

APPENDIX C

Results for Kleinfelder Specimen ID K2-13-002

- *Specimen Preparation Notes*
- *RCTS Testing Results*



SPECIMEN PREPARATION NOTES

Specimen No.: K2-13-002

Project No.: 136473

Page 1 **of** 4

Boring No.: B-714

Date of Preparation.: 10/12/13

Sample No.: 714-CS-01

Depth.: 29.4 - 29.9 feet

Disposition of Rock Core Sample		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Apparent Disturbance	<input type="checkbox"/> Apparent Disturbance	<input type="checkbox"/> Apparent Slaking Due to Coring
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other (Describe) Sample consisted of a Limestone with Small to Large Sized Vugs		

Specimen Preparation Notes					
Trimming Method :	Rotary coring with water lubricant, 1.5-inch OD diameter core barrel		Affixation to Platens :	Epoxyed to 2.8-inch diameter steel top cap and base pedestal	
Ave. Length (in.) :	4.0265	Ave. Diameter (in.):	1.451	L/D	2.8
Total Unit Weight . (pcf) :	129.8	Moisture Content (%)	8.7	% Saturation (Assume SG = 2.70)	57.0

Specimen Testing Comments

1) Sample 714-CS-01 was predominately a medium strong rock with small to large sized vugs (see Photo C.1 to C.2). Due to the rock hardness, the sample could not be trimmed by hand and it was decided to core the nominally 2.5-inch diameter sample with a 1.5-inch outside diameter (OD), thin-walled diamond-impregnated core barrel.

2) Sample was trimmed to an approximate 6-inch length and grouted into an CMU block on 10/12/13. See Photos C.3 through C.4.

3) Sample was cored on 10/13/13. See Photo C.5. One approximately 1.45-inch diameter specimen resulted from the air rotary coring. The specimen was of sufficient length for RCTS Testing and the sample ends were trimmed to the final length of about 4.0-inches.

4) Specimen was epoxyed to the 2.8-inch diameter steel top cap and base pedestal on 12/10/13.

5) Testing commenced on 12/11/13 and was completed on 12/13/13. The full test sequence was completed, with confining pressures ranging from 3 psi to 40 psi.

See Attached Photographs




Photo C.1

Sample 714-CS-01 after removal from the protective transport container.




Photo C.2

Sample after removal from the wax casing and aluminum foil.



Photo C.3

Trimming the sample to an approximate 6-inch length as preparation for grouting in a CMU block. Note the modeling clay used to seal off natural vugs in sample to prevent grout infiltration.



Photo C.4

Grouting sample in a CMU block as preparation for down coring the sample. Note the specimen number written on the side of the CMU block to maintain sample control.



Photo C.5

Rotary coring of specimen using the 1.5-inch OD core barrel.



Photo C.6

Specimen after down coring to an approximate 1.45-inch diameter.

Specimen No: K2-13-002

Page 4 of 4



Photo C.7

Specimen after affixation to the steel top cap and base pedestal using epoxy. Note modeling clay placed in natural vugs to prevent membrane puncture during testing.

Kleinfelder Specimen ID:

K2-13-002

Boring No: B-714

Sample No: CS-01

Limestone (Key Largo Formation)

**Depth = 29.4 ft – 29.9 ft (below
existing ground surface)**

Total Unit Weight = 129.8 lb/ft³

Natural Moisture Content = 8.7%

**Estimated In-Situ Mean Effective
Stress = 10 psi**

RCTS TEST RESULTS




Figure C.1 Variation in Low-Amplitude Shear Modulus with Magnitude and Duration of Isotropic Confining Pressure from Resonant Column Tests of Specimen K2-13-002




Figure C.2 Variation in Low-Amplitude Material Damping Ratio with Magnitude and Duration of Isotropic Confining Pressure from Resonant Column Tests of Specimen K2-13-002

RCTS TEST RESULTS




Figure C.3 Variation in Estimated Void Ratio with Magnitude and Duration of Isotropic Confining Pressure from Resonant Column Test of Specimen K2-13-002

RCTS TEST RESULTS




Figure C.4 Variation in Estimated Total Unit Weight with Magnitude and Duration of Isotropic Confining Pressure from Resonant Column Tests of Specimen K2-13-002




Figure C.5 Variation in Low-Amplitude Shear Wave Velocity with Isotropic Confining Pressure from Resonant Column Tests of Specimen K2-13-002




Figure C.6 Variation in Low-Amplitude Shear Modulus with Isotropic Confining Pressure from Resonant Column Test of Specimen K2-13-002




Figure C.7 Variation in Low-Amplitude Material Damping Ratio with Isotropic Confining Pressure from Resonant Column Tests of Specimen K2-13-002




Figure C.8 Variation in Estimated Void Ratio with Isotropic Confining Pressure from Resonant Column Tests of Specimen K2-13-002

RCTS TEST RESULTS




Figure C.9 Variation in Estimated Total Unit Weight with Isotropic Confining Pressure from Resonant Column Tests of Specimen K2-13-002

RCTS TEST RESULTS




Figure C.10 Comparison of the Variation in Shear Modulus with Shearing Strain and Isotropic Confining Pressure from the Resonant Column Tests of Specimen K2-13-002




Figure C.11 Comparison of the Variation in Normalized Shear Modulus with Shearing Strain and Isotropic Confining Pressure from the Resonant Column Tests of Specimen K2-13-002




Figure C.12 Comparison of the Variation in Material Damping Ratio with Shearing Strain and Isotropic Confining Pressure from the Resonant Column Tests of Specimen K2-13-002




Figure C.13 Comparison of the Variation in Shear Modulus with Shearing Strain at an Isotropic Confining Pressure of 10 psi (=1.4ksf =69kPa) from the Combined RCTS Tests of Specimen K2-13-002




Figure C.14 Comparison of the Variation in Normalized Shear Modulus with Shearing Strain at an Isotropic Confining Pressure of 10 psi (=1.4ksf =69kPa) from the Combined RCTS Tests of Specimen K2-13-002




Figure C.15 Comparison of the Variation in Material Damping Ratio with Shearing Strain at an Isotropic Confining Pressure of 10 psi (=1.4ksf =69kPa) from the Combined RCTS Tests of Specimen K2-13-002

RCTS TEST RESULTS




Figure C.16 Comparison of the Variation in Shear Modulus with Loading Frequency at an Isotropic Confining Pressure of 10 psi (=1.4ksf =69kPa) from the Combined RCTS Tests of Specimen K2-13-002




Figure C.17 Comparison of the Variation in Material Damping Ratio with Loading Frequency at an Isotropic Confining Pressure of 10 psi (=1.4ksf=69kPa) from the Combined RCTS Tests of Specimen K2-13-002




Figure C.18 Comparison of the Variation in Shear Modulus with Shearing Strain at an Isotropic Confining Pressure of 40 psi (=5.8ksf =276kPa) from the Combined RCTS Tests of Specimen K2-13-002




Figure C.19 Comparison of the Variation in Normalized Shear Modulus with Shearing Strain at an Isotropic Confining Pressure of 40 psi (=5.8ksf =276kPa) from the Combined RCTS Tests of Specimen K2-13-002




Figure C.20 Comparison of the Variation in Material Damping Ratio with Shearing Strain at an Isotropic Confining Pressure of 40 psi (=5.8ksf =276kPa) from the Combined RCTS Tests of Specimen K2-13-002

RCTS TEST RESULTS




Figure C.21 Comparison of the Variation in Shear Modulus with Loading Frequency at an Isotropic Confining Pressure of 40 psi (=5.8ksf=276kPa) from the Combined RCTS Tests of Specimen K2-13-002




Figure C.22 Comparison of the Variation in Material Damping Ratio with Loading Frequency at an Isotropic Confining Pressure of 40 psi (=5.8ksf=276kPa) from the Combined RCTS Tests of Specimen K2-13-002

RCTS TEST RESULTS

Table C.1 Variation in Low-Amplitude Shear Wave Velocity, Low-Amplitude Shear Modulus, Low-Amplitude Material Damping Ratio, Estimated Void Ratio, and Estimated Total Unit Weight with Isotropic Confining Pressure from RC Tests of Specimen K2-13-002

Isotropic Confining Pressure, σ_o			Low-Amplitude Shear Modulus, G_{max}		Low-Amplitude Shear Wave Velocity, V_s	Low-Amplitude Material Damping Ratio, D_{min}	Estimated Void Ratio, e	Estimated Total Unit Weight, γ_t
(psi)	(psf)	(kPa)	(ksf)	(MPa)	(fps)	(%)	(Unitless)	(pcf)
3	432	21	143100	6852	5960	0.49	0.411	129.8
5	720	34	143300	6859	5960	0.49	0.411	129.8
10	1440	69	143700	6882	5970	0.46	0.411	129.8
20	2880	138	142800	6839	5950	0.46	0.411	129.8
40	5760	276	143500	6868	5960	0.44	0.411	129.8

Table C.2 Variation in Shear Modulus, Normalized Shear Modulus and Material Damping Ratio with Shearing Strain from TS Tests of Specimen K2-13-002; Isotropic Confining Pressure $\sigma_o = 10$ psi (=1.4 ksf = 69 kPa)

Second Cycle				Tenth Cycle			
Peak Shearing Strain, γ , %	Shear Modulus, G , ksf	Normalized Shear Modulus, G/G_{max}	Material Damping Ratio, D , %	Peak Shearing Strain, γ , %	Shear Modulus, G , ksf	Normalized Shear Modulus, G/G_{max}	Material Damping Ratio, D , %
3.58E-04	121700	1.00	0.59	3.56E-04	122300	1.00	0.63
6.12E-04	121200	0.99	0.69	6.10E-04	121600	1.00	0.66
1.01E-03	121500	1.00	0.71	9.95E-04	122800	1.01	0.77
1.77E-03	123130	1.01	1.03	1.73E-03	126410	1.04	0.95

RCTS TEST RESULTS

Table C.3 Variation in Shear Modulus, Normalized Shear Modulus, and Material Damping with Shearing Strain from RC Tests of Specimen K2-13-002; Isotropic Confining Pressure $\sigma_o = 10$ psi (=1.4 ksf = 69 kPa)

Peak Shearing Strain, γ , %	Shear Modulus, G, ksf	Normalized Shear Modulus, G/G_{max}	Average Shearing Strain, % ⁽¹⁾	Material Damping Ratio, D, % ⁽²⁾
6.12E-05	143800	1.00	5.42E-05	0.43
9.85E-05	143700	1.00	8.79E-05	0.45
2.10E-04	143700	1.00	1.94E-04	0.43
3.63E-04	143600	1.00	3.33E-04	0.43
6.30E-04	143400	1.00	5.87E-04	0.45
1.01E-03	143100	1.00	9.30E-04	0.46
2.02E-03	142400	0.99	1.88E-03	0.50
3.60E-03	141400	0.98	3.35E-03	0.53
5.83E-03	140100	0.97	5.57E-03	0.62
8.79E-03	137800	0.96	8.28E-03	1.02

⁽¹⁾ Average Shearing Strain from the First Three Cycle of the Free Vibration Decay Curve or from Half Power Damping for shearing strains less than 0.001%

⁽²⁾ Average Damping Ratio from the First Three Cycle of the Free Vibration Decay Curve or from Half Power Damping for shearing strains less than 0.001%

Table C.4 Variation in Shear Modulus and Material Damping with Frequency from RC/TS Tests of Specimen K2-13-002; Isotropic Confining Pressure $\sigma_o = 10$ psi (=1.4 ksf = 69 kPa)

Approximate Shearing Strain, γ , %	Frequency, Hz	Shear Modulus, G, ksf	Material Damping Ratio, D, %
0.001	0.1	124300	0.96
	0.5	122800	0.77
	1.0	125400	0.70
	5.0	125900	0.54
	316.6	143100	0.46

RCTS TEST RESULTS

Table C.5 Variation in Shear Modulus, Normalized Shear Modulus and Material Damping Ratio with Shearing Strain from TS Tests of Specimen K2-13-002; Isotropic Confining Pressure $\sigma_o = 40$ psi (=5.8 ksf = 276 kPa)

Second Cycle				Tenth Cycle			
Peak Shearing Strain, γ , %	Shear Modulus, G, ksf	Normalized Shear Modulus, G/G_{max}	Material Damping Ratio, D, %	Peak Shearing Strain, γ , %	Shear Modulus, G, ksf	Normalized Shear Modulus, G/G_{max}	Material Damping Ratio, D, %
3.49E-04	121300	1.00	0.50	3.48E-04	121500	1.00	0.53
6.00E-04	121500	1.00	0.68	5.98E-04	121700	1.00	0.73
1.01E-03	121100	1.00	0.70	1.00E-03	122000	1.00	0.72
1.79E-03	121730	1.00	0.78	1.75E-03	124860	1.03	0.79

Table C.6 Variation in Shear Modulus, Normalized Shear Modulus, and Material Damping with Shearing Strain from RC Tests of Specimen K2-13-002; Isotropic Confining Pressure $\sigma_o = 40$ psi (=5.8 ksf = 276 kPa)

Peak Shearing Strain, γ , %	Shear Modulus, G, ksf	Normalized Shear Modulus, G/G_{max}	Average Shearing Strain, % ⁽¹⁾	Material Damping Ratio, D, % ⁽²⁾
5.96E-05	143700	1.00	5.32E-05	0.42
9.99E-05	143700	1.00	8.83E-05	0.42
2.01E-04	143600	1.00	1.85E-04	0.40
3.60E-04	143500	1.00	3.34E-04	0.42
6.24E-04	143300	1.00	5.78E-04	0.43
1.10E-03	142800	0.99	1.03E-03	0.46
2.42E-03	141700	0.99	2.26E-03	0.53
3.59E-03	140800	0.98	3.36E-03	0.59
6.18E-03	138900	0.97	5.86E-03	0.75
8.71E-03	135700	0.95	8.32E-03	1.26

⁽¹⁾ Average Shearing Strain from the First Three Cycle of the Free Vibration Decay Curve or from Half Power Damping for shearing strains less than 0.001%

⁽²⁾ Average Damping Ratio from the First Three Cycle of the Free Vibration Decay Curve or from Half Power Damping for shearing strains less than 0.001%

RCTS TEST RESULTS

Table C.7 Variation in Shear Modulus and Material Damping with Frequency from RC/TS Tests of Specimen K2-13-002; Isotropic Confining Pressure $\sigma_o = 40$ psi (=5.8 ksf = 276 kPa)

Approximate Shearing Strain, γ , %	Frequency, Hz	Shear Modulus, G, ksf	Material Damping Ratio, D, %
0.001	0.1	124100	0.89
	0.5	122000	0.72
	1	124700	0.72
	5	124100	0.64
	316.3	142800	0.46