobalt-60 (Co-60)). FGR-11 provides two types of DCs:

- (1) DCs for the CDE to an organ or tissue per unit of activity ( $DC_{\text{organ}}$ ) (e.g., the heading “Lung” below) and
- (2) DCs for the CEDE per unit of activity ( $DC_{\text{effective}}$ ) (as shown in the far right column of the tables under the heading “Effective”).

Excerpt from Federal Guidance Report No. 11:

Committed Dose Equivalent per Unit Intake (Sv/Bq)									
Nuclide	Class/ $f_1$	Gonad	Breast	Lung	R Marrow	B Surface	Thyroid	Remainder	Effective
Co-60	W $5 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$4.05 \cdot 10^{-9}$	$4.16 \cdot 10^{-9}$	$3.57 \cdot 10^{-8}$	$4.25 \cdot 10^{-9}$	$3.54 \cdot 10^{-9}$	$3.72 \cdot 10^{-9}$	$7.65 \cdot 10^{-9}$	$8.94 \cdot 10^{-9}$
	Y $5 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$4.76 \cdot 10^{-9}$	$1.84 \cdot 10^{-8}$	$3.45 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$1.72 \cdot 10^{-8}$	$1.35 \cdot 10^{-8}$	$1.62 \cdot 10^{-8}$	$3.60 \cdot 10^{-8}$	$5.91 \cdot 10^{-8}$

If site-specific information is known about the type of compound and its clearance class, the appropriate clearance class can be selected. If not, the class is normally selected based on the most conservative class; in Example 1, the DC for the lung is selected from clearance Class Y, which has a value of  $3.45 \cdot 10^{-7}$ . Multiplying the DCs by the intake (I) for that radionuclide yields the CDE and CEDE for that radionuclide.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{CDE (rem)} &= DC_{\text{organ}} (\text{rem}/\mu\text{Ci} [\text{rem per millicurie}]) * I (\mu\text{Ci}) \\ \text{CEDE (rem)} &= DC_{\text{effective}} (\text{rem}/\mu\text{Ci}) * I (\mu\text{Ci}) \end{aligned}$$

**Example 1:** Calculations of the CDE and the CEDE for Co-60, based on bioassay measurements using the DCs from FGR-11. Note: The DCs in FGR-11 are tabulated in Sieverts per Becquerel (Sv/Bq) and may be converted to millirem per microcurie (mrem/ $\mu\text{Ci}$ ) by multiplying by  $3.7 \cdot 10^9$ .

794

795 An intake by inhalation was estimated by a whole body count to be 360 nanocuries (nCi)  
 796 (0.36  $\mu\text{Ci}$ ) of Co-60 as a Class Y aerosol. Calculate the CDE to the lung and the CEDE.

797 From Table 2.1 of FGR-11 (see excerpt below), the DCs for the Class Y Co-60  
 798 radionuclide are  $3.45\text{E-}7$  Sv/Bq for the CDE and  $5.91\text{E-}8$  Sv/Bq for the CEDE.

799

Table 2.1, Inhalation, Cont'd									
Committed Dose Equivalent per Unit Intake (Sv/Bq)									
Nuclide	Class/ $f_1$	Gonad	Breast	Lung	R Marrow	B Surface	Thyroid	Remainder	Effective
Co-60	W $5 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$4.05 \cdot 10^{-9}$	$4.16 \cdot 10^{-9}$	$3.57 \cdot 10^{-8}$	$4.25 \cdot 10^{-9}$	$3.54 \cdot 10^{-9}$	$3.72 \cdot 10^{-9}$	$7.65 \cdot 10^{-9}$	$8.94 \cdot 10^{-9}$
	Y $5 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$4.76 \cdot 10^{-9}$	$1.84 \cdot 10^{-8}$	$3.45 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$1.72 \cdot 10^{-8}$	$1.35 \cdot 10^{-8}$	$1.62 \cdot 10^{-8}$	$3.60 \cdot 10^{-8}$	$5.91 \cdot 10^{-8}$

800

801  $\text{DC}_{\text{lung}} = (3.45\text{E-}7 \text{ Sv/Bq}) * (3.7\text{E+}9) = 1277 \text{ mrem}/\mu\text{Ci}$   
 802  $\text{DC}_{\text{effective}} = (5.91\text{E-}8 \text{ Sv/Bq}) * (3.7\text{E+}9) = 219 \text{ mrem}/\mu\text{Ci}$

803

804 The doses are calculated by multiplying these DCs by the intake of  $0.36 \mu\text{Ci}$ :

805

806  $\text{CDE}_{\text{lung}} = (1277 \text{ mrem}/\mu\text{Ci}) * (0.36 \mu\text{Ci}) = 460 \text{ mrem}$   
 807  $\text{CEDE} = (219 \text{ mrem}/\mu\text{Ci}) * (0.36 \mu\text{Ci}) = 79 \text{ mrem}$

808

## 809 2. Calculation of the CEDE based on Bioassay Measurements using Stochastic 810 ALIs

811 The ALI values are listed in Table 1 of 10 CFR 20, Appendix B, "Annual Limits on Intake  
 812 (ALIs) and Derived Air Concentrations (DACs) of Radionuclides for Occupational Exposure;  
 813 Effluent Concentrations for Release to Sewerage." Column 1 lists the values for oral ingestion and  
 814 Column 2 lists the values for inhalation. The stochastic ALI values can be used in the calculation  
 815 of the CEDE, which is based on the fraction of the allowable annual intake and the 5-rem  
 816 (50-millisievert (mSv)) CEDE dose limit. When the ALI is defined by the stochastic limit, this  
 817 value alone is given in the table.

818

Atomic No.	Radionuclide	Class	Table 1 Occupational Values			Table 2 Effluent Concentrations		Table 3 Releases to Sewers
			Col. 1	Col. 2	Col. 3	Col. 1	Col. 2	Monthly Average Concentration (µCi/ml)
			Oral Ingestion ALI (µCi)	Inhalation		Air (µCi/ml)	Water (µCi/ml)	
				ALI (µCi)	DAC (µCi/ml)			
27	Cobalt-60	W, see <sup>55</sup> Co	5E+2	2E+2	7E-8	2E-10	3E-6	3E-5
		Y, see <sup>55</sup> Co	2E+2	3E+1	1E-8	5E-11	-	-

819

820



821 Because the stochastic ALI corresponds to a 5-rem (50-mSv) CEDE dose limit, the CEDE  
822 may be calculated based on the ratio of the intake to the stochastic ALI multiplied by 5 rem  
823 (50 mSv):

824

825 
$$\text{CEDE} = (I/\text{ALI}) * 5 \text{ rem}$$

826

827 **Example 2:** Calculate the CEDE based on bioassay measurements using the stochastic ALI.

828 The intake by inhalation for a worker was estimated by bioassay to be 360 nCi (0.36  $\mu\text{Ci}$ )  
829 of Co-60 as a Class Y aerosol. Calculate the CEDE.

830

831 From Appendix B above, Table 1, Column 2, the ALI for Class Y Co-60 is:

832

833 
$$\text{ALI (stochastic)} = 30 \mu\text{Ci}$$

834 
$$\text{CEDE} = (I/\text{ALI}) * 5 \text{ rem}$$

835 
$$\text{CEDE} = (0.36 \mu\text{Ci}/30 \mu\text{Ci}) * 5 \text{ rem} = 0.06 \text{ rem} = 60 \text{ mrem}$$

836

837 Note: Doses calculated based on FGR-11 methods are generally more precise than doses  
838 calculated based on ALI values, because ALI values are given to only one significant figure.  
839 Additionally, the precision of the ALI values is limited by the calculational technique used in  
840 ICRP-30 (Section 4.7) whereby target organs that are not significantly irradiated were excluded  
841 (<10% rule), as well as dose from source organs contributing less than 1% were also excluded. For  
842 further information, see Oak Ridge National Laboratory, ORNL/TM-13188, "Recommended ALIs  
843 and DACs for 10 CFR 20: A Consistent Numerical Set" (Ref. 31).

844

845 For Co-60, a 60-mrem value based on an ALI calculation compares to a calculated CEDE  
846 value of 79 mrem using the FGR-11 method as determined in Example 1 above. For other  
847 radionuclides such as Co-58, the differences might be larger. However, either calculational method  
848 and/or result is acceptable in demonstrating compliance with regulatory limits.

849

### 850 3. Calculation of the CDE Based on Bioassay Measurements Using 851 Nonstochastic ALI

852 The 10 CFR 20 Appendix B, Table 1, Column 2, nonstochastic ALI values can be used in  
853 the calculation of the CDE, based on the fraction of the allowable annual intake and the 50-rem  
854 (500-mSv) CDE dose limit. When the ALI is defined by the nonstochastic limit, this value is listed  
855 first in the table with its corresponding organ (see excerpt below), and the corresponding stochastic  
856 ALI are given in parentheses (e.g.,  $9\text{E}+1 \mu\text{Ci}$  (90  $\mu\text{Ci}$ ) for ingestion and  $2\text{E}+2 \mu\text{Ci}$  (200  $\mu\text{Ci}$ ) for  
857 inhalation in the excerpt below).

858

Iodine-131								
Atomic No.	Radionuclide	Class	Table 1 Occupational Values			Table 2 Effluent Concentrations		Table 3 Releases to Sewers
			Col. 1	Col. 2	Col. 3	Col. 1	Col. 2	
			Oral Ingestion ALI (μCi)	Inhalation		Air (μCi/ml)	Water (μCi/ml)	Monthly Average Concentration (μCi/ml)
				ALI (μCi)	DAC (μCi/ml)			
53	Iodine-131	D, all compounds	3E+1 Thyroid	5E+1 Thyroid	2E-8	-	-	-
			(9E+1)	(2E+2)	-	2E-10	1E-6	1E-5

859

860

861 Because the nonstochastic ALI corresponds to a 50-rem (500-mSv) CDE dose limit, the  
862 CDE may be calculated based on the ratio of the intake to the nonstochastic ALI multiplied by  
863 50 rem (500 mSv):

864 
$$\text{CDE} = (\text{I}/\text{ALI}) * 50 \text{ rem}$$

865

866 Note: For a mixture of radionuclides, the “sum of the fractions” technique as described in  
867 10 CFR 20.1202(b) must be used.

868 **Example 3:** Calculate the CDE based on bioassay measurements using the nonstochastic ALIs.

869

870 The intake by inhalation for a worker was estimated by bioassay to be 131 nCi (0.131 μCi)  
871 of iodine-131 (I-131) as a Class D aerosol. Calculate the CDE to the thyroid.

872

873 From Appendix B above, Table 1, Column 2, the ALI for Class D I-131 is:

874

875 
$$\text{ALI (nonstochastic)} = 5\text{E}+1 \text{ } \mu\text{Ci} = 50 \text{ } \mu\text{Ci}$$

876 
$$\text{CDE} = (0.131 \text{ } \mu\text{Ci}/50 \text{ } \mu\text{Ci}) * 50 \text{ rem} = 0.131 \text{ rem} = 131 \text{ mrem}$$

877

878 4. **Calculation of the CDE Based on Air Sampling and Nonstochastic**  
879 **DAC-Hours (DAC-hr)**

880 For nonstochastic radionuclides, an exposure to an airborne concentration of 1 DAC for  
881 2000 hours results in a 50-rem CDE, or 50,000 mrem/2000 hours, or a 25-mrem CDE per DAC-hr.

882 
$$\text{CDE} = (25 \text{ mrem per DAC-hr}) * \text{number of DAC-hr}$$

883 where the number of DAC-hr = (air concentration / DAC value) \* exposure time.

884 **Example 4:** Calculate the CDE based on air sampling and nonstochastic DAC-hr.

885

886 Calculate the CDE to the thyroid for a 30-minute exposure based on an air-sample result of  
887 2.1E-7 μCi/ml from I-131.

The nonstochastic DAC for I-131 is listed in Appendix B (see the excerpt below) as 2E-8 µCi/ml.

Iodine-131								
Atomic No.	Radionuclide	Class	Table 1 Occupational Values			Table 2 Effluent Concentrations		Table 3 Releases to Sewers
			Col. 1	Col. 2	Col. 3	Col. 1	Col. 2	Monthly Average Concentration (µCi/ml)
			Oral Ingestion ALI (µCi)	Inhalation		Air (µCi/ml)	Water (µCi/ml)	
				ALI (µCi)	DAC (µCi/ml)			
53	Iodine-131	D, all compounds	3E+1 Thyroid	5E+1 Thyroid	2E-8	-	-	-
			(9E+1)	(2E+2)	-	2E-10	1E-6	1E-5

CDE = 25 mrem/DAC-hr \* (2.1E-7 µCi/ml / 2E-8 µCi/ml) number of DACs \* (0.5 hr) = 131 mrem

#### 5. Calculations of the CEDE Based on Air Sampling and Stochastic DAC-hr

For stochastic radionuclides (e.g., Co-60), an exposure to an airborne concentration of 1 DAC results in a 5000-mrem CEDE in 2000 hours of exposure time (5000 mrem/2000 hours) or a 2.5-mrem CEDE per stochastic DAC-hr.

$$\text{CEDE} = 2.5 \text{ mrem/DAC-hr} * \text{number of DAC-hr}$$

where the number of DAC-hr = (air concentration / DAC value) \* exposure time.

**Example 5:** Calculate the CEDE based on air sampling and stochastic DAC-hr.

Calculate the CEDE for a 30-minute exposure based on an air sample result of 2.1E-7 µCi/ml from Co-60.

From Appendix B below, the stochastic DAC for Co-60 in a clearance Class Y compound is 1E-8 µCi/ml.

Atomic No.	Radionuclide	Class	Table 1 Occupational Values			Table 2 Effluent Concentrations		Table 3 Releases to Sewers
			Col. 1	Col. 2	Col. 3	Col. 1	Col. 2	Monthly Average Concentration (µCi/ml)
			Oral Ingestion ALI (µCi)	Inhalation		Air (µCi/ml)	Water (µCi/ml)	
				ALI (µCi)	DAC (µCi/ml)			
27	Cobalt-60	W, see 55Co	5E+2	2E+2	7E-8	2E-10	3E-6	3E-5
		Y, see 55Co	2E+2	3E+1	1E-8	5E-11	-	-

910  $CEDE = (2.5 \text{ mrem/DAC-hr}) * [(2.1E-7 \text{ } \mu\text{Ci/ml}) / (1E-8 \text{ } \mu\text{Ci/ml})] \text{ number of DACs} *$   
 911  $(0.5 \text{ hr}) = 26 \text{ mrem}$

912 **6. Calculation of the CEDE Based on Air Sampling and Calculated Stochastic**  
 913 **DAC-hr**

914  $CEDE = 2.5 \text{ mrem/DAC-hr} * \text{number of DAC-hr}$

915  $\text{Number of DAC-hr} = \text{air concentration} / \text{calculated DAC value} * \text{exposure time}$

916 Note: Appendix B to 10 CFR Part 20 does not list the stochastic DAC values (as shown in  
 917 the empty circled cell below) for radionuclides with intakes that have nonstochastic limits.  
 918 However, the stochastic DAC values may be calculated based on the stochastic ALI values. These  
 919 stochastic ALI values are listed (in parentheses) below the limiting nonstochastic organ (see circled  
 920 value of  $2E+2 \text{ } \mu\text{Ci}$  in the table below).

Atomic No.	Radionuclide	Class	Table 1 Occupational Values			Table 2 Effluent Concentrations		Table 3 Releases to Sewers
			Col. 1	Col. 2	Col. 3	Col. 1	Col. 2	Monthly Average Concentration (µCi/ml)
			Oral Ingestion ALI (µCi)	Inhalation		Air (µCi/ml)	Water (µCi/ml)	
				ALI (µCi)	DAC (µCi/ml)			
53	Iodine-131	D, all compounds	3E+1 Thyroid	5E+1 Thyroid	2E-8	-	-	-
			(9E+1)	(2E+2)	-	2E-10	1E-6	1E-5

921  
 922 **Example 6:** Calculate the CEDE based on air sampling and calculated stochastic DAC-hr.

923 Calculate the CEDE for a 30-minute exposure based on an air-sample result of  
 924  $2.1E-7 \text{ } \mu\text{Ci/ml}$  from I-131.

925 The stochastic DAC value is first calculated by dividing the stochastic ALI by the breathing  
 926 rate of  $2.4E+9 \text{ ml/yr}$ .

927 The calculated stochastic DAC for I-131 =  $(2E+2 \text{ } \mu\text{Ci}) / (2.4E+9 \text{ ml/yr}) = 8E-8 \text{ } \mu\text{Ci/ml}$   
 928 or  $\mu\text{Ci/cc}$  (because  $1 \text{ ml} = 1 \text{ cc}$ ).

929  $CEDE = (2.5 \text{ mrem/hr/DAC-hr}) * [(2.1E-7 \text{ } \mu\text{Ci/ml}) / (8E-8 \text{ } \mu\text{Ci/ml})] \text{ DACs} * (0.5 \text{ hr})$   
 930  $= 3.3 \text{ mrem}$