

### 3H Details and Evaluation Results of Seismic Category 1 Structures

The information in this appendix of the reference ABWR DCD, including all subsections, tables, and figures as modified by the STP Nuclear Operating Company Application to Amend the Design Certification rule for the U.S. Advanced Boiling Water Reactor (ABWR), "ABWR STP Aircraft Impact Assessment (AIA) Amendment Revision 3," dated September 23, 2010 is incorporated by reference with the following departures and supplement.

STD DEP T1 2.15-1

STP DEP T1 5.0-1

STD DEP 1.8-1

STP DEP 3.5-2

STD DEP 3.8-1

STD DEP 3H-1

STD DEP 11.2-1

STD DEP 11.4-1

STP DEP Admin

#### 3H.1 Reactor Building

##### 3H.1.4.2 Site Design Parameters

STP DEP T1 5.0-1

(1) Soil Parameters:

—*Minimum static bearing capacity demand:  $\dot{S}718.20$  kPa*

—*In addition for the load combinations involving seismic/dynamic loads, the dynamic bearing capacity demand shall also be met.*

—*Minimum shear wave velocity: ~~305 m/s~~ (See FSAR Subsections 2.5S.4.4 and 2.5S.4.7)*

—*Poisson's Ratio: 0.30 to 0.38*

—*Unit Weight: 1.9 to 2.2 t/m<sup>3</sup>*

(3) Maximum Design Basis Flood Level

—~~0.305 m~~ 182.9 cm ~~below~~ above grade

(9) *Maximum Rainfall*

—Design rainfall is ~~493~~<sup>503</sup> mm/h. Roof parapets are furnished with scuppers to supplement roof drains, or are designed without parapets so that excessive ponding of water cannot occur. Such roof design meets the provision of ASCE 7-88 Section 8.

**3H.1.4.4.3 Liner Plate**

STD DEP 3H-1

- Liner plate for RCCV in the wetted area shall be stainless steel conforming to ASME SA-240, Type 304L.
- Liner plate for the RCCV in the non-wetted area shall be 6.35 mm thick and conform to ASME SA-516 GR. 70.
- Liner Anchors: ~~ASTM A 633 GR. C~~ ASME SA-36.
- Stainless steel cladding to conform to ASME SA-264.

**3H.1.5.2 Foundation Soil Springs**

STP DEP T1 5.0-1

The foundation soil is represented by soil springs. The spring constants for rocking and translations are determined based on the following soil parameters:

- Shear wave velocity ~~305 m/s~~ (See FSAR Subsections 2.5S.4.4 and 2.5S.4.7)
- Unit weight ~~1.92 t/m<sup>3</sup>~~ 121 pcf (1.94 t/m<sup>3</sup>) to 140 pcf (2.24 t/m<sup>3</sup>)
- Shear modulus ~~1.8 x 10<sup>4</sup> t/m<sup>2</sup>~~ 3.011 ksf (1.47x10<sup>4</sup> t/m<sup>2</sup>) to 9.324 ksf (9.55x10<sup>4</sup> t/m<sup>2</sup>)
- Poisson's Ratio ~~0.38~~ 0.46 to 0.48

For the undrained condition (i.e. Poisson's Ratio 0.46 to 0.48, the calculated vertical spring constant under the mat foundation of the Reactor Building (RB) for STP site conditions ranges from 132 kips/ft<sup>3</sup> to 288 kips/ft<sup>3</sup> with 197 kips/ft<sup>3</sup> for best estimate case. The calculated horizontal spring constant for the STP site conditions ranges from 94 kips/ft<sup>3</sup> to 211 kips/ft<sup>3</sup> with minimum of 141 kips/ft<sup>3</sup> for best estimate case. The potential degree of variability is indicated by the spread of values from lower range to upper range. The soil properties used to compute these spring constants are strain-compatible and were developed from the site response analyses described in Section 2.5S.2.5. Soil depths for the vertical and horizontal mode spring calculations are 2500 ft and 1300 ft, respectively. Soil layers at depths greater than these depths were ignored due to their insignificant contribution to the spring values.

The above calculated STP site-specific soil spring constants are higher than the soil spring constants used for the ABWR DCD design. For the drained condition with Poisson's Ratio of 0.15, the lower range site-specific spring constants are nearly the same as those for the standard design with a maximum difference of about 5%. Considering that the layer weighted Poisson's Ratio is between 0.15 for clay layers and 0.30 for sand layers, even for the drained condition the STP site-specific spring constants will be either the same or higher than the spring constants for the standard design. Higher soil spring constants at the STP site will result in mat design forces smaller than those used for the ABWR DCD design. Therefore, the ABWR DCD mat design is adequate for the STP site.

### **3H.1.6 Site Specific Structural Evaluation**

#### STP DEP 3.5-2

The following site specific supplement addresses the structural evaluation of the site specific design parameters for STP 3 & 4.

As documented in Section 3.3 the ABWR Standard Plant Reactor Building (RB) wind loads, and tornado loads bound these site parameters for STP 3 & 4. See Section 3H.11 for hurricane winds and hurricane generated missiles.

As documented in Subsections 2.5S.4.4 and 2.4S.4.7, the shear wave velocity at STP 3&4 site varies both horizontally in a soil stratum and vertically with elevation, and is lower than the 1,000 ft/sec minimum stated in the DCD. A site specific soil-structure interaction (SSI) analysis has been performed using the measured values of shear wave velocity, with appropriate variation to represent the variability at the site, and site specific SSE, to demonstrate that the results of the site-specific SSI are bounded by the standard plant results included in the DCD. This SSI analysis is described in Appendix 3A.

Figure 3A-301 provides the soil pressure profile between the RB and CB obtained from SSSI analysis for site-specific Safe Shutdown Earthquake (SSE) along with the design soil pressures reported in DCD Table 3A-18 and Figure 3H.1-11. As can be seen from this figure, the soil pressure profile from the SSSI analysis is bounded by the envelope of the certified design soil pressures from DCD Table 3A-18 and Figure 3H.1-11. Therefore, the design based on certified design soil pressures is adequate.

Figures 3H.1-1 through 3H.1-6 provide the soil pressure profiles from various SSSI analyses described in Sections 3H.6.5.3, 3H.6.7 and 3H.7.5.2.2. Also included in these figures are the design soil pressures. Figure 3H.1-2 shows minor exceedances of the SSSI seismic soil pressures beyond the DCD soil pressures for the Reactor Building west wall. However, the induced out-of-plane shear and moment in each wall panel due to the DCD soil pressures are greater than the out-of-plane shear and moment due to SSSI soil pressures. Therefore, the exceedances in the SSSI pressures are acceptable.

As noted in Section 2.5S.4.10.5.4, actual surcharge loads, structural fill properties, and final configurations of structures are not known at this time. Final earth pressure

calculations are prepared at the project detailed design stage based on the actual design conditions at each structure, on a case-by-case basis. STP commits to include the final earth pressure calculations, including actual surcharge loads, structural fill properties, and final configuration of structures, following completion of the project detailed design in an update to the FSAR in accordance with 10 CFR 50.71(e) (COM 2.5S-3).

The foundation spring constants for mat design are based on settlement calculations. In the development of settlement estimates, the representative shear wave velocity value for intervals within a soil column is only one input used in the derivation of the elastic modulus for layers within that column. Since this derived elastic modulus value is first adjusted for strain and then weighted with estimated values derived from either SPT tests (for granular material) or undrained shear strength tests (for cohesive soils) the effect of variability of shear wave velocity upon settlement calculations is significantly attenuated.

Impact of shear wave velocity on foundation spring constants and mat design is described in Section 3H.1.5.2 where it is concluded that the standard ABWR mat design is adequate for the STP site.

The effect of settlement due to the flexibility of the structure/basemat and supporting soil is accounted for through the use of finite element analysis in conjunction with foundation soil springs, as described in Section 3H.6.6.4. The resulting maximum calculated ratio of differential foundation settlements (between adjacent points in the mat finite element model) within the boundary of the RB is 1/1697.

As documented in Subsection 3.4, the STP 3 & 4 site has a design basis flood elevation that is 182.9 cm (6 ft) above grade. This results in an increase in the flood level over what was used in the ABWR Standard Plant, however the load due to the revised flood level, including hydrodynamic drag load due to flood water flow and hydrodynamic load due to wind generated wave action as described in Section 3.4.2, on the exterior RB walls is less than the ABWR Standard Plant RB seismic or tornado loads. The design of above grade RB exterior walls for design basis tornado loading per Tier 1 Table 5.0, including tornado generated missiles, bounds the design for flood loading including impact due to floating debris. The design of below grade RB exterior walls for design basis seismic loading bounds the design for flood loading.

Hence the increased flood loading doesn't affect the Standard Plant RB structural design. Increased flood level also increases the buoyancy force resulting in a revised flotation factor of safety of 2.24. This factor exceeds required factor of safety of 1.1.

The factor of safety against floatation has been calculated and is shown in revised Table 3H.1-23.

Therefore the STP 3 & 4 RB utilizing the Standard Plant design is structurally adequate.

## 3H.2 Control Building

STP DEP T1 5.0-1

### 3H.2.4.2.1 Soil Parameters

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| ■ <i>Minimum shear wave velocity:</i>                 | ■ <del>305 m/s</del> See FSAR Subsections 2.5S.4.4 and 2.5S.4.7 |
| ■ <i>Poisson ratio:</i>                               | ■ 0.3 to 0.38   |
| ■ <i>Unit weight</i>                                  | ■ 1.9 to 2.2 t/m <sup>3</sup>                                   |
| ■ <i>Liquefaction potential:</i>                      | ■ None  |
| ■ <i>Minimum Static Soil Bearing Capacity Demand:</i> | ■ \$ 718.20 KPa   |

### 3H.2.4.2.3 Design Basis Flood Level

Design basis flood level is at ~~0-305m~~ 182.9 cm ~~below~~ above grade level.

### 3H.2.4.2.5 Maximum Rainfall

Design rainfall is ~~493-503~~ mm/h. Roof parapets are furnished with scuppers to supplement roof drains, or are designed without parapets so that excessive ponding of water cannot occur. Such roof design meets the provision of ASCE 7-88 Section 8.

### 3H.2.4.3.1.4 Lateral Soil Pressures (H and H')

The following parameters are used in the computation of lateral soil pressures:

- |                                   |  |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| ■ <i>Dry unit weight:</i>         | ■ 1.9 to 2.2 t/m <sup>3</sup>  |
| ■ <i>Shear wave velocity:</i>     | ■ <del>305 m/s</del> See FSAR Subsections <u>2.5S.4.4 and 2.5S.4.7</u> |
| ■ <i>Internal friction angle:</i> | ■ 30° to 40°   |

## 3H.2.6 Site Specific Structural Evaluation

STP DEP 3.5-2

The following site specific supplement addresses the structural evaluation of the site specific design parameters for STP 3 & 4.

As documented in Subsection 3.3, the ABWR Standard Plant Control Building (CB), wind loads, and tornado loads bound these site specific parameters for STP 3 & 4. See Section 3H.11 for hurricane winds and hurricane generated missiles.

Soil spring constants for the undrained condition (i.e. Poisson's Ratio 0.46 to 0.48) are higher than spring constants for drained condition (i.e. Poisson's ratio of 0.15 for clay

layers and 0.30 for sand layers). The calculated vertical spring constant under the mat foundation of the Control Building (CB) for STP site conditions using drained Poisson's ratio of 0.15 ranges from 113 kips/ft<sup>3</sup> to 251 kips/ft<sup>3</sup> with 169 kips/ft<sup>3</sup> for best estimate case. The calculated horizontal spring constant for the STP site conditions using drained Poisson's ratio of 0.15 ranges from 101 kips/ft<sup>3</sup> to 241 kips/ft<sup>3</sup> with minimum of 152 kips/ft<sup>3</sup> for best estimate case. The potential degree of variability is indicated by the spread of values from lower range to upper range. The soil properties used to compute these spring constants are strain-compatible and were developed from the site response analyses described in Section 2.5S.2.5. Soil depths for the vertical and horizontal mode spring calculations are 1500 ft and 700 ft, respectively. Soil layers at depths greater than these depths were ignored due to their insignificant contribution to the spring values.

While the calculated best estimate and upper range STP site-specific soil spring constants are higher than the best estimate calculated DCD soil spring constants, the lower range STP site-specific vertical and horizontal soil spring constants are lower by about 20% and 30%, respectively.

Considering the size and geometry of the CB, arrangement of the exterior and interior shear walls, thickness of shear walls, and the basemat thickness, the CB basemat is quite rigid and not significantly sensitive to the soil spring constant values. To demonstrate this, a three dimensional parametric study was performed where the CB was subjected to its dead load along with significant seismic moments about the two horizontal axes and vertical excitation. The CB model was analyzed for two cases, once with best estimate calculated DCD soil spring constants and the second time with calculated lower range STP site-specific soil spring constants. Comparison of the resulting out-of-plane shears and moments from these two analyses show that there is no significant change in basemat design forces. Based on this parametric study and the fact that STP site-specific SSE is less than half the standard design SSE, the ABWR DCD mat design is adequate for the STP site.

As documented in Subsections 2.5S.4.4 and 2.5S.4.7, the shear wave velocity at STP 3&4 site varies both horizontally in a soil stratum and vertically with elevation, and is lower than the 1,000 ft/sec minimum stated in the DCD. A site specific soil-structure interaction (SSI) analysis has been performed using the measured values of shear wave velocity, with appropriate variation to represent the variability at the site, and site specific SSE, to demonstrate that the results of the site-specific SSI are bounded by the standard plant results included in the DCD. This SSI analysis is described in Appendix 3A.

Figure 3A-302 provides the soil pressure profile between the RB and CB obtained from SSSI analysis for site-specific Safe Shutdown Earthquake (SSE) along with the design soil pressures reported in DCD Table 3A-18 and Figure 3H.2-14. As can be seen from this figure, the soil pressure profile from the SSSI analysis is bounded by the envelope of the certified design soil pressures from DCD Table 3A-18 and Figure 3H.2-14 with one exception. The soil pressure from the SSSI analysis slightly exceeds the certified design soil pressure at a depth of about 26 to 30 feet below the ground surface. At all other elevations the DCD soil pressures are higher than the site-specific soil pressure.

Therefore, the total force due to the certified design soil pressure on the wall panel above or below it will be significantly higher than the total force due to soil pressure from the SSSI analysis. Therefore, the design based on certified design soil pressures is adequate.

As noted in Section 2.5S.4.10.5.4, actual surcharge loads, structural fill properties, and final configurations of structures are not known at this time. Final earth pressure calculations are prepared at the project detailed design stage based on the actual design conditions at each structure, on a case-by-case basis. STP commits to include the final earth pressure calculations, including actual surcharge loads, structural fill properties, and final configuration of structures, following completion of the project detailed design in an update to the FSAR in accordance with 10CFR 50.71(e) (COM 2.5S-3).

The effect of settlement due to the flexibility of the structure/basemat and supporting soil is accounted for through the use of finite element analysis in conjunction with foundation soil springs, as described in Section 3H.6.6.4. The resulting maximum calculated ratio of differential foundation settlements (between adjacent points in the mat finite element model) within the boundary of the CB is 1/928.

As documented in Subsection 3.4, the STP 3 & 4 site has a basis flood elevation that is 182.9 cm (6 ft) above grade. This results in an increase in the flood level over what was used in the ABWR Standard Plant, however the load due to the revised flood level, including hydrodynamic drag load due to flood water flow and hydrodynamic load due to wind generated wave action as described in Section 3.4.2, on the exterior CB walls is less than the ABWR Standard Plant seismic or tornado loads. The design of above grade CB exterior walls for design basis tornado loading per Tier 1 Table 5.0, including tornado generated missiles bounds the design for flood loading including impact due to floating debris. The design of below grade CB exterior walls for design basis seismic loading bounds the design for flood loading. Hence the increased flood loading does not affect the Standard Plant CB structural design. Increased flood level also increases the buoyancy force resulting in a revised flotation factor of safety of 1.3. This factor exceeds required factor of safety of 1.1.

The factor of safety against floatation has been calculated and is shown in revised Table 3H.2-5.

Therefore the STP 3 & 4 CB utilizing the Standard Plant design is structurally adequate.

### **3H.3 Radwaste Building**

This section of the reference ABWR DCD including all subsections, figures, and tables is replaced completely. This is due to departures taken in the design of the liquid and solid radioactive waste system.

STD DEP T1 2.15-1

STD DEP 11.2-1

STD DEP 11.4-1

STD DEP 3.8-1  
STP DEP 3.5-2

The Radwaste Building is a reinforced concrete structure located about 20 feet west of the Reactor building. It is designed in accordance with the requirements of RG 1.143. Also, since the above grade height of this building exceeds the distance to the Reactor Building, to ensure that the integrity of the Reactor Building is maintained, the Radwaste Building design shall satisfy II/I requirements (i.e. it can not collapse or come in contact with the Reactor Building under SSE and tornado and hurricane loads).

The RWB is classified as RW-IIa (High Hazard) in accordance with RG 1.143. A summary of the extreme environmental design parameters is presented in Table 3H.9-1. See Section 3H.11 for hurricane winds and hurricane generated missiles.

The analysis and design of the Radwaste building are based on the following:

A) Criteria for Design Basis:

- Design basis analysis and design are per requirements of RG 1.143 for RW-IIa classification.
- Loads, load combinations, codes & standards, and capacity criteria are in accordance with Tables 1, 2, 3, and 4 of RG 1.143.
- Design of structural components is per ACI 349-97 and AISC/N690 (1984).

B) Criteria for II/I evaluation:

- The II/I evaluations are performed for both SSE and Tornado.
- The II/I evaluations are based on elastic design.
- The seismic response spectra are the envelop of 0.3g RG 1.60 response spectra and the resulting SSE response spectra at the ground surface of the Radwaste Building considering the effect of presence of the Reactor Building when subjected to site-specific SSE. This satisfies the requirement noted in item (3) of DCD Tier 2 Section 3.7.2.8.
- Tornado design parameters will be those for the Standard Plant Seismic Category I structures (i.e. 300 mph tornado).

### **3H.3.1 Objective and Scope**

The scope of this subsection is to document the structural design and analysis of the Radwaste Building (RWB) for STP Units 3 & 4. The RWB is not a Seismic Category I structure. The RWB is classified as RW-IIa (High Hazard) for STP 3 & 4 site per Regulatory Guide (RG) 1.143 and designed to meet or exceed applicable requirements of RG 1.143.

Due to its close proximity to safety-related seismic category I structures, the RWB structure is also designed to meet Seismic II/I requirements to ensure that the building does not collapse on the nearby safety-related buildings.

### **3H.3.2 Summary**

The following are the major summary conclusions on the design and analysis of the Radwaste Building:

- The provided concrete reinforcement listed in Tables 3H.3-3 and 3H.3-4 meet the requirements of the design codes and standards listed in Section 3H.3.4.
- The provided structural steel listed in Table 3H.3-5 meets the requirements of the design codes and standards listed in Section 3H.3.4.
- The factors of safety against flotation, sliding, and overturning of the structure under various loading combinations are higher than the required minimum factors of safety as shown in Table 3H.6-14.

### **3H.3.3 Structural Description**

The Radwaste Building (RWB) for each STP unit houses the liquid and solid radwaste treatment and storage facilities, and radwaste processing and handling areas. The RWB is a reinforced concrete structure consisting of walls and slabs supported by a mat foundation. Liquid radwaste storage tanks are housed inside concrete cubicles located below grade at basement level. These cubicles are lined with steel liner plates to eliminate migration of any liquid outside the concrete cubicles. Metal decking supported by steel framing is used as form work to support the slabs during construction.

Radwaste Building floor plans and sections are shown in Figures 3H.3-54 through 3H.3-60. The minimum thickness of the below grade exterior walls of the RWB is 4 ft. The above grade exterior walls are 3 ft thick. The slab at elevation 35 ft MSL is comprised of 2 ft, 4 ft and 5 ft thick slabs. The foundation mat is 12 ft thick. The roof is 1.25 ft thick slab on metal decking.

### **3H.3.4 Structural Design Criteria**

#### **3H.3.4.1 Design Codes and Standards**

The RWB is designed to meet the design requirements of RG 1.143 Revision 2 and also satisfy the Seismic II/I requirements that it does not collapse on the adjacent safety related structures in the proximity of the RWB under seismic and tornado loadings. The following codes, standards, and regulatory documents are applicable for the design of the RWB.

- ASCE 4-98, "Seismic Analysis of Safety-Related Nuclear Structures and Commentary"

- ACI 349-97, “Code Requirements for Nuclear Safety-Related Concrete Structures and Commentary”
- ANSI/AISC N690, 1984 “Specifications for the Design, Fabrication and Erection of Steel Safety-Related Structures for Nuclear Facilities”
- AWS D1.1 “Steel Structural Welding Code”, 2000
- ASCE 7-95, “Minimum Design Loads for Buildings and Other Structures”
- NRC RG 1.143, “Design Guidance for Radioactive Waste Management Systems, Structures, and Components Installed in Light-Water-Cooled Nuclear Power Plants,” Rev. 2, November 2001
- NUREG-0800 SRP 3.3.2, “Tornado Loadings,” Rev. 2, July 1981
- NRC RG 1.142, “Safety-Related Concrete Structures for Nuclear Power Plants (Other Than Reactor Vessels and Containments),” Rev 2, November 2001
- NRC RG 1.76, “Design-Basis Tornado and Tornado Missiles for Nuclear Power Plants,” Rev 1, March 2007.

### **3H.3.4.2 Site Design Parameters**

#### **3H.3.4.2.1 Soil Parameters**

- Poisson’s ratio (above groundwater)..... 0.42
- Poisson’s ratio (below groundwater) ..... 0.47
- Unit Weight (moist).....120 pcf
- Unit Weight (saturated) .....140 pcf
- Liquefaction potential .....None
- Static Soil Bearing Pressure (plus weight of 2 ft of fill concrete):.....9.8 ksf
- Ultimate Static Soil Bearing Capacity .....91.1 ksf
- Static Soil Bearing Capacity Factor of Safety..... ≥ 9.3
- Dynamic Soil Bearing Pressure:.....11.0 ksf
- Ultimate Dynamic Soil Bearing Capacity.....71.4 ksf
- Dynamic Soil Bearing Capacity Factor of Safety..... ≥ 6.5

The soil bearing pressure capacities noted above are determined using the methodology described in Section 2.5S.4.

### **3H.3.4.2.2 Design Ground Water Level**

Design groundwater level is at elevation 32 feet MSL, as shown in DCD, Tier 1, Table 5.0. This value bounds the groundwater elevations discussed in Section 2.4S.12.

### **3H.3.4.2.3 Design Flood Level**

Design flood level is 33 feet MSL, as shown in DCD, Tier 1, Table 5.0. This flood level is above the level resulting from one-half of the PMF (RG 1.143 requirement) described in Section 2.4S.3.

### **3H.3.4.2.4 Maximum Snow Load**

Roof snow load is 50 psf (2.39 kPa) as shown in DCD Tier 1 Table 5.0. This snow load is very conservative for the STP 3 & 4 site. This load is not combined with normal roof live load.

### **3H.3.4.2.5 Maximum Rainfall**

Design rainfall is 19.4 in/hr (50.3 cm/hr) as shown in COLA Part 2 Tier 1 Table 5.0. This load is not combined with normal roof live load.

### **3H.3.4.3 Design Loads and Load Combinations**

The RWB is not subjected to any accident temperature or pressure loading. Under ambient conditions, the uniform temperature changes and thermal gradients within the structure are less than 50°F and 100°F, respectively. Referring to article 1.3 of ACI 349.1R-07, for such thermal conditions explicit consideration of ambient temperature effects is not warranted.

#### **3H.3.4.3.1 Normal Loads**

Normal loads are those that are encountered during normal plant startup, operation, and shutdown.

##### **3H.3.4.3.1.1 Dead Loads (D)**

Dead loads include the weight of the structure, permanent equipment, and other permanent static loads. An additional 50 psf (2.39 kPa) uniform load is considered to account for dead loads due to piping, raceways, grating, and HVAC duct work.

##### **3H.3.4.3.1.2 Live Loads (L)**

Live loads include floor and roof area live loads, movable loads, and laydown loads. A minimum normal floor live load of 200 psf (9.6 kPa) is considered for all floors of the RWB. A normal live load of 50 psf (2.39 kPa) is considered for the roof. The floor area live load shall be omitted from areas occupied by equipment whose weight is included in the dead load.

For the computation of global seismic loads, the live load is limited to the expected live load present during normal plant operation which is defined as 25% of the normal floor

and roof live loads. However, design of local elements such as beams and slabs is based on consideration of full normal live load.

### 3H.3.4.3.1.3 Snow Loads

The normal roof snow load is 50 psf. This load is not combined with normal roof live load.

### 3H.3.4.3.1.4 Lateral Soil Pressures (H and H')

Lateral soil pressures are calculated using the following soil properties.

- Unit weight (moist):..... 120 pcf (1.92 t/m<sup>3</sup>)
- Unit weight (saturated): .....140 pcf (2.24 t/m<sup>3</sup>)
- Internal friction angle: .....30°
- Poisson's ratio (above groundwater)..... 0.42
- Poisson's ratio (below groundwater) ..... 0.47

Figure 3H.3-1 shows the at-rest lateral soil pressures. Figure 3H.3-2 shows the dynamic at-rest lateral soil pressures. Figure 3H.3-3 shows the active lateral earth pressures. Figure 3H.3-4 shows the passive lateral earth pressures.

The RWB east wall is designed for lateral seismic soil pressures shown in Figure 3H.3-50. These soil pressures consider the structure-soil-structure interaction (SSSI) between the RWB, RSW piping Tunnel, and RB. For details of this SSSI analysis, see Section 3H.6.5.3.

Figure 3H.3-51 shows seismic soil pressure used for the design of RWB west wall and the seismic soil pressure considering the SSSI between the RWB, RSW Piping Tunnel, and RB described in Section 3H.6.5.3. This figure shows a minor exceedance of the SSSI seismic soil pressure beyond the design dynamic soil pressure. However, the induced out-of-plane shear and moment in each wall panel due to the design soil pressures are greater than the out-of-plane shear and moment due to SSSI soil pressures. Therefore, the exceedance in the SSSI pressures is acceptable.

### 3H.3.4.3.2 Severe Environmental Load

Severe environmental loads consist of loads generated by wind and earthquake.

#### 3H.3.4.3.2.1 Wind Load (W)

The following parameters are used in the computation of the wind loads.

- Basic wind speed (50 year recurrence interval, 3-second gust)..... 126 mph (203 km/h), as shown in Table 2.0-2. This value envelops the value derived from ASCE 7-95 (RG 1.143 requirement) for STP 3 & 4 site.

- Exposure: .....D
- Importance factor: ..... 1.15
- Velocity pressure exposure coefficient per ASCE 7 Table 6-3, but  $\geq 0.87$
- Topographic factor ..... 1.0
- Wind directionality factor ..... 1.0

Wind loads are calculated in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 6 of ASCE 7-95.

**3H.3.4.3.2.2 Earthquake ( $E_o$ )**

The earthquake loads are those due to one-half of the Safe Shutdown Earthquake (SSE) defined in DCD Tier 1, Table 5.0. This corresponds to the Regulatory Guide 1.60 response spectra anchored to 0.15g. The earthquake loads are applied in all three orthogonal directions. The total structural response is predicted by combining the applicable maximum co-directional responses by the square root of the sum of the squares (SRSS) method.

**3H.3.4.3.2.3 Flood Load (FL)**

The flood level is at 33 feet MSL, as stated in Section 3H.3.4.2.3 above.

**3H.3.4.3.3 Extreme Environmental Load**

Extreme environmental loads consist of loads generated by tornado.

**3H.3.4.3.3.1 Tornado Loads**

The tornado load effects consist of wind pressure, differential pressure, and tornado generated missile loads. The tornado parameters are as follows:

- Tornado parameters are equal to three-fifths of the Region 1 tornado parameters defined in Table 1 of RG 1.76, Rev. 1. The Region 1 maximum tornado wind speed and pressure drop per Table 1 of RG 1.76, Rev. 1 are 230 mph and 1.2 psi, respectively. Three-fifths of 230 mph equals 138 mph and three-fifths of 1.2 psi equals 0.72 psi.
- Tornado missile parameters are in accordance with Table 2 of RG 1.143 Revision 2 for RW-IIa classification

**3H.3.4.3.3.2 Malevolent Vehicle Assault**

The RWB is protected from malevolent vehicle assault in accordance with Regulatory Guide 5.68.

### 3H.3.4.3.3 Accidental Explosion

In accordance with Table 2 of RG 1.143 Revision 2 for RW-IIa classification, accidental explosion hazards have been evaluated and found not to pose any hazards to the Radwaste Building.

### 3H.3.4.3.3.4 Small Aircraft Crash

As discussed in FSAR Section 2.2S.2.7, the methodology described in NUREG-0800 section 3.5.1.6, RG 1.117 and DOE-STD-3014-96 was used to determine that the risks due to aircraft hazards are sufficiently low and are not considered in the design of SSCs at the STP 3&4 site.

### 3H.3.4.3.4 Load Combinations

#### 3H.3.4.3.4.1 Notations

S	= Normal allowable stress for allowable stress design method
U	= Required strength for strength design method
D	= Dead load
F	= Load due to weight and pressure of fluid with well-defined density and controllable maximum height
FL	= Hydrostatic and hydrodynamic load due to flood
L	= Live load
R <sub>o</sub>	= Piping and equipment reaction under normal operating condition (excluding dead load, thermal expansion and seismic)
T <sub>o</sub>	= Normal operating thermal expansion loads from piping and equipment
T <sub>b</sub>	= Upset thermal expansion loads from piping and equipment
H	= Lateral soil pressure and groundwater effects
H'	= Lateral soil pressure and groundwater effects, including dynamic effects
W	= Wind load
W <sub>t</sub>	= Total tornado load, including missile effects
E <sub>o</sub>	= Earthquake load

#### 3H.3.4.3.4.2 Structural Steel Load Combinations

$$S = D + L + F + H + R_o + T_o$$

$$1.33S = D + L + F + H + R_o + T_b$$

$$1.33S = D + L + F + H + R_o + T_o + W$$

$$1.33S = D + L + F + H' + R_o + T_o + E_o$$

$$1.33S = D + L + F + H + R_o + T_o + FL$$

$$1.6S^{(\text{Note 1})} = D + L + F + H + R_o + T_o + W_t$$

For the computation of global seismic loads, the live load is limited to the expected live load present during normal plant operation which is defined as 25% of the normal floor and roof live loads. However, design of local elements such as beams and slabs is based on consideration of full normal live load.

Note 1: The stress limit coefficient in shear shall not exceed 1.4 in members and bolts.

### 3H.3.4.3.4.3 Reinforced Concrete Load Combinations

$$U = 1.4D + 1.7L + 1.4F + 1.7H + 1.7R_o + 1.7T_o$$

$$U = 1.4D + 1.7L + 1.4F + 1.7H + 1.7R_o + 1.7T_b$$

$$U = 1.4D + 1.7L + 1.4F + 1.7H + 1.7R_o + 1.7T_o + 1.7W$$

$$U = 1.4D + 1.7L + 1.4F + 1.7H' + 1.7R_o + 1.7T_o + 1.7E_o$$

$$U = D + L + F + H + R_o + T_o + FL$$

$$U = D + L + F + H + R_o + T_o + W_t$$

For the computation of global seismic loads, the live load is limited to the expected live load present during normal plant operation which is defined as 25% of the normal floor and roof live loads. However, design of local elements such as beams and slabs is based on consideration of full normal live load

### 3H.3.4.4 Materials

Structural materials used in the design of RWB are as follows:

#### 3H.3.4.4.1 Reinforced Concrete

Concrete conforms to the requirements of ACI 349. Its design properties are:

- Compressive strength ..... 4.0 ksi (27.6 MPa)
- Modulus of elasticity ..... 3,597 ksi (24.8 GPa)
- Shear modulus ..... 1,537 ksi (10.6 GPa)
- Poisson's ratio ..... 0.17

#### 3H.3.4.4.2 Reinforcement

Deformed billet steel reinforcing bars are considered in the design. Reinforcement conforms to the requirements of ASTM A615. Its design properties are:

- Yield strength ..... 60 ksi (414 MPa)
- Tensile strength ..... 90 ksi (621 MPa)

**3H.3.4.4.3 Structural Steel**

High strength, low-alloy structural steel conforming to ASTM A572, Grade 50 is considered in the design for wide-flange sections. The steel design properties are:

- Yield strength ..... 50 ksi (345 MPa)
- Tensile strength ..... 65 ksi (448 MPa)

**3H.3.4.4.4 Steel Grating**

Bearing bars conforming to ASTM A1011 are considered in the design. The design property is:

- Yield strength ..... 30 to 50 ksi (207 to 345 MPa)

**3H.3.4.4.5 Anchor Bolts**

Material for anchor bolts conforms to the requirements of ASTM F1554 (preferred anchor bolt material endorsed by ANSI/AISC N690-12), Grade 36. Its design properties are:

- Yield strength ..... 36 ksi (248 MPa)
- Tensile strength ..... 58 ksi (400 MPa)

**3H.3.5 Structural Design and Analysis Summary****3H.3.5.1 Seismic Analysis**

Two types of seismic analyses are performed for the RWB. The analysis and design of the RWB as well as the II/I design is performed using response spectrum analysis of a SAP2000 3D finite element model described in Section 3H.3.5.2. The II/I stability evaluation of the RWB is performed using the base shears and moments obtained from response spectrum analysis of a fixed base stick model described below. This fixed base stick model is also used for obtaining the seismic in-plane shears and moments of the exterior walls reported in Table 3H.3-1 and the structural frequencies reported in Table 3H.3-2.

In the fixed base stick model, the structure is represented by a lumped-mass model consisting of structural masses lumped at selected nodes which are connected by massless elements representing the stiffness properties of the shear walls between the nodes. The building masses are lumped at elevations where the building weights are concentrated such as the floors and roof.

For modeling reinforced concrete shear wall elements, the shear walls in each particular vibration direction are identified. The stiffness of a shear wall along its length consists of a combination of its shear stiffness and its flexural stiffness, both of which are calculated individually and combined to obtain the stiffness of the wall.

### 3H.3.5.2 Analysis and Design

The analysis and design of the RWB is performed using a SAP2000 3D finite element model with shell and frame elements, as shown in Figures 3H.3-5 through 3H.3-7. The seismic loads are obtained from response spectrum analysis of this model. The input motion for this response spectrum analysis is the Regulatory Guide 1.60 response spectra for 0.15g.

The RWB SAP2000 finite element model includes uniform foundation soil springs. The RWB basemat is 12 ft. thick and it is stiffened with interior shear walls arranged approximately every 30 ft. in both the east-west and the north-south directions. Therefore, no significant dishing of the mat is expected and the use of uniform foundation soil springs is appropriate. The static subgrade reaction modulus for the vertical springs is 50 kips/ft/ft<sup>2</sup>. The dynamic subgrade reaction modulus for the vertical springs is 184 kips/ft/ft<sup>2</sup>.

Per Table 1 of RG 1.143 Revision 2, all concrete and steel designs are in accordance with the ACI 349-97 and ANSI/AISC N690, 1984 code requirements, respectively.

The forces and moments at critical locations in the Radwaste Building along with the provided longitudinal and transverse reinforcement are included in Table 3H.3-3 for the exterior walls and Table 3H.3-4 for the basemat, roof slab, and operating floor (elevation 35'-0") slab. Figures 3H.3-8 through 3H.3-27 show the location of the reinforcement zones listed in Table 3H.3-3 for the exterior walls. Figures 3H.3-28 through 3H.3-42 show the location of the reinforcement zones listed in Table 3H.3-4 for the basemat, roof slab, and operating floor slab. Figure 3H.3-53 shows the labeling convention for the walls and slabs of the RWB used for presenting the analysis results.

The structural steel member sizes, critical forces, safety margins, and governing load combinations for the operating floor beams, roof truss members, and roof purlins are shown in Table 3H.3-5. The layout of the operating floor steel beams is shown in Figures 3H.3-43 through 3H.3-46. The layout of the roof truss members and roof purlins are shown in Figure 3H.3-47. The typical east-west spanning truss and typical north-south spanning truss are shown in Figures 3H.3-48 and 3H.3-49, respectively.

### 3H.3.5.3 Seismic II/I Evaluation

The seismic II/I evaluation for the RWB is performed to ensure that the RWB will not collapse on the nearby Category I structures. The analysis and design for II/I is performed using a SAP2000 3D finite element model with shell and frame elements, as shown in Figures 3H.3-5 through 3H.3-7. The seismic loads are obtained from response spectrum analysis of this model. The earthquake input used at the foundation level is the envelope of 0.3g RG 1.60 response spectrum and the induced acceleration response spectrum due to site-specific SSE that is determined from an SSI analysis which accounts for the impact of the nearby Reactor Building (RB). In this SSI analysis, five interaction nodes at ground surface are added to the three dimensional SSI model of the RB. These five interaction nodes correspond to the four corners and the center of the RWB foundation. The average response of these five interaction nodes is enveloped with the 0.3g RG 1.60 spectra to determine the SSE

input at the foundation level. The structure is conservatively designed to remain elastic for this evaluation.

For tornado parameters, including the missiles, the same parameters as those defined in DCD Tier 1 Table 5.0 are used. For flood, the extreme flood level of 40 ft (12.2 m) MSL is used, which is caused by the Main Cooling Reservoir dike breach. The evaluation requirements for this flood, including hydrodynamic and flooding debris loading, are included in Section 3.4.2.

The II/I stability evaluations for sliding and overturning are performed using the seismic input motion described in Section 3.7.2.8 and 3.7.3.16 and other site-specific parameters such as soil properties. The seismic demands for II/I stability evaluation are determined by response spectrum analysis of the fixed base stick model described in Section 3H.3.5.1. Figure 3H.3-52 outlines the methodology followed for the seismic II/I stability evaluation of the RWB.

### 3H.3.5.3.1 Load Combinations

The following load combinations, in addition to the extreme environmental load combinations from Sections 3H.3.4.3.4 are used for Seismic II/I considerations.

#### 3H.3.5.3.1.1 Notations

E' = Safe Shutdown Earthquake load (as discussed in Section 3H.3.5.3 above) Other loads are as defined in Section 3H.3.4.3.4.1.

#### 3H.3.5.3.1.2 Structural Steel Load Combinations

$$1.6S^{(\text{Note 1})} = D + L + F + H' + R_o + T_o + E'$$

For the computation of global seismic loads, the live load is limited to the expected live load present during normal plant operation which is defined as 25% of the normal floor and roof live loads.

Note 1: The stress limit coefficient in shear shall not exceed 1.4 in members and bolts.

#### 3H.3.5.3.1.3 Reinforced Concrete Load Combinations

$$U = D + L + F + H' + R_o + T_o + E'$$

For the computation of global seismic loads, the live load is limited to the expected live load present during normal plant operation which is defined as 25% of the normal floor and roof live loads.

## 3H.5 Structural Analysis Reports

STD DEP T1 2.15-1

### 3H.5.3 **Structural Analysis Report for the Reactor Building, Control Building ~~and Radwaste Building Substructure (Including Seismic Category 1 Tunnels)~~ and Diesel Generator Fuel Oil Tunnels**

### 3H.5.4 **Structural Analysis Report For the Reactor Building, and Control Building ~~and Radwaste Building~~ Foundation**

### 3H.5.5 **Structural Analysis Report For The Radwaste Building (Including Radwaste Tunnels) and The Turbine Building**

STD DEP 1.8-1

STD DEP T1 2.15-1

*The RW/B (including Radwaste Tunnels) and T/B ~~is~~ are not classified as a Seismic Category 1 structures. ~~However, the buildings~~ The T/B is designed such that damage to safety-related functions does not occur under seismic loads corresponding to the safe shutdown earthquake (SSE) ground acceleration. The RW/B (including Radwaste Tunnels) is designed per Regulatory Guide 1.143 with IIa Classification.*

*For material properties and dimensions, assess compliance of the as-built structure with design requirements in Section 3.7.3.16, Table 3.2-1 and the International Building Code (IBC) ~~Uniform Building Code (UBC)~~ for the Turbine Building and Regulatory Guide 1.143 for the Radwaste Building (including Radwaste Tunnels) ~~and in the Table 3.2-1 and paragraph 3.7.3.16.~~*

*Construction deviations and design changes will be assessed to determine appropriate disposition.*

*This disposition will be accepted “as-is,” provided the following acceptance criteria are met:*

- *The structural design meets the acceptance criteria and load combinations of Section 3.7.3.16 and the ~~IBC/UBC~~ code for the Turbine Building and Regulatory Guide 1.143 for the Radwaste Building (including Radwaste Tunnels).*

### 3H.5.6 **Structural Analysis Report For The Ultimate Heat Sink/ Reactor Service Water Pump House Structure, Reactor Service Water Piping Tunnel and Diesel Generator Fuel Oil Storage Vault**

A structural analysis report will be prepared. It will document the following activities associated to the construction materials and as-built dimensions of the structures:

- (1) Review of construction records for material properties used in construction (i.e., in-process testing of concrete properties and procurement specifications for structural steel and reinforcing bars).
- (2) Inspection of as-built structure dimensions.

For material properties and dimensions, assess compliance of the as-built structure with design requirements in the Subsection 3H.6 and in the detail design documents.

Construction deviations and design changes will be assessed to determine appropriate disposition.

This disposition will be accepted "as-is," provided the following acceptance criteria are met:

- The structural design meets the acceptance criteria and load combinations of Appendix 3H, Section 3H.6.
- The dynamic responses (i.e., spectra, shear forces, axial forces and moments) of the as-built structure are bounded by the spectra in Appendix 3H, Section 3H.6.

Depending upon the extent of the deviation or design changes, compliance with the acceptance criteria can be determined by either:

- (a) Analyses or evaluations of construction deviations and design changes, or
- (b) The design basis analyses will be repeated using the as-built condition.

### **3H.6 Site-Specific Seismic Category I Structures**

The following site-specific supplement addresses site specific Seismic Category I structures.

#### **3H.6.1 Objective and Scope**

The objective of this appendix is to describe the structural analysis and design of the STP 3 & 4 site-specific seismic Category I structures that are identified below.

- (1) Ultimate Heat Sink (UHS) for each unit consists of a water retaining basin with enclosed cooling towers situated above the basin and a Reactor Service Water (RSW) pump house that is integral with the UHS basin.
- (2) RSW piping tunnel for each unit.
- (3) Diesel Generator Fuel Oil Storage Vault for each unit.

The details of analysis and design for Items (1) and (2) are provided in Sections 3H.6.2 through 3H.6.6. The details for Item (3) are provided in Section 3H.6.7.

#### **3H.6.2 Summary**

A summary of the extreme environmental design parameters is presented in Table 3H.9-1. See Section 3H.11 for hurricane winds and hurricane generated missiles.

For the design of the UHS basin and the pump house of each unit, the seismic effects were determined by performing a soil-structure interaction (SSI) analysis, as described in Subsection 3H.6.5. The free-field ground response spectra used in the analysis are described in Subsection 3H.6.5.1.1.1. The resulting seismic loads were used in combination with other applicable loads to develop designs of the structures.

Hydrodynamic effects of the water in the basin were considered. The following results for the UHS/RSW Pump House are presented in tables and figures, as indicated. Results for the RSW Piping Tunnel are presented in Sections 3H.6.5.3 and 3H.6.6.2.2.

- Natural frequencies (Table 3H.6-3).
- Seismic accelerations (Table 3H.6-4).
- Seismic displacements (Table 3H.6-4).
- Floor response spectra (Figures 3H.6-16 through 3H.6-39).
- Factors of safety against sliding, overturning, and flotation (Table 3H.6-5).
- Combined forces and moments at critical locations in the structures along with required and provided rebar (Tables 3H.6-7 through 3H.6-9 and Figures 3H.6-51 through 3H.6-136).
- Lateral soil pressures for design (Figures 3H.6-41 through 3H.6-43, Figures 3H.6-218 through 3H.6-220, and Figures 3H.6-232 through 3H.6-240).
- Lateral soil pressures for stability evaluation during normal operation (Figures 3H.6-45 through 3H.6-50)
- Tornado evaluation results (Table 3H.6-10)

The final combined responses are used to evaluate the designs against the following criteria:

- Stresses in concrete and reinforcement are less than the allowable stresses in accordance with the applicable codes listed in Subsection 3H.6.4.1.
- The factors of safety against flotation, sliding, and overturning of the structures under various loading combinations are higher than the required minimum values identified in Subsection 3H.6.4.5.
- The calculated static and dynamic soil bearing pressures/displacements are less than the allowable values.
- The thickness of the roof slabs and exterior walls are more than the minimum required to preclude penetration, perforation, or spalling resulting from impact of design basis tornado and hurricane missiles. In addition, the passage of tornado and hurricane missiles through openings in the roof slabs and exterior walls is prevented by the use of missile-proof covers and doors, or the trajectory of missiles through ventilation openings is limited by labyrinth walls configured to prevent safety-related substructures and components from being impacted.

The RSW piping tunnel seismic analysis has been performed using SSI analysis, as discussed in Section 3H.6.5.3.

### 3H.6.3 Structural Descriptions

The site-specific Seismic Category I structures at STP 3 & 4 consist of one set of the following for each unit: UHS basin, enclosed UHS cooling towers located on top of the basin, RSW pump house contiguous with and adjacent to the UHS basin, and buried RSW piping tunnels and access shafts to the tunnels (see Figures 1.2-34 through 1.2-36). Each UHS basin and RSW pump house has a 10-ft (3.05-m) thick foundation mat and are connected at a common wall; and the RSW piping tunnels extend from the pump house to the Control Buildings. Each of these structures is described in more detail in the following subsections.

#### 3H.6.3.1 Ultimate Heat Sink Basin

The UHS basin is a rectangular reinforced concrete structure with inner dimensions of 280 ft (85.34 m) by 132 ft (40.23 m) and serves as the reservoir for the RSW system. The walls of the basin are 6 ft (1.83 m) thick and extend from an elevation of 97.5 ft (29.72 m) MSL down to an elevation of 14 ft (4.27 m) MSL. The walls are braced by 6 ft (1.83m) thick buttresses spaced at a maximum of 50 ft (15.24 m) and are supported on a 312 ft (95.10 m) by 164 ft (49.99 m) by 10 ft (3.05 m) thick mat foundation, poured on a lean concrete mud mat. The mud mat is poured directly on the in-situ soil. Each UHS includes three independent divisions of mechanical cooling towers, with two dedicated cooling towers in each division. Plans and sections of the UHS basin and cooling towers are shown in Figures 3H.6-259 through 3H.6-262. The pump house is contiguous with the UHS basin and its walls extend from an elevation of -18 ft (-5.49 m) MSL to an elevation of 50 ft (15.24 m) MSL.

As noted in Subsection 9.2.5.5.2, the seepage loss estimated during the 30 days of operation following a design basis accident, with no makeup available, is within the acceptance criteria for standard hydrostatic test HST-025, as defined in ACI 350.1.

#### 3H.6.3.2 Ultimate Heat Sink Cooling Tower Enclosures

The cooling tower enclosure for each unit is a reinforced concrete structure housing the equipment used to cool the water for the RSW system. The enclosure is located above the UHS basin and is supported by reinforced concrete columns anchored to the basin mat foundation. All of the columns are 5 ft (1.52 m) by 5 ft (1.52 m), except for three which are 5 ft (1.52 m) by 12 ft (3.66 m), see Figure 3H.6-259. The enclosure is 292 ft (89.0 m) long by 52 ft (15.85 m) wide and extends from the top of the UHS basin walls to elevation 153 ft (46.63 m) MSL. See Figure 3H.6-260 for a plan view of the cooling tower and Figures 3H.6-261 and 3H.6-262 for section views. The exterior east-west walls of the enclosure are 2 ft (0.61 m) thick, and the exterior north-south walls are 6 ft (1.83 m) thick. Each enclosure is divided into six compartments or cells, with each compartment housing a fan and associated equipment. The interior walls dividing the compartments are 2 ft (0.61 m) thick. The concrete beams spanning below each interior wall are 4 ft (1.22 m) by 4.5 ft (1.37 m). Openings are provided at the base of each compartment to allow for the flow of water. Each compartment includes a common basin at the base of the structure, air intake, and substructures and components used to cool the water (fill, drift eliminators, spray system piping and nozzles, and the associated concrete support beams). The air intakes for each

compartment are located at the bottom of the enclosures and are configured to eliminate the trajectory of tornado and hurricane missiles into the enclosures, thereby preventing damage to safety-related components. In addition, each compartment includes a reinforced concrete fan deck that supports the fan and the associated motor. Finally, heavy steel grating, which is supported by structural steel beams, is installed at the top of each compartment. This grating allows for the passage of air out of the compartment and prevents the intrusion of tornado and hurricane wind-borne missiles. The clear spacing of the grating bars is 15/16 inch to prevent entrance of 1 inch steel sphere missiles.

### 3H.6.3.3 Reactor Service Water Pump Houses

The two RSW pump houses are reinforced concrete structures that are contiguous with the UHS basins and house the RSW pumps (six pumps per pump house, with three RSW divisions, and two pumps per division) and their associated auxiliaries. Plan views of the RSW Pump houses are shown in Figures 3H.6-258 through 3H.6-260. A section view is shown in Figure 3H.6-261. Each set of pumps extracts water for the RSW system from the basin. The operating floor of each pump house is divided into three separate rooms (one per RSW division), each containing two pump drivers and associated equipment, including self-cleaning strainers. There is also an access tunnel through which the RSW system piping is routed to and from the corresponding control building.

The exterior walls of each pump house and the interior walls dividing the pump bay are integral with the UHS basin walls. The exterior walls of the pump house are 6 ft (1.83 m) thick, and the interior walls are 4 ft (1.22 m) thick. The pump bay for each pump house measures approximately 44 ft (13.41 m) by 72 ft (21.95 m) in plan with the top of the bay slab being located at elevation -18ft (-5.49 m). The operating floor is at elevation 14 ft (4.27 m) and measures 138 ft (42.06 m) by 72 ft (21.95 m) in plan. The pump house operating floor is 1.75 ft (0.53 m) thick. Covered openings are provided in the roof of each pump house, which is located at elevation 50 ft (15.24 m), to allow for the removal of the six pumps. The pump house roof is 1.75 ft (0.53 m) thick.

### 3H.6.3.4 Reactor Service Water Piping Tunnels

The three RSW piping tunnels, one for each RSW division, are reinforced concrete structures configured in a stacked arrangement. The tunnel is 17'-0" (5.18 m) wide and has an overall height of 40'-0" (12.2 m). They extend from each pump room to the control building. The three tunnels are separated by reinforced concrete slabs, which serve to isolate the supply and return lines and associated equipment for each of the three divisions. Access to the tunnels from the surface, for inspections and maintenance activities, is provided by reinforced concrete personnel access shafts. The interfaces between the tunnels and the pump houses and control buildings are configured to allow relative movement between the tunnels and structures. Figure 3H.6-248 provides a plan view of the RSW piping tunnels, and Figure 3H.6-249 provides a typical section of the main tunnel. Figures 3H.6-258 through 3H.6-261 provide plan and section views of the RSW piping tunnels adjacent to the RSW Pump House.

### 3H.6.4 Structural Design Criteria

#### 3H.6.4.1 Design Codes and Standards

- Code Requirements for Nuclear Safety-Related Concrete Structures (ACI 349), as supplemented by RG 1.142
- Code Requirements for Environmental Engineering Concrete Structures (ACI 350)
- American National Standard Specification for the Design, Fabrication, and Erection of Steel Safety-Related Structures for Nuclear Facilities (ANSI/AISC N690)
- Tightness Testing of Environmental Engineering Concrete Structures (ACI 350.1)
- Minimum Design Loads for Buildings and Other Structures (ASCE/SEI 7)
- Seismic Analysis of Safety-Related Nuclear Structures and Commentary (ASCE 4)
- Structural Welding Code – Steel (AWS D1.1)
- Regulatory Guide 1.76, Design Basis Tornado and Tornado Missiles for Nuclear Power Plants
- Regulatory Guide 1.61 – Damping Values for Seismic Design of Nuclear Power Plants

#### 3H.6.4.2 Site Design Parameters

##### 3H.6.4.2.1 Soil Parameters

- Poisson's ratio (above groundwater):..... 0.42
- Poisson's ratio (below groundwater): ..... 0.47
- Unit weight (moist):..... 120 pcf (1.92 t/m<sup>3</sup>)
- Unit weight (saturated): ..... 140 pcf (2.24 t/m<sup>3</sup>)
- Liquefaction potential: .....None
- Static Soil Bearing Capacity: ..... See FSAR Subsection 2.5S.4.10
- \*Dynamic Soil Bearing Capacity:..... See FSAR Subsection 2.5S.4.10

##### 3H.6.4.2.2 Design Groundwater Level

Design groundwater level is at elevation 28 (8.53 meters) MSL. This elevation bounds the groundwater elevation defined in FSAR Subsection 2.4S.12.

### **3H.6.4.2.3 Design Basis Flood Level**

Design basis flood level is at 12.2 meters MSL. This elevation is defined in Subsection 2.4S.2.2.

### **3H.6.4.2.4 Maximum Snow Load**

Normal roof snow load is 6.6 psf. Extreme roof snow load is 13.2 psf.

### **3H.6.4.2.5 Maximum Rainfall**

Design rainfall is 19.8 in/hr (503 mm/hour) in accordance with Subsection 2.3S.1.3.4. The roof of each pump house is designed without parapets so that excessive ponding of water cannot occur. Such roof design meets the provisions of RG 1.102.

## **3H.6.4.3 Design Loads and Load Combinations**

### **3H.6.4.3.1 Normal Loads**

Normal loads are those that are encountered during normal plant startup, operation, and shutdown.

#### **3H.6.4.3.1.1 Dead Loads (D)**

Dead loads include the weight of the structure, permanent equipment, and other permanent static loads. An additional 50 psf (2.39 kPa) uniform load is considered to account for dead loads due to piping, raceways, grating, and HVAC duct work.

#### **3H.6.4.3.1.2 Live Loads (L and L<sub>o</sub>)**

Live loads include floor and roof area loads, movable loads, and laydown loads. The only areas of the site-specific Category I structures requiring consideration of a live load are the floors of RSW Tunnels and the operating floor and roof of the pump houses. While a normal live load of 200 psf (9.6 kPa) is defined for the floors of RSW Tunnels and the operating floor of pump houses, a live load of 50 psf (2.4 kPa) is defined for the roof of pump houses.

For the computation of global seismic loads, the live load is limited to the expected live load present during normal plant operation, L<sub>o</sub>. This load has been defined as 25% of the operating floor and roof live loads. However, design of local elements such as beams and slabs is based on consideration of full normal live load.

#### **3H.6.4.3.1.3 Snow Loads**

The normal roof snow load is 6.6 psf.

**3H.6.4.3.1.4 Lateral Soil Pressures (H)**

Lateral soil pressures are calculated using the following soil properties.

- Unit weight (moist):..... 120 pcf (1.92 t/m<sup>3</sup>)
- Unit weight (saturated): ..... 140 pcf (2.24 t/m<sup>3</sup>)
- Internal friction angle: ..... 30°
- Poisson's ratio (above groundwater)..... 0.42
- Poisson's ratio (below groundwater) ..... 0.47
- Surcharge load including the effect of adjacent structures, where applicable.

The calculated lateral soil pressures are presented in figures as indicated:

- Lateral soil pressures for design of UHS/RSW Pump House: Figures 3H.6-232 through 3H.6-240.
- Lateral Soil pressures for design of RSW Piping Tunnels: Figures 3H.6-245 through 3H.6-247.

**3H.6.4.3.1.5 Thermal Loads (T<sub>o</sub>)**

The RSW piping tunnels are not subjected to accident temperature loading. Under ambient conditions, the uniform temperature changes and thermal gradients within the RSW piping tunnels are less than 50°F and 100°F, respectively. Referring to article 1.3 of ACI 349.1R-07, for such thermal conditions explicit consideration of ambient temperature effects is not warranted.

Thermal gradient loads and thermal axial loads are applied to the UHS/RSW Pump House finite element model for six (6) separate thermal conditions.

The following temperature values are applicable to all six (6) thermal conditions:

- Reference concrete placement temperature .....60°F
- Soil temperature .....70°F
- Pump house inside air temperature.....90°F

The basin water temperature and the outside air temperature for the six (6) thermal conditions are as follows:

(1) Winter – Accident Basin Water Temperature

- Basin water temperature .....95°F
- Outside air temperature .....24°F

- (2) Winter – Minimum Basin Water Temperature
- Basin water temperature .....50°F
  - Outside air temperature.....24°F
- (3) Winter - Typical Operating Temperatures
- Basin water temperature .....55°F
  - Outside air temperature.....45°F

This thermal condition is applicable only for the basin basemat and basin walls below the 71 ft maximum water level with ACI 350-01 durability factors. Per Section 9.2.7 of ACI 350-01, estimation of contraction, expansion, and temperature change should be based on realistic assessment of such effects occurring in service. Section R.9.2.7 of ACI 350-01 specifically states that the term “realistic assessment” is used to indicate the most probable values rather than the upper bound values.

- (4) Summer - Accident Basin Water Temperature
- Basin water temperature .....95°F
  - Outside air temperature.....90°F
- (5) Summer – Minimum Basin Water Temperature
- Basin water temperature .....60°F
  - Outside air temperature.....90°F
- (6) Summer – Typical Operating Temperatures
- Basin water temperature .....95°F
  - Outside air temperature.....90°F

This thermal condition is applicable only for the basin basemat and basin walls below the 71 ft maximum water level with ACI 350-01 durability factors. Conservatively, the summer accident temperatures are considered as the typical summer operating temperatures.

#### 3H.6.4.3.1.6 Hydrostatic Loads(F)

This load is only applicable to UHS/RSW Pump House. The hydrostatic load due to water inside the UHS basin is calculated considering the maximum water height of 71 ft above the top of the UHS basin basemat. The maximum hydrostatic pressure is 4.43 ksf at the top of UHS basin basemat elevation. An empty basin case is also considered with the UHS basin conservatively considered completely empty.

### 3H.6.4.3.2 Severe Environmental Load

The severe environmental load considered in the design is that generated by wind. The following parameters are used in the computation of the wind loads:

- Basic wind speed (100 year recurrence interval, 3-second gust):..... 134 mph (215 km/h)
- Exposure: ..... C
- Importance factor: ..... 1.0

(Importance Factor of 1.15 is used to convert the velocity pressure due to 50-year wind speed to the velocity pressure due to the 100-year wind speed of 134 mph in accordance with the requirements of ASCE 7-05. In calculating the velocity pressure with the ASCE 7-05 Equation 6-15, Importance Factor of 1.0 is used with the 100-year wind speed of 134 mph.)

- Velocity pressure exposure coefficient as per ASCE 7 Table 6-3, but  $\geq 0.87$
- Topographic factor ..... 1.0
- Wind directionality factor ..... 1.0

Wind loads will be calculated in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 6 of ASCE 7.

### 3H.6.4.3.3 Extreme Environmental Load

Extreme environmental loads consist of loads generated by the tornado, extreme snow load, flooding and safe shutdown earthquake (SSE).

#### 3H.6.4.3.3.1 Tornado Loads ( $W_t$ )

The following tornado load effects are considered in the design:

- Wind speed ..... ( $W_w$ )
- Differential pressure ..... ( $W_p$ )
- Missile impact..... ( $W_m$ )

Parameters used in computation of tornado loads are as follows (see Tables 1 and 2 of RG 1.76, for Region II):

- Maximum wind speed:..... 200 mph (322 km/h)
- Maximum rotational speed: ..... 160 mph (257 km/h)
- Maximum translational speed:..... 40 mph (64 km/h)
- Radius of maximum rotational speed: ..... 150 ft (45.7 m)

- Differential pressure: ..... 0.9 psi (6.2 kPa)
- Pressure differential rate: .....0.4 psi/s (2.8 kPa/s)
- Missile spectrum:..... (See Table 2 of RG 1.76)

(1) Tornado Wind Pressure ( $W_w$ )

With the exception of the RSW piping tunnel, which does not require the consideration of a tornado wind pressure, tornado wind pressures are computed using the procedure described in Chapter 6 of ASCE 7, in conjunction with the maximum wind speed defined above and the following parameters:

- Importance factor ..... 1.15
- Velocity pressure exposure coefficient..... 0.87
- Topographic factor ..... 1.0
- Wind directionality factor ..... 1.0

(2) Tornado Differential Pressure ( $W_p$ )

The designs of the UHS basin, UHS cooling tower, and the RSW piping tunnel do not require the consideration of a tornado differential pressure. RSW pump house and RSW piping tunnel access shafts are evaluated for the specified differential pressure.

(3) Tornado Missile Impact ( $W_m$ )

All structures are evaluated for the effects of missile impact.

Tornado missile impact effects on the UHS basin and cooling tower enclosures, RSW pump houses, and RSW tunnels including access shafts are evaluated for the following two conditions:

- (a) For concrete barriers, local damage in terms of penetration, perforation, and spalling, is evaluated using the TM 5-855-1 formula (Reference 3H.6-1). For steel barriers, local damage prediction is performed using the Ballistic Research Laboratory (BRL) formula (Reference 3H.6-2).
- (b) Global overall damage evaluations are performed in accordance with Revision 3 of SRP 3.5.3. In these evaluations, the tornado loads (i.e.  $W_t$ ) to be included in combination with other applicable loads are per combination  $W_t = W_w + 0.5W_p + W_m$ .

For any critical missile hit location considered, the structure is analyzed for the resulting equivalent static load due to tornado missile impact in conjunction with tornado wind pressure and 50% of tornado differential

pressure. The resulting induced forces and moments from this analysis are combined with the induced forces and moments due to other applicable loads within the load combination to determine the total demand for design of the structural elements.

(4) Tornado Load Combinations

Tornado load effects are combined as follows:

$$W_t = W_p$$

$$W_t = W_w + 0.5W_p + W_m$$

### 3H.6.4.3.3.2 Safe Shutdown Earthquake Loads (E')

The SSE loads are applied in three mutually orthogonal directions— two horizontal directions and the vertical direction. The total structural response is predicted by combining the applicable maximum co-directional responses in accordance with RG 1.92.

The SSE loads are based on seismic analysis using the ground motion response spectra defined in Subsection 3H.6.5.1.1.1. The loads consist of vertical forces, horizontal forces, torsional moments, and overturning moments.

The SSE induced loads also include the hydrodynamic effect of the water in the UHS basin. This hydrodynamic effect was calculated based on the methodology included in Section 3.1.6.3 of ASCE 4 and TID 7024, referenced in the commentary section of ASCE 4.

### 3H.6.4.3.3.3 Lateral Soil Pressures Including the Effects of SSE (H')

The calculated lateral soil pressures including the effects of SSE are presented in figures as indicated:

- Lateral soil pressures for design of UHS/RSW Pump House: Figures 3H.6-41 through 3H.6-43 and Figures 3H.6-218 through 3H.6-220. Figure 3H.6-219 shows exceedances of the SSSI seismic soil pressures beyond the design dynamic soil pressures on the north wall of the Reactor Service Water Pump House. However, the induced out-of-plane shear and moment in each wall panel due to the design soil pressures are greater than the out-of-plane shear and moment due to SSSI soil pressures. Therefore, the exceedances in the SSSI pressures are acceptable.
- Lateral Soil pressures for design of RSW Piping Tunnels: Figure 3H.6-44 and Figures 3H.6-212 through 3H.6-217.

### 3H.6.4.3.3.4 Extreme Environmental Flood (FL)

The design basis flood level is 40.0 ft MSL, in accordance with Subsections 2.4S.2.2 and 3H.6.4.2.3. The flood water unit weight, considering maximum sediment

concentration, is 63.85 pcf per Section 2.4S.4.2.2.4.3. The design requirements for this flood, including hydrostatic, hydrodynamic, and floating debris loading, are included in Section 3.4.2.

### 3H.6.4.3.3.5 Extreme Snow Load ( $S_E$ )

Per FSAR Section 2.3S.1.3.4, the ground snow load for both normal winter precipitation event and extreme frozen winter precipitation is 5.5 psf. ISG-7 provides guidance for converting the ground snow load to roof snow load using methodology provided in ASCE 7-05. ASCE 7-05 utilizes an exposure factor ( $C_e$ ), a thermal factor ( $C_t$ ), and an importance factor ( $I$ ) as multipliers for converting ground snow load to roof snow load using Equation 7-1 in Section 7.3. ISG-7 also provides recommended values for these three coefficients to be used in Equation 7-1. As noted in ISG-7, pages 9 and 10, the coefficients to be used in Equation 7-1 of ASCE 7-05 are ( $C_e=1.1$ ), ( $C_t=1.0$ ), and ( $I=1.2$ ). Using these values for the coefficients in Equation 7-1 of ASCE 7-05, and the limitation for minimum value provided in Section 7.3 of ASCE 7-05, the roof snow load is determined to be 6.6 psf, corresponding to a ground snow load of 5.5 psf.

Per ISG-7, the extreme winter precipitation shall be the larger of the following two cases:

Case 1: Normal winter precipitation + Extreme frozen winter precipitation

Case 2: Normal winter precipitation + Extreme liquid winter precipitation

Per FSAR Section 2.3S.1.3.4, the extreme liquid winter precipitation is 34 inches (or 177 psf). Assuming that both the roof drains and scuppers are clogged, Case 1 will yield a loading of  $6.6 + 6.6 = 13.2$  psf and Case 2 will yield a loading of  $6.6 + 177 = 183.6$  psf. However, since the roofs of site-specific structures are designed without parapets (see Section 3H.6.4.2.5), for site-specific Category I structures, the extreme winter precipitation can not exceed Case 1 loading of 13.2 psf

### 3H.6.4.3.3.6 Accident Temperature ( $T_a$ )

UHS Basin Water temperature (95°F) during accident condition.

### 3H.6.4.3.4 Load Combinations

The load combinations and structural acceptance criteria used to evaluate the site-specific Category I concrete structures are consistent with the provisions of ACI 349, as supplemented by RG 1.142 as well as ACI 350. Loads  $R_a$ ,  $P_a$ ,  $Y_r$ ,  $Y_j$ , and  $Y_m$ , as defined in ACI 349, are not applicable to the evaluation of the site-specific seismic Category I structures since there are no high energy line breaks associated with the site-specific Category I concrete structures; therefore these loads are not included in the load combinations defined below.

**3H.6.4.3.4.1 Notation**

S	=	Allowable stress for allowable stress design method
U	=	Required strength for strength design method
D	=	Dead load
F	=	Hydrostatic load
L	=	Live load
L <sub>o</sub>	=	Live load concurrent with SSE
FL	=	Static and dynamic effects due to extreme environmental flood
S <sub>E</sub>	=	Extreme snow load
H	=	Lateral soil pressure and groundwater effects
H'	=	Lateral soil pressure and groundwater effects, including dynamic effects of SSE
W	=	Wind load
Wt	=	Tornado load
E'	=	SSE load, including associated hydrodynamic loads
R <sub>o</sub>	=	Piping and equipment reactions
T <sub>o</sub>	=	Internal moments and forces caused by temperature distributions
T <sub>a</sub>	=	Accident temperature

**3H.6.4.3.4.2 Structural Steel Load Combinations**

S	=	D + L + H + F + R <sub>o</sub> + T <sub>o</sub>
S	=	D + L + W + R <sub>o</sub> + H + F + T <sub>o</sub>
1.6S <sup>(Note 1)</sup>	=	D + L + Wt + H + R <sub>o</sub> + F + T <sub>o</sub>
1.6S <sup>(Note 1)</sup>	=	D + L + FL + H + R <sub>o</sub> + F + T <sub>o</sub>
1.6S <sup>(Note 1)</sup>	=	D + L + E' + H' + R <sub>o</sub> + F + T <sub>o</sub>
1.6S <sup>(Note 1)</sup>	=	D + L + S <sub>E</sub> + R <sub>o</sub> + H + F + T <sub>o</sub>

For the computation of global seismic loads the live load is limited to the expected live load present during normal plant operation which is defined as 25% of the operating

floor and roof live loads. However, design of local elements such as beams and slabs is based on consideration of full normal live load.

Note 1: The stress limit coefficient in shear shall not exceed 1.4 in members and bolts.

#### 3H.6.4.3.4.3 Reinforced Concrete Load Combinations

$$U = 1.4D + 1.4F + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7 R_o$$

$$U = 1.4D + 1.4F + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7W + 1.7 R_o$$

$$U = D + F + L + H' + T_a + E'$$

$$U = D + F + L + H + T_o + R_o + W_t$$

$$U = D + F + L + H' + T_o + R_o + E'$$

$$U = 1.05D + 1.05F + 1.3L + 1.3H + 1.2T_o + 1.3R_o$$

$$U = 1.05D + 1.05F + 1.3L + 1.3H + 1.3W + 1.2T_o + 1.3R_o$$

$$U = D + F + L + H + T_o + R_o + FL$$

$$U = D + F + L + H + T_o + R_o + S_E$$

For the computation of global seismic loads the live load is limited to the expected live load present during normal plant operation which is defined as 25% of the operating floor and roof live loads. However, design of local elements such as beams and slabs is based on consideration of full normal live load.

#### 3H.6.4.3.4.4 ACI 350 Reinforced Concrete Load Combinations for UHS Basin Design

ACI 350 requirements are applicable to portions of environmental engineering concrete structures where durability, liquid-tightness, or similar serviceability are considerations. Therefore, the ACI 350 requirements and load combinations listed in this section are applicable only to the UHS basemat and basin walls below the maximum water level elevation.

Per ACI 350, although fluid densities and heights are usually well known, the load factor for fluid loads should be taken as 1.7 as part of the concept of environmental durability and long-term serviceability. ACI 350 states that the required strength from ACI 350 load combinations shall be multiplied by the following environment durability factors:

- Flexural strength..... 1.3
- Axial tension (including hoop tension)..... 1.65
- Excess shear strength carried by shear reinforcement..... 1.3

In addition to the reinforced concrete load combinations listed in Section 3H.6.4.3.4.3, the UHS basemat and basin walls below the maximum water level elevation are also designed for the load combinations listed below with ACI 350 durability factors applied. Except durability factors need not be applied for the hydrostatic leak-tightness testing condition, which is a temporary loading where environmental durability and long term serviceability are not required. The hydrostatic leak-tightness testing load combination uses a load factor of 1.4 on the fluid load because it is not a long-term serviceability condition that requires a load factor of 1.7. Per ACI 350, durability factors need not be applied to load combinations that include earthquake loads. As stated in Section 3H.6.4.3.1.5, the design thermal loads used in ACI 350 load combinations should be based on most probable temperature values, rather than the upper bound temperature values.

$$U = 1.4D + 1.7F + 1.7L + 1.7H$$

$$U = 1.4D + 1.7F + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7W$$

$$U = 1.4D + 1.4F + 1.7W \text{ (Hydrostatic leak-tightness testing)}$$

$$U = 1.4D + 1.7F + 1.4 T_o + 1.3H$$

#### 3H.6.4.4 Materials

Structural materials used in the design of the site-specific Category I structures are as follows:

##### 3H.6.4.4.1 Reinforced Concrete

Concrete conforms to the requirements of ACI 349. Its design properties are:

- Compressive strength ..... 4.0 ksi (27.6 MPa)
- Modulus of elasticity ..... 3,597 ksi (24.8 GPa)
- Shear modulus ..... 1,537 ksi (10.6 GPa)
- Poisson's ratio ..... 0.17

##### 3H.6.4.4.2 Reinforcement

Deformed billet steel reinforcing bars are considered in the design. Reinforcement conforms to the requirements of ASTM A615. Its design properties are:

- Yield strength ..... 60 ksi (414 MPa)
- Tensile strength ..... 90 ksi (621 MPa)

##### 3H.6.4.4.3 Structural Steel

High strength, low-alloy structural steel conforming to ASTM A572, Grade 50 is considered in the design. The steel design properties are:

- Yield strength ..... 50 ksi (345 MPa)
- Tensile strength..... 65 ksi (448 MPa)

#### **3H.6.4.4.4 Steel Grating**

Bearing bars conforming to ASTM A1011 are considered in the design. The design property is:

- Yield strength ..... 30 to 50 ksi (207 to 345 MPa)

#### **3H.6.4.4.5 Anchor Bolts**

Material for anchor bolts conforms to the requirements of ASTM F1554 (preferred anchor bolt material endorsed by ANSI/AISC N690-12), Grade 36. Its design properties are:

- Yield strength ..... 36 ksi (248 MPa)
- Tensile strength..... 58 ksi (400 MPa)

#### **3H.6.4.4.6 Testing and ISI Requirements**

Site-specific Seismic Category I structures have been included in the scope of the Design Reliability Assurance Program. Per Section 17.6S1.1b, all systems, structures, components identified as risk-significant via the Reliability Assurance Program for the design phase are included within the initial maintenance rule scope. As such these site-specific Seismic Category I structures are included in the Maintenance Rule Program. The Maintenance Rule, including monitoring and maintenance requirements for the structural materials used in the design of the site-specific Seismic Category I structures, will be implemented in accordance with 10CFR50.65 and Regulatory Guide 1.160, as described in Section 17.6S and Table 13.4S-1.

For periodic site monitoring of ground water chemistry, see Section 2.4S.12.4.

#### **3H.6.4.4.7 Materials and Quality Control**

Concrete ingredients and reinforcing bar splices will meet the requirements of ACI 349, supplemented by the Reg. Guides, Codes and Standards found in DCD Tables 1.8-20 and 1.8-21 and in Tables 1.8-21, 1.8-21a, and 1.9S-1.

Nondestructive examination of the materials to determine physical properties, placement of concrete, and erection tolerances; will meet the requirements of ACI 349, supplemented by the Reg. Guides, Codes and Standards found in DCD Tables 1.8-20 and 1.8-21 and in Tables 1.8-21, 1.8-21a, and 1.9S-1.

The materials and quality control programs comply with ACI 349, with additional criteria provided by RG 1.142 for concrete and ANSI/AISC N690-1994 including Supplement 2 (2004) for steel. These codes are included in DCD Tables 1.8-20 and 1.8-21 and in Tables 1.8-21, 1.8-21a, and 1.9S-1.

Welded rebar splices will not be used for STP 3&4.

### 3H.6.4.5 Stability Requirements

The following minimum factors of safety are required against overturning, sliding, and flotation:

Load Combination	Overturning	Sliding	Flotation
D + F'	–	–	1.1
D + H + W	1.5	1.5	–
D + H + W <sub>t</sub>	1.1	1.1	–
D + H' + E'	1.1	1.1	–

Loads D, H, H', W, W<sub>t</sub>, and E' are defined in Subsection 3H.6.4.3.4.1. F' is the buoyant force corresponding to the flood water level.

### 3H.6.5 Seismic Analysis

#### 3H.6.5.1 Seismic Design Parameters

##### 3H.6.5.1.1 Design Ground Motion

##### 3H.6.5.1.1.1 Design Response Spectra

Site-specific horizontal and vertical ground motion response spectra (GMRS) for the SSE are developed for the STP 3 & 4 site. The development of these spectra is documented in Subsection 2.5S.2.

For the seismic analysis of the site-specific structures, free field ground surface response spectra (Input Spectra) were developed, in the horizontal and vertical directions, by modifying the 0.13g Regulatory Guide 1.60 response spectra. The Input Spectra are the same as the 0.13g Regulatory Guide 1.60 spectra for frequencies equal to and higher than 2.5 Hz for the horizontal spectrum, and 3.5 Hz for the vertical spectrum. For frequencies lower than 2.5 Hz for the horizontal spectrum, and 3.5 Hz for the vertical spectrum, the Regulatory Guide spectra were increased to envelop the GMRS. These Input Spectra are defined as the site specific design SSE spectra (see Section 3.7.1) and were developed to meet the following requirements:

- a. The Input Spectra shall envelop the GMRS. See Figures 3H.6-1 and 3H.6-2 showing that the Input Spectrum envelops the GMRS in the horizontal and vertical directions, respectively.
- b. When a deconvolution analysis is performed in the SHAKE program with the Input Spectrum applied at the free field ground surface, the resulting response spectrum at the outcrop of each Seismic Category I foundation will envelop the foundation input response spectrum (FIRS) developed using the same probabilistic approach and model which was used to develop the

GMRS. A detailed description of the seismic wave transmission of the site, and the procedure used to calculate the GMRS, which is the same for the development of FIRS, is provided in FSAR Sections 2.5S.2.5 and 2.5S.2.6, respectively. See Figures 3H.6-3a, 3b & 3c through 3H.6-10a, 10b & 10c and 3H.6-11a through 3H.6-11L for a comparison of the outcrop response spectra, resulting from the application of the time histories consistent with the Input Spectra at the free field ground surface in SHAKE, and the FIRS for the UHS basin, RSW tunnel, and RSW pump house foundations, in the two horizontal and vertical directions. These figures show that the FIRS are enveloped by the foundation outcrop spectra in all cases.

- c. The response spectrum at the SHAKE outcrop of each Seismic Category I foundation envelops a broad band spectrum anchored at 0.1g. This is the minimum requirement as stated in SRP 3.7.1 and Appendix S to 10 CFR 50, "Earthquake Engineering Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants". The broad band spectrum used in our analysis is conservatively defined as the Regulatory Guide 1.60 spectrum anchored at 0.1g. See Figures 3H.6-3 through 3H.6-11, which demonstrate that this requirement is met for the UHS basin, RSW tunnel, and RSW pump house foundations, in the two horizontal and vertical directions.

It should be noted that the embedment depths shown in Section 3H.6.5.1.3 for the RSW Pump House and RSW Piping Tunnel are based on the current design. For the SSI analysis of UHS/RSW Pump House these elevations were used. However, the comparisons shown in Figures 3H.6-3 through 3H.6-11 are at elevations based on the design when the FIRS were developed. Although there is some difference in these elevations, from the review of Figures 3H.6-3 through 3H.6-11, and Figures 3A-233 through 3A-250 in Appendix 3A, it is evident that the requirements stated in (b) and (c) above are met for a wide range of elevations, starting from the deepest embedment of the Reactor Building to the shallowest embedment of the UHS Basin. Therefore, it is concluded that these two requirements are also met for the current embedment depths for the RSW Pump House and RSW Piping Tunnel, shown in Section 3H.6.5.1.3.

#### **3H.6.5.1.1.2 Design Time Histories**

Synthetic acceleration time histories consistent with the Input Spectra defined and discussed in Subsection 3H.6.5.1.1.1 were developed, using the 1952 Taft Earthquake Time Histories as seed, for use as input to the seismic analysis. A single set of time histories (two horizontal and one vertical) was developed satisfying the enveloping requirements of Option 1, Approach 2 of SRP 3.7.1, Section II (Acceptance Criteria), Revision 3. Per paragraph 2(d) of Approach 2, in lieu of the power spectrum density requirement, the requirement that the computed 5% damped response spectrum of the Synthetic time history does not exceed the target response spectrum at any frequency by more than 30% was met. In the time history method of analysis, the two horizontal and the vertical time histories were applied separately (not applied simultaneously) and the maximum responses were combined using the square-root-of-the-sum-of-the-squares (SRSS) or the 100-40-40 percent spatial combination rule. Therefore, per

Regulatory Guide 1.92, Revision 2, statistical independence of the three time histories (cross-correlation coefficient requirement) is not required.

Figures 3H.6-12 through 3H.6-14 show the comparison of the response spectrum for the Synthetic time history, the Input Spectrum, and 1.3 times the Input Spectrum, in the two horizontal and vertical directions. The response spectra of synthetic time histories were calculated for comparison with target spectra at 275 frequency points with spacing as shown in Tables 3H.6-2d through 3H.6-2f. As shown in Tables 3H.6-2d through 3H.6-2f, the 5% damped response spectra of the synthetic time histories do not fall more than 10% below the target response spectrum at any frequency.

The time step and duration of the synthetic time histories are 0.005 seconds and 22 seconds, respectively. When the time histories are input in SSI analysis using SASSI2000 program, trailing zeros are added at the end of 22 seconds to yield a total duration of 40.96 seconds (the time step of trailing zeros is also 0.005 seconds).

The duration of the time histories for Arias Intensity to rise from 5% to 75% is 11.2 seconds for the two horizontal design time histories and 12.2 seconds for the vertical design time history. For the characteristic earthquake time history this duration is calculated to be 20 to 45 seconds. The shorter duration for the design time histories is acceptable because:

- (a) The SRP requires that synthetic time histories be derived from recorded time histories from recorded earthquakes. Strong motion recorded earthquake with a 20 – 45 seconds duration of the time histories for Arias Intensity to rise from 5% to 75% are not readily available to be used for the seed time histories to generate the synthetic time histories.
- (b) The time histories are being used for linear elastic analyses. For linear analysis, the duration of the time histories is not critical provided the duration is comparable to recorded strong motion earthquakes and the time history spectra closely matches the target response spectra. For the design time histories, the duration is consistent with the Taft Earthquake and the time history closely matches the target response spectra.

For the characteristic earthquake  $V/A$  is calculated as 52 to 115 cm/sec/g and  $AD/V^2$  is calculated as 2.03 to 5.28. For the design time histories, the  $V/A$  is 230, 288, and 167 cm/sec/g for the two horizontal and the vertical time histories respectively and the  $AD/V^2$  values are 2.08, 1.89, and 3.02 respectively. This variation between the design time histories and the characteristic earthquake is due to the conservative design response spectra described in Section 3H.6.5.1.1.1. The design response spectra is a 0.13g RG 1.60 spectra with enhanced low frequency content to account for the very deep soil site. The comparison of the  $V/A$  and the  $AD/V^2$  value of the characteristic earthquake and the conservative design response spectra shows that the design response spectra has a higher energy (greater maximum Velocity).

### 3H.6.5.1.2 Percentage of Critical Damping Values

The percentages of critical damping values considered in the seismic analysis for site-specific seismic Category I structures and associated systems and components are the same as listed in DCD Table 3.7-1. The damping values are the same as in Regulatory Guides 1.61 and 1.84, except for the cable trays and conduits, as explained in DCD Section 3.7.1.3. The OBE damping values were used for the generation of in-structure response spectra (ISRS) for all site-specific seismic Category I structures. The only exception is the cracked case SSI analysis for the Reactor Service Water (RSW) Piping Tunnels where SSE damping (i.e. 7%) was used because of high stress levels. All other SSI analysis cases of RSW Piping Tunnels used OBE damping (i.e. 4%) damping.

The strain-compatible, soil-damping values considered in the seismic analysis are discussed in Subsection 3H.6.5.2.4.

### 3H.6.5.1.3 Supporting Media for Seismic Category I Structures

Soil conditions at the STP 3 & 4 site are described in Subsection 2.5S.4. The soil at the site extends down several thousand feet and consists of alternating layers of clay, silt, and sand. Soil layering characteristics, geophysical shear wave velocity, unit weight, and Poisson's ratio are included in Table 2.5S.4-27. Based on the site groundwater conditions originally described in Section 2.4S.12, the groundwater elevation of approximately 8 ft below grade (26 feet MSL) was used in computing soil properties for the SSI analysis. Subsection 2.4S.12 and Table 2.0-2 now state the groundwater elevation as 28 feet MSL. The implementation of this change in the seismic analysis is discussed in Sections 3H.6.5.2.4.3 and 3H.6.5.3.

The SASSI2000 soil model, for the UHS basin and RSW pump house, included soil down to a minimum of two times the maximum plan dimension of the building below the basemat. The bottom boundary of the model was considered to have an elastic half space condition.

The characteristic dimensions of the above grade site-specific seismic Category I structures are summarized below:

Structure	Embedment Depth to Bottom of Foundation Mat [1]	Maximum Height[1]	Base Dimensions
UHS Basin	32 ft (9.75 m)	95.5 ft (29.1 m)	312 ft (95.10 m) x 164 ft (49.99 m) x 10 ft (3.05 m) thick foundation
UHS Cooling Towers	[2]	151 ft (46.0 m)	N/A

RSW Pump Houses Pump Bays	64 ft (19.5 m)	80 ft (24.4 m)	94 ft (28.65 m) x 170 ft (51.82 m)
RSW Piping Tunnel	44 ft (13.4 m)	42 ft (12.8 m) [3]	17 ft (5.2 m) wide

[1] As measured from the bottom of the foundation mudmat.

[2] Located above the basin and supported on columns.

[3] The access shafts for the tunnels extends to a maximum height of approximately 66 ft above the bottom of the foundation mudmat.

### 3H.6.5.2 Seismic System Analysis

The following Subsections 3H.6.5.2.1 through 3H.6.5.2.14 describe the seismic analysis of the UHS and RSW pump house structures. Subsection 3H.6.5.3 describes the seismic analysis of the RSW piping tunnel.

#### 3H.6.5.2.1 Seismic Analysis Methods

The seismic analysis of the UHS basin and RSW pump house structures was performed using a frequency-domain time history analysis as described in DCD Appendix 3A using SASSI2000. Analyses were performed for three orthogonal (two horizontal and one vertical) directions and account for the translational, rocking, and torsional responses of the structures and foundations.

#### 3H.6.5.2.2 Natural Frequencies and Responses

The natural frequencies up to 33 Hz for the UHS/RSW Pump House are presented in Table 3H.6-3. Accelerations and displacements at key locations are provided in Table 3H.6-4. The SSE loads at select locations are provided in Table 3H.6-4a. Response spectra at the major equipment elevations and support points are provided in Figures 3H.6-16 through 3H.6-39. Combined forces and moments at critical locations, along with required and provided reinforcements, are provided in Tables 3H.6-7 through 3H.6-9.

The analysis of RSW Piping Tunnels is presented in Section 3H.6.6.2.2.

#### 3H.6.5.2.3 Procedures for Analytical Modeling

The seismic analysis of the UHS basin and enclosed cooling tower as well as RSW pump house for each unit was performed using a three-dimensional finite element model presented in Figure 3H.6-40. The material properties for concrete elements of the model are presented in Section 3H.6.4.4.1. Uncracked concrete section was used for member stiffness. Another case with cracked concrete section properties was analyzed. The section modulus of the cracked concrete was based on 50% of the uncracked section modulus. For structural steel elements the Young's Modulus of  $29 \times 10^6$  psi and Poisson's ratio of 0.3 was used. The model consists primarily of plate elements that represent the reinforced concrete walls, buttresses, and foundation as well as the walls and slabs of the basin, cooling towers, and pump house. Beam

elements were used to represent concrete columns and beams. Finally, solid elements were used to represent the basin and pump houses house basemat. The floor and wall flexibility was modeled in the finite element model. The structural model mesh size is detailed enough to model the principal features of the structure and transmit frequencies of at least 33 Hz. The analysis was performed in the frequency domain as described in DCD Appendix 3A. The input time histories were defined at a time step of 0.005 seconds. The same time step was used for generation of the in-structure response spectra.

The mass of the structures was represented primarily by the density of the plate, beam, and solid elements comprising the model. The dead load of the structures and major equipment (fans and pumps) was included along with a 50 psf load to account for the attached piping, grating, electrical cable trays and conduits, HVAC duct work etc., as described in Section 3H.6.4.3.1.1. In addition, as described in Section 3H.6.4.3.1.2, 25% of the floor live load was also included. The damping values consistent with Regulatory Guide 1.61 were used as described in Section 3H.6.5.1.2. The impulsive water mass was calculated using the procedure described in Commentary Subsection C3.5.4 of ASCE 4-98, and was included in the model.

#### **3H.6.5.2.4 Soil-Structure Interaction**

The following describes the soil-structure-interaction (SSI) analysis for the UHS/RSW Pump House.

SSI effects were accounted for by the use of the SASSI2000 computer program using subtraction method of analysis, in conjunction with time histories described in Subsection 3H.6.5.1.1.2 and the structural model described in Subsection 3H.6.5.2.3 and shown in Figures 3H.6-15 and 3H.6-15a through 3H.6-15g. For resolution of issues with the subtraction method of analysis identified by the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board (DNFSB) see Section 3H.10. The input ground motion time histories described in Section 3H.6.5.1.1.2 were applied at the finished grade in the free field. SASSI2000 implicitly considers transmitting boundaries in the formulation of impedance calculation. SASSI2000 sub-structuring method was used and no boundary condition besides the standard SASSI2000 elastic half space at the bottom of the site soil layering was used. The SASSI2000 analysis addresses the embedment of the structure, groundwater effects, the layering of the soil, and variations of the strain-dependent soil properties. A separate SSI analysis for effects of side soil-wall separation during the seismic event was performed for mean in-situ soil profile using the method in Section 3.3.1.9 of ASCE 4-98. Results of this analysis were enveloped with other SSI analyses.

The strain-compatible soil shear wave velocity and damping values for the SSI analysis were obtained from the same site response analysis which was used to develop the GMRS, as described in Section 2.5S.2.5. The seismic site response analysis was conducted using P-SHAKE computer program, which also provided the strain-compatible soil properties for the SSI analysis. A set of mean strain-compatible shear wave velocity and damping profiles along with the associated standard deviations was calculated. The calculated mean properties and associated standard

deviations were used to develop the best estimate (BE), upper bound (UB), and lower bound (LB) profiles. While the BE profile is the mean profile, the UB and LB profiles are the median +/- one standard deviation, respectively, maintaining the minimum variation of 1.5 on soil shear modulus, per the guidance provided in SRP 3.7.2. The corresponding compression wave velocity profiles were calculated using the shear wave velocity and the Poisson's ratio.

For saturated soil, the Poisson's ratio was capped at 0.48 to avoid any potential numerical instability that might be caused if a larger value is used in soil-structure interaction analysis using the SASSI2000 program. A sensitivity study was performed to assess the effect of capping the Poisson's ratio in the seismic SSI results. Control Building (CB) SSI model was used to perform this sensitivity study. SSI analysis results using Poisson's ratio limit of 0.495 were compared with the analyses results which used the Poisson's ratio limit of 0.48. The responses compared were (a) transfer functions, (b) total seismic forces, (c) maximum nodal accelerations and (d) response spectra. The comparisons were performed for the lower bound soil and the upper bound soil.

Based on these comparisons, it was concluded that the results obtained from Poisson's ratio capped at 0.495 are in general close to the corresponding enveloped responses obtained from the Poisson's ratio capped at 0.48, except for some of the responses in the vertical direction, especially for the vertical responses of the floor slabs. The following considerations apply to these exceedances.

- For the Control and Reactor Buildings, where the original site-specific SSI analyses used 0.48 as the Poisson's ratio cut-off, as described in Appendix 3A, it was shown that the DCD responses were higher than the site-specific responses. Even the modified responses, with 0.495 as the Poisson's ratio cut-off, show similar margins in comparison to the DCD responses. Therefore, the increases in vertical responses shown in this sensitivity study, as discussed above, are not significant to the conclusion that the DCD responses significantly envelop the site-specific responses for the Reactor and Control Buildings.
- For the new SSI analyses of the site-specific structures, a Poisson's ratio of 0.495 has been used. Therefore, the conclusions derived from the new analyses include the effect of higher Poisson's ratio cut-off.

The resulting strain-compatible properties for the three profiles, which were used in the SSI analysis, are presented in Table 3H.6-1. The soil layer thicknesses used in the SSI model were sufficiently small to transmit frequencies up to 33 Hz for mean soil properties in the vertical direction (i.e. SASSI2000 interaction nodes spacing in the vertical direction).

The layer thicknesses used for both in-situ soil and back fill soil, in the SSI model, were modified from those shown in Tables 3H.6-1 and 3H.6-2 to have thicknesses sufficiently small enough to conservatively transmit frequencies up to 33 Hz in the vertical direction for the corresponding mean soil properties. Tables 3H.6-1a, b, and c provide the actual layer thicknesses, along with the strain-compatible soil properties

data and passing frequency values for the three in-situ soil profiles, i.e., mean, upper bound, and lower bound, respectively. Similar data for the backfill are provided in Tables 3H.6-2a, b, and c. The layer thicknesses, H, were computed using the following equation:

$$H = V_s / (5 * F_{t-s})$$

where  $V_s$  is the shear wave velocity and  $F_{t-s}$  is the transmittal frequency.

In the SSI model, the layer thicknesses used for the mean soil case were also used for the lower bound in-situ and back fill soil. Based on the above equation, the transmittal frequencies for the lower bound soil layers are 26 Hz or higher in the vertical direction. ASCE 4-98, Section 3.3.3.5 recommends that "The cutoff frequency may be taken as twice the highest dominant frequency of the coupled soil-structure system for the direction under consideration, but not less than 10 Hz." The dominant frequency of coupled soil-structure system has been calculated using the procedure recommended in ASCE 4-98, Section 3.3.3.5. Based on this calculation the highest frequency of the coupled soil-structure system is less than 6 Hz. Thus, the cutoff frequency is required to be at least 12 Hz. The lower bound soil model's lowest transmittal frequency of 26 Hz is larger than the required 12 Hz, and therefore is acceptable.

In order to account for the backfill placed adjacent to the walls, an additional set of SSI analyses was performed by modeling the backfill as the soil horizon above the foundation level in the SASSI2000 model. The soil layer thicknesses used for the back fill were sufficiently small to transmit the required frequencies as explained in the above paragraph. The responses obtained from this set of SSI analyses and the analyses using in-situ soil as the horizon were enveloped.

The following properties were used for the backfill to obtain shear wave and compression wave velocities, and damping ratios used in the SSI analysis:

- Unit Weight:..... 120 pcf (1,922 kg/m<sup>3</sup>)
- Compaction: ..... 95% Modified Proctor
- Poisson's Ratio:..... 0.42 above water table, 0.47 below water table

Based on the physical properties of the backfill described above, its strain compatible dynamic soil properties are estimated using the following steps:

- (1) Determine SSE compatible soil shear strains in the backfill

It is assumed that the strains in the backfill are same as in the surrounding soil (in-situ soil). This assumption is reasonable because the extent of the backfill is small as compared to the surrounding soil and the primary motion

of the backfill will be about the same as the surrounding soil. The strain in the in-situ soil is calculated using the following steps:

- (a) The ratio  $G / G_{max}$  for an in-situ stratum is calculated using the mean strain compatible shear wave velocity ( $V_{strain}$ ) in layers (from Table 3H.6 1) within the stratum and the average field measured shear wave velocity ( $V_{field}$ , from Table 2.5S.4-27) in the following equation:

$$G / G_{max} = [V_{strain} / V_{field}]^2$$

- (b) Using the shear modulus degradation curve (see Table 2.5S.4-32) of the soil stratum and the above calculated  $G / G_{max}$  ratio, the SSE induced shear strain is calculated for the stratum.
- (c) An average value of shear strain is calculated for the entire backfill depth by averaging the strain values for all the strata.

- (2) Determine the strain compatible shear modulus and damping values of the backfill

The backfill is granular soil compacted to 95% Modified Proctor (85% relative density). Based on this, shear modulus degradation curve for the 85% relative density sand from Earthquake Engineering Research Center (EERC) Report 70-10 (Soil Moduli and Damping Factors for Dynamic Response Analysis, by Seed and Idriss) is used for calculating the strain compatible shear modulus, for the strain calculated in Step 1. The strain compatible shear modulus of the backfill,  $G_{backfill}$  is calculated using the following equation:

$$G_{backfill} = 1000 K_2 \sigma_m^{1/2} \text{ psf} \quad (\text{EERC Report 70-10})$$

Where the coefficient  $K_2$  is from the EERC Report 70-10 degradation curve for the calculated shear strain, and  $\sigma_m$  is the effective mean principal stress in the soil.

The damping value of the backfill is estimated using the sand strain dependent damping curve provided in EERC Report 70-10.

The above strain compatible shear modulus is the best estimate values ( $G_m$ ). To consider the variability in shear modulus values, the lower bound ( $G_{LB}$ ) and upper bound ( $G_{UB}$ ) values are calculated using SRP Section 3.7.2 criteria.

$$G_{LB} = G_m / 1.5$$

$$G_{UB} = 1.5 \times G_m$$

The corresponding strain compatible shear wave velocities ( $V_S$ ) and compression wave velocities ( $V_P$ ) are calculated using the general equations:

$V_S = [G / \rho]^{1/2}$  where G is the shear modulus and  $\rho$  is the mass density of soil.

$$V_P = V_S [ (2 - 2\nu) / (1 - 2\nu) ]^{1/2}$$

Where,  $\nu$  is the Poisson's Ratio values equal to 0.42 and 0.47 for the backfill above groundwater and below groundwater table, respectively.

The strain-compatible shear wave and compression wave velocities, and damping ratios calculated as above are used in the three backfill models (mean, upper bound, and lower bound) are shown in Table 3H.6-2.

#### 3H.6.5.2.4.1 Soil-Structure Interaction Analysis for Empty UHS Basin

Section 3H.6.5.2.4 describes the SSI analysis for the full UHS basin case. An additional SSI analysis was performed for the empty UHS basin case. This analysis uses the same model and methodology as the analysis described in Section 3H.6.5.2.4 except that analyses for mean and lower bound backfill soil cases were excluded because their properties are bounded by the lower and upper bound in-situ soil cases. Also Poisson's ratio limit was set at 0.495 for calculation of compression wave velocity for soil layers below the ground water table. Results of this analysis and the analysis for the full basin case were enveloped.

#### 3H.6.5.2.4.2 Additional Sensitivity Analysis for Refined Mesh

Additional SSI analyses were performed using a refined mesh for the soil and structural model. These analyses are described below.

Two additional UHS/RSW Pump House SSI analyses were performed for the upper bound soil profile case (UB soil case) considering both full and empty UHS basin, with a refined model shown in Figure 3H.6-15h.

The refined SSI model used for these analyses has the following passing frequency capability (passing frequency,  $f = V_s / 5 h$ , where  $V_s$  is the shear wave velocity of the soil layer and  $h$  is the vertical or horizontal distance between the adjacent interaction nodes):

Vertical direction: 40.4 Hz

Horizontal direction: 23.5 Hz

For soil layers below groundwater level, the Poisson's ratio was capped at 0.495 for determining the compression wave velocity. A cut-off frequency of 33 Hz was used in these analyses for transfer function calculation.

The passing frequency of about 24 Hz in the horizontal direction was selected since the site has a deep soil profile and the SSI frequencies are below 6 Hz. Also, as noted in SRP 3.7.1 Revision 3, Appendix A, the energy content of the earthquake time histories above 24 Hz is inconsequential.

Based on the results of the above refined SSI analyses, and additional structural mesh sensitivity analyses, envelope modification factors were determined for increase of the following in-structure response spectra obtained from the SSI analyses described in Section 3H.6.5.2.4 and 3H.6.5.2.4.1.

- Vertical direction spectra at the center of the Pump House Roof
- Vertical direction spectra at the center of the Pump House Operating Floor
- Vertical direction spectra of the Cooling Tower Walls
- Out-of-plane horizontal spectra of the Basin Walls

#### **3H.6.5.2.4.3 Final In-Structure Response Spectra**

In response to issues with the subtraction method of analysis identified by the Defense Nuclear Facilities Board (DNFSB) discussed in Section 3H.10, the SSI analysis for the upper bound in-situ soil case was repeated for both full and empty basin cases using the modified subtraction method of analysis. Also, in these analyses the groundwater table was changed to 6 ft below grade. Based on comparison of the resulting response spectra from these analyses to those from the subtraction method of analysis additional modification factors were determined for increase of in-structure response spectra from the subtraction method of analysis to account for the effect of using the modified subtraction method. The product of these modification factors and those described in Section 3H.6.5.2.4.2 as shown in Table 3H.6-17 were used to increase the in-structure response spectra described in Sections 3H.6.5.2.4 and 3H.6.5.2.4.1. Then, the results of the full and empty basin analyses were enveloped.

The final in-structure response spectra are shown in Figures 3H.6-16 through 3H.6-39.

#### **3H.6.5.2.5 Development of In-Structure Response Spectra**

In-structure response spectra (ISRS), shown in Figures 3H.6-16 through 3H.6-39 were developed as part of the SSI analysis in accordance with RG 1.122. The ISRS in a given direction was obtained by combining the three ISRS in that direction (developed from the separate analyses of the three directions of input motion) by the square-root-of-the-sum-of-the-squares (SRSS) method. The frequency increment for the calculation of ISRS was either smaller than or the same as provided in Table 1 of Regulatory Guide 1.122. The ISRS were broadened by  $\pm 15\%$  based on the guidance provided in Regulatory Guide 1.122. See Section 3H.6.5.2.9 for the treatment of the effects due to concrete cracking.

#### **3H.6.5.2.6 Three Components of Earthquake Motion**

Separate analyses were performed in three orthogonal (two horizontal and one vertical) directions. Total structural responses (accelerations, displacements, and forces) were calculated by combining the co-directional responses as described in Subsection 3H.6.5.1.1.2.

### 3H.6.5.2.7 Combination of Modal Responses

Since a frequency-domain seismic analysis was performed, there were no modal responses to be combined.

### 3H.6.5.2.8 Interaction of Non-Category I Structures with Category I SSCs

There are no non-Category I structures near the site-specific seismic Category I structures. Consequently, there is no interaction between non-Category I and the site-specific seismic Category I structures.

### 3H.6.5.2.9 Effects of Parameter Variations on Floor Responses

The soil property variation described in Subsection 3H.6.5.2.4 is accounted for in the generation of the ISRS. In addition, the impact of variations in the input parameters to the seismic analysis is accounted for by broadening the FRS in accordance with RG 1.122. To account for concrete cracking, in addition to other uncertainties, the ISRS are developed with structural properties based on cracked concrete stiffness and the mean soil properties. These spectra are enveloped with the spectra from the uncracked analysis and, then, widened by  $\pm 15\%$  to obtain final ISRS for use in design.

### 3H.6.5.2.10 Use of Equivalent Vertical Static Factors

Since a separate seismic analysis was performed for the vertical direction, equivalent static factors were not used to define the vertical seismic responses.

### 3H.6.5.2.11 Methods Used to Account for Torsional Effects

Inherent torsion (i.e. torsion resulting from eccentricity between the locations of the center of mass and the center of rigidity) is accounted for in the seismic analysis. Note that the structural model in the SSI analysis of the UHS/RSW pump house is a detailed 3-D finite element model which incorporates torsional degrees of freedom and eccentricities. The SSI analysis does not account for accidental torsion.

The accidental torsion is computed in accordance with the SRP Acceptance Criteria 3.7.2.II.11 considering an additional eccentricity of  $\pm 5\%$  of the maximum building dimension for both horizontal directions. The magnitude and location of the eccentricities in the two horizontal directions are determined separately at each floor elevation. The induced member forces due to this accidental torsion are obtained from static analysis of the structure and are added to the induced forces due to other applicable loads whether the analysis predicts positive or negative results (i.e. absolute sum).

### 3H.6.5.2.12 Comparison of Responses

Since only a frequency-domain analysis is performed, comparison of responses with the response spectrum method of analysis is not applicable.

### 3H.6.5.2.13 Analysis Procedure for Damping

The SSI analysis accounts for the structural and soil-damping described in Subsection 3H.6.5.1.2.

### 3H.6.5.2.14 Determination of Seismic Overturning Moments and Sliding Forces for Seismic Category I Structures

The evaluation of seismic overturning moments and sliding accounts for the simultaneous application of seismic forces in three directions using 100%, 40%, 40% combination rule as shown below:

±100% X-excitation ±40% Y-excitation +40% Z-excitation  
 ±40% X-excitation ±100% Y-excitation +40% Z-excitation

(Note: X & Y are horizontal axes and Z is vertical axis. Positive Z is upward. Also, ±40% X-excitation ±40% Y-excitation ±100% Z-excitation is not critical for the UHS/RSW Pump House).

The resisting forces and moments due to dead load are calculated using a reduction factor of 0.90. Resisting forces and moments due to soil are based on at-rest soil pressure, or passive soil pressure, as appropriate. The friction coefficients used for the sliding evaluation are 0.30 under the RSW Pump House and 0.40 under the UHS Basin. See Figure 3H.6-137 for formulations used for calculation of factors of safety against sliding and overturning. The calculated stability safety factors for the UHS/RSW Pump House are provided in Table 3H.6-5.

Note: Figure 3H.6-137 presents the formulations for sliding and overturning check for a single horizontal direction earthquake. When considering two horizontal (X and Y) excitations, for sliding check, the formulations of Figure 3H.6-137 remain unchanged except that the friction force (F) along the X or Y direction is replaced with  $F_x$  and  $F_y$  (friction force along the x and y axes, respectively).  $F_x$  and  $F_y$  forces are determined as follows:

Let:

$R_x$  = Total driving sliding force along the x-axis

$R_y$  = Total driving sliding force along the y-axis

$R$  = Resultant driving sliding force =  $[R_x^2 + R_y^2]^{1/2}$

$F$  = Total friction force as defined in Figure 3H.6-137

$F_x$  = Friction force along the x-axis

$F_y$  = Friction force along the y-axis

Then,

$$F_x = F(R_x/R)$$

$$F_y = F(R_y/R)$$

For overturning check, when considering two horizontal (X and Y) excitations, the structure will tend to tip about a building corner. However, since under two simultaneous horizontal excitations there is no reduction in the resisting dead load and soil pressures against overturning about each of the two principal axes of the structure, the formulations of Figure 3H.6-137 for calculation of minimum factor of safety against overturning will remain unchanged. Depending on the magnitude of the driving and resisting forces as well as building geometry, overturning about one of the two principal axes of the structure will yield the minimum safety factor against overturning. Since the STP 3&4 overturning evaluations address overturning about each of the two principal axes of the structure, the minimum safety factor against overturning of the structure is appropriately determined.

### 3H.6.5.2.15 Plant Shutdown Criteria

The plant shutdown criteria described in DCD Section 3.7.4.4 will be used based on the site-specific SSE response spectra shown in Figures 3.7-1a and 3.7-2a.

### 3H.6.5.2.16 Seismic Category I Substructures

Analysis and design of site-specific Seismic Category I substructures (e.g., platforms, support frame structures, buried piping, tunnels, etc.) are in accordance with DCD Tier 2 Section 3.7.3, except that the site-specific SSE is used as seismic input. There is no site-specific Seismic Category I above ground tank at STP 3 & 4.

### 3H.6.5.3 Seismic Analysis of RSW Piping Tunnels

The RSW Piping Tunnel runs north from the UHS/RSW Pump House to Control Building (CB) and passes between the Reactor Building (RB) and Radwaste Building (RWB). Since, the tunnel is a long structure, two dimensional (2D) SSI analyses have been performed for this tunnel. The following three sections of the RSW Tunnel have been used in the SSI analyses:

- An east-west typical 2D section of the tunnel between the UHS/RSW Pump House and the RB for SSI analysis of the RSW tunnel.
- An east-west 2D section of the tunnel between the RWB and RB, for structure-soil-structure interaction (SSSI) analysis to determine the SSSI effect on the seismic soil pressures.
- A north-south 2D section of the tunnel between the Diesel Generator Fuel Oil Storage Vault (DGFOV) and the UHS/RSW Pump House, for SSSI analysis to determine the SSSI effect on the seismic soil pressures.

All of the above SSI analyses have been performed using SASSI2000 computer program. The following summarizes the details of the above stated SSI and SSSI analyses.

### SSI Analysis of the Typical 2D Section of RSW Tunnel (using the direct method of analysis)

Figure 3H.6-209 shows the structural part of the 2D plane-strain model of the reinforced concrete RSW Piping Tunnel with 2 ft thick mud mat under the base slab. The top of the tunnel is 1.75 ft below grade. The model uses 4-node plane-strain elements to model the 3 ft thick exterior walls, 3 ft thick base slab, two 2 ft thick intermediate floors, 2 ft thick mud mat and the 1.75 ft soil above the tunnel. As shown in Figure 3H.6-209, spring elements are added on the side walls of the tunnel to calculate the seismic soil pressures on the tunnel walls.

The Specifics of this 2D SSI model are as follows:

- The structural properties (i.e. mass and stiffness) for the 2D model correspond to per unit depth (1 ft dimension in the out-of-plane direction) of the tunnel.
- Layered soil is modeled up to 124 ft depth with half space below it (more than two times the horizontal dimension of RSW Piping Tunnel plus its embedment depth).
- Six cases of strain dependent soil properties representing in-situ lower bound, mean and upper bound; and backfill lower bound, mean and upper bound are considered.
- Analysis cases also include one case with cracked concrete (50% concrete modulus value) and one case with soil separation (20 ft depth). Backfill upper bound soil case was used in these analyses.
- Concrete and mud mat damping are assigned 4% for all cases, except 7% damping is assumed for the cracked case.
- Groundwater was considered at 8 ft depth (26 feet MSL). Subsection 2.4S.12 and Table 2.0-2 now state the site groundwater elevation as 28 feet MSL. Therefore, a sensitivity analysis of this change in groundwater elevation was performed using the Diesel Generator Fuel Oil Storage Vault SSI model, which showed no significant effect on the analysis results. The ground water effect is included by using minimum P-wave velocity of 5000 ft/sec except for cases where use of this minimum P-wave velocity results in Poisson's ratio in excess of 0.495.
- Model is capable of passing frequencies for both vertical and horizontal directions at least up to 32.9 Hz.
- Cut-off frequency for transfer function calculation is 33 Hz.
- Input motion is the amplified site specific SSE motion considering the effect of nearby heavy RB and UHS/RSW Pump House structures. These amplified motions were obtained from three dimensional (3D) SSI analyses of the RB and UHS/RSW PH SSI analyses as described below. For resolution of issues with

the subtraction method of analysis identified by the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board (DNFSB) see Section 3H.10.

- In the three dimensional SSI analysis of the RB for site-specific SSE, one interaction node at the ground surface and one interaction node at the depth corresponding to the bottom elevation of the RSW Piping Tunnel were located at six locations along the centerline of the RSW Piping Tunnel.
- In the three dimensional SSI analysis of the UHS/RSW Pump House for site-specific SSE, one interaction node at the ground surface and one interaction node at the depth corresponding to the bottom elevation of the RSW Piping Tunnel were located at one location at centerline of the Tunnel.
- The resulting amplified response spectra at the interaction nodes, representing the response of the RSW Piping Tunnel, from the above SSI analyses of RB and UHS/RSW Pump House were obtained. In order to find a reasonable envelop of these response spectra, to be used in the SSI analysis of the RSW Piping Tunnels, these spectra were compared to 1.15 x site-specific SSE to identify those exceeding 1.15 x site-specific SSE. Figures 3H.6-209a through 3H.6-209d include the response spectra which exceed 1.15 x site-specific SSE.
- Based on the comparison of the response spectra shown in Figures 3H.6-209a through 3H.6-209d, six motions were selected as envelop amplified motions for SSI analysis. These six motions correspond to 1.15 x site-specific SSE and amplified motion time histories for Nodes 29378, 29379, 29390, 29392, and 15129.
- SSI analyses of the RSW Piping Tunnel were performed, for each soil case, using 1.15 x site-specific SSE input and acceleration time histories for the five nodes, noted above, obtained from the RB and UHS/RSW Pump House SSI analyses for the corresponding soil cases.
- The horizontal direction and vertical direction input motions were applied at the grade elevation.
- The responses from the horizontal and vertical direction excitations were combined using square root of sum of square (SRSS) method.
- The responses from all SSI analyses from the six soil cases, concrete cracked case and soil separation case were enveloped.
- The in-structure response spectra were peak widened by  $\pm 15\%$  at frequency scale.
- Envelope of the resulting response spectra for the base slab, intermediate floors and the roof slab shown in Figures 3H.6-138 and 3H.6-139 are used as the design in-structure response spectra for the RSW Piping Tunnel.

### SSSI Analysis of the East-West 2D section of the RSW piping tunnel between the RWB and RB

Figure 3H.6-210 shows the structural part of the 2D plane-strain model of RB + RSW Piping Tunnel + RWB. Specifics of this SSSI analysis are as follows:

- Subtraction method of analysis is used. For resolution of issues with the subtraction method of analysis identified by the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board (DNFSB) see Section 3H.10.
- The structural properties (mass and stiffness) for the 2D model of the individual structures correspond to per unit depth (1 ft dimension in the out-of-plane direction) of the respective structure.
- Layered soil is modeled up to 551 ft depth with halfspace below it (more than two times the maximum horizontal dimension of any of the buildings plus their embedment depth).
- Lower bound in-situ, upper bound in-situ, and upper bound in-situ with upper bound backfill strain-dependent soil properties were used in the SSSI analysis.
- The damping of structural part of the model is 4%.
- Groundwater was considered at 8 ft depth (26 feet MSL). Subsection 2.4S.12 and Table 2.0-2 now state the site groundwater elevation as 28 feet MSL. Therefore, a sensitivity analysis of this change in groundwater elevation was performed using the Diesel Generator Fuel Oil Storage Vault SSI model, which showed no significant effect on the analysis results. The ground water effect is included by using minimum P-wave velocity of 5000 ft/sec except for cases where use of this minimum P-wave velocity results in Poisson's ratio in excess of 0.495.
- Model is capable of passing frequencies of at least up to 35.9 Hz in the vertical direction and 61.6 Hz in the horizontal direction.
- Cut-off frequency for transfer function calculation is 33 Hz.
- Input motion is site specific SSE motion.
- The horizontal (E-W) input motion is applied at the grade elevation.
- Figures 3H.6-212 and 3H.6-213 show the resulting soil pressures.

### SSSI Analysis of the North-South 2D section of the RSW piping tunnel between the DGFOV and UHS/RSW PH

Figure 3H.6-211 shows the structural part of the 2D plane-strain model of RB + two DGFOVs + RSW Piping Tunnel (adjacent to UHS/RSW Pump House) + UHS/RSW PH. Specifics of this SSI analysis are as follows:

- Subtraction method of analysis is used. For resolution of issues with the subtraction method of analysis identified by the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board (DNFSB) see Section 3H.10.
- The structural properties (mass and stiffness) for the 2D model of the individual structures correspond to per unit depth (1 ft dimension in the out-of-plane direction) of the respective structure.
- Layered soil is modeled up to 546 ft depth with halfspace below it (more than two times the maximum horizontal dimension of any of the buildings plus their embedment depth).
- Lower bound in-situ and upper bound in-situ strain-dependent soil properties were used in the SSSI analysis.
- The damping of structural part of the model is 4%.
- Groundwater was considered at 8 ft depth (26 feet MSL). Subsection 2.4S.12 and Table 2.0-2 now state the site groundwater elevation as 28 feet MSL. Therefore, a sensitivity analysis of this change in groundwater elevation was performed using the Diesel Generator Fuel Oil Storage Vault SSI model, which showed no significant effect on the analysis results. The ground water effect is included by using minimum P-wave velocity of 5000 ft/sec except for cases where use of this minimum P-wave velocity results in Poisson's ratio in excess of 0.495.
- Model is capable of passing frequencies of at least up to 35.9 Hz in the vertical direction and 61.6 Hz in the horizontal direction.
- Cut-off frequency for transfer function calculation is 33 Hz.
- Input motion is site specific SSE motion.
- The horizontal (N-S) input motion is applied at the grade elevation.
- Figures 3H.6-214 and 3H.6-215 show the resulting soil pressures.

### **3H.6.6 Structural Analysis and Design Summary**

#### **3H.6.6.1 Analytical Models**

The structural analysis and design of the UHS basin and the RSW pump house was performed using a finite element model (FEM). The FEM model is shown in Figure 3H.6-40. Two SAP2000 3D FEA models are used to calculate the element design forces; one model for short term loading (seismic) and one model for long term loading (non-seismic). The only differences between the two FEA models are the loading and soil springs applied in the global Z (i.e. vertical) direction. The stiffness of the soil springs for both the short term loading and long term loading models are determined by multiplying the corresponding foundation subgrade modulus for the short term and long term loading by the tributary area of mat elements for each spring.

The resulting element forces from the short term loading model for X, Y, and Z seismic loads are combined by the SRSS method. These SRSS'd element forces constitute the E' term in the third and fifth load combinations in Section 3H.6.4.3.4.3. The element forces that comprise the E' term are added and subtracted from the other applicable resulting element forces from the long term loading model in the load combinations defined in Section 3H.6.4.3.4.3, in a database outside of the FEA model to determine final element design forces for each load combination. Since both the accidental torsional moment and soil loads (H') are directional in nature, they are added algebraically to the seismic load combinations.

The envelope of the seismic accelerations from the refined and original SSI models considering both the full basin and the empty basin were used in the short term loading model. The enveloping SSI nodal accelerations in the global X, Y, and Z directions for both the full basin case and the empty basin case were averaged by group for each of nine groups based on the locations in the UHS / RSW pump house. The final group accelerations used in the full basin seismic load case and the empty basin seismic load case represent the envelope of the original mesh accelerations and the refined mesh accelerations. For resolution of issues with the subtraction method of analysis identified by the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board (DNFSB) and its impact on design see Section 3H.10.

The mass of the structure, equipment weights, seismic live loads, and hydrodynamic forces were normalized by a factor of 1 g in the equivalent static seismic FEA model. Depending on their location in the structure, these loads were multiplied by the group acceleration corresponding to their location in the structure and combined with other seismic loads by first adding the seismic loads in each direction and then combining the X, Y, and Z components by the SRSS method. Forces and moments determined from horizontal section cuts from the equivalent static FEA model are compared to similar forces and moments determined from the horizontal section cuts from the SSI analysis model to ensure that the design forces used in the equivalent static FEA model envelope the maximum SSI analysis forces.

For the portions of the UHS basin where liquid-tightness is required (i.e., exterior walls and basemat of the basin), in addition to satisfying ACI 349 strength requirements, the required strength was increased by the environmental durability factors noted in Subsection 3H.6.4.3.4.3 per Section 9.2.8 of ACI 350-01. Detailed stability evaluations were performed for sliding, overturning, and flotation for normal operating cases and for the case of an empty UHS basin. For sliding and overturning evaluations, the 100%, 40%, 40% rule was used for consideration of the X, Y, and Z seismic excitations.

### **3H.6.6.2 Analytical Approach**

#### **3H.6.6.2.1 UHS Basin, UHS Cooling Tower Enclosure, and RSW Pump House**

The analysis described in Subsection 3H.6.6.1 considers the following loads, combined in accordance with Subsection 3H.6.4.3.4:

- Dead and live loads on the UHS basin, UHS cooling tower enclosures, and RSW pump houses as specified in Subsection 3H.6.4.3.1, plus the weight of the UHS cooling tower fill, equipment and commodities in the RSW pump house.
- Hydrostatic and hydrodynamic (impulsive and convective) loads corresponding to the water in the basin, and on the walls and the piers of the UHS basin. The hydrodynamic loads are calculated in accordance with Subsection C3.5.4 of ASCE 4 and meet the guidance provided in SRP 3.7.3, Acceptance Criterion 14.
- Specifically the “Housner method” described in TID-7024 is used to determine the hydrodynamic impulsive and convective masses.
- The impulsive masses are applied to the walls of the UHS Soil-Structure Interaction (SSI) model. Therefore, the horizontal impulsive-mode spectral acceleration is based on consideration of the flexibility of the tank.
- The seismically induced hydrodynamic pressures on the tank walls are determined by the modal and spatial combination methods outlined in SRP Section 3.7.2 including the effects of soil-structure interaction.
- Since the fundamental sloshing (convective) frequency is so low (0.135 cycles per second in the N-S direction and 0.078 cycles per second in the E-W direction), the convective mass is not included in the SSI model but is considered in the design by employing the spectral acceleration of the horizontal convective frequency at 0.5 percent damping.
- The hydrodynamic pressure is added to the hydrostatic pressure to account for the induced tension and compression forces on basin walls in the design.
- At-rest lateral soil pressure on the walls of the UHS basin and RSW pump houses.
- Hydrostatic pressures on the walls of the UHS basin and RSW pump houses due to groundwater.
- Envelope of dynamic lateral soil pressures on the walls of the UHS basin and RSW pump houses due to an SSE, calculated from (a) methodology defined in Subsection 3.5.3.2.2 of ASCE 4, (b) SSI analysis, and (c) structure-soil-structure (SSSI) analysis. At rest lateral soil pressures are presented in Figures 3H.6-41 through 3H.6-43. Figures 3H.6-218 through 3H.6-220 provide a comparison of lateral soil pressures from SSI and SSSI analysis to those from ASCE 4 methodology.
- Surcharge pressure of 300 psf (14.4 kPa) is applied to the UHS basin and RSW pump houses.
- SSE forces corresponding to the weight of the structures being acted on by the accelerations established by the SSI analysis.

- Wind loads on the UHS basin, UHS cooling tower enclosures, and RSW pump houses calculated as indicated in Subsection 3H.6.4.3.2.
- Tornado wind and pressure loads on the UHS basin, UHS cooling tower enclosures, and RSW pump houses calculated as specified in Subsection 3H.6.4.3.3.1.
- The design flood loads on the RSW pump houses and tunnels are as stated in Subsection 3H.6.4.2.3.

### 3H.6.6.2.2 RSW Piping Tunnels

The individual components of the RSW Piping Tunnels (roof slab, intermediate slabs, base mat and walls) have out-of-plane frequency in excess of 33 Hz and their out-of-plane seismic loads are determined using a conservative acceleration of 0.21g which exceeds the maximum Zero Period Acceleration (ZPA) of response spectra Figures 3H.6-138 and 3H.6-139. Manual calculations are used for the analysis and design of individual components of the RSW Piping Tunnels (roof slab, intermediate slab, base mat, walls) considering all applicable loads and load combinations including dead load, live load, earth pressure loads, wind and tornado loads, SSE seismic loads, internal flood loads and external flood loads.

In general the walls and slabs are designed as one-way slabs with walls spanning in the vertical direction and the slabs spanning in the East-West direction (normal to the tunnel axis). All connections are conservatively considered pinned except for those connecting to the base mat, which are considered fixed. The resulting moments and shears from this simplified analysis along with any induced axial tension or compression due to dead load and/or reactions from adjoining elements are used to determine the required rebar in accordance with the requirements of ACI 349-97. Table 3H.6-6 provides the design summary for RSW Piping Tunnels.

The tensile axial strain on the RSW Tunnel due to Safe Shutdown Earthquake (SSE) wave propagation is determined based on the equations and commentary outlined in Section 3.5.2.1 of ASCE 4-98. Equation 3.5-1 of ASCE 4-98 is used to compute the axial strain. As this equation gives the upper bound, Equation 3.5-2 from Section 3.5.2.1.2 of ASCE 4-98 is conservatively neglected.

The maximum curvature is computed based on Equation 3.5-3 in Section 3.5.2.1.3 of ASCE 4 98. The maximum curvature is then converted into additional axial strain by multiplying the curvature by the distance from the centroid of the RSW Piping Tunnels to the extreme fiber of the RSW Tunnel. For these computations, the following parameters are considered:

- An apparent wave velocity of 3,000 ft/sec (as recommended in appendix C3.5.2.1 of ASCE 4-98)
- A maximum ground velocity of 6.24 in/sec (which is based on 48 in/sec/g and site-specific SSE maximum ground acceleration of 0.13g)

- A triangular soil pressure distribution on the transverse leg of the tunnel near the bend which is limited by the maximum passive pressure using passive pressure coefficient  $K_p = 3$

The tensile axial strain and strain due to maximum curvature are conservatively added together to obtain the actual strain in the longitudinal direction of the RSW Tunnel. The actual strain is then compared to the cracking strain of concrete and maximum allowable strain of the reinforcing. The maximum computed tensile axial strain is  $1.8 \times 10^{-4}$  in/in which is about 9% of the rebar yield strain of  $2.069 \times 10^{-3}$  in/in. The design also accounts for the induced forces at tunnel bends due to SSE wave propagation. These forces are determined in accordance with Section 3.5.2.2 of ASCE 4-98 by considering the structure as a beam on elastic foundation. To determine the required reinforcement, the induced forces at the tunnel bends are considered to act simultaneously with all other applicable loads (including dynamic soil pressures) in the seismic load combinations.

This analysis considered the loads identified below, combined in accordance with Subsection 3H.6.4.3.4.

- Dead load of the tunnel walls and the soil above the tunnel.
- Live load of 200 psf (9.6 kPa) applied to the floor of the tunnels.
- At-rest lateral soil pressure on the tunnel walls.
- Hydrostatic pressures on the tunnel walls due to groundwater.
- Envelope of dynamic lateral soil pressures on the tunnel walls, due to an SSE, calculated from: (a) using the methodology defined in Subsection 3.5.3.2.2 of ASCE 4-98, (b) soil-structure interaction (SSI) analysis, and (c) the structure-soil-structure interaction (SSSI) analysis. At rest lateral soil pressures for typical section of the RSW Piping Tunnels using ASCE 4-98 methodology are presented in Figure 3H.6-44. Figures 3H.6-212 through 3H.6-215 provide comparison of lateral seismic soil pressures from SSSI analysis described in Section 3H.6.5.3 to those from ASCE 4-98 methodology.
- Surcharge pressure of 500 psf (23.9 kPa) applied to the ground above the tunnels.
- SSE forces corresponding to the weight of the tunnels being acted on by the accelerations established by the SSI analysis.

### 3H.6.6.3 Structural Design

The strength design criteria defined in ACI 349 as supplemented by RG 1.142 as well as ACI 350 (note: ACI 350 is applicable only to the exterior walls below the 71 ft maximum water level and basemat of UHS basin), was used to design the reinforced concrete elements making up the UHS basin and cooling tower enclosures as well as the RSW pump houses and piping tunnels. Concrete with a compressive strength of

4.0 ksi (27.6 MPa) and reinforcing steel with a yield strength of 60 ksi (414 MPa) are considered in the design.

### 3H.6.6.3.1 UHS Basin/UHS Cooling Tower/RSW Pump House Concrete Wall and Slab Design

The design forces and provided reinforcement for UHS basin, UHS cooling tower, and RSW pump house walls and slabs are shown in Tables 3H.6-7 and 3H.6-8. Figures 3H.6-40a through 3H.6-40c show the labeling convention for the walls and slabs of the UHS/RSW Pump House used for presenting the analysis results in Tables 3H.6-7 and 3H.6-8. Each face and each direction of each wall and slab has a corresponding longitudinal reinforcement zone figure. Each wall and slab also has a corresponding transverse shear reinforcement zone figure when transverse shear reinforcement is required. The reinforcement zone figures (Figures 3H.6-51 through 3H.6-136) show the various zones used to define the provided reinforcement based on the finite element analysis results. Actual provided reinforcement, based on final rebar layout, may exceed the reported provided reinforcement and the zones with higher reinforcement may be extended beyond their reported zone boundaries.

The shell forces from every element for every load combination in the finite element analysis were evaluated to determine the provided reinforcement in each reinforcement zone. For each reinforcement zone, the following out-of-plane moment and axial force couples with the corresponding load combination are reported in Tables 3H.6-7 and 3H.6-8:

- The maximum tension axial force with the corresponding moment acting simultaneously from the same load combination.
- The maximum compression axial force with the corresponding moment acting simultaneously from the same load combination.
- The maximum moment that has a corresponding axial tension acting simultaneously in the same load combination.
- The maximum moment that has a corresponding axial compression in the same load combination.

For each reinforcement zone, the in-plane shear with the corresponding load combination are reported in Tables 3H.6-7 and 3H.6-8. The in-plane shear is the maximum average in-plane shear along a plane that crosses the longitudinal reinforcement zone. The shell forces from every element for every load combination in the finite element model were evaluated to determine the required transverse reinforcement. The transverse shear and axial force reported in Tables 3H.6-7 and 3H.6-8 correspond to the maximum required transverse reinforcement for an element within that transverse reinforcement zone.

The provided longitudinal reinforcing for each face and each direction is determined based on the out-of-plane moments, axial forces, and in-plane shears occurring simultaneously for every load combination.

The provided transverse shear reinforcing (as required) is determined based on the transverse shears and axial forces perpendicular to the shear plane occurring simultaneously for every load combination. The UHS basin and RSW pump house basemats were also evaluated for punching shear at critical locations under buttresses and columns.

The forces in the structure caused by differential settlements due to the flexibility of the basin and pump house basemats and supporting soil were accounted for through the use of foundation soil springs in the finite element model. The soil spring stiffness values used in the finite element model were based on the calculated soil subgrade modulus, which is a function of the foundation settlement.

The UHS basin basemat is supported by area springs with the following uniform spring constants in the finite element model:

Vertical springs (with static loads) .....	30 kips/ft/ft <sup>2</sup>
Vertical springs (with seismic loads) .....	80 kips/ft/ft <sup>2</sup>
North-south springs (with static and seismic loads) .....	33 kips/ft/ft <sup>2</sup>
East-west springs (with static and seismic loads) .....	30 kips/ft/ft <sup>2</sup>

The RSW pump house basemat is supported by area springs with the following uniform spring constants in the finite element model:

Vertical springs (with static loads) .....	60 kips/ft/ft <sup>2</sup>
Vertical springs (with seismic loads) .....	170 kips/ft/ft <sup>2</sup>
North-south springs (with static and seismic loads) .....	112 kips/ft/ft <sup>2</sup>
East-west springs (with static and seismic loads) .....	104 kips/ft/ft <sup>2</sup>

The RSW pump house operating floor and roof were designed with composite steel beams and concrete slabs for vertical loading. The composite beams span in the east-west direction with the concrete slab designed as spanning one-way between the composite beams. The operating floor and roof slabs also act as diaphragms to transfer lateral loads. The provided reinforcing for the operating floor and roof slabs is reported in Table 3H.6-8.

### 3H.6.6.3.2 UHS Basin Beam and Column Design

The beams and columns in the UHS basin were represented with frame elements in the finite element model. The frame forces for every load combination in the finite element model were evaluated to determine the provided reinforcement for each beam and column in Table 3H.6-9. For resolution of issues with the subtraction method of analysis identified by the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board (DNFSB) and its impact on design see Section 3H.10. For each beam and column, the following forces and the corresponding load combination are reported in Table 3H.6-9:

- The maximum axial compression force with the corresponding biaxial bending moments (M2 and M3) acting simultaneously from the same load combination.
- The maximum axial tension force with the corresponding biaxial bending moments (M2 and M3) acting simultaneously from the same load combination. Note that the columns do not have an axial tension case.
- The maximum M2 bending moment with the corresponding M3 bending moment and axial force acting simultaneously from the same load combination.
- The maximum M3 bending moment with the corresponding M2 bending moment and axial force acting simultaneously from the same load combination.
- The maximum shear V2.
- The maximum shear V3.
- The maximum torsion.

The provided longitudinal reinforcing in Table 3H.6.9 is determined based on the axial force, biaxial moments (M2 and M3), and torsion. The provided stirrup reinforcing is determined based on the axial force, shears (V2 and V3), and torsion.

#### **3H.6.6.4 Foundations**

The foundations for the UHS basin, cooling towers, and pump house consist of a reinforced concrete mat and a lean concrete mud mat supported on undisturbed soil. The RSW piping tunnels, which extend from each pump house to the corresponding control building locations, are provided with flexible connections at the building interfaces that prevent any potential movement of the buildings from creating forces or moments in the tunnels.

The loads and load combinations considered in the design of the common foundation mat are as defined in Subsection 3H.6.4.3. The design is in accordance with the strength design criteria defined in ACI 349 as supplemented by RG 1.142 as well as ACI 350, and considered concrete with a compressive strength of 4.0 ksi (27.6 MPa) and reinforcing steel with a yield strength of 60 ksi (414 MPa).

The effect of settlement due to the flexibility of the structure/basemat and supporting soil is accounted for through the use of finite element analysis in conjunction with foundation soil springs. The most common approach for this analysis is the Winkler Method. In this approach, the soil is considered to have a uniform subgrade modulus under the entire mat and the springs representing the soil are considered to be linear and act independently. In this method, the uniform subgrade modulus is calculated as the average of the subgrade moduli calculated using the settlements for nine points presented in Table 2.5S.4-42. Using the Winkler Method, a uniformly loaded flexible mat foundation will exhibit uniform settlement under the entire mat. Whereas, in reality, due to overlapping stress bulbs beneath the foundation, the springs representing the soil are not independent of each other and thus the settlement at the center of the mat

will be greater than the settlement along the mat edges. To account for this effect a "Coupled Method" may be used where dependence of adjacent soil springs is represented by additional springs. Since implementation of this approach is rather complicated and may require development of custom software, use of alternate methods such as the "Pseudo-Coupled Method", described in Section 10.2 of Reference 3H.6-3, where different subgrade modulus values are assigned to different areas (zones) of the mat foundation, have been found to yield acceptable results.

For design, both the Winkler Method and the "Pseudo-Coupled Method" were used and the results were enveloped.

The resulting maximum calculated ratio of differential foundation settlements (between adjacent points in the mat finite element model) within the boundary of the UHS, Pump House, and the RSW Piping Tunnel are as follows:

- Ultimate Heat Sink basin foundation 1/860
- Reactor Service Water Pump House foundation 1/1200
- Reactor Service Water Piping Tunnel foundation 1/3900

To prevent seepage of groundwater through the common foundation or through the walls of the basin and pump houses, a waterproofing membrane is applied to the exposed concrete surface of the mudmat. In addition, a waterproof membrane is installed on the walls up to one foot below grade, with a water proof coating being applied from that level up to the flood level. While, as indicated in FSAR Subsection 3.8.6.1, the waterproofing of the mudmat will not reduce the ability of the foundation to transfer horizontal shear forces to the underlying soil, the waterproof membrane will protect the walls from any possible deleterious effects from aggressive groundwater. To prevent seepage of groundwater into the tunnels, a waterproof membrane is used.

#### **3H.6.6.5 Stability Evaluations**

The factors of safety of the combined UHS basin and RSW pump house against sliding, overturning, and flotation are provided in Table 3H.6-5. The factors of safety of the RSW Piping tunnel against sliding, overturning and flotation are provided in Table 3H.6-16.

Lateral soil pressures for stability evaluation of UHS/RSW Pump House are provided in Figures 3H.6-45 through 3H.6-50.

Lateral soil pressures for stability evaluation of RSW Piping Tunnels are provided in Figures 3H.6-253 and 3H.6-254.

#### **3H.6.7 Diesel Generator Fuel Oil Storage Vaults (DGFOSV)**

STP DEP 3.5-2

The Diesel Generator Fuel Oil Storage Vaults (DGFOSV) are reinforced concrete structures, located below grade with an access room above grade. The DGFOSV

house fuel oil tanks and transfer pumps. The DGFOVS are buried in the structural back-fill. The embedment depth to the bottom of the 2 ft thick mudmat is approximately 45 ft, the maximum height from the bottom of the mudmat is approximately 61 ft, and the basemat dimensions are approximately 81.5 ft by 48 ft. Properties of the backfill are described in Section 3H.6.5.2.4. Figures 3H.6-250 and 3H.6-251 provide plan views of the DGFOVS at the basemat and the access room, respectively. Figure 3H.6-252 provides an elevation view.

A summary of the extreme environmental design parameters is presented in Table 3H.9-1. See Section 3H.11 for hurricane wind and hurricane generated missiles.

Two DGFOVS are located about 53 feet away from the south face of the Reactor Building (RB), which is a heavy multistory structure. The third DGFOVS is located approximately 40 feet away from the north face of the Reactor Service Water (RSW) Pump House. Figure 3H.6-221 shows the DGFOVS locations relative to other structures. Considering the soil profile at the STP Units 3 & 4 site, the induced acceleration at the foundation level of the DGFOVS during a safe-shutdown earthquake (SSE) event may be amplified due to their close proximity to the RB (for the two) or the RSW Pump House (for the third). To establish the input motion for the soil-structure interaction (SSI) analysis of the DGFOVS, considering the impact of the nearby heavy RB (for the two) and RSW Pump House (for the third) structures, an analysis as described below was performed.

Five interaction nodes at the ground surface and five at the depth corresponding to the bottom elevation of the DGFOVS foundations are added to the three dimensional SSI SASSI2000 model of the RB for obtaining free field responses for the three DGFOVS. These five nodes correspond to the four corners and the center of the DGFOVS. This RB SSI model is analyzed for the STP site-specific SSE. For each of these three DGFOVS, first an average of the spectra at five nodes at the surface and foundation each is calculated and then envelope of the two average spectra is calculated. Similarly, in the SSI analysis for the RSW Pump House, interaction nodes are added in the model and amplified motion for the DGFOVS close to the RSW Pump House is obtained. Since the diesel oil tank is a standard plant equipment, the input motion for the SSI analysis also considers the 0.3g Regulatory Guide 1.60 response spectra. Therefore, the envelope of the envelope average spectra for the three DGFOVS and the 0.3g Regulatory Guide 1.60 response spectra are used as the input response spectra for the SSI analysis of the DGFOVS. For resolution of issues with the subtraction method of analysis identified by the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board (DNFSB) see Section 3H.10. As shown in Figures 3H.6-222a through 3H.6-222c, the 0.3g Regulatory Guide 1.60 response spectra were found to be the bounding spectra. The DGFOVS and the equipment and components inside the vault are designed using the results of the SSI analysis.

The comparison of response spectra (the minimum required 0.1g Regulatory Guide 1.60 spectra, the FIRS, and the deconvolved SHAKE outcrop spectra) at the foundation level of the DGFOVS is presented in Figures 3H.6-11d through 3H.6-11l. As can be seen from these figures, the deconvolved SHAKE outcrop spectra envelop the minimum required spectra and FIRS for the three sets of soil properties.

The following two types of soil-structure interaction (SSI) analyses are performed for DGFOV:

- 3D SSI analyses of DGFOV alone for calculating in-structure response spectra and design accelerations/forces of the structure. These analyses were performed considering both full and empty fuel oil tanks.
- 2D structure-soil-structure interaction (SSSI) analysis of DGFOV and adjacent structures to obtain seismic soil pressures.

### 3D SSI Analysis

The SSI analyses of the 3D model of DGFOV are performed using SASSI2000 computer program (using the modified subtraction method).

#### Structural Model:

The structural part of the model consists of shell elements to model the exterior walls, and the roof slabs and 3D solid elements to model the basemat and the mud mat. Structure self weight and other applicable weights of equipment, live load, piping, metal decking, missile barrier cover are included in the structural model. The fuel tank is modeled with the fuel and tank weight lumped at the center of gravity of the tank and the tank lumped weight rigidly connected to the base mat at tank saddle locations. The fuel tank procurement specification will require that the fuel tank with fuel in it should have predominant frequencies greater than 33 Hz in horizontal and vertical directions. The fuel tank portion of the model has been assigned a damping value of 0.5%. For the other parts of the structure two damping values are used; 7% damping and 4% damping. The results from the 7% structural damping are used for design of the DGFOV. The results from the 4% damping are used for generation of in-structure response spectra. Both full and empty fuel oil tank conditions are considered in the analysis. Figure 3H.6-222 shows the typical 3D structural model of the DGFOV for various SSI analyses. The following provides the details of the SSI model and method of analysis.

#### Strain Dependent Soil Properties Used in SSI Analyses:

The strain dependent soil properties used in the model are in accordance with the properties provided in Table 3H.6-1 for the in-situ soil and Table 3H.6-2 for the backfill soil, with the exception that the groundwater table is changed to 6 ft below grade and for soil layers below the ground water table, the Poisson's ratio is capped at 0.495 for determining the compression wave velocity. The shear wave velocities in backfill are also adjusted as described in Section 3H.6.5.2.4 for groundwater table at 6 ft below grade. The thickness of soil layers are adjusted to provide a vertical direction passing frequency of at least 33 Hz (based on one fifth of shear wave length criterion).

Analysis Cases, Passing Frequency and Cutoff Frequency for the SSI Analyses:

- The following cases are analyzed for both 4% and 7% structural damping cases:

For full fuel oil tank case:

- Lower Bound (LB) in-situ soil
- Mean in-situ Soil
- Upper Bound (UB) in-situ soil
- LB backfill over LB in-situ soil
- Mean backfill over mean in-situ soil
- UB backfill over UB backfill
- UB in-situ soil with soil separation
- UB in-situ soil with cracked concrete

For Empty fuel oil tank case:

- UB in-situ soil with empty fuel tank

Note: For soil separation, cracked concrete and empty fuel oil tank cases, the UB in-situ soil is used because the UB in-situ soil case in general governed.

- A cut-off frequency of 33 Hz was used for all SSI analyses for transfer function calculation.
- Vertical direction passing frequencies (based on one fifth of shear wave length criterion and considering lower bound in-situ soil) are equal to or greater than 33 Hz.
- Horizontal direction passing frequencies are equal to or greater than 33 Hz, except at following locations:
  - For LB in-situ soil, the passing frequency for the top 4 ft soil layer is 30.3 Hz.

Input Motion:

In the SSI analysis, acceleration time histories, consistent with 0.3g Regulatory Guide 1.60, are used as input at the grade elevation. The response spectra from these time histories envelop the amplified response spectra at the

DGFOSV locations considering the effect of nearby heavy RB and UHS/RSW Pump House structures.

Response Combination, Enveloping and Spectra Peak Widening:

For all analysis cases, the responses due to two horizontal directions and vertical direction input motions are combined using square-root sum of squares (SRSS) method. Then, the responses from all analysis cases and all locations considered for spectra generation are enveloped to determine one set of un-widened horizontal and vertical response spectra. Finally, per Regulatory Guide 1.122, the enveloped un-widened response spectra are peak widened by plus-minus 15% on the frequency scale to obtain the final response spectra for DGFOSV. The resulting enveloping response spectra for DGFOSV are shown in Figures 3H.6-223 and 3H.6-224.

## 2D SSSI Analysis

Two 2D SSSI models are developed and analyzed to evaluate the effects of nearby structures on the three DGFOSV and to calculate the seismic soil pressures on the structures.

The first SSSI model is for a section cut in the North-South direction, consisting of UHS/RSW Pump house, RSW Piping Tunnel, DGFOSV 1B, DGFOSV 1C and RB. The details of this SSSI analysis are provided in Section 3H.6.5.3.

The second SSSI model is for a section cut in the East-West direction consisting of diesel generator fuel oil tunnel (DGFOT), DGFOSV 1A and the Crane Foundation Retaining Wall. The model for this SSSI analysis is shown in Figure 3H.6-225 and the details of the model are provided below.

Structural Models:

DGFOSV Model:

East-West direction of 2D DGFOSV model is idealized by a stick model of beam elements. Axial, flexural, and shear deformation effects are included in beam element stiffness. The fuel oil tank is also modeled using beam elements and its mass is lumped at its CG. The basemat and the mud mat are modeled using four node plain strain elements. The model properties (stiffness and mass) for the 2D plane analysis correspond to per unit depth (one foot dimension in the out-of-plane direction) of the DGFOSV.

DGFOT Model:

Four node plane strain elements are used to model the exterior walls, base slab, the top slab and the mud mat. Applicable weights are included at appropriate locations in the model. The structural model properties (stiffness and mass), for the 2D plane strain model correspond to per unit depth (one foot dimension in out-of-plane direction).

#### Crane Wall:

The Crane Wall is modeled using beam elements with nodes located 17 ft away from the DGFOSV east wall (clear distance between the DGFOSV 1A exterior wall face and the west face of the Crane Wall). Beam section properties (stiffness and mass), for the 2D plane strain model correspond to per unit depth (one foot dimension in out-of-plane direction).

The SSSI analysis of the 2D model of DGFOSV with other structures, which affects the DGFOSV in the East-West direction is performed using SASSI2000 computer program, using subtraction method. For resolution of issues with the subtraction method of analysis identified by the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board (DNFSB) see Section 3H.10. The following provides the details of this SSSI analysis.

#### Strain Dependent Soil Properties Used in SSSI Model:

The strain dependent soil properties used in the model are in accordance with the properties provided in Table 3H.6-1 for the in-situ soil, and Table 3H.6-2 for the backfill soil, with the exception that for soil layers below the ground water table, the Poisson's ratio is capped at 0.495 for determining the compression wave velocity. The thickness of soil layers are adjusted to provide a vertical direction passing frequency of at least 33 Hz (based on one fifth of shear wave length criterion).

Based on the site groundwater conditions originally described in FSAR Subsection 2.4S.12, the groundwater elevation of approximately eight feet below grade (26 feet MSL) was used in the analysis to determine the soil properties. Subsection 2.4S.12 and Table 2.0-2 now state the groundwater elevation as 28 feet MSL. Therefore, a sensitivity analysis of this change in groundwater elevation was performed using the Diesel Generator Fuel Oil Storage Vault SSI model, which showed no significant effect on the analysis results.

To evaluate the effects of the soil variation, six soil cases are considered:

- UB in-situ soil
- UB in-situ soil with UB backfill between the structures.
- LB in-situ soil with LB backfill between the structures.
- Mean in-situ soil with Mean backfill between the structures.
- Mean in-situ soil with LB backfill between the structures.
- Mean in-situ soil with UB backfill between the structures.

Passing Frequency and Cut-off Frequency for SSSI Model:

- Cut-off frequency of 33 Hz is used in the analysis.

- Vertical direction passing frequencies are equal to or greater than 33.5 Hz.
- Horizontal direction passing frequencies are equal to or greater than 30.48 Hz.

Input Motion:

STP 3&4 site specific SSE motion, as described in Subsection 3H.6.5.1.1.2, is applied at the grade elevation, in the East-West direction.

The incremental seismic soil pressures used in design, which envelope the incremental seismic soil pressures from the SSSI analyses and those computed per Subsection 3.5.3.2 of ASCE 4-98, are shown in Figures 3H.6-226 through 3H.6-231. Figures 3H.6-228 through 3H.6-231 show exceedances of the SSI seismic soil pressures beyond the design dynamic soil pressures on the walls of the Diesel Generator Fuel Oil Storage Vault at approximately 35 to 37 ft below grade. However, the induced out-of-plane shear and moment in each wall panel due to the design soil pressures are greater than the out-of-plane shear and moment due to SSI soil pressures. Therefore, the exceedances in the SSI pressures are acceptable.

The settlement information on the DGFOVS is included in Section 2.5S.4.10.

The effect of settlement due to the flexibility of the structure/basemat and supporting soil is accounted for through the use of finite element analysis in conjunction with foundation soil springs, as described in Section 3H.6.6.4. The resulting maximum calculated ratio of differential foundation settlements (between adjacent points in the mat finite element model) within the boundary of the DGFOVS is 1/4860.

Stability evaluations were performed for sliding, overturning, and flotation. These evaluations were done using the procedure described in detail in Section 3H.6.5.2.14. For sliding and overturning evaluations, the 100%, 40%, 40% rule was used for consideration of the X, Y, and Z seismic excitations. Since the orientation of the DGFOVSs in the horizontal plane can be along the East-West or North-South axes, the horizontal seismic values used in the stability calculation envelope the SSI accelerations in the X and Y directions. The calculated factors of safety against sliding, overturning, and flotation for the DGFOVS are included in Table 3H.6-12.

The tornado missile impact evaluation results for the DGFOVS are included in Table 3H.6-13.

Static lateral soil pressures used in design are shown in Figures 3H.6-241, 3H.6-243, and 3H.6-244.

Dynamic lateral soil pressures used in design are shown in Figures 3H.6-242 and 3H.6-226 through 3H.6-231.

Lateral soil pressures used for stability evaluations are shown in Figures 3H.6-255 through 3H.6-257.

The Large Equipment Access Building Foundation will be designed such that the surcharge load on the walls of the adjacent DGFOVS is insignificant.

### **3H.6.7.1 Applicable Codes, Standards, Specifications and Load Combinations and Materials**

The applicable codes, standards, and specifications from Section 3H.6.4 are used for analysis and design of the DGFOVS.

The DGFOVS are designed to the applicable loads and load combinations specified in Section 3H.6.4.

The DGFOVS are not subjected to any accident temperature or pressure loading. Under ambient conditions, the uniform temperature changes and thermal gradients within the structure are less than 50°F and 100°F, respectively. Referring to article 1.3 of ACI 349.1R-07, for such thermal conditions explicit consideration of ambient temperature effects is not warranted.

The structural materials used in the design of the DGFOVS are specified in Section 3H.6.4.4.

### **3H.6.7.2 Structural Design**

The structural analysis and design of the Diesel Generator Fuel Oil Storage Vault (DGFOVS) was performed using a finite element analysis (FEA). The finite element model (FEM) for this FEA is Figure 3H.6-140. The analysis for the seismic loads was performed using equivalent static seismic loads. The maximum nodal accelerations from the SSI analysis in the X, Y, and Z direction for the subgrade and above grade roofs were averaged and used as the accelerations in the X, Y, and Z directions for the entire structure to obtain the equivalent static seismic loads. The induced forces due to the X, Y, and Z seismic excitations were combined using the square-root-sum-of-squares (SRSS) method.

Comparison of the seismic in-plane shear forces, axial forces and in-plane moments for the shear walls of this structure from the equivalent static method and those from the SSI analyses at a section cut just above the basemat shows that the forces and moments from the equivalent static method are in excess of those from the SSI analyses.

The strength design criteria of ACI 349, as supplemented by RG 1.142, were used for the design of the reinforced concrete elements of the DGFOVS. Concrete with minimum compressive strength of 4.0 ksi (27.6 MPa) and reinforcing steel with yield strength of 60 ksi (414 MPa) are considered in the design.

Due to difference in soil spring constants for seismic and non-seismic loads, the FEA analyses for the non-seismic loads and equivalent static seismic loads were run on different FEA models and the results from these models were combined and adjusted per Section 3H.6.7.3.1 outside the SAP2000 model to obtain the combined total design forces and moments for the seismic load combinations.

### 3H.6.7.2.1 Wall and Slab Design

The design forces and provided reinforcement for the DGFOVS walls and slabs are shown in Table 3H.6-11. Figure 3H.6-141 shows the labeling convention for the walls and slabs of the DGFOVS used for presenting the analysis results in Table 3H.6-11. Each face and each direction of each wall and slab has a corresponding longitudinal reinforcement zone figure. Each wall and slab also has a corresponding transverse shear reinforcement zone figure where transverse shear reinforcement is required. The reinforcement zone figures (Figure 3H.6-142 through 3H.6-208) show the various zones used to define the provided reinforcement based on the finite element analysis results. Actual provided reinforcement, based on final rebar layout, may exceed the reported provided reinforcement and the zones with higher reinforcement may be extended beyond their reported zone boundaries.

The shell forces from every element for every load combination in the finite element analysis were evaluated to determine the provided reinforcement in each reinforcement zone. For each reinforcement zone, the following out-of-plane moment and axial force coupled with the corresponding load combination are reported in Table 3H.6-11:

- The maximum tension axial force with the corresponding moment acting simultaneously from the same load combination.
- The maximum compression axial force with the corresponding moment acting simultaneously from the same load combination.
- The maximum moment that has a corresponding axial tension acting simultaneously in the same load combination.
- The maximum moment that has a corresponding axial compression acting simultaneously in the same load combination.

For each reinforcement zone, the in-plane shear with the corresponding load combination are reported in Table 3H.6-11. The in-plane shear is the maximum average in-plane shear along a plane that crosses the longitudinal reinforcement zone.

The shell forces from every element for every load combination in the finite element model were evaluated to determine the required transverse reinforcement. The transverse shear and axial force reported in Tables 3H.6-11 correspond to the maximum required transverse reinforcement for an element within that transverse reinforcement zone.

The provided longitudinal reinforcing for each face and each direction is determined based on the out-of-plane moments, axial forces, and in-plane shears occurring simultaneously for every load combination.

The provided transverse shear reinforcing (as required) is determined based on the transverse shears and axial forces perpendicular to the shear plane occurring simultaneously for every load combination.

The DGFOVS below grade roof was designed with composite steel beams and concrete slabs for vertical loading. The composite beams span in the SAP2000 model Y-direction with the concrete slab designed as spanning one-way between the composite beams. The below grade roof slab acts as a diaphragm to transfer lateral loads. The provided reinforcing for the below grade roof slab is reported in Table 3H.6-11.

### 3H.6.7.3 Foundation

The foundation for the DGFOVS consists of a reinforced concrete mat and a lean concrete mud mat. The basemat deflections due to the flexibility of the basemat and supporting soil were accounted for through the use of foundation soil springs in the SAP2000 FEA models. Both the Winkler and the Pseudo-Coupled Methods were used to model the foundation soil springs, and the results of the two analyses were enveloped for design purposes.

Two different subgrade reactions (soil spring constants) are used, one for seismic loads and one for non-seismic loads. The following soil spring constants were used in the FEA models of the DGFOVSs:

Vertical springs (with static loads).....	60 kips/ft <sup>2</sup>
Vertical springs (with seismic loads).....	314 kips/ft <sup>2</sup>
North-south springs (with static and seismic loads).....	229 kips/ft <sup>2</sup>
East-west springs (with static and seismic loads ).....	213 kips/ft <sup>2</sup>

#### 3H.6.7.3.1 Uplift Analysis

The SAP2000 finite element models were checked for uplift effects by reviewing the joint reaction at the basemat. It was determined that under seismic loading the DGFOVS experiences uplift. Using the 100%, 40%, 40% rule for combination of three seismic excitations, non-linear analysis was run on each model with uniform Winkler soil springs and pseudo-coupled soil springs to determine an enveloping adjustment factor for forces and moments from the linear analysis for the foundation mat and the connecting walls. The non-linear analysis iterates multiple times removing soil springs that go into tension during each iteration until no soil springs are in tension. For the directional earthquake loading required for the nonlinear analysis, the DGFOVS critical loading, a safe shutdown earthquake (SSE) from the southwest in combination with static active and passive loads for SSE, is considered.

Comparing resultant foundation mat and wall reactions from the linear analysis with mat and wall reactions from the nonlinear analysis, there is a maximum reaction increase of approximately 221% for the foundation mat out-of-plane shear forces, 0.1% increase for the foundation mat in-plane shear and axial forces, 212% increase for the foundation mat bending moments, 4% increase for the connecting walls shear forces and axial forces, and 10% increase for the connecting walls bending moments (enveloping cases with Winkler and pseudo-coupled soil springs) in the nonlinear

analysis. To account for this, the resulting forces and moments from the linear analyses were adjusted by applying an increase factor of 3.21 to out-of-plane shear forces in the foundation mat, an increase factor of 1.1 to in-plane shear and axial forces in the foundation mat, an increase factor of 3.12 to all moments in the foundation mat, an increase factor 1.07 to all forces in the connecting walls, and an increase factor 1.1 to all moments in the connecting walls for the DGFOV design.

#### **3H.6.7.4 Testing and ISI Requirements**

For testing and ISI requirements, see Section 3H.6.4.4.6.

#### **3H.6.7.5 Materials and Quality Control**

For materials and quality control, see Section 3H.6.4.4.7.

#### **3H.6.8 Seismic Gaps at the Interface of Site-Specific Seismic Category I Structures and the Adjoining Structures**

The joints (i.e. separation gaps) at the interface of site-specific seismic category I structures (Reactor Service Water Tunnels and Diesel Generator Fuel Oil Storage Vaults) with the adjoining structures (Control Buildings, Reactor Service Water Pump Houses, and Diesel Generator Fuel Oil Tunnels) are designed to accommodate the expected movements without transmitting significant forces. These separation gaps are sized at least 50% larger than the absolute sum of the maximum calculated displacements due to seismic movements and long term settlement. The joint material used as flexible filler will be polyurethane foam impregnated with a waterproofing sealing compound, or a similar material, capable of being compressed to 1/3 of its thickness without subjecting the structures to more than 25 psi. The walls of the Reactor Service Water Pump House and the Diesel Generator Fuel Oil Storage Vaults have been evaluated and found to be adequate for this out-of-plane load.

Table 3H.6.15 provides summary of the required and provided gaps at the interface of site-specific seismic category I structures with adjoining structures.

#### **3H.6.9 References**

- 3H.6-1 US Department of Army, Fundamentals of Protective Design for Conventional Weapons, TM 5-855-1, November 1986.
- 3H.6-2 C. R Russell, "Reactor Safeguards," published by MacMillian, New York, 1962.
- 3H.6-3 Coduto, Donald P., "Foundation Design Principles and Practices", Second Edition, Prentice Hall: New Jersey, 2001.

#### **3H.7 Diesel Generator Fuel Oil Tunnel**

STP DEP 3.5-2

### 3H.7.1 Objective and Scope

The scope of this section is to document the structural design and analysis of the Diesel Generator Fuel Oil Tunnels (DGFOTs) for STP Units 3 & 4.

### 3H.7.2 Summary

The following are the major summary conclusions on the design and analysis of the DGFOT:

- The provided concrete reinforcement listed in Table 3H.7-1 meets the requirements of the design codes and standards listed in Section 3H.7.4.1.
- The factors of safety against flotation, sliding and overturning of the structure under various loading combinations as shown in Table 3H.7-2 are higher than the required minimum factors of safety.
- The thickness of the exterior walls and roof slabs are more than the minimum required to preclude penetration, perforation, or spalling due to impact of design basis tornado and hurricane missiles.

### 3H.7.3 Structural Description

The layout of the Diesel Generator Fuel Oil Tunnels (DGFOTs) is as shown in Figure 3H.6-221. There are three (3) reinforced concrete DGFOTs approximately 50 ft, 200 ft, and 220 ft long for each unit. Each DGFOT is connected at one end to the Reactor Building (RB) and at the other end to a Diesel Generator Fuel Oil Storage Vault (DGFOSV). There is a seismic gap between each of the DGFOT and the adjoining RB and DGFOSV. Table 3H.6-15 provides the magnitude of the required and provided seismic gaps at interface of DGFOTs and the adjoining RB and DGFOSVs.

Each DGFOT has two access regions which extend above grade; one access region is located where the tunnel interfaces with the DGFOSV and another where the tunnel interfaces with the RB. The access regions provide access to the below grade portions of the DGFOTs during maintenance and inspection. The overall above grade dimensions of the access regions are approximately 7.5 ft wide by 7.5 ft long and 15 ft high.

The top of the DGFOT is located approximately at grade. The DGFOT No. 1B, which is the shortest tunnel, running approximately 50 ft between the RB and DGFOSV No. 1B, has a wall thickness of 2'-0" on both sides. The interior below grade dimensions of this tunnel are approximately 7 ft high by 3.5 ft wide. The other two longer DGFOTs (approximately 200 ft and 220 ft long) have a wall thickness of 2'-0" on one side and 2'-6" on the other side to allow for placement of embedded conduits. The interior below grade dimensions of these tunnels are approximately 7 ft high by 3 ft wide. Figure 3H.7-36 provides typical section view of DGFOT. Any fuel leak from the fuel oil lines or water infiltration within the tunnels will be collected in a sump and removed by pumps. The tunnels slope away from the DGFOSV and the RB towards the sump located at the center of the tunnel runs.

**3H.7.4 Structural Design Criteria****3H.7.4.1 Design Codes and Standards**

The DGFOTs are designed to meet the design requirements of standard plant structures. The following codes, standards, and regulatory documents are applicable for the design of the DGFOT.

- ASCE 4-98, "Seismic Analysis of Safety-Related Nuclear Structures and Commentary"
- ACI 349-97, "Code Requirements for Nuclear Safety-Related Concrete Structures and Commentary"
- ASCE 7-88, "Minimum Design Loads for Buildings and Other Structures"
- NUREG-0800 SRP 3.3.2, "Tornado Loadings," Rev. 2, July 1981
- NRC RG 1.142, "Safety-Related Concrete Structures for Nuclear Power Plants (Other Than Reactor Vessels and Containments)," Rev 2, November 2001
- NRC RG 1.76, "Design-Basis Tornado and Tornado Missiles for Nuclear Power Plants," Rev 0, April 1974
- NUREG 0800 SRP 3.5.3 "Barrier Design Procedure", Revision 1, July 1981
- NUREG 0800 SRP 3.5.1.4 "Missiles Generated by Natural Phenomena", Rev. 2, July 1981

**3H.7.4.2 Site Design Parameters****3H.7.4.2.1 Soil Parameters**

- Poisson's ratio (above groundwater).....0.42
- Poisson's ratio (below groundwater).....0.47
- Unit Weight (moist).....120 pcf
- Unit Weight (saturated).....140 pcf
- Liquefaction potential .....None

**3H.7.4.2.2 Design Ground Water Level**

Consistent with the DCD Tier 1, Table 5.0, design groundwater level is at elevation 32 feet MSL. This value bounds the site groundwater elevations discussed in Section 2.4S.12.

### **3H.7.4.2.3 Design Flood Level**

Design flood level is 33 feet MSL, as shown in DCD, Tier 1, Table 5.0. The external flood level due to MCR breach is shown in 3H.7.4.3.3.3.

### **3H.7.4.2.4 Maximum Snow Load**

Roof snow load is 50 psf as shown in DCD Tier 1 Table 5.0. This snow load is above the value derived from ASCE 7-88 for the STP 3&4 site. This load is not combined with normal roof live load.

### **3H.7.4.2.5 Maximum Rainfall**

Design rainfall is 19.4 in/hr (50.3 cm/hr) as shown in DCD Tier 1 Table 5.0. This load is not combined with normal roof live load.

### **3H.7.4.3 Design Load and Load Combinations**

The DGFOT is not subjected to any accident temperature or pressure loading. Under ambient conditions, the uniform temperature changes and thermal gradients within the structure are less than 50°F and 100°F, respectively. Referring to article 1.3 of ACI 349.1R-07, for such thermal conditions explicit consideration of ambient temperature effects is not warranted.

#### **3H.7.4.3.1 Normal Loads**

Normal loads are those that are encountered during normal plant startup, operation, and shutdown.

##### **3H.7.4.3.1.1 Dead Loads (D)**

Dead loads include the weight of the structure and other permanent static loads. An additional 50 psf uniform load is considered to account for dead loads due to piping on the DGFOT and access region walls.

##### **3H.7.4.3.1.2 Live Loads (L)**

Live loads include floor and roof area live loads and movable loads. A minimum normal floor live load of 200 psf is considered for the floor of the DGFOT. A normal live load of 50 psf is considered for the roof.

For the computation of global seismic loads, the live load is limited to the expected live load present during normal plant operation which is defined as 25% of the normal floor and roof live loads. However, design of local elements such as beams and slabs is based on consideration of full normal live load.

A surcharge load of 500 psf is applied to the top of the DGFOT at grade and the ground on either side of the tunnel for lateral soil pressure calculation.

### 3H.7.4.3.1.3 Lateral Soil Pressures (H)

Lateral soil pressures are calculated using the following soil properties.

- Unit weight (moist):..... 120 pcf (1.92 t/m<sup>3</sup>)
- Unit weight (saturated):..... 140 pcf (2.24 t/m<sup>3</sup>)
- Internal friction angle: .....30°
- Poisson's ratio (above groundwater) .....0.42
- Poisson's ratio (below groundwater) .....0.47

The calculated lateral soil pressures for design are shown in Figures 3H.7-33 through 3H.7-35.

### 3H.7.4.3.1.4 Internal Flood Load

The DGFOT contains sump pumps to keep the structure from flooding. The internal flooding condition is not applicable for the structural design of the DGFOT.

### 3H.7.4.3.2 Severe Environmental Load

Severe environmental loads consist of loads generated by wind.

#### 3H.7.4.3.2.1 Wind Load (W)

The following parameters are used in the computation of the wind loads.

- Basic wind speed (50 year recurrence interval, fastest mile).....110 mph (177 km/h)
- Exposure:.....D
- Importance factor I:.....1.11
- Velocity pressure exposure: .....0.00256Kz (IV)<sup>2</sup>

Wind loads are calculated in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 6 of ASCE 7-88.

### 3H.7.4.3.3 Extreme Environmental Load

Extreme environmental loads consist of loads generated by tornado, SSE earthquake, extreme snow and flooding. A summary of the extreme environmental design parameters is presented in Table 3H.9-1. See Section 3H.11 for hurricane winds and hurricane generated missiles.

### 3H.7.4.3.3.1 Tornado Loads ( $W_t$ )

The following tornado load effects are considered in the design:

- Wind pressure: ..... $W_w$
- Differential pressure: ..... $W_p$
- Missile Impact: ..... $W_m$

The tornado parameters used in the calculations of tornado loads are as follows:

- Maximum wind speed: .....300 mph
- Pressure differential: .....2 psi
- Radius of maximum rotational speed: .....150 feet
- Pressure differential rate: .....1.2 psi/sec
- Missile spectrum (per DCD Tier 2 Table 2.0-1) :
  - A: 4000 lbs automobile (16.4ft x 6.6ft x 4.3ft)
  - B: 276 lbs, 8" diameter armor piercing artillery shell
  - C: 1" diameter solid steel sphere

Notes:

- (1) Tornado wind pressure ( $W_w$ )
  - (a). Wind velocity and wind pressure are constant with height.
  - (b) Wind velocity and wind pressure vary with horizontal distance from the center of the tornado.
- (2) Tornado differential pressure ( $W_p$ )

The differential pressure is applied to the top of the tunnel slab and access region. The differential pressure causes suction on the exterior walls.

- (3) Tornado missile impact ( $W_m$ )

Tornado missile impact effects on the structure are assessed as noted below:

- (a) Local damage in terms of penetration, perforation, and spalling.
- (b) Structural response in terms of deformation limits, strain energy capacity, structural integrity and structural stability.

- (c) All missiles are considered to impact at 35% of the maximum horizontal tornado wind speed horizontally and 70% of horizontal impact velocity vertically.
- (d) Barrier design is evaluated assuming a normal impact at the surface for the schedule 40 pipe and automobile missiles.
- (e) The automobile missile is considered to impact at all attitudes less than 30 feet above grade level.

(4) Table 3H.7-3 contains the results of the tornado missile impact evaluation.

- Tornado load combinations

Tornado load effects are combined per USNRC Standard Review Plan, NUREG-0800 Section 3.3.2 as follows:

$$W_t = W_w$$

$$W_t = W_p$$

$$W_t = W_m$$

$$W_t = W_w + 0.5 W_p$$

$$W_t = W_w + W_m$$

$$W_t = W_w + 0.5 W_p + W_m$$

#### 3H.7.4.3.3.2 Earthquake (E')

The Safe Shutdown Earthquake (E') loads are applied in three mutually orthogonal directions - two horizontal directions and the vertical direction. The total structural response is predicted by combining the applicable maximum co-directional responses by the SRSS method.

#### 3H.7.4.3.3.3 Extreme Environmental Flood (FL)

The design basis flood level is 40 feet, in accordance with Subsection 2.4S.2.2. The flood water unit weight, considering maximum sediment concentration, is 63.85 pcf per Section 2.4S.4.2.2.4.3. The design requirements for this flood, including hydrostatic, hydrodynamic, and floating debris loading, are included in Section 3.4.2.

#### 3H.7.4.3.3.4 Lateral Soil Pressures Including the Effects of SSE (H')

The calculated lateral soil pressures including the effects of SSE are shown in Figures 3H.7-2 and 3H.7-5 through 3H.7-8.

#### 3H.7.4.3.3.5 Accident Temperature

There are no accident scenarios for the DGFOT which would cause consideration of an accident temperature.

### 3H.7.4.3.4 Load Combinations

#### 3H.7.4.3.4.1 Notations

U = Required strength for strength design method

D = Dead load

F' = Hydrostatic and hydrodynamic load due to flood

L = Live load

H = Lateral soil pressure and groundwater effects

H' = Lateral soil pressure and groundwater effects, including dynamic effects

W = Wind load

$W_t$  = Total tornado load, including missile effects

E' = SSE seismic load

FL = Extreme environmental flood

#### 3H.7.4.3.4.2 Reinforced Concrete Load Combinations

$$U = 1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H$$

$$U = 1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7W$$

$$U = D + L + H + FL$$

$$U = D + L + H + W_t$$

$$U = D + L + H + E'$$

$$U = 1.05D + 1.3L + 1.3H$$

$$U = 1.05D + 1.3L + 1.3H + 1.3W$$

For the computation of global seismic loads, the live load is limited to the expected live load present during normal plant operation which is defined as 25% of the normal floor and roof live loads. However, design of local elements such as beams and slabs is based on consideration of full normal live load

#### 3H.7.4.4 Materials

Structural materials used in the design of DGFOT are as follows:

### 3H.7.4.4.1 Reinforced Concrete

Concrete conforms to the requirements of ACI 349. Its design properties are:

- Compressive strength.....4.0 ksi (27.6 MPa)
- Modulus of elasticity.....3,597 ksi (24.8 GPa)
- Shear modulus.....1,537 ksi (10.6 GPa)
- Poisson's ratio..... 0.17

### 3H.7.4.4.2 Reinforcement

Deformed billet steel reinforcing bars are considered in the design. Reinforcement conforms to the requirements of ASTM A615. Its design properties are:

- Yield strength.....60 ksi (414 MPa)
- Tensile strength.....90 ksi (621 MPa)

### 3H.7.4.4.3 Structural Steel

High strength, low-alloy structural steel conforming to ASTM A572, Grade 50 is considered in the design for wide-flange sections. The steel design properties are:

- Yield strength.....50 ksi (345 MPa)
- Tensile strength.....65 ksi (448 MPa)

### 3H.7.4.4.4 Testing and ISI Requirements

For testing and ISI requirements, see Section 3H.6.4.4.6.

### 3H.7.4.4.5 Materials and Quality Control

For materials and quality control, see Section 3H.6.4.4.7.

### 3H.7.4.5 Stability Requirements

The following minimum factors of safety are required against overturning, sliding, and flotation:

Load Combination	Overturning	Sliding	Flotation
D + F <sub>b</sub>	-	-	1.1
D + H + W	1.5	1.5	-
D + H + W <sub>t</sub>	1.1	1.1	-
D + H' + E'	1.1	1.1	-

Loads  $D$ ,  $H$ ,  $H'$ ,  $W$ ,  $W_t$ , and  $E'$  are defined in Subsection 3H.7.4.3.4.1.  $F_b$  is the buoyant force corresponding to the flood water level.

### 3H.7.5 Structural Analysis and Design Summary

#### 3H.7.5.1 Analytical Model Analysis and Design

The DGFOTs are Seismic Category I structures. The structural analysis and design of the DGFOT is performed using a three-dimensional (3D) SAP 2000 finite element analysis (FEA) with shell elements representing the walls, slabs and mat. The foundation soil is represented by vertical and horizontal springs. The FEA finite element model (FEM) is shown in Figure 3H.7-1.

The DGFOT No. 1B, which is the shortest tunnel, running approximately 50 ft between the RB and the DGFOV No. 1B, has a wall thickness of 2'-0" on both sides. The interior below grade dimensions of this tunnel are approximately 7 ft high by 3.5 ft wide. The other two longer DGFOTs (approximately 200 ft and 220 ft long) have a wall thickness of 2'-0" on one side and 2'-6" on the other side to allow for placement of embedded conduits. The interior below grade dimensions of these tunnels are approximately 7 ft high by 3 ft wide. The DGFOT No. 1B, with a wall thickness of 2'-0" on both sides and shorter tunnel length for resisting torsion effects, is selected as the critical tunnel for the FEA.

The Safe Shutdown Earthquake (SSE) design forces ( $E'$ ) are conservatively determined using equivalent static seismic loads. The mass of the structure, equipment weights, and seismic live loads are excited in the X, Y, and Z directions using the enveloping maximum nodal accelerations in the X, Y, and Z directions from the soil-structure interaction (SSI) analysis. A comparison between the maximum accelerations from the SSI analysis and the design accelerations for the DGFOT shows the design accelerations envelope the SSI analysis accelerations. The resulting element forces and moments due to X, Y, and Z excitations are combined using the SRSS method.

Figures 3H.7-5 through 3H.7-8 show a comparison of the SSI soil pressures, the SSSI soil pressures, the ASCE 4-98 soil pressures and the total enveloping soil pressure used in design on the walls of the DGFOT.

The forces at tunnel bends due to SSE wave propagation are determined per Section 3H.7.5.2.4 and are included as additional loads in the SAP2000 models.

Multiple SAP2000 FEA models were created to represent different conditions and load combinations for the DGFOTs. The following is a breakdown of the different FEA models:

- (1) Normal (Operating Condition, Heavy Load Condition, and Flood Load Condition):

The purpose of these models is to consider the effects of operating load conditions (i.e. dead loads, minimum live loads, etc.), the heavy load

condition (when heavy vehicles and cargo are moved across the top of the tunnel), and the flood load condition (the extreme flood loads due to a MCR breach).

(2) SSE (SSE loads without SSE Wave Propagation):

The purpose of these models is to consider the effects of SSE loads without the effects of the SSE wave propagation, which are considered in a separate model. The dead loads, live loads, soil loads, and accidental eccentricity loads are applied to the static (non-seismic) model. The SSE loads are combined using the SRSS method in the dynamic (seismic) model.

(3) SSE (SSE loads with SSE Wave Propagation per ASCE 4-98):

The purpose of these models is to consider the effects of SSE loads with the effects of the SSE wave propagation and additional forces and moments due to bends in the tunnel per ASCE 4-98. The dead loads, live loads, soil loads, accidental eccentricity loads, SSE wave propagation loads and additional forces and moments due to bends in the tunnel are applied to the static (non-seismic) model. The SSE loads are combined using the SRSS method in the dynamic (seismic) model.

(4) Tornado Missile:

The purpose of these models is to consider the effects of vertical tornado missiles. The full tornado load combinations, outlined in Section 3H.7.4.3.4.2, are applied to the model considering a vertical tornado missile. The results of this SAP2000 model are combined with those from a manual calculation which considers the full tornado load combination and a horizontal tornado missile.

(5) Effect of Uplift:

The purpose of this model is to consider the effects of uplift on the basemat during a seismic event. All loads are simultaneously applied to a single static model. The models described above are developed to determine the reinforcement required for their specific loading conditions. The results are post-processed as described in Section 3H.7.5.3.1.

The required reinforcement (longitudinal, in-plane shear and transverse) reported in Table 3H.7-1 is based on the envelop of the required reinforcement determined from all the SAP2000 FEA analyses and the required reinforcement determined via the manual calculation for the full tornado load combination.

### **3H.7.5.2 Analysis**

#### **3H.7.5.2.1 Seismic Analysis**

The DGFOTs are long reinforced concrete tunnels with above grade access regions at the two ends of each tunnel. The widened envelop spectra of the resulting in-structure

response spectra from the following two seismic analyses are used as the final in-structure response spectra for these tunnels and their access regions.

- Two-dimensional (2D) soil-structure-interaction (SSI) analysis of a typical cross section of the DGFOT
- Three-dimensional (3D) fixed base seismic analysis of the DGFOT No. 1B (approximately 50 ft long) including its access regions at the two ends of the tunnel.

The details of the above two seismic analyses are provided below.

#### **A. 2D SSI Analysis of a Typical Cross section of DGFOT**

SASSI2000 computer code is used for the SSI analysis, using the direct method. Figure 3H.7-20 shows the structural part of the 2D plane-strain model of the DGFOT with 2 ft thick mud mat under the base mat. The top of the tunnel is at the grade elevation. The specifics of the 2D SSI model are as follows:

- The structural properties (i.e. mass and stiffness) for the 2D model correspond to per unit depth (1 ft dimension in out-of-plane direction) of the tunnel.
- Layered soil is modeled up to 74 ft depth (more than two times the horizontal cross section dimension of the tunnel plus its embedment depth) with halfspace below it.
- Sixteen cases of strain dependent soil properties representing the in-situ lower bound, mean and upper bound; lower bound backfill over in-situ lower bound, mean backfill over in-situ mean and upper bound backfill over in-situ upper bound; cracked concrete wall with in-situ upper bound soil, soil separation with in-situ upper bound soil; ABWR DCD/Tier 2 generic soil profiles UB1D, VP3D, VP4D, VP5D, VP7D, R, R with soil separation and R with cracked wall.
- Concrete and mud mat damping are assigned 4% for all cases (conservatively 4% damping is also used for cracked concrete cases).
- In accordance with Subsection 2.4S.12 and Table 2.0-2 groundwater was considered at 6 ft depth (28 feet MSL) for site-specific soil and backfill cases. Groundwater was considered at 2 ft depth for DCD cases. In site-specific and backfill cases, the groundwater effect is included by using a minimum P-wave velocity of 5000 ft/sec, as explained in Section 3A.15, except that Poisson's ratio is capped at 0.495. In DCD cases, the groundwater effect is similarly included, except that, consistent with DCD Section 3A.3.3, a minimum P-wave velocity of 4800 ft/sec is used.
- The models are capable of passing frequencies up to at least 33 Hz, in both the vertical and horizontal directions.

- For all SSI cases analyzed, a cut-off frequency of 35 Hz is used for transfer function calculations.
- Acceleration time histories consistent with Regulatory Guide 1.60 response spectra anchored at 0.3g peak ground acceleration are used as input at the grade elevation.

The foundation input response spectra (FIRS) for the DGFOT were calculated and were compared to the outcrop spectra at the foundation level of the DGFOT. The outcrop spectra were calculated from a deconvolution analysis performed in the SHAKE program with the site-specific SSE motion applied at the free field ground surface. Figures 3H.7-22 through 3H.7-30 show the comparison of the outcrop response spectra and the FIRS, in the two horizontal directions and the vertical direction for the lower bound, mean and upper bound in-situ soil properties. These figures show that the FIRS are enveloped by the foundation outcrop spectra in all cases. The figures also show that the response spectra at the SHAKE outcrop of DGFOT foundation level also envelop a broad band spectrum anchored at 0.1g. This is the minimum requirement as stated in SRP 3.7.1 and Appendix S to 10 CFR 50. The broadband spectrum used in this comparison is conservatively defined as the Regulatory Guide 1.60 spectrum anchored at 0.1g.

- Since the tunnels run along both East-West and North-South directions, the horizontal input motions from both East-West and North-South time histories are considered. East-West input motion is applied to the tunnel sections running North-South and North-South input motion is applied to the tunnel sections running East-West. To account for the impact of nearby heavy RB, in the three dimensional SSI analysis of the RB for site-specific SSE, one interaction node at the ground surface and one interaction node at the depth corresponding to the bottom elevation of the DGFOT are located at several locations along each of the three DGFOTs. The envelope of the amplified motions at these interaction nodes and 0.3g Regulatory Guide 1.60 response spectra are used for SSI analysis of the DGFOT. For resolution of issues with the subtraction method of analysis identified by the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board (DNFSB) see Section 3H.10. As shown in Figures 3H.7-30a through 3H.7-30c, the 0.3g Regulatory Guide 1.60 response spectra are found to be the bounding spectra.
- In-structure response spectra are generated at the top of floor slab (middle of span), at the top of the roof slab (middle of span) and at the mid-height of two walls of the tunnel cross-section.
- The responses from the horizontal and vertical directions are combined using the square-root-of-sum-of-square (SRSS) method.
- The responses from all SSI analyses cases are enveloped.
- The in-structure response spectra at the top of the floor slab (middle of span), at the roof of slab (middle of span) and at the mid-height of two walls

of the tunnel cross-section are enveloped to conservatively provide the in-structure response spectra for the entire 2D cross-section of the tunnel.

### **B. 3D Fixed Base Analysis of DGFOT No. 1B Including its Two Access Regions**

A 3D fixed base seismic (basemat fixed) analysis of the DGFOT No. 1B running between the RB and DGFOV No. 1B is performed. The following provides the details of this fixed base analysis:

- SAP2000 computer code is used to perform the seismic analysis.
- Modal time history method of analysis is used.
- Shell elements are used for modeling the reinforced concrete tunnel section and the access regions at the two end of the tunnel.
- 4% damping is used for the shell elements.
- Acceleration time histories (two horizontal directions and a vertical direction) consistent with Regulatory Guide 1.60 response spectra anchored at 0.3g peak ground acceleration are used as input motions.
- Nodal acceleration time history responses obtained from the SAP2000 analysis are processed using the RSG computer code to calculate in-structure response spectra at selected nodes. The nodes selected for the in-structure response spectra generation are; four nodes on top of each access regions (middle of four walls) and three nodes at the top of tunnel (middle of the tunnel).
- The maximum co-directional responses from each of the three directions of excitations are combined using the SRSS method.
- The in-structure response spectra at the selected nodes are enveloped to conservatively provide the in-structure response spectra from fixed base analysis, for the entire tunnel and the access regions.

The corresponding in-structure response spectra obtained from the 2D SSI analysis and in-structure response spectra obtained from the 3D fixed base analysis described in parts A and B above are enveloped and peak widened by  $\pm 30\%$ . The 30% peak widening is used to cover any frequency shift due to the foundation soil flexibility, which is not included in the fixed base seismic analysis. The final widened in-structure response spectra for the horizontal and vertical directions of the DGFOTs and their access regions are provided in Figures 3H.7-31 and 3H.7-32, respectively. The spectra in Figures 3H.7-31 and 3H.7-32 provide the in-structure response spectra for the entire SDGFOTs and their access towers at the two ends.

### 3H.7.5.2.2 Structure-Soil-Structure Interaction (SSSI) Analysis for Seismic Soil Pressures

Two 2D section cuts are taken for site-specific SSSI analyses; one East-West section cut through DGFOT No. 1C, DGFOVS No. 1A and the Crane Foundation Retaining Wall (CFRW) and one East-West section cut through the RB, DGFOT No. 1A and the CFRW. These SSSI analyses are used to obtain seismic soil pressures on the walls of DGFOT considering the effect of nearby structures.

The SSSI model and analyses details for the section cut through DGFOT No. 1C, DGFOVS No. 1A and the CFRW are provided in Section 3H.6.7.

The structural part of SSSI model for the section cut through the RB, DGFOT No. 1A and the CFRW is shown in Figure 3H.7-21. The methodology for the SSSI model including strain dependent soil properties; soil cases analyzed; and method of analyses are same as those for the section cut through DGFOT No. 1C, DGFOVS No. 1A and the CFRW described in Section 3H.6.7. This SSSI model is capable of passing frequencies up to at least 33 Hz in both the vertical and horizontal directions and the analysis uses a cut-off frequency 33 Hz for calculation of transfer functions.

Figures 3H.7-5 through 3H.7-8 show a comparison of the SSI, SSSI, ASCE 4-98 seismic soil pressures and the enveloping seismic soil pressures used for the design of the DGFOT walls.

The design of the DGFOTs also accounts for the axial tensile strain and the seismic induced forces at the tunnel bends due to SSE wave propagation as described in section 3H.7.5.2.4.

### 3H.7.5.2.3 Torsional Effects

The accidental torsion is computed in accordance with ASCE 4-98 considering an additional eccentricity of +/- 5% of the maximum building dimension for both horizontal directions. The induced member forces due to this accidental torsion are obtained from static analysis of the structure and are added to the induced forces to other applicable loads whether the analysis predicts positive or negative results (ie: absolute sum).

### 3H.7.5.2.4 SSE Wave Propagation Effects

The design of the DGFOT accounts for the axial tensile strain and induced forces at tunnel bends due to SSE wave propagation. The axial strain on the DGFOT due to SSE wave propagation is determined based on the equations and commentary outlined in Section 3.5.2.1 of ASCE 4-98. The maximum curvature is computed based on Equation 3.5-3 in Section 3.5.2.1.3 of ASCE 4-98.

For SSE wave propagation computations, the following parameters are considered:

- An apparent wave velocity of 3,000 ft/sec (as recommended in Section C3.5.2.1 of ASCE 4-98)

- A maximum ground velocity of 6.24 in/sec (which is based on 48 in/sec/g and site-specific SSE maximum ground acceleration of 0.13g)
- Soil pressure distribution on the transverse leg of the tunnel near the bend is limited by the maximum passive pressure using passive pressure coefficient  $K_p = 3$

The tensile axial strain and strain due to maximum curvature are conservatively added together to obtain the actual strain in the longitudinal direction of the DGFOT. The actual strain is then compared to the cracking strain of concrete and maximum allowable strain of the reinforcing. The maximum computed tensile axial strain is  $1.75 \times 10^{-4}$  in/in which is about 8.5% of the rebar yield strain of  $2.069 \times 10^{-3}$  in/in. The design also accounts for the induced forces at tunnel bends due to SSE wave propagation. These forces are determined in accordance with Section 3.5.2.2 of ASCE 4-98 by considering the structure as a beam on elastic foundation. To determine the required reinforcement, the induced forces at the tunnel bends are considered to act simultaneously with all other applicable loads (including dynamic soil pressures) in the seismic load combinations.

### 3H.7.5.3 Structural Design

#### 3H.7.5.3.1 Reinforced Concrete Elements

The strength design criteria defined in ACI 349, as supplemented by RG 1.142, was used to design the reinforced concrete elements making up the DGFOT. Concrete with a compressive strength of 4.0 ksi and reinforcing steel with a yield strength of 60 ksi are considered in the design. All loads and load combinations listed in Section 3H.7.4 are considered in the design.

The design forces and provided longitudinal and transverse reinforcement for the DGFOT and access region walls and slabs are shown in Table 3H.7-1. The reinforcement zones in Table 3H.7-1 are shown in Figures 3H.7-9 through 3H.7-14, 3H.7-14a, 3H.7-15 through 3H.7-19 and 3H.7-19A. The regions of the DGFOT are labeled in Figure 3H.7-1.

The shell forces from every element for every load combination in the finite element analysis were evaluated to determine the required reinforcement. The following out-of-plane moment and axial force coupled with the corresponding load combination are reported in Table 3H.7-1 when the governing forces, moments and reinforcement is from the SAP2000 models:

- The maximum tension axial force with the corresponding moment acting simultaneously from the same load combination.
- The maximum compression axial force with the corresponding moment acting simultaneously from the same load combination.
- The maximum moment that has corresponding axial tension acting simultaneously in the same load combination.

- The maximum moment that has corresponding axial compression acting simultaneously in the same load combination.

For each surface, the in-plane shear with the corresponding load combination are reported in Table 3H.7-1 when the governing forces, moments and reinforcement is from the SAP2000 models. The in-plane shear is the maximum average in-plane shear along a plane that crosses the longitudinal reinforcement zone. The shell forces from every element for every load combination in the finite element model were evaluated to determine the required transverse reinforcement. The transverse shear and axial force reported in Table 3H.7-1 correspond to the maximum required transverse reinforcement for an element within that transverse reinforcement zone.

The provided longitudinal reinforcing for each face and each direction is determined based on the out-of-plane moments, axial forces, and in-plane shears occurring simultaneously for every load combination.

The provided transverse shear reinforcing (as required) is determined based on the transverse shears and axial forces perpendicular to the shear plane occurring simultaneously for every load combination.

### 3H.7.5.3.2 Foundation Design

The foundation for the DGFOT consists of a reinforced concrete mat and a lean concrete mud mat. The basemat deflections due to the flexibility of the basemat and supporting soil were accounted for through the use of foundation soil springs in the SAP2000 finite element analysis models. Both the Winkler and the Pseudo-Coupled Methods were used to model the foundation soil springs. The results of the two analyses were enveloped for design purposes.

Two different subgrade reactions (soil spring constants) are used, one for seismic loads and one for non-seismic loads. The following soil spring constants were used in the FEA models of the DGFOTs:

Vertical springs (with static loads).....	260 kips/ft/ft <sup>2</sup>
Vertical springs (with seismic loads).....	531 kips/ft/ft <sup>2</sup>
North-south springs (with static and seismic loads).....	318 kips/ft/ft <sup>2</sup>
East-west springs (with static and seismic loads).....	318 kips/ft/ft <sup>2</sup>

### 3H.7.5.3.3 Uplift Analysis

The effect of uplift on the basemat during a seismic event was considered through the use of a SAP2000 design model which simulated the uplift condition. The seismic design accelerations applied to the SAP2000 design uplift model are adjusted by a scale factor which scales the seismic forces to the maximum level possible during an uplift condition of the DGFOT. The scaled seismic accelerations along with applicable loads described in Section 3H.7.4 are then combined. The results of the uplift model and the design models were enveloped for design purposes.

#### **3H.7.5.3.4 Stability Evaluation**

The DGFOT stability evaluations are performed for the various load combination listed in Section 3H.7.4.5. These evaluations were done using the procedure described in detail in Section 3H.6.5.2.14. The lateral soil pressures for stability evaluation of the DGFOT are shown in Figures 3H.7-3 and 3H.7-4. The DGFOT factors of safety against sliding, overturning, and flotation are provided in Table 3H.7-2. For sliding and overturning evaluations, the 100%, 40%, 40% rule was used for combination of the X, Y, and Z seismic excitations.

Restraints are provided around the Access Regions to limit movement and rotation due to a tornado or hurricane missile.

#### **3H.8 Development of Standard Plant SSE Time Histories**

The seismic analysis of the Diesel Generator Fuel Oil Storage Vaults and Diesel Generator Fuel Oil Tunnels use the SSE ground motion included in Tier 1 Table 5.0, in addition to the site-specific SSE ground motion, as described in Sections 3H.6.7 and 3H.7, respectively. Since the DCD does not include the digitized information for the SSE time histories, new time histories consistent with Regulatory Guide 1.60 response spectra anchored to peak ground acceleration of 0.3g were developed for use in these analyses. Acceleration time history records obtained from 1994 Northridge Earthquake were used as seed time histories in generating these synthetic time histories. The time histories were developed in accordance with the criteria described in Section 3.7.1.2, using computer programs SYNQKE-R, HIST, and QUAKE described in Appendix 3C.

The plots of the acceleration, velocity, and displacement time histories of the two horizontal and the vertical components are shown in Figures 3H.8-1 through 3H.8-3. The plots of response spectra for 2%, 3%, 4%, 5%, and 7% damping, showing the comparison of the target response spectra (Regulatory Guide 1.60 spectra) with the spectra of the synthetic time histories, are shown in Figures 3H.8-4 through 3H.8-18. The plots of power spectral density functions (PSD) showing the comparison of the target PSD, corresponding to the Regulatory Guide 1.60 spectra, with the PSD of the synthetic time histories are shown in Figures 3H.8-19 through 3H.8-21.

#### **3H.9 Extreme Environmental Design Parameters for Seismic Analysis, Design, Stability Evaluation and Seismic Category II/I Design**

Table 3H.9-1 shows the extreme environmental design parameters used for seismic analysis, structural design, stability evaluation, and Seismic Category II/I design for the Ultimate Heat Sink/Reactor Service Water Pump House, Reactor Service Water Piping Tunnel, Diesel Generator Fuel Oil Storage Vault, Diesel Generator Fuel Oil Tunnel, Radwaste Building, Control Building Annex, Turbine Building, and Service Building.

#### **3H.10 STP 3 & 4 Resolution of Issues with Subtraction Method of Analysis Identified by DNFSB**

The Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board (DNFSB) in its letter from Peter S. Winokur to Daniel B. Poneman of DOE, dated April 8, 2011, has identified a technical issue in SASSI that when the Subtraction Method (SM) is used to analyze embedded

structures, the results may be non-conservative. To address this issue an extensive evaluation was performed and, where required, in-structure response spectra and/or structural designs based on SM were modified to ensure STP 3 & 4 designs are conservative. This evaluation took into account the recommendations for reviewing past SASSI SM analyses, and advice on avoiding SM errors in future analyses that DOE provided in a letter from Daniel B. Poneman to Peter S. Winokur dated July 29, 2011, responding to the DNFSB. The following is a summary of this evaluation.

#### **A. Modified Subtraction Method:**

For new analyses where use of the Direct Method (DM) of analysis is not feasible, in its July 29, 2011 letter to the DNFSB, DOE has recommended using the Modified Subtraction Method (MSM) of analysis. For analyses performed for STP 3 & 4, the interaction nodes for MSM are comprised of all those at the soil-structure interface and all those at the top of excavated soil elements.

A Project specific validation and verification was performed to verify MSM results against those from DM. In the previous SSI analysis in support of the shear wave velocity departure, the CB SSI analysis was performed using DM. For this verification, the CB was re-analyzed using MSM and the results of SSI analyses from the DM and MSM were compared. The results of these comparisons were as follows:

- In-structure response spectra (ISRS) compared well.
- The maximum accelerations compared well. The maximum difference was less than 4%.
- Beam element forces (i.e. axial, shear and moment) compared well. The maximum difference was less than 2%.
- Wall in-plane forces (i.e. axial, shear and moment) compared well. The maximum difference was about 4%.
- Based on maximum difference of 4% in maximum accelerations, the maximum difference in wall out-of-plane forces would be about 4%.

Based on the above comparison results, the Modified Subtraction Method of analysis with interaction nodes comprised of those at the soil-structure interface and the nodes at the top of excavated soil elements is verified for STP 3 & 4 project use.

#### **B. STP 3 & 4 Use of SASSI2000 for Seismic Analyses:**

The SASSI2000 program is used to perform seismic analyses for Seismic Category I structures. These seismic analyses are comprised of:

- Soil Structure Interaction (SSI) analysis
- Structure-Soil-Structure Interaction (SSSI) analysis

The results of the above seismic analyses are used for:

- Determination of amplified site-specific motions for light structures considering the influence of nearby heavy structures
- Generation of In-Structure Response Spectra (ISRS) using the acceleration time histories from SSI analyses
- Structural design and stability evaluations of structures using:
  1. Maximum nodal accelerations and section cut forces from SSI analyses
  2. Soil pressures from the SSI and SSSI analyses

The Subtraction Method of analysis was used for all SSSI and some SSI analyses. The results of these analyses were used in addressing the design of the following buildings.

- Reactor Building (RB)
- Control Building (CB)
- Ultimate Heat Sink (UHS)/Reactor Service Water (RSW) Pump House
- RSW Piping Tunnels
- Diesel Generator Fuel Oil Storage Vaults (DGFOVS)
- Diesel Generator Fuel Oil Tunnels (DGFOT)
- Radwaste Building (RWB)

For the Reactor and Control buildings the results were compared to the DCD design values to ensure that the DCD design envelopes the results of these analyses.

### **C. Impact on Amplified Site-Specific Motions:**

Before the DNFSB letter, the amplified motions had been determined from the three SSI analyses described below:

#### 1) Reactor Building (RB) SSI Analysis

In this SSI analysis, the amplified site-specific motions were determined for the following adjacent light structures:

- RSW Piping Tunnels
- Diesel Generator Fuel Oil Storage Vaults (DGFOVS)
- Diesel Generator Fuel Oil Tunnels (DGFOT)
- Radwaste Building (RWB)
- Control Building Annex (CBA)

- Service Building (SB)

2) Control Building (CB) SSI Analysis

In this SSI analysis, the amplified site-specific motions were determined for the following adjacent light structures:

- CBA
- SB

3) UHS/RSW Pump House SSI Analysis

In this SSI analysis, the amplified site-specific motions were determined for the following adjacent light structures:

- RSW Piping Tunnels
- the one DGFOV which is located adjacent to the RSW Pump House

Since the RB SSI model includes the great majority of the light structures adjacent to heavy structures (i.e. all but the CBA), the RB SSI analysis was selected to examine the impact on the amplified site-specific motions. For this re-analysis the modified subtraction method of analysis (MSM) was used due to the large size of the RB SSI model. In addition, the Poisson's ratio cap was increased to 0.495 and the ground water table was increased to 6 feet below grade (i.e., EL 28 ft MSL). The amplified motions obtained from the MSM analyses are acceptable because the MSM was validated by analyzing the CB model using both the Direct Method (DM) and MSM and comparing the responses obtained from the two methods. The responses compared were the structure's peak accelerations, response spectra, displacements and element forces. The comparisons showed that the corresponding responses from the MSM and DM match very well. The comparisons did not include acceleration motion (time histories) at a point in the soil away from the structure, for calculating amplified motion in the soil due to the structure. However, since the acceleration time histories at nodes in the structure matched very well, the acceleration time histories at a point in the soil away from the structure will also match very well.

Changes in amplified input motions may affect one or more of the following:

- Generated In-Structure Response Spectra (ISRS)
- Design of Seismic Category I Structures
- Seismic II/I Designs
- Stability Evaluations of Seismic Category I and II/I structures

Each of the above items is discussed below.

Impact on Generated ISRS:

ISRS are only generated for Seismic Category I structures. The impact on generation of ISRS for DGFOVS, DGFOT and RSW Piping Tunnels is discussed below.

DGFOVS and DGFOT:

The ISRS for these two structures were generated considering the amplified input motion from the SSI analysis of the RB using MSM. Therefore, no further evaluation is required for these structures.

RSW Piping Tunnels:

Considering the significant change in amplified input motion of the RSW Piping Tunnels, the ISRS of the RSW Piping Tunnels were increased using scale factors to account for the impact of MSM on the generated ISRS.

Considering the amplified input motions for the RSW Piping Tunnels from the SSI analyses of the RB and UHS/RSW Pump House, for each damping value, each direction and each soil case, the scale factors were computed as the ratio of in-structure response spectra (ISRS) based on amplified input motions from MSM SSI analysis divided by the corresponding ISRS based on amplified input motions from SM SSI analysis. These scale factors were determined on frequency basis and enveloped over frequency intervals of 0-2 Hz, 2-5 Hz, 5-10 Hz, 10-15 Hz, 15-20 Hz, 20-25 Hz, 25-30 Hz, 30-35 Hz, 35-40 Hz, 40-45 Hz, 45-50 Hz, 50-55 Hz and 55-100 Hz. For each damping value, each direction and each soil case, these scale factors were applied to the raw spectra based on amplified input motions from the SM SSI analysis of the RB and UHS/RSW Pump House prior to generation of final broadened response spectra. Figures 3H.6-138 and 3H.6-139 are the final scaled response spectra for the RSW Piping Tunnels for the horizontal and vertical directions, respectively.

#### Impact on Design of Seismic Category I Structures:

Each of the structures affected (i.e. DGFOVS, DGFOT and RSW Piping Tunnels) by this item is discussed below.

DGFOVS and DGFOT:

The designs of these structures were completed considering the amplified input motion from the SSI analysis of the RB using MSM. Therefore, no further evaluation is required for these structures.

RSW Piping Tunnels:

Design of the RSW Piping Tunnel was re-evaluated considering the impact of amplified input motions from the MSM analysis and found to be conservative.

#### Impact on Seismic II/I Designs:

Each of the structures affected (i.e. RWB, SB, and CBA) by this item is discussed below.

RWB:

The II/I design of this structure as noted in Table 3H.9-1 is based on the envelope of the amplified site-specific SSE and 0.3g RG 1.60 spectra. The amplified input motions for the RWB obtained from MSM analysis of the RB are significantly bounded by the 0.3g RG 1.60 spectra. Therefore, the II/I design of the RWB is not impacted and requires no further evaluation.

SB:

The II/I design of this structure as noted in Table 3H.9-1 is based on the envelope of the amplified site-specific SSE and 0.3g RG 1.60 spectra. The amplified input motions for the SB obtained from MSM analysis of the RB are significantly bounded by the 0.3g RG 1.60 spectra. Therefore no further evaluation is required for II/I design of the SB.

CBA:

The II/I design of this structure as noted in Table 3H.9-1 is based on the envelope of the amplified site-specific SSE and 0.3g RG 1.60 spectra. No amplified site-specific SSE has been generated for the CBA using MSM analysis. However, the existing amplified site-specific SSE motions obtained from SSI analysis of the CB using SM are significantly bounded by the 0.3g RG 1.60 spectra. Considering the change in amplified motions for those from RB MSM SSI analysis, the amplified input motions from a MSM SSI analysis of CB will still be bounded by the 0.3g RG 1.60 spectra. Therefore no further evaluation is required for II/I design of the CBA.

#### **D. Generation of In-structure Response Spectra (ISRS):**

- Reactor Service Water (RSW) Piping Tunnel ISRS were generated using DM. Initially the amplified site specific SSE motions considering the effect of nearby heavy structures were obtained from SSI analyses of the Reactor Building (RB) and Ultimate Heat Sink (UHS)/RSW Pump House using SM. The SSI analyses of the RB (for all soil cases) and UHS/RSW Pump House (for upper bound in-situ soil case) were repeated using MSM. Based on the comparison of the RSW Piping Tunnel ISRS obtained from SSI analysis of RSW Piping Tunnel using amplified site specific SSE motions from MSM analyses to those from SM, increase scale factors were determined to account for the effect of MSM on amplified site specific SSE motions. The ISRS based on amplified site specific SSE motions from SM analyses were increased by these increase scale factors to obtain the final RSW Piping Tunnel ISRS.
- Diesel Generator Fuel Oil Tunnel (DGFOT) ISRS were generated using DM.

- Diesel Generator Fuel Oil Storage Vault (DGFOVS) ISRS were initially generated using SM. DGFOVS ISRS have been revised based on new SSI analysis using MSM.
- Ultimate Heat Sink (UHS)/RSW Pump House ISRS were initially generated using SM. The SSI analysis for the upper bound in-situ soil case was repeated using MSM. The ISRS from MSM were compared to the corresponding ISRS from SM to determine modification factors (only increases were considered, reductions were ignored) to account for MSM effect. The product of the modification factors for MSM and envelope of the modification factors accounting for the cumulative effect of structural and SSI mesh refinements discussed in Section 3H.6.5.2.4.2 were used as the final modification factors for adjusting the ISRS from SM to obtain the final UHS/RSW Pump House ISRS.

#### **E. SSSI Soil Pressures used in Structural Design:**

Based on an extensive SSSI study, the following were concluded:

- The method of SSSI analysis (SM, MSM, or DM) has negligible impact on the total force due to seismic soil pressure.
- The method of SSSI analysis (SM, MSM, or DM) has negligible impact on location (i.e. C.G.) of the total force due to seismic soil pressure.
- DM analytical results show some changes in the distribution of seismic soil pressure for exterior walls.
- The method of SSSI analysis (SM, MSM, or DM) has negligible impact on the soil pressure distribution for interior walls (walls facing adjacent structure).

Considering the above and the available margins between the seismic soil pressures used for design and those from SM, the designs including those for the RB and CB based on SM were found to be adequate for possible changes in soil pressure distribution due to use of DM.

#### **F. SSI Soil Pressures used in Structural Design:**

- RSW Piping Tunnel SSI soil pressures (Figures 3H.6-212 through 3H.6-217) were obtained from DM. The SSI soil pressures were also scaled to account for the amplified input motion based on MSM. Therefore, no further evaluation is required.
- DGFOT SSI soil pressures (Figures 3H.7-5 through 3H.7-8) were obtained from DM. Therefore, no further evaluation is required.
- DGFOVS SSI soil pressures (Figures 3H.6-226 through 3H.6-231) were obtained from MSM. Based on available margin between the seismic soil pressures used for design and SSI soil pressures from MSM, the design was found to be adequate for possible changes in soil pressure distribution due to use of DM.

- UHS/RSW Pump House SSI soil pressures (Figures 3H.6-218 through 3H.6-220) were obtained from SM. MSM SSI soil pressures for upper bound in-situ soil case were found to be comparable to those from SM. Based on available margin between the seismic soil pressures used for design and SSI soil pressures from SM, the design was found to be adequate for possible changes in soil pressure distribution due to use of DM.

**G. Maximum Accelerations / Section Cut Forces used in Structural Design:**

- RSW Piping Tunnel SSI is based on DM. Therefore, no further evaluation is required.
- DGFOT SSI is based on DM. Therefore, no further evaluation is required.
- DGFOSV SSI is based on MSM. Therefore, no further evaluation is required.
- UHS/RSW Pump House SSI is based on SM. The maximum accelerations from MSM SSI analysis for upper bound in-situ soil case were used for evaluation of design which is based on SM. The following is a summary of this evaluation:

Evaluation of Walls and Slab Panels:

In order to assess the cumulative effect of change in acceleration, for 19 section cuts the % difference in SSI forces from Subtraction and Modified Subtraction Methods of analysis were determined and compared to the available margin in section cut forces due to use of equivalent static method. The comparison of section forces for all 19 section cuts showed that all wall and slab panels of UHS/RSW Pump House designed based on SSI analysis using Subtraction Method of analysis are adequate for the resulting forces due to use of Modified Subtraction Method of analysis. To further validate the results of the above comparisons, the following two additional confirmatory studies were performed to provide further assurance that 1) the section cut forces from the SASSI2000 analysis were accurate; and 2) the SSI mesh was adequately refined to produce accurate section cut forces.

Benchmark Study:

In order to benchmark the calculation of section cut forces from SASSI2000, a dynamic analysis performed in SASSI2000 was repeated using SAP2000 with an identical model and input. The models were identical to the so-called coarse mesh model used for SSI analysis of UHS/RSW PH, but were run as fixed base. Input ground motions were the site-specific SSE, the results from the three seismic components were combined using SRSS, and only the full basin case was considered. Based on the comparison of section cut forces for the same 19 section cuts discussed above, the section cut forces from the SASSI2000 analysis were found to be accurate.

Mesh Refinement Study:

To confirm that the coarse mesh model of the SSI analysis of the UHS/RSW PH using Modified Subtraction Method is sufficiently refined for determination of

section cut forces, a dynamic analysis performed in SASSI2000 was repeated using a mesh that had been modified to best approximate that used in the SAP2000 design model using the equivalent static method. The models and input motions were identical except for this mesh modification. Both dynamic analyses were run using fixed base boundary conditions subject to site-specific SSE ground motions considering both full and empty basin cases. The results from the three seismic components were combined using SRSS. Comparisons were made for all section cut forces from the same 19 section cuts discussed above and for any section where the section cut forces from the modified mesh were higher, the corresponding section cut forces from the MSM SSI analysis were increased by the same percent (%) increase prior to comparison with the section cut forces from the SAP2000 design model for demonstrating adequacy of the existing design.

#### Evaluation of UHS Basin Columns and Beams:

The design of concrete beams and columns within the UHS basin for the upper bound (UB) soil case based on SM and MSM SSI analysis results were compared and the design based on SM was found to be adequate. Based on the results of this comparison, all UHS basin concrete beams and columns designed based on SSI analysis using SM will be adequate for SSI analysis results using Modified Subtraction Method of analysis (MSM).

#### Impact of MSM on RSW Pump House Operating Floor and Roof:

RSW Pump House operating floor and roof designs are based on vertical accelerations obtained from the final response spectra (i.e. Figures 3H.6-21 and 3H.6-24) which account for the effect of both mesh refinement and MSM analysis.

#### Impact of MSM on UHS Basin Water Pressure:

The MSM impact on the UHS basin water pressure due to vertical excitation of the UHS basin water is negligible due to the following:

- In the existing design based on SM, the additional water pressure due to vertical excitation of the basin was based on 5% damping peak vertical acceleration of the basin basemat which enveloped both the empty and full basin cases. The peak acceleration value used was 0.475g which was controlled by the empty basin case. The corresponding peak acceleration based on full basin case is 0.449g. Thus, the additional basin water pressure based on SM is conservative by nearly 6% (i.e.  $0.475/0.449 = 1.06$ ).
- The impact of MSM on the 5% damping vertical acceleration response spectra of the UHS basin basemat is small and there is no impact on the peak acceleration.

Based on the results of the above evaluations, the conservative UHS/RSW Pump House design, using equivalent static method for determination of seismic loads, was found to have adequate margin to account for possible changes in maximum accelerations from MSM SSI analysis for all soil cases.

**3H.11 Design for Site-Specific Hurricane Winds and Missiles**

Regulatory Guide 1.221, "Design-Basis Hurricane and Hurricane Missiles for Nuclear Power Plants," October 2011, provides guidance for designing structures for hurricane wind and hurricane generated missiles.

The STP site-specific design-basis hurricane wind speed and resulting hurricane generated missile spectrum were determined in accordance with Regulatory Guide 1.221, as shown in Table 2.0-2 and described in Subsection 3H.11.1.

Design requirements and exceptions related to design basis tornado wind speed and corresponding missiles where noted throughout the FSAR are also applicable to the hurricane wind and hurricane generated missiles.

**3H.11.1 Hurricane Parameters, Loads and Load Combinations**

Parameters

- Maximum hurricane wind speed (from Table 2.0-2):..... 210 mph (338 km/h)
- Hurricane missile spectrum:

Per Tables 1 and 2 of Regulatory Guide 1.221, the hurricane missile spectrum and velocities corresponding to maximum hurricane wind speed of 210 mph (338 km/h) are as follows:

Missile Types	Dimensions	Mass	Missile Velocity	
			Horizontal	Vertical
Automobile	16.4 ft x 6.6 ft x 4.3 ft (5 m x 2m x 1.3m)	4,000 lb (1,810 kg)	134 mph (59.7 m/s)	58 mph (26 m/s)
Schedule 40 Pipe	6.625 in. dia. x 15 ft long (0.168 m dia. x 4.58 m long)	287 lb (130 kg)	104 mph (46.5 m/s)	58 mph (26 m/s)
Solid Steel Sphere	1 in. diameter (25.4 mm diameter)	0.147 lb (0.0669 kg)	92 mph (41.1 m/s)	58 mph (26 m/s)

Loads

The following hurricane load effects are considered in the design:

- Wind pressure ..... ( $W_h$ )
- Missile impact ..... ( $W_{mh}$ )
- Total hurricane load, including missile effects ..... ( $W_{th}$ )

where,  $W_{th} = W_h + W_{mh}$

(1) Hurricane Wind Pressure ( $W_h$ )

Unlike tornado wind pressures, there is no reduction in hurricane wind pressures due to size of the structure. In addition, hurricane wind pressures vary along the height of the structure, whereas, tornado wind pressures are considered uniform along the height of the structure. Hurricane wind pressures are computed using the procedure described in Chapter 6 of ASCE 7-05, in conjunction with the maximum wind speed defined above and the following parameters:

- Exposure Category ..... C
- Importance factor ..... 1.15
- Velocity pressure exposure coefficient as per ASCE 7-05 Table 6-3, but  $\geq 0.87$
- Topographic factor ..... 1.0
- Wind directionality factor ..... 1.0

(2) Hurricane Missile Impact ( $W_{mh}$ )

Structures are evaluated for the effects of hurricane missile impact. Hurricane missile impact effects are evaluated for the following two conditions:

- (a) For concrete barriers, local damage in terms of penetration, perforation, and spalling, is evaluated using the TM 5-855-1 formula (Reference 3H.6-1). For steel barriers, local damage prediction is performed using the Ballistic Research Laboratory (BRL) formula (Reference 3H.6-2).
- (b) Global overall damage evaluations are performed in a manner similar to that for tornado loads in accordance with Revision 3 of SRP 3.5.3. In these evaluations, the hurricane load ( $W_{th}$ ) is included in combination with other applicable loads.

For any critical missile hit location considered, the structure is analyzed for the resulting equivalent static load due to hurricane missile impact in conjunction with hurricane wind pressure. The resulting induced forces and moments from this analysis are combined with the induced forces and moments due to other applicable loads within the load combination to determine the total demand for design of the structural elements.

Load Combinations

## Notations

- S = Normal allowable stress for allowable stress design method
- U = Required strength for strength design method
- D = Dead load
- F = Load due to weight and pressure of fluid with well-defined density and controllable maximum height
- H = Lateral soil pressure and groundwater effects under normal operating conditions
- L = Live load
- Ro = Piping and equipment reaction under normal operating condition (excluding dead load, thermal expansion and seismic)
- To = Normal operating thermal expansion loads from piping and equipment
- $W_{th}$  = Total hurricane load, including missile effects

Load Combinations

## Structural Steel:

$$1.6S^{(\text{Note 1})} = D + L + F + H + Ro + To + W_{th}$$

Note 1: The stress limit coefficient in shear shall not exceed 1.4 in members and bolts.

## Reinforced Concrete:

$$U = D + L + F + H + Ro + To + W_{th}$$

**3H.11.2 Evaluations for Hurricane Design**Local Evaluations

Local evaluations consist of the following:

- Local damage evaluation in terms of penetration, perforation, and spalling as described in Subsection 3H.11.1.

For concrete barriers, the minimum required thickness is based on the largest of the following:

- Penetration Depth

- Thickness required to prevent back-face scabbing
- Minimum thickness per SRP 3.5.3 for Tornado Region II

Formulation for penetration determination in concrete barriers is as follows:

$$X = \frac{222 \cdot P_p \cdot d^{0.215} \cdot V_{\text{impact}}^{1.5}}{\sqrt{f_c}} + 0.5 \cdot d$$

where:

- X = penetration depth (in), [Formulation Per TM 5-855-1]
- d = outer missile diameter (in)
- P<sub>p</sub> = weight of missile (lbf) divided by missile cross-sectional area (in<sup>2</sup>)
- V<sub>impact</sub> = missile impact velocity in units of 1000 ft/sec
- f<sub>c</sub> = concrete compressive strength (psi), no dynamic increase factor is considered because the empirical equation is based on dynamic tests.

- When impact velocity (V<sub>impact</sub>) is less than 1000 ft/sec, the calculated penetration depth (X) is increased by a factor of 1.3.
- The minimum thickness required to prevent back-face scabbing is calculated by doubling the penetration depth (X), including the 30% increase factor when V<sub>impact</sub> is less than 1000 ft/sec.
- Flexural and shear capacity evaluation of the panel impacted by the hurricane missile considering the total hurricane load (W<sub>th</sub>) in conjunction with all other applicable loads per load combinations in Subsection 3H.11.1.

The local panel flexure and shear evaluation requires the following steps:

- Impact force definition
- Impacted element load-deflection diagram
- Application of acceptance criteria

Impact Force Definition for Automobile Missile:

The Impact Forcing Function for automobile missile is per Figure C.2.2-8 of "Report of the ASCE Committee on Impactive and Impulsive Loads Proceeding." Second Conference on Civil Engineering and Nuclear Power, 1981 (see Figure 3H.11-1).

$$F_{\text{impact}} = \frac{V_{\text{impact}}(\text{mph})}{60(\text{mph})} 460(\text{kip})$$

The impact force equation above is based on a linear relationship between the peak impact force (shown in Impact Forcing Function Figure 3H.11-1) and the peak impact velocity. This impact forcing function is idealized by a triangular impulse as shown in Figure 3H.11-2.

#### Impacted Element Load-Deflection Diagrams:

a) Panel response is in elastic range:

When panel response is in elastic range, the idealized load-deflection is as shown in Figure 3H.11-3(a), where:

$R_m$  = Concentrated force capacity of panel

$R_{m1}$  = Available concentrated force capacity of panel

$\delta_1$  = deflection under present loads (all applicable loads present except missile load)

$\delta_e$  = deflection at elastic range limit

b) Panel response extends into plastic range:

When panel response extends into plastic range, the idealized load-deflection is as shown in Figure 3H.11-3(b), where:

$R_m$  = Concentrated force capacity of panel

$R_{m1}$  = Available concentrated force capacity of panel

$\delta_1$  = deflection under present loads (all applicable loads present except missile load)

$\delta_y$  = deflection at yield point

#### Acceptance Criteria:

The acceptance criterion depends on whether the response is in the elastic range or the response extends into the plastic range.

a) Response is in elastic range:

When the response is in the elastic range, the dynamic response is acceptable, provided the following is met:

$$DLF \cdot F_{\text{impact}} \leq R_{m1}$$

- The Dynamic Load Factor (DLF) is based on impact force time history and the parameter ( $t_d/T$ ), where  $t_d$  is the impact duration and T is period of vibration. The minimum DLF value used in hurricane evaluations is 1.0.
- When the DLF is less than 1.2, the dynamic increase factor in Section C.2.1 of ACI 349-97 is not permissible per Regulatory Guide 1.142.

b) Response extends into plastic range

- When the response extends into the plastic range, the dynamic response is acceptable, provided the ductility limits of Section C.3 of ACI 349-97 are met:

$$\mu_{\text{demand}} \leq \mu_{\text{limit}}$$

### Global Evaluations

Global evaluations consist of the following:

- The structure, in its entirety, is evaluated for the total hurricane load ( $W_{th}$ ) in conjunction with all other applicable loads per load combinations in Subsection 3H.11.1.

For structures designed using Finite Element analysis, the missile loads are applied at critical missile locations (i.e. top and/or mid-height) of walls running parallel to missile impact loads. For large structures, such as UHS/RSW Pump House, conservatively several missile hits at various locations are considered to minimize the number of load combinations. For smaller structures such as DGFOSV single missile hits are considered in various load combinations.

- The sliding and overturning stability of the structure is evaluated considering the total hurricane load ( $W_{th}$ ) in conjunction with all other applicable loads. The load combination and the required safety factor for this stability evaluation are as follows:

Stability load combination:  $D + H + W_{th}$

Minimum Required Safety Factor for sliding and overturning = 1.1

### **3H.11.3 Structures Designed for Site-Specific Hurricane**

#### Seismic Category I Structures

The following Seismic Category I structures are designed for site-specific hurricane loads:

- Reactor Building (RB)
- Control Building (CB)
- Reactor Service Water (RSW) Piping Tunnels
- Ultimate Heat Sink (UHS)/Reactor Service Water (RSW) Pump House
- Diesel Generator Fuel Oil Storage Vaults (DGFOSV)
- Diesel Generator Fuel Oil Tunnels (DGFOT)

Tables 3H.11-6 and 3H.11-7 provide a comparison of hurricane wind and missiles with tornado wind and missiles for the above structures.

#### Non-Seismic Category I Structures

Site-specific hurricane loads are used for stability evaluations and design of lateral load resisting systems of the following Non-Seismic Category I structures with potential interaction with Seismic Category I structures:

- Turbine Building (TB)
- Service Building (SB)
- Radwaste Building (RWB)
- Control Building Annex (CBA)
- Stack on the Reactor Building roof

#### **3H.11.3.1 Hurricane Evaluations for the Reactor Building**

The Reactor Building was evaluated under hurricane loading for local damage, panel capacity, global effects, and stability.

The minimum required wall thickness to prevent penetration, perforation, and scabbing is 15.4 inches (391 mm). The minimum wall thickness of the Reactor Building is 16.7 inches (425 mm). The minimum required roof thickness to prevent penetration, perforation, and scabbing is 11.4 inches (290 mm). The minimum roof thickness of the Reactor Building is 13.2 inches (335 mm).

The results of panel evaluations for hurricane generated missile impacts on the Reactor Building are presented in Table 3H.11-4.

The global hurricane wind pressure on the Reactor Building is enveloped by the global tornado wind pressure from grade up to approximately 60 ft above grade (see Figure 3H.11-4). From approximately 60 ft above grade to the top of the Reactor Building, the global hurricane wind pressure exceeds the global tornado wind pressure. A comparison of the seismic shear versus the total hurricane shear on the Reactor

Building shows that the hurricane load is significantly less than the seismic loading (see Figure 3H.11-5). Therefore, the hurricane loading has no impact on the global design or stability. See Table 3H.1-23 for Reactor Building stability.

### **3H.11.3.2 Hurricane Evaluations for the Control Building**

The Control Building was evaluated under hurricane loading for local damage, panel capacity, global effects, and stability.

The minimum required wall thickness to prevent penetration, perforation, and scabbing is 15.4 inches (391 mm). The minimum wall thickness of the Control Building is 23.6 inches (600 mm). The minimum required roof thickness to prevent penetration, perforation, and scabbing is 11.4 inches (290 mm). The minimum roof thickness of the Control Building is 15.75 inches (400 mm).

The results of panel evaluations for hurricane generated missile impacts on the Control Building are presented in Table 3H.11-5.

The global hurricane wind pressure on the Control Building is enveloped by the global tornado wind pressure (see Figure 3H.11-6). A comparison of the seismic shear versus the total hurricane shear on the Control Building shows that the hurricane load is significantly less than the seismic loading (see Figure 3H.11-7). Therefore, the hurricane loading has no impact on the global design.

The factors of safety against sliding and overturning for the hurricane load combination are reported in Table 3H.2-5.

### **3H.11.3.3 Hurricane Evaluations for the RSW Piping Tunnels**

The RSW Piping Tunnels including their access regions were evaluated under hurricane loading for local damage, panel capacity, global effects, and stability.

The minimum required wall thickness to prevent penetration, perforation, and scabbing is 15.4 inches (391 mm). The minimum wall thickness of the RSW Piping Tunnel is 36 inches (914 mm). The minimum required roof thickness to prevent penetration, perforation, and scabbing is 11.4 inches (290 mm). The minimum roof thickness of the RSW Piping Tunnel is 24 inches (610 mm).

Based on the UHS/RSW Pump House, DGFOV and DGFOT panel designs for site-specific hurricane wind and missiles, the RSW Piping Tunnel exterior wall and slab panels are adequate for site-specific hurricane wind and missiles.

The global hurricane wind pressure on the RSW Piping Tunnel is enveloped by the global tornado wind pressure used for design of the structure (see Figure 3H.11-8).

The factors of safety against sliding and overturning for the hurricane load combination are reported in Table 3H.6-16.

#### **3H.11.3.4 Hurricane Evaluations for the UHS/RSW Pump House**

The UHS/RSW Pump House was evaluated under hurricane loading for local damage, panel capacity, global effects, and stability.

The minimum required wall thickness to prevent penetration, perforation, and scabbing is 15.4 inches (391 mm). The minimum wall thickness of the UHS/RSW Pump House is 24 inches (610 mm). The minimum required roof thickness to prevent penetration, perforation, and scabbing is 11.4 inches (290 mm). The minimum roof thickness of the UHS/RSW Pump House is 18 inches (457 mm).

The results of a panel evaluation for hurricane generated missile impacts on the UHS/RSW Pump House are presented in Table 3H.11-1.

The global hurricane wind pressure on the UHS/RSW Pump House is enveloped by the global hurricane wind pressure used for design of the structure (see Figures 3H.11-9 and 3H.11-10).

The factors of safety against sliding and overturning for the hurricane load combination are reported in Table 3H.6-5.

#### **3H.11.3.5 Hurricane Evaluations for the DGFOVS**

The DGFOVS and their access regions were evaluated under hurricane loading for local damage, panel capacity, global effects, and stability.

The minimum required wall thickness to prevent penetration, perforation, and scabbing is 15.4 inches (391 mm). The minimum wall thickness of the DGFOVS is 24 inches (610 mm). The minimum required roof thickness to prevent penetration, perforation, and scabbing is 11.4 inches (290 mm). The minimum roof thickness of the DGFOVS is 18 inches (457 mm).

The results of a panel evaluation for hurricane generated missile impacts on the DGFOVS are presented in Table 3H.11-2.

The global hurricane wind pressure on the DGFOVS is enveloped by the global tornado wind pressure used for design of the structure (see Figure 3H.11-11).

The DGFOVS was assessed for hurricane loads using finite element analysis, and the design results are included in Table 3H.6-11.

The factors of safety against sliding and overturning for the hurricane load combination are reported in Table 3H.6-12.

#### **3H.11.3.6 Hurricane Evaluations for the DGFOT**

The DGFOT and their access regions were evaluated under hurricane loading for local damage, panel capacity, global effects, and stability.

The minimum required wall thickness to prevent penetration, perforation, and scabbing is 15.4 inches (391 mm). The minimum wall thickness of the DGFOT is 24 inches (610

mm). The minimum required roof thickness to prevent penetration, perforation, and scabbing is 11.4 inches (290 mm). The minimum roof thickness of the DGFOT is 24 inches (610 mm).

The results of a panel evaluation for hurricane generated missile impacts on the DGFOT are presented in Table 3H.11-3.

The global hurricane wind pressure on the DGFOT is enveloped by the global tornado wind pressure used for design of the structure (see Figure 3H.11-12).

The factors of safety against sliding and overturning for the hurricane load combination are reported in Table 3H.7-2.

### 3H.11.3.7 Hurricane Evaluations for Non-Seismic Category I Structures

The Non-Seismic Category I structures with potential interaction with Seismic Category I structures were evaluated for stability under hurricane loading. For the Turbine Building, Service Building, Radwaste Building, and Control Building Annex, the total hurricane driving forces were compared with the total seismic driving forces. In all cases, the seismic driving forces govern for stability. For the Reactor Building stack, hurricane wind pressures were compared to tornado wind pressures. The tornado wind pressures envelop the hurricane wind pressures. Therefore, the stability of all Non-Seismic Category I structures with potential interaction with Seismic Category I structures is adequate for hurricane loading.

### 3H.11.4 Protection of Openings of Seismic Category I Structures

The passage of hurricane generated missiles through openings in the roof slabs and exterior walls is prevented by the use of missile-proof covers and doors, or the trajectory of missiles through the opening is limited by labyrinth walls configured to prevent safety-related substructures and components from being impacted.

In addition, the following features are provided for the UHS/RSW Pump House fan enclosure compartments:

- The air intakes for each fan enclosure compartment are located at the bottom of the enclosure and are configured to eliminate the trajectory of hurricane missiles into the enclosures, thereby preventing damage to safety-related components.
- Heavy steel grating, which is supported by structural steel beams, is installed at the top of each fan enclosure compartment. This grating allows for the passage of air out of the compartment and prevents the intrusion of hurricane missiles. The clear spacing of the grating bars is 15/16 inch to prevent entrance of a 1 inch diameter solid steel sphere missile.

### 3H.11.5 Summary and Conclusions for Hurricane Design

DCD Seismic Category I structures (i.e. RB, CB, and DGFOT), site-specific Seismic Category I Structures (i.e. UHS/RSW Pump House, RSW piping Tunnels, and DGFOSV), and Non-Seismic Category I structures with potential interaction with

Seismic Category I structures are evaluated for hurricane wind and missiles. The results of these evaluations are summarized in Tables 3H.11-1 through 3H.11-5.

As described in these tables, the maximum hurricane wind and missile loads were found to be generally less than the minimum capacity of the structures. The only exceptions were certain panels of site-specific structures that required additional reinforcement. These limited design changes did not change the dimensions of any structure, and did not have an adverse effect on the capability of any structure to fulfill its design function.

Table 3H.1-23 Factors of Safety for Foundation Stability\*

Load Combination	Overturning		Sliding		Floatation	
	Req'd.	Actual	Req'd.	Actual	Req'd.	Actual
$D + F'$					1.1	<del>2.43</del> 2.24
$D + L_o + F + H + E_{ss}$	1.1	490	1.1	1.11		

Here:

$F$  = Buoyant Forces from Design Ground Water (0.61m Below Grade)

$F'$  = Buoyant Forces from Design Basis Flood (~~0.3m Below~~ 1.83m Above Grade)

$H$  = Lateral Soil Pressure

$L_o$  = Live Load Acting During an Earthquake (Zero Live Load is Considered).

$E_{ss}$  = SSE Load

$D$  = Dead Load

\* Based on the calculation for shear forces due to tornado loads, it was found that it is less than 10% of the shear forces due to the seismic effects. Hence it was concluded that the load combinations comprising of wind and tornado loadings will not be the governing load combinations for the evaluation of overturning and sliding effects of the R/B stability and therefore, were not evaluated. In addition, based on the calculation for shear forces due to hurricane loads, it was found that it is less than 10% of the shear forces due to the seismic effects. Hence it was concluded that the load combination comprised of hurricane loadings will not be the governing load combination for the evaluation of overturning and sliding effects of the R/B stability and therefore, was not evaluated.

Table 3H.2-5 Stability Evaluation—Factors of Safety

Load Combination	Overturning		Sliding		Flotation	
	Required	Actual	Required	Actual	Required	Actual
$D+F'$	-	-	-	-	1.1	<del>1.42</del> 1.30
$D+F+H+W$	1.5	2.79	1.5	2.74	-	-
$D+F+H+W_t$	1.1	2.66	1.1	2.69	-	-
$D+L_o+F+H'+E'^{**}$	1.1	123*	1.1	1.14	-	-
$D+H+W_{th}$	1.1	1.22	1.1	4.21	-	-

\* Based on the energy technique

\*\* Zero live load is considered.

$F'$  = Buoyant Forces from Design Basis Flood (1.83m Above Grade)

Load  $W_{th}$  is defined in Subsection 3H.11.1.

Table 3H.3-1 Radwaste Building Design Seismic Loads

Wall	Elevation (ft)	In-Plane Forces <sup>(1)</sup> 1/2 SSE (0.15g) (kips)	In-Plane Moments <sup>(1)</sup> 1/2 SSE (0.15g) (kips-ft)
North Wall	95'-0"	5963	0
	35'-0"	4133	351845
	(-)-11'-0"	9328	770605
South Wall	95'-0"	5351	0
	35'-0"	2888	315719
	(-)-11'-0"	7186	635566
East Wall	95'-0"	4555	0
	35'-0"	3276	268725
	(-)-11'-0"	7282	595912
West Wall	95'-0"	5481	0
	35'-0"	4362	323390
	(-)-11'-0"	9125	732302

## Notes:

- (1) The forces and moments reported are the maximum calculated for all time steps. Therefore, the summation of the forces at Elevation 35'-0" and Elevation 95'-0" is not equal to the force at Elevation (-)-11'-0".

Table 3H.3-2 Natural Frequencies of the Radwaste Building - Fixed Base Condition

Mode No.	Frequency (Hz)	Direction
1	2.60	Vertical
2	8.44	Vertical
3	9.10	North-South
4	10.84	East-West
5	12.39	East-West
6	15.48	North-South
7	18.40	East-West
8	23.01	North-South
9	23.95	Vertical
10	27.90	Vertical

Table 3H.3-3 Results of Radwaste Building Concrete Wall Design

Location	Face	Direction	Reinforcement Layout Designation (1)	Thickness (ft)	Reinforcement Zone Number (2)	Maximum Formal (3)	Element	Longitudinal Reinforcement Design Loads				Longitudinal Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Transverse Shear Design Loads (4)				Transverse Shear (5) Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Remarks								
								Axial and Flexure Loads		In-Plane Shear Loads			Horizontal Section		Vertical Section											
								Load Combination	Axial (4) (kips / ft)	Flexure (4) (ft-kips / ft)	Load Combination		In-plane (4) Shear (kips / ft)	Transverse Shear Force (kip / ft)	Corresponding Axial Force (kip / ft)	Transverse Shear Force (kip / ft)			Corresponding Axial Force (kip / ft)							
North Wall	Near Side	Horizontal	3H.3-8	3	1-HL	MTCM	26421	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	51	-50	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	72	1.56	-	-	-	-	-								
						MCCM	30216	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-101	-57																
						MMAT	29729	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	13	-102																
						MMAC	29071	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-36	-104																
						MTCM	26467	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	112	-19									1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	133	3.12	-	-	-	-	-
						MCCM	34333	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-207	-22																
						MMAT	30238	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	1	-244																
						MMAC	26476	D + L + H + E'	-96	-291																
						MTCM	32312	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	118	-103																
					MCCM	26429	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-255	-107																	
					MMAT	26429	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	6	-274																	
					MMAC	26461	D + L + H + E'	-201	-370																	
					MTCM	23479	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	118	-48	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	140	3.12	-	-	-	-	(8)									
					MCCM	34327	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-228	-65																	
					MMAT	23468	D + L + H + E'	6	-134																	
					MMAC	23468	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-44	-230																	
					MTCM	23466	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	76	-223									1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	140	4.68	-	-	-	-	-	
					MCCM	23447	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-198	-466																	
					MMAT	23448	D + L + H + E'	1	-399																	
					MMAC	23447	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-198	-466																	
					MTCM	11709	D + L + H + E'	124	-434																	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo
					MCCM	23440	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-292	-519																	
					MMAT	19506	D + L + H + E'	12	-697																	
					MMAC	19507	D + L + H + E'	-159	-780																	
					MTCM	23472	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	75	-259	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	119	9.36	-	-	-	-	-									
					MCCM	23472	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-193	-794																	
					MMAT	23472	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	11	-739																	
					MMAC	23472	D + L + H + E'	-163	-1000																	
					MTCM	4565	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	27	-46									1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	133	3.12	-	-	-	-	-	
					MCCM	8902	D + L + H + E'	-272	-536																	
					MMAT	8194	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	7	-148																	
					MMAC	8902	D + L + H + E'	-272	-540																	
					MTCM	2717	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	46	-70																	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo
					MCCM	8940	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-233	-695																	
					MMAT	2724	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	0	-296																	
					MMAC	8940	D + L + H + E'	-216	-604																	
MTCM	2716	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	53	-76	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	164	6.24	-	-	-	-	-														
MCCM	8901	D + L + H + E'	-205	-763																						
MMAT	2716	D + L + H + E'	5	-358																						
MMAC	7183	D + L + H + E'	-177	-646																						
MTCM	2787	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	57	-97									1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	164	7.8	-	-	-	-	-						
MCCM	8972	D + L + H + E'	-314	-1406																						
MMAT	2772	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	4	-442																						
MMAC	8972	D + L + H + E'	-307	-1430																						

**Table 3H.3-3 Results of Radwaste Building Concrete Wall Design (Continued)**

Location	Face	Direction	Reinforcement Layout Diagram Number (A)	Thickness (ft)	Reinforcement Zone Number (B)	Maximum Force (C)	Longitudinal Reinforcement Design Loads				Longitudinal Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Transverse Shear Design Loads (D)				Transverse Shear Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Remarks			
							Axial and Flexure Loads			In-Plane Shear Loads		Load Combination	Horizontal Section		Vertical Section					
							Load Combination	Axial (kips / ft)	Flexure (k-ft / ft)	Load Combination			In-plane Shear (kips / ft)	Transverse Shear Force (kip / ft)	Corresponding Axial Force (kip / ft)			Transverse Shear Force (kip / ft)	Corresponding Axial Force (kip / ft)	
North Wall	Near Side	Vertical	3H.3-9	3	1-V-L	MTCM 27258	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	70	-18	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	74	1.56	-	-	-	-	-			
						MCCM 27258	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-200	-39											
						MMAT 27002	D + L + H + E'	21	-64											
						MMAC 27002	D + L + H + E'	-141	-99											
					2-V-L	MTCM 26405	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	109	-53	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	107	3.12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
						MCCM 26405	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-306	-24											
						MMAT 27520	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	28	-218											
						MMAC 29069	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H	-134	-258											
					3-V-L	MTCM 34324	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	110	-15	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	265	4.68	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
						MCCM 34323	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-357	-15											
						MMAT 26417	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	22	-335											
						MMAC 26417	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-117	-335											
					4-V-L	MTCM 26445	D + L + H + E'	56	-385	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	83	6.24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
						MCCM 27219	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H	-209	-97											
						MMAT 26429 / 26430	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	4	-466											
						MMAC 26429 / 26430	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-131	-478											
					5-V-L	MTCM 26437	D + L + H + E'	43	-472	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	75	7.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
						MCCM 26436	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H	-170	-171											
						MMAT 26436	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	22	-548											
						MMAC 26436	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-75	-551											
					6-V-L	MTCM 26428 / 26429	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	99	-579	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	66	12.48	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(B),(9)
						MCCM 26428 / 26429	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-285	-680											
						MMAT 26428 / 26429	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	25	-702											
						MMAC 26428 / 26429	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-245	-705											
7-V-L	MTCM 26685	D + L + H + E'	111	-399	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	78	12.48	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(B),(9)					
	MCCM 26574	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-313	-179																
	MMAT 26685	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	103	-465																
	MMAC 26685	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-133	-465																
8-V-L	MTCM 12452	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	118	-20	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	184	3.12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-					
	MCCM 12452	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-433	-62																
	MMAT 23420	D + L + H + E'	8	-245																
	MMAC 23420	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-297	-326																
9-V-L	MTCM 11724	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	128	-58	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	239	4.68	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-					
	MCCM 11655	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-437	-132																
	MMAT 23433	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	15	-385																
	MMAC 23468	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-272	-495																
10-V-L	MTCM 13208	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	117	-28	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	228	6.24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-					
	MCCM 11654	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-455	-118																
	MMAT 23455	D + L + H + E'	5	-401																
	MMAC 23451	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-167	-515																
11-V-L	MTCM 22805	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	88	-216	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	239	7.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-					
	MCCM 21630	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-265	-92																
	MMAT 23447	D + L + H + E'	1	-626																
			MMAC 23447	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-97	-706														

Table 3H.3-3 Results of Radwaste Building Concrete Wall Design (Continued)

Location	Face	Direction	Reinforcement Layout Drawing Number (1)	Thickness (ft)	Reinforcement Zone Number <sup>(2)</sup>	Maximum Force <sup>(3)</sup>	Longitudinal Reinforcement Design Loads					Longitudinal Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Transverse Shear Design Loads <sup>(4)</sup>				Transverse Shear <sup>(7)</sup> Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Remarks	
							Axial and Flexure Loads			In-Plane Shear Loads			Load Combination	Horizontal Section		Vertical Section			
							Load Combination	Axial <sup>(4)</sup> (kips / ft)	Flexure <sup>(4)</sup> (ft-kips / ft)	Load Combination	In-plane <sup>(5)</sup> Shear (kips / ft)			Transverse Shear Force (kips / ft)	Corresponding Axial Force (kips / ft)	Transverse Shear Force (kips / ft)			Corresponding Axial Force (kips / ft)
Near Wall	Near Side	Vertical	3H-3-9	4	12-V-L	MTCM	23439	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	79	-322	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	230	9.36	-	-	-	-	-	-
						MCCM	23439	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-261	-470									
						MMAT	23440	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	2	-777									
						MMAC	23440	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-163	-623									
						MTCM	4552	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	111	-74									
						MCCM	4552	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-399	-33									
		MMAT	4524	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	72	-134													
		MMAC	4524	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-213	-134													
		MTCM	4498	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	227	-84													
		MCCM	4498	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-665	-76													
		MMAT	8901	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	151	-214													
		MMAC	8901	D + L + H + E'	-484	-307													
	MTCM	2716	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	308	-307														
	MCCM	2716	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-738	-368														
	MMAT	2725	D + L + H + E'	53	-800														
	MMAC	2725	D + L + H + E'	-245	-800														
	MTCM	2771	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	133	-436														
	MCCM	2756	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-439	-438														
	MMAT	2755	D + L + H + E'	57	-796														
	MMAC	2755	D + L + H + E'	-279	-796														
	MTCM	2787	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	339	-278														
	MCCM	2787	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-744	-430														
	MMAT	2790	D + L + H + E'	42	-1331														
	MMAC	2780	D + L + H + E'	-260	-1331														
MTCM	2778	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	86	-301															
MCCM	2778	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-364	-630															
MMAT	2778	D + L + H + E'	43	-1322															
MMAC	2778	D + L + H + E'	-260	-1322															
Far Side	Horizontal	3H-3-10	3	14-H-L	MTCM	36041	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	45	55	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	72	1.56	-	-	-	-	-	-	
					MCCM	36041	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-105	60										
					MMAT	29132	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	10	107										
					MMAC	29132	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-10	107										
					MTCM	31787	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	97	82										
					MCCM	34323	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-224	70										
	MMAT	31545	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	11	191														
	MMAC	31545	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-67	191														
	MTCM	32312	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	118	180														
	MCCM	26429	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-255	82														
	MMAT	32070	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	14	326														
	MMAC	32070	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-78	326														
MTCM	26467	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	142	179															
MCCM	26468	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-77	60															
MMAT	26467	D + L + H + E'	119	233															
MMAC	26467	D + L + H + E'	-6	233															

**Table 3H.3-3 Results of Radwaste Building Concrete Wall Design (Continued)**

Location	Face	Direction	Reinforcement Layout Drawing Number (R)	Thickness (ft)	Reinforcement Zone Number (Z)	Maximum Force (k)	Element	Longitudinal Reinforcement Design Loads				Longitudinal Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Transverse Shear Design Loads <sup>(6)</sup>				Transverse Shear <sup>(7)</sup> Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Remarks	
								Axial and Flexure Loads		In-Plane Shear Loads			Load Combination	Horizontal Section		Vertical Section			
								Load Combination	Axial (kips / ft)	Flexure (ft kips / ft)	Load Combination			In-Plane Shear (kips / ft)	Transverse Shear Force (kip / ft)	Corresponding Axial Force (kip / ft)			Transverse Shear Force (kip / ft)
North Wall	Far Side	Horizontal	3H-3-10	4	5-HL	MTCM 23472	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	75	119	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	140	3.12	-	-	-	-	-		
						MCCM 34327	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-244	144										
						MMAT 23446	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	30	177										
						MMAC 34328	D + L + H + E'	-143	372										
						MTCM 23440	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	89	308										
						MCCM 23440	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-292	130										
		MMAT 23440	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	80	321	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	140	4.68	-	-	-	-	-						
		MMAC 19538	D + L + H + E'	-152	485														
		MTCM 23479	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	118	147														
		MCCM 34326	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-250	137														
		MMAT 23478	D + L + H + E'	4	543														
		MMAC 23478	D + L + H + E'	-162	544														
	MMAT 8927	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7W	1	177	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	133	3.12	-	-	-	-	-							
	MTCM 8953	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	25	51															
	MCCM 8902	D + L + H + E'	-266	226															
	MMAT 8927	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7W	1	177															
	MMAC 5968	D + L + H + E'	-159	535															
	MTCM 2787	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	57	27									1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	154	4.68	-	-	-	-
	MCCM 3515	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-153	211															
	MMAT 8937	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7W	4	241															
	MMAC 8937	D + L + H + E'	-63	545															
	MTCM 4565	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	27	82															
	MCCM 7251	D + L + H + E'	-171	438															
	MMAT 8962	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	5	221	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	133	6.24	-	-	-	-	-							
MMAC 8964	D + L + H + E'	-84	970																
MTCM 27258	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	70	15	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo									74	1.56	-	-	-	-	-
MCCM 27258	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-250	35																
MMAT 26997	D + L + H + E'	5	71																
MMAC 26997	D + L + H + E'	-188	73																
MTCM 26405	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	109	70																
MCCM 26405	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-306	103		1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	107	3.12	-	-	-	-	-							
MMAT 26446	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	25	220																
MMAC 31507	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-68	249																
MTCM 34324	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	110	47																
MCCM 34323	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-387	81																
MMAT 26430	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	30	335	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo									266	4.68	-	-	-	-	-
MMAC 26430	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-99	345																
MTCM 32318	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	54	446																
MCCM 26420	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-192	119																
MMAT 32319	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	53	447																
MMAC 32319	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-37	447		1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	85	6.24	-	-	-	-	-							
MTCM 32308	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	59	462																
MCCM 32053	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-117	448																
MMAT 32308	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	59	463																
MMAC 32308	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-35	463																
MMAC 32308	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-35	463																
Vertical	3H-3-11	3	1-VL	MTCM 27258	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	70	15	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	74	1.56	-	-	-	-	-				
				MCCM 27258	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-250	35												
				MMAT 26997	D + L + H + E'	5	71												
				MMAC 26997	D + L + H + E'	-188	73												
				MTCM 26405	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	109	70												
				MCCM 26405	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-306	103									1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	107	3.12	-
		MMAT 26446	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	25	220														
		MMAC 31507	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-68	249														
		MTCM 34324	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	110	47														
		MCCM 34323	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-387	81														
		MMAT 26430	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	30	335	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	266	4.68	-	-	-	-	-						
		MMAC 26430	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-99	345														
MTCM 32318	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	54	446																
MCCM 26420	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-192	119																
MMAT 32319	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	53	447																
MMAC 32319	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-37	447	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	97									7.8	-	-	-	-	-
MTCM 32308	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	59	462																
MCCM 32053	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-117	448																
MMAT 32308	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	59	463																
MMAC 32308	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-35	463																
MMAC 32308	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-35	463																

Table 3H.3-3 Results of Radwaste Building Concrete Wall Design (Continued)

Location	Face	Direction	Reinforcement Layout Drawing Number (D)	Thickness (ft)	Reinforcement Zone Number <sup>(1)</sup>	Maximum Force <sup>(2)</sup>	Longitudinal Reinforcement Design Loads					Longitudinal Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Transverse Shear Design Loads <sup>(4)</sup>				Transverse Shear <sup>(1)</sup> Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Remarks						
							Axial and Flexure Loads			In-Plane Shear Loads			Load Combination	Horizontal Section		Vertical Section								
							Load Combination	Axial <sup>(4)</sup> (kips / ft)	Flexure <sup>(4)</sup> (ft-kips / ft)	Load Combination	In-plane <sup>(5)</sup> Shear (kips / ft)			Transverse Shear Force (kip / ft)	Corresponding Axial Force (kip / ft)	Transverse Shear Force (kip / ft)			Corresponding Axial Force (kip / ft)					
North	Far Side	Vertical	3H-11	3	6-VL	MTCM 26428 / 26429	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	99	465	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	68	12.48	-	-	-	-	-	-	(8),(9)					
						MCCM 26428 / 26429	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-285	473															
						MMAT 26428 / 26429	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	56	540															
						MMAC 26428 / 26429	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-181	540															
					7-VL	MTCM 26685	D + L + H + E'	111	286	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	78	12.48	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(8),(9)		
						MCCM 26674	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-313	211															
						MMAT 26685	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	25	348															
						MMAC 26685	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-210	348															
					4	8-VL	MTCM 11656	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	123	51	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	184	3.12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
							MCCM 11655	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-430	9														
							MMAT 20149	D + L + H + E'	0	259														
							MMAC 20149	D + L + H + E'	-183	261														
				9-VL		MTCM 11724	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	126	55	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	239	4.68	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
						MCCM 11724	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-423	68															
						MMAT 13698	D + L + H + E'	3	365															
						MMAC 13698	D + L + H + E'	-228	365															
				10-VL		MTCM 13208	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	117	22	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	239	6.24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
						MCCM 11654	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-435	44															
						MMAT 23441	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	6	415															
						MMAC 11694	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-227	440															
				11-VL	MTCM 23439	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	79	235	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	230	7.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
					MCCM 23439	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-261	45																
					MMAT 23440	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	12	532																
					MMAC 23440	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-121	532																
				55	12-VL	MTCM 2742	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	85	66	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	172	3.12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
						MCCM 2742	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-410	149															
						MMAT 5517	D + L + H + E'	2	337															
						MMAC 6436	D + L + H + E'	-280	366															
					13-VL	MTCM 3514	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	203	83	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	212	4.68	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
						MCCM 3514	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-610	225															
						MMAT 7248	D + L + H + E'	1	623															
						MMAC 7248	D + L + H + E'	-264	623															
					14-VL	MTCM 2716	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	308	103	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	238	6.24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
						MCCM 2716	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-738	158															
						MMAT 7242	D + L + H + E'	29	660															
						MMAC 7242	D + L + H + E'	-287	662															
15-VL	MTCM 2787	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	339	60	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	171	7.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-							
	MCCM 3594	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-676	196																				
	MMAT 8961	D + L + H + E'	37	704																				
	MMAC 8961	D + L + H + E'	-267	712																				
-	-	Transverse (horizontal and vertical)	3H-12	3	1-T	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	D + L + H + E'	48	-46	77	-96	0.20 (#4@12)	-				
					2-T	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	62	83	9	9	0.31 (#5@12)	-	
					3-T	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.20 (#4@12)	-	
				4	4-T	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.31 (#5@12)	-
					5-T	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.44 (#5@12)	-
					6-T	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.60 (#7@12)	-
					7-T	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.76 (#6@6)	-

**Table 3H.3-3 Results of Radwaste Building Concrete Wall Design (Continued)**

Location	Face	Direction	Reinforcement Layout Drawing Number (1)	Thickness (ft)	Reinforcement Zone Number <sup>(2)</sup>	Maximum Force <sup>(3)</sup>	Longitudinal Reinforcement Design Loads					Longitudinal Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Transverse Shear Design Loads <sup>(4)</sup>				Transverse Shear <sup>(5)</sup> Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Remarks			
							Axial and Flexure Loads			In-Plane Shear Loads			Load Combination	Horizontal Section		Vertical Section					
							Load Combination	Axial <sup>(6)</sup> (kips / ft)	Flexure <sup>(6)</sup> (ft-kips / ft)	Load Combination	In-plane Shear <sup>(6)</sup> (kips / ft)			Transverse Shear Force (kips / ft)	Corresponding Axial Force (kips / ft)	Transverse Shear Force (kips / ft)			Corresponding Axial Force (kips / ft)		
																				Load Combination	
North Wall		Transverse (horizontal and vertical)	3H.3-12	5.5	6-T	-	-	-	-	-	D + L + H + E	-121	45	3	-44	0.20 (#4@12)	-				
					9-T	-	-	-	-	-	D + L + H + E	15	-131	166	-120	0.31 (#5@12)	-				
					10-T	-	-	-	-	-	D + L + H + E	0	-44	194	-95	0.44 (#6@12)	-				
					11-T	-	-	-	-	-	D + L + H + E	154	-18	226	-316	0.79 (#6@12)	-				
South Wall	Near Side	Horizontal	3H.3-13	3	1-HL	MTCM 34975	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7E <sub>0</sub>	52	-8	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7E <sub>0</sub>	67	1.56									
						MCCM 34147	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7E <sub>0</sub>	-109	-48												
						MMAT 26252	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7E <sub>0</sub>	10	-113												
						MMAC 26252	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7E <sub>0</sub>	-11	-113												
					2-HL	MTCM 31945	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7E <sub>0</sub>	103	-63	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7E <sub>0</sub>	124	3.12									
						MCCM 26431	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7E <sub>0</sub>	-196	-52												
						MMAT 31092	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7E <sub>0</sub>	11	-243												
						MMAC 31092	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7E <sub>0</sub>	-9	-243												
					3-HL	MTCM 34156	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7E <sub>0</sub>	122	-66	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7E <sub>0</sub>	124	4.68									
						MCCM 34156	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7E <sub>0</sub>	-259	-66												
						MMAT 26246	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7E <sub>0</sub>	11	-318												
						MMAC 26246	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7E <sub>0</sub>	-104	-322												
				4-HL	MTCM 26237	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7E <sub>0</sub>	111	-210	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7E <sub>0</sub>	112	6.24										
					MCCM 26237	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7E <sub>0</sub>	-270	-200													
					MMAT 26238	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7E <sub>0</sub>	20	-295													
					MMAC 26238	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7E <sub>0</sub>	-229	-332													
				5-HL	MTCM 23291	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7E <sub>0</sub>	70	-118	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7E <sub>0</sub>	135	3.12										
					MCCM 14586	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7E <sub>0</sub>	-194	-252													
					MMAT 23316	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7E <sub>0</sub>	38	-196													
					MMAC 19367	D + L + H + E	-67	-362													
				6-HL	MTCM 11561	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7E <sub>0</sub>	39	-49	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7E <sub>0</sub>	135	4.68										
					MCCM 14323	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7E <sub>0</sub>	-186	-282													
					MMAT 11561	D + L + H + E	7	-382													
					MMAC 11570	D + L + H + E	-62	-579													
				7-HL	MTCM 23297	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7E <sub>0</sub>	113	-344	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7E <sub>0</sub>	115	6.24										
					MCCM 23297	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7E <sub>0</sub>	-296	-491													
					MMAT 23305	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7E <sub>0</sub>	2	-630													
					MMAC 23305	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7E <sub>0</sub>	-97	-677													
				8-HL	MTCM 4126	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7E <sub>0</sub>	27	-56	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7E <sub>0</sub>	135	3.12										
					MCCM 8521	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7E <sub>0</sub>	-224	-215													
					MMAT 7749	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7W	1	-148													
					MMAC 6003	D + L + H + E	-73	-425													
				9-HL	MTCM 2345	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7E <sub>0</sub>	47	-87	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7E <sub>0</sub>	160	4.68										
					MCCM 3142	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7E <sub>0</sub>	-168	-241													
					MMAT 2288	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7E <sub>0</sub>	4	-198													
					MMAC 3085	D + L + H + E	-109	-303													
				10-HL	MTCM 2346	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7E <sub>0</sub>	62	-82	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7E <sub>0</sub>	160	6.24										
					MCCM 8531	D + L + H + E	-355	-1157													
					MMAT 2287	D + L + H + E	8	-403													
					MMAC 8531	D + L + H + E	-355	-1165													



**Table 3H.3-3 Results of Radwaste Building Concrete Wall Design (Continued)**

Location	Face	Direction	Reinforcement Layout Designation (R)	Thickness (ft)	Reinforcement Zone Number <sup>(1)</sup>	Maximum Force <sup>(2)</sup>	Element	Longitudinal Reinforcement Design Loads				Longitudinal Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Transverse Shear Design Loads <sup>(3)</sup>				Transverse Shear <sup>(7)</sup> Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Remarks	
								Axial and Flexure Loads		In-Plane Shear Loads			Horizontal Section		Vertical Section				
								Load Combination	Axial <sup>(4)</sup> (kips / ft)	Flexure <sup>(4)</sup> (ft-kips / ft)	Load Combination		In-plane <sup>(5)</sup> Shear (kips / ft)	Load Combination	Transverse Shear Force (kip / ft)	Corresponding Axial Force (kip / ft)			Transverse Shear Force (kip / ft)
South Wall	Near Side	Vertical	3H-14	5.5	12-V-L	MTCM 4073	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	100	-129	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	154	3.12	-	-	-	-	-	-	
						MCCM 3100	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-347	-151		-	-	-	-	-	-			
						MMAT 3123	D + L + H + E'	6	-275		-	-	-	-	-	-			
						MMAC 3102	D + L + H + E'	-237	-281		-	-	-	-	-	-			
					MTCM 4069	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	218	-88	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	235	4.68	-	-	-	-	-	-		
					MCCM 4069	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-650	-121		-	-	-	-	-	-				
					MMAT 3124	D + L + H + E'	15	-292		-	-	-	-	-	-				
					MMAC 3124	D + L + H + E'	-213	-292		-	-	-	-	-	-				
					MTCM 2287	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	301	-291	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	285	6.24	-	-	-	-	-	-		
					MCCM 2287	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-747	-323		-	-	-	-	-	-				
					MMAT 2292	D + L + H + E'	18	-874		-	-	-	-	-	-				
					MMAC 2292	D + L + H + E'	-268	-874		-	-	-	-	-	-				
	MTCM 2330	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	114	-249	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	224	7.8	-	-	-	-	-	-						
	MCCM 2330	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-346	-254		-	-	-	-	-	-								
	MMAT 2328	D + L + H + E'	33	-551		-	-	-	-	-	-								
	MMAC 2328	D + L + H + E'	-217	-551		-	-	-	-	-	-								
	MTCM 2346	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	296	-324	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	285	9.36	-	-	-	-	-	-						
	MCCM 2346	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-697	-400		-	-	-	-	-	-								
	MMAT 2343	D + L + H + E'	20	-816		-	-	-	-	-	-								
	MMAC 2343	D + L + H + E'	-277	-816		-	-	-	-	-	-								
	South Wall	Far Side	Horizontal	3H-15	3	1-H-L	MTCM 34675	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	52	18	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	67	1.56	-	-	-	-	-	-
							MCCM 34147	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-109	56		-	-	-	-	-	-		
							MMAT 29252	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	11	104		-	-	-	-	-	-		
							MMAC 29252	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-11	104		-	-	-	-	-	-		
MTCM 31123						1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	98	100	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	124	3.12	-	-	-	-	-	-		
MCCM 28431						1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-198	53		-	-	-	-	-	-				
MMAT 29564						1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	31	207		-	-	-	-	-	-				
MMAC 29564						1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-38	207		-	-	-	-	-	-				
MTCM 26237						1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	111	172	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	124	4.68	-	-	-	-	-	-		
MCCM 26237						1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-270	161		-	-	-	-	-	-				
MMAT 30873						1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	25	250		-	-	-	-	-	-				
MMAC 30873						1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-141	251		-	-	-	-	-	-				
MTCM 32170		1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	120	77	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	46	6.24	-	-	-	-	-	-						
MCCM 31909		1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-200	321		-	-	-	-	-	-								
MMAT 31900		1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	58	361		-	-	-	-	-	-								
MMAC 31900		1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-187	361		-	-	-	-	-	-								
MTCM 34156		1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	122	63	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	67	7.8	-	-	-	-	-	-						
MCCM 34156		1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-259	64		-	-	-	-	-	-								
MMAT 34162		1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	54	196		-	-	-	-	-	-								
MMAC 34162		1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-71	196		-	-	-	-	-	-								
MTCM 23291		1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	70	108	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	135	3.12	-	-	-	-	-	-						
MCCM 11557		1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-199	114		-	-	-	-	-	-								
MMAT 23278		D + L + H + E'	0	186		-	-	-	-	-	-								
MMAC 11516		D + L + H + E'	-162	202		-	-	-	-	-	-								
MTCM 23297	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	113	306	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	115	6.24	-	-	-	-	-	-							
MCCM 23297	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-296	190		-	-	-	-	-	-									
MMAT 23305	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	34	485		-	-	-	-	-	-									
MMAC 23305	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-35	485		-	-	-	-	-	-									

Table 3H.3-3 Results of Radwaste Building Concrete Wall Design (Continued)

Location	Face	Direction	Reinforcement Layout Designation (H)	Thickness (ft)	Reinforcement Zone Number (Z)	Minimum Force (k)	Element	Longitudinal Reinforcement Design Loads				Longitudinal Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Transverse Shear Design Loads (k)				Transverse Shear Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Remarks	
								Axial and Flexure Loads		In-Plane Shear Loads			Horizontal Section		Vertical Section				
								Load Combination	Axial (kips / ft)	Flexure (ft-kips / ft)	Load Combination		In-plane Shear (kips / ft)	Transverse Shear Force (kip / ft)	Corresponding Axial Force (kip / ft)	Transverse Shear Force (kip / ft)			Corresponding Axial Force (kip / ft)
Seismic Wall	Far Side	Horizontal	3H-15	5.5	8-HL	MTCM 8514	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7E <sub>0</sub>	32	23	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7E <sub>0</sub>	135	3.12	-	-	-	-	-	-	
						MCCM 8521	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7E <sub>0</sub>	-224	126										
						MMAT 8518	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7W	8	190										
						MMAC 8529	D + L + W + E'	-125	545										
						MTCM 2345	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7E <sub>0</sub>	47	65										
						MCCM 3141	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7E <sub>0</sub>	-153	250										
			9-HL	MMAT 8475	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7E <sub>0</sub>	7	164	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7E <sub>0</sub>	160	4.68	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
				MMAC 8477	D + L + W + E'	-55	627												
				MTCM 26214	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7E <sub>0</sub>	93	63												
				MCCM 26584	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7E <sub>0</sub>	-269	58												
				MMAT 29788	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7E <sub>0</sub>	0	233												
				MMAC 29788	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7E <sub>0</sub>	-88	252												
		Vertical	3H-16	3	1-VL	MTCM 34164	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7E <sub>0</sub>	79	224	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7E <sub>0</sub>	97	4.68	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
						MCCM 27076	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H	-200	65										
						MMAT 29803	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7E <sub>0</sub>	6	359										
					MMAC 31628	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7E <sub>0</sub>	-46	379											
					MTCM 32181	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7E <sub>0</sub>	42	463											
					MCCM 26239	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H	-192	104											
			3-VL	MMAT 31634	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7E <sub>0</sub>	1	485	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7E <sub>0</sub>	97	6.24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
				MMAC 31634	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7E <sub>0</sub>	-90	485												
				MTCM 32162	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7E <sub>0</sub>	56	560												
			4-VL	MCCM 26244	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H	-161	86	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7E <sub>0</sub>	97	7.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
				MMAT 32162	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7E <sub>0</sub>	56	560												
				MMAC 32162	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7E <sub>0</sub>	-36	560												
	MTCM 26542	D + L + W + E'		112	375														
	MCCM 28431	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7E <sub>0</sub>		-303	237														
	MMAT 26542	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7E <sub>0</sub>		10	437														
	5-VL	MMAC 26542	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7E <sub>0</sub>	-195	437	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7E <sub>0</sub>	82	12.48	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(8),(9)		
		MTCM 26237	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7E <sub>0</sub>	70	563														
		MCCM 26548	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7E <sub>0</sub>	-262	484														
		MMAT 26237	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7E <sub>0</sub>	69	644														
		MMAC 26237	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7E <sub>0</sub>	-181	644														
		MMAC 26238	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7E <sub>0</sub>	-181	644														
	6-VL	7-VL	MTCM 11512	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7E <sub>0</sub>	111	63	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7E <sub>0</sub>	213	3.12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
			MCCM 11513	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7E <sub>0</sub>	-389	80													
			MMAT 22079	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7E <sub>0</sub>	11	247													
		MMAC 22079	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7E <sub>0</sub>	-114	247														
		MTCM 16528	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7E <sub>0</sub>	60	7														
		MCCM 16528	D + L + W + E'	-315	25														
	8-VL	MMAT 23304	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7E <sub>0</sub>	3	509	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7E <sub>0</sub>	277	4.68	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
		MMAC 23304	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7E <sub>0</sub>	-110	509														
		MTCM 11969	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7E <sub>0</sub>	115	67														
		MCCM 11969	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7E <sub>0</sub>	-425	42														
		MMAT 23297	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7E <sub>0</sub>	43	520														
		MMAC 23297	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7E <sub>0</sub>	-154	520														

**Table 3H.3-3 Results of Radwaste Building Concrete Wall Design (Continued)**

Location	Face	Direction	Reinforcement Layout Designation (D)	Thickness (ft)	Reinforcement Zone Number <sup>(1)</sup>	Maximum Force <sup>(2)</sup>	Longitudinal Reinforcement Design Loads						Longitudinal Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Transverse Shear Design Loads <sup>(3)</sup>				Transverse Shear <sup>(4)</sup> Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Remarks													
							Axial and Flexure Loads			In-Plane Shear Loads				Load Combination	Transverse Shear Force (kip / ft)	Horizontal Section				Vertical Section												
							Load Combination	Axial <sup>(4)</sup> (kips / ft)	Flexure <sup>(4)</sup> (ft-kips / ft)	Load Combination	In-plane <sup>(5)</sup> Shear (kips / ft)	Corresponding Axial Force (kip / ft)				Transverse Shear Force (kip / ft)	Corresponding Axial Force (kip / ft)															
																				Transverse Shear Force (kip / ft)	Corresponding Axial Force (kip / ft)											
Wall	Far Side	Vertical	3H-3-16	5.5	10-V-L	MTCM 3085	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	196	60	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	224	4.68	-	-	-	-	-	-	-													
						MCCM 2288	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-594	172																							
						MMAT 6762	D + L + H + E	16	682																							
					11-V-L	MMAC 6019	D + L + H + E	-233	718	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	203	6.24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-											
						MTCM 2287	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	301	67																							
						MCCM 2287	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-747	221																							
					12-V-L	MMAT 6761	D + L + H + E	19	711	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	265	7.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-											
						MMAC 6761	D + L + H + E	-263	739																							
						MTCM 2348	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	296	161																							
					Wall	Transverse (horizontal and vertical)	3H-3-17	3	5.5	10-V-L	MTCM 3143	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-663	103	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-								
											MCCM 3143	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-663	103																		
											MMAT 7762	D + L + H + E	20	671																		
	MMAC 7762	D + L + H + E	-257	671																												
	3-T	-	-	-							-	-	-	-											-	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-94	48	-29	18	0.20 (#4@12)	-
		2-T	-	-							-	-	-	-											-	D + L + H + E	-59	57	-20	-16	0.31 (#5@12)	-
		3-T	-	-							-	-	-	-											-	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	48	208	-13	135	0.44 (#5@12)	-
	4-T	-	-	-							-	-	-	-											-	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-149	3	-101	-64	1.76 (#6@6)	-
		4-T	-	-							-	-	-	-											-	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-178	14	-125	-83	2.40 (#7@6)	-
		5-T	-	-							-	-	-	-											-	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	91	-60	5	-81	0.20 (#4@12)	-
	5-T	-	-	-							-	-	-	-											-	D + L + H + E	103	52	-4	-90	0.31 (#5@12)	-
		6-T	-	-							-	-	-	-											-	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	136	-58	8	-69	0.44 (#5@12)	-
		7-T	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	D + L + H + E	116	-7	94	-17	0.60 (#7@12)	-																
	6-T	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	236	-43	90	-84	1.24 (#5@6)	-																
		8-T	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	196	-59	168	-86	1.76 (#6@6)	-																
9-T		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	D + L + H + E	-132	-16	0	-17	0.20 (#4@12)	-																	
7-T	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	D + L + H + E	145	-40	18	-28	0.31 (#5@12)	-																	
	8-T	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-191	-22	0	-13	0.44 (#5@12)	-																	
	9-T	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	D + L + H + E	180	-30	132	-71	0.60 (#7@12)	-																	
8-T	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	D + L + H + E	160	-30	132	-71	0.60 (#7@12)	-																	
	9-T	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	D + L + H + E	145	-40	18	-28	0.31 (#5@12)	-																	
	10-T	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	D + L + H + E	-191	-22	0	-13	0.44 (#5@12)	-																	
9-T	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	D + L + H + E	180	-30	132	-71	0.60 (#7@12)	-																	
	10-T	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	D + L + H + E	145	-40	18	-28	0.31 (#5@12)	-																	
	11-T	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	196	-59	168	-86	1.76 (#6@6)	-																	
Wall	Near Side	Horizontal	3H-3-18	3	1-H-L	MTCM 32259	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	81	-12	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	67	1.55	-	-	-	-	-	-	-													
						MCCM 29066	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-73	-13																							
						MMAT 29393	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	11	-14																							
					2-H-L	MMAC 27191	D + L + H + E	-24	-134	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	121	3.12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-											
						MTCM 31453	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	124	-22																							
						MCCM 26384	D + L + H + E	-92	-17																							
					3-H-L	MMAT 34107	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	23	-210	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	121	4.68	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-											
						MMAC 34107	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-13	-210																							
						MTCM 31192	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	168	-37																							
					4-H-L	MCCM 31192	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-120	-53	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	160	3.12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-											
						MMAT 32281	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	21	-263																							
						MMAC 26404	D + L + H + E	-81	-306																							
5-H-L	MTCM 23407	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	33	-60	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	178	4.68	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-																
	MCCM 11576	D + L + H + E	-181	-287																												
	MMAT 23407	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	28	-65																												
6-H-L	MMAC 11576	D + L + H + E	-175	-295	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	178	4.68	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-																
	MTCM 23408	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	47	-97																												
	MCCM 11649	D + L + H + E	-199	-289																												
7-H-L	MMAT 23411	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	3	-177	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	178	4.68	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-																
	MMAC 11649	D + L + H + E	-199	-289																												
	MTCM 23407	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	28	-65																												

Table 3H.3-3 Results of Radwaste Building Concrete Wall Design (Continued)

Location	Face	Direction	Reinforcement Drawing Number	Thickness (ft)	Reinforcement Zone Number(s)	Maximum Force <sup>(1)</sup>	Element	Longitudinal Reinforcement Design Loads				Longitudinal Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Transverse Shear Design Loads <sup>(6)</sup>				Transverse Shear <sup>(7)</sup> Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Remarks					
								Axial and Flexure Loads		In-Plane Shear Loads			Load Combination	Horizontal Section		Vertical Section							
								Load Combination	Axial <sup>(4)</sup> (kips/ft)	Flexure <sup>(5)</sup> (ft-kips/ft)	Load Combination			In-plane Shear (kips/ft)	Transverse Shear Force (kip/ft)	Corresponding Axial Force (kip/ft)			Transverse Shear Force (kip/ft)	Corresponding Axial Force (kip/ft)			
East Wall	Near Side	Horizontal	3H-3-18	4	6-HL	MTCM	22108	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	22	-40	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	178	6.24	-	-	-	-	-					
						MCCM	13553	D + L + W + E	-111	-391													
						MMAT	22109	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	3	-189													
						MMAC	14597	D + L + W + E	-104	-416													
						MTCM	22750	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	21	-209													
						MCCM	11651	D + L + W + E	-225	-209													
		7-HL	MMAT	23415	D + L + W + E	9	-588																
			MMAC	16659	D + L + W + E	-146	-722																
			6-HL	MTCM	5470	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	12	-57	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	148	3.12	-	-	-	-	-							
				MCCM	8125	D + L + W + E	-240	-464															
				MMAT	5470	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	10	-83															
				MMAC	8125	D + L + W + E	-235	-473															
	MTCM	2352		1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	48	-34																	
	MCCM	8890		D + L + W + E	-246	-509																	
	9-HL	MMAT	2352	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	5	-96	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	181	4.68	-	-	-	-	-									
		MMAC	8890	D + L + W + E	-243	-510																	
		10-HL	MTCM	2348	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	55									-47	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	181	6.24	-	-	-	-	-
			MCCM	7768	D + L + W + E	-254									-1025								
			MMAT	2348	D + L + W + E	0									-363								
			MMAC	6815	D + L + W + E	-242									-1009								
	11-HL		MTCM	2715	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	55	-82	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	181	9.36	-	-	-	-	-								
			MCCM	8895	D + L + W + E	-286	-816																
		MMAT	2715	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	2	-377																	
		MMAC	8135	D + L + W + E	-270	-1221																	
1-VL		MTCM	26586	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	75	-27	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo									74	1.56	-	-	-	-	-	
		MCCM	26586	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-268	-19																	
	MMAT	26234	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	6	-104																		
	MMAC	26234	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-150	-161																		
	2-VL	MTCM	26384	D + L + W + E	95	-29		1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	85	3.12	-	-	-	-	-								
		MCCM	26393	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-338	-34																	
MMAT		26308	D + L + W + E	10	-216																		
MMAC		26308	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-227	-291																		
3-VL		MTCM	32279	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	190	-53	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo									85	4.68	-	-	-	-	-	
		MCCM	26310	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-225	-303																	
	MMAT	33710	D + L + W + E	5	-270																		
	MMAC	33710	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-115	-351																		
	4-VL	MTCM	11576	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	129	-26		1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	188	3.12	-	-	-	-	-								
		MCCM	11576	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-484	-128																	
MMAT		16173	D + L + W + E	23	-195																		
MMAC		22706	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-241	-282																		
5-VL		MTCM	11651	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	145	-29	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo									188	4.68	-	-	-	-	-	
		MCCM	11651	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-474	-151																	
	MMAT	14356	D + L + W + E	31	-394																		
	MMAC	14364	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-320	-436																		

**Table 3H.3-3 Results of Radwaste Building Concrete Wall Design (Continued)**

Location	Face	Direction	Reinforcement Number Drawing Number	Thickness (ft)	Reinforcement Zone Number <sup>(2)</sup>	Maximum Force <sup>(3)</sup>	Element	Longitudinal Reinforcement Design Loads				Longitudinal Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Transverse Shear Design Loads <sup>(4)</sup>				Transverse Shear <sup>(7)</sup> Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Remarks			
								Axial and Flexure Loads		In-Plane Shear Loads			Load Combination	Horizontal Section		Vertical Section					
								Load Combination	Axial <sup>(4)</sup> (kips / ft)	Flexure <sup>(4)</sup> (ft-kips / ft)	Load Combination			In-plane <sup>(5)</sup> Shear (kips / ft)	Load Combination	Transverse Shear Force (kip / ft)			Corresponding Axial Force (kip / ft)	Transverse Shear Force (kip / ft)	Corresponding Axial Force (kip / ft)
East Wall	Near Side	Vertical	3H.3-19	5	6-V-L	MTCM	8632	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	33	-9	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	187	3.12	-	-	-	-	-			
						MCCM	4258	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-386	-51											
						MMAT	4259	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	21	-110											
						MMAC	4258	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-176	-116											
					7-V-L	MTCM	4474	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	111	-85	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	235	4.68	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
						MCCM	4474	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-400	-116											
						MMAT	4451	D + L + H + E	16	-199											
						MMAC	4451	D + L + H + E	-228	-199											
					8-V-L	MTCM	4497	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	223	-27	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	225	6.24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
						MCCM	4130	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-619	-68											
						MMAT	4138	D + L + H + E	24	-194											
						MMAC	8895	D + L + H + E	-363	-205											
	9-V-L	MTCM	2715	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	321	-66	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	187	7.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				
		MCCM	2715	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-691	-165															
		MMAT	2531	D + L + H + E	1	-1107															
		MMAC	2531	D + L + H + E	-196	-1108															
	10-V-L	MTCM	2348	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	291	-143	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	235	9.36	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				
		MCCM	2348	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-671	-244															
		MMAT	2583	D + L + H + E	10	-1068															
		MMAC	2583	D + L + H + E	-199	-1072															
	Far Side	Horizontal	3H.3-20	3	1-H-L	MTCM	32260	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	74	13	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	67	1.56	-	-	-	-	-	-		
						MCCM	33752	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-65	19											
						MMAT	26549	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	0	121											
						MMAC	26549	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-23	121											
2-H-L					MTCM	31453	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	124	40	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	121	3.12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
					MCCM	26384	D + L + H + E	-62	39												
					MMAT	34108	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	8	237												
					MMAC	34108	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-19	237												
3-H-L					MTCM	31192	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	168	61	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	60	4.68	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
					MCCM	31192	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-126	62												
					MMAT	34107	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	14	272												
					MMAC	34107	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-22	272												
4-H-L	MTCM	23408	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	47	62	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	160	3.12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-					
	MCCM	11576	D + L + H + E	-175	200																
	MMAT	23408	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	1	109																
	MMAC	13561	D + L + H + E	-102	314																
5-H-L	MTCM	14415	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7W	10	17	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	178	4.68	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-					
	MCCM	14407	D + L + H + E	-152	22																
	MMAT	14360	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	1	23																
	MMAC	14345	D + L + H + E	-91	162																
6-H-L	MTCM	14334	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7W	17	28	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	178	6.24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-					
	MCCM	14336	D + L + H + E	-102	175																
	MMAT	14601	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	4	71																
	MMAC	14605	D + L + H + E	-66	382																

**Table 3H.3-3 Results of Radwaste Building Concrete Wall Design (Continued)**

Location	Face	Direction	Reinforcement Layout Diagram Number (1)	Thickness (ft)	Reinforcement Zone Number (2)	Maximum Moment (3)	Longitudinal Reinforcement Design Loads				Longitudinal Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Transverse Shear Design Loads (4)				Transverse Shear Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Remarks	
							Axial and Flexure Loads		In-Plane Shear Loads			Load Combination	Horizontal Section		Vertical Section			
							Load Combination	Axial (kips/ft)	Flexure (ft-kips/ft)	Load Combination			In-plane Shear (kips/ft)	Transverse Shear Force (kip/ft)	Corresponding Axial Force (kip/ft)			Transverse Shear Force (kip/ft)

- Notes:**
- (1) The reinforcement layout drawings show the various zones used to define the minimum reinforcement that will be provided based on finite element analysis results. Actual provided reinforcement based on final rebar layout and including development length may exceed the reported provided reinforcement and the zones with higher reinforcement may be extended beyond their reported boundaries. The dimensions in the reinforcement drawings are based on the dimensions of the SAP2000 shell elements, which are modeled at the centerline of the walls and slabs. Therefore, the reinforcement drawing dimensions do not match actual building dimensions. See Figure 3H.3-53 for the wall and slab labeling convention for the RWB.
  - (2) Each reinforcement layout drawing is divided into reinforcement zones. The reinforcement zone naming convention is as follows: "H" = horizontal, "V" = vertical, "L" = longitudinal reinforcement, "T" = transverse reinforcement. For slabs, vertical corresponds to North-South direction and horizontal corresponds to East-West direction.
  - (3) The maximum tension (MTCM) and compression (MCMC) axial forces are provided with the corresponding moment from the same load combination. The maximum moment that has a corresponding tension (MMAT) in the same load combination and the maximum moment that has a corresponding compression (MMAC) in the same load combination are also provided. For the roof, the maximum tension and maximum moment (MTMM) are reported.
  - (4) Negative axial load is compression and positive axial load is tension. Negative moment applies tension to the top face of the shell element and positive moment applies tension to the bottom face of the shell element. For walls or slabs where the same reinforcement is provided on both faces, the moment is shown as absolute value. The axial and flexural loads reported in the table are the average of the 2 node pairs that form the 4 edges of the critical rectangular shell element. If the 2 node pairs on the shell element edges parallel to the reinforcement direction do not satisfy P/M interaction criteria, then only the 2 node pairs on the shell element edges perpendicular to the reinforcement direction are used for design (effective width considered). The element mesh is sufficiently refined for this design approach.
  - (5) The reported in-plane shear is the maximum average in-plane shear along a plane that crosses the longitudinal reinforcement zone.
  - (6) The transverse shear reinforcement loads are reported for the critical element requiring the largest area of steel for transverse reinforcement within the zone. The shear force and the corresponding axial force in the same load combination for each direction is reported for the critical element.
  - (7) The reported transverse shear reinforcement is the summation of the required shear reinforcement in the horizontal direction and the required shear reinforcement in the vertical direction.
  - (8) For certain areas of the structure, the standard element post-processing methods were too conservative. For such cases, detailed manual design was performed and the design forces determined by the detailed manual design are provided in the table.
  - (9) The longitudinal reinforcement shown is required to be tied.
  - (10) The reported forces are from the FEM analysis. The provided longitudinal reinforcement includes additional reinforcement required due to manual one-way design calculations.
  - (11) The reported axial and in-plane forces are from the FEM analysis. The reported flexural forces are from manual one-way design calculations.

Wall	Far Side	Vertical	3H.3.21	4	3-V-L	MTCM	32279	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	190	64	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	79	4.68																
						MCCM	32279	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	191	80																			
						MMAT	29615	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	56	198																			
						MMAC	29615	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-138	198																			
					4-V-L	MTCM	11851	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	129	21	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	188	3.12																
						MCCM	13564	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-390	114																			
						MMAT	13564	D + L + H + E'	14	199																			
						MMAC	14637	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-271	235																			
					5-V-L	MTCM	11576	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	129	34	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	188	4.68																
						MCCM	11576	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	476	44																			
						MMAT	11614	D + L + H + E'	28	426																			
						MMAC	11614	D + L + H + E'	-193	426																			
				6-V-L	MTCM	4481	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	137	44	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	190	4.68																	
					MCCM	4481	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-461	201																				
					MMAT	3495	D + L + H + E'	24	263																				
					MMAC	2699	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-453	298																				
				7-V-L	MTCM	4497	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	223	12	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	235	6.24																	
					MCCM	4130	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-614	86																				
					MMAT	6936	D + L + H + E'	22	731																				
					MMAC	6936	D + L + H + E'	-286	739																				
				8-V-L	MTCM	2715	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	321	89	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	225	7.8																	
					MCCM	2715	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-491	23																				
					MMAT	6909	D + L + H + E'	30	718																				
					MMAC	6909	D + L + H + E'	-271	725																				
Transverse (horizontal and vertical)			3H.3.22	3	1-T	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	9	82	13	230	0.20 (#4@12)	-										
					2-T	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	50	31	42	103	0.31 (#5@12)	-							
					3-T	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	87	-63	0	-18	0.44 (#6@12)	-						
				4	4-T	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	D + L + H + E'	-2	-25	91	-105	0.20 (#4@12)	-						
					5-T	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	D + L + H + E'	103	28	-1	-57	0.31 (#5@12)	-						
					6-T	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	D + L + H + E'	125	30	-1	-61	0.44 (#6@12)	-						
					7-T	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	D + L + H + E'	-120	-34	-102	-120	0.60 (#7@12)	-						
					8-T	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	D + L + H + E'	-191	-74	-160	-185	1.24 (#8@6)	-						

**Table 3H.3-3 Results of Radwaste Building Concrete Wall Design (Continued)**

Location	Face	Direction	Reinforcement Detail Drawing Number	Thickness (ft)	Reinforcement Zone Number <sup>(1)</sup>	Maximum Force <sup>(2)</sup>	Element	Longitudinal Reinforcement Design Loads				Longitudinal Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Transverse Shear Design Loads <sup>(3)</sup>				Transverse Shear <sup>(7)</sup> Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Remarks					
								Axial and Flexure Loads		In-Plane Shear Loads			Load Combination	Horizontal Section		Vertical Section							
								Load Combination	Axial <sup>(4)</sup> (kips / ft)	Flexure <sup>(5)</sup> (ft-kips / ft)	In-plane <sup>(6)</sup> Shear (kips / ft)			Transverse Shear Force (kip / ft)	Corresponding Axial Force (kip / ft)	Transverse Shear Force (kip / ft)			Corresponding Axial Force (kip / ft)				
East Wall	-	Transverse (horizontal and vertical)	3H-3-22	5	9-T	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	D + L + W + E	-7	-36	123	-124	0.20 (#4@12)	-				
					10-T	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	14	-63	151	-202	0.31 (#5@12)	-	
					11-T	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	12	0	-22	0.44 (#6@12)	-	
					12-T	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	10	0	-21	0.60 (#7@12)	-	
					13-T	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	107	-24	-212	-184	0.79 (#8@12)	-
West Wall	Near Side	Horizontal	3H-3-23	3	1-HL	MTCM 31715	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Eo	46	-41	-	-	75	1.56	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
						MCCM 31715	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Eo	-55	-48	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
						MMAT 31426	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Eo	21	-91	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Eo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
						MMAC 31426	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Eo	-29	-91	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
					2-HL	MTCM 32204	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Eo	61	-173	-	-	108	3.12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
						MCCM 32243	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Eo	-87	-153	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Eo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
						MMAT 31152	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Eo	25	-210	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
						MMAC 31152	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Eo	-42	-210	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
					3-HL	MTCM 22696	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Eo	25	-46	-	-	143	3.12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
						MCCM 11573	D + L + W + E	-278	-461	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Eo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
						MMAT 11573	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Eo	3	-142	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
						MMAC 11573	D + L + W + E	-274	-484	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
				4-HL	MTCM 23343	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Eo	87	-24	-	-	143	4.68	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
					MCCM 11633	D + L + W + E	-166	-112	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Eo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
					MMAT 23333	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Eo	8	-136	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
					MMAC 13167	D + L + W + E	-116	-557	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
				5	5-HL	MTCM 4184	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Eo	29	-79	-	135	3.12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
						MCCM 8891	D + L + W + E	-240	-419	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Eo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
						MMAT 8711	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Eo	6	-111	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
						MMAC 8587	D + L + W + E	-191	-527	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
					6-HL	MTCM 2303	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Eo	45	-26	-	-	154	4.68	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
						MCCM 3199	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Eo	-176	-324	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Eo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
						MMAT 8628	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Eo	6	-270	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
						MMAC 8784	D + L + W + E	-116	-678	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7-HL	MTCM 2711	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Eo	53	-75	-	-	154	6.24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-					
	MCCM 8534	D + L + W + E	-241	-659	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Eo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-					
	MMAT 8532	D + L + W + E	3	-807	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-					
	MMAC 8663	D + L + W + E	-73	-896	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-					
3	1-VL	MTCM 26402	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Eo	111	-39	-	90	3.12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-					
		MCCM 26402	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Eo	-303	-28	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Eo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-						
		MMAT 26341	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Eo	0	-217	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-					
		MMAC 32226	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Eo	-23	-243	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-					
	2-VL	MTCM 32241	D + L + W + E	6	-101	-	-	90	4.68	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				
		MCCM 32243	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Eo	-32	-341	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Eo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-					
		MMAT 32243	D + L + W + E	4	-273	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-					
		MMAC 32243	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Eo	-32	-341	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-					
	4	3-VL	MTCM 11647	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Eo	112	-9	-	177	3.12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				
			MCCM 13129	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Eo	-411	-37	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Eo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-					
			MMAT 21538	D + L + W + E	1	-176	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				
			MMAC 21538	D + L + W + E	-120	-196	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				

Table 3H.3-3 Results of Radwaste Building Concrete Wall Design (Continued)

Location	Face	Direction	Reinforcement Layout Diagram Number (D)	Thickness (ft)	Reinforcement Zone Number (Z)	Maximum Force <sup>(1)</sup>	Element	Longitudinal Reinforcement Design Loads				Longitudinal Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Transverse Shear Design Loads <sup>(2)</sup>				Transverse Shear <sup>(3)</sup> Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Remarks
								Axial and Flexure Loads		In-Plane Shear Loads			Horizontal Section		Vertical Section			
								Load Combination	Axial <sup>(4)</sup> (kips / ft)	Flexure <sup>(4)</sup> (ft-kips / ft)	Load Combination		In-plane Shear <sup>(5)</sup> (kips / ft)	Load Combination	Transverse Shear Force (kip / ft)	Corresponding Axial Force (kip / ft)		
Wall Wall	Near Side	Vertical	3H.3-24	4	4-V-L	MTCM 11573	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	178	-63	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	212	4.68	-	-	-	-	-	
						MCCM 11573	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-589	-314									
						MMAT 23385	D + L + H + E'	3	-376									
						MMAC 23385	D + L + H + E'	-128	-411									
						MTCM 22696	D + L + H + E'	46	-55									
						MCCM 22131	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-204	-129									
				5-V-L	MMAT 23361	D + L + H + E'	0	-349										
					MMAC 23367	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-185	-377										
					MTCM 5196	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	71	-29										
					MCCM 4195	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-309	-25										
					MMAT 4195	D + L + H + E'	12	-139										
					MMAC 4312	D + L + H + E'	-168	-156										
				6-V-L	MTCM 4132	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	183	-35										
					MCCM 4132	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-559	-36										
					MMAT 8535	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	18	-215										
					MMAC 8535	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-418	-270										
					MTCM 4129	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	208	-19										
					MCCM 4129	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-623	-103										
	7-V-L	MMAT 8534	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	5	-211													
		MMAC 8534	D + L + H + E'	-332	-351													
		8-V-L	MTCM 2347	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	312	-63												
			MCCM 2347	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-741	-178												
			MMAT 2443	D + L + H + E'	55	-750												
			MMAC 2582	D + L + H + E'	-184	-775												
	9-V-L		MTCM 31715	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	46	22												
			MCCM 31715	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-65	16												
		MMAT 31159	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	25	94													
		MMAC 31159	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-32	94													
		1-H-L	MTCM 26287	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	63	53												
			MCCM 32243	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-87	49												
	MMAT 31152		1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	29	171													
	MMAC 31152		1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-38	171													
	2-H-L		MTCM 22696	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	25	14												
			MCCM 11650	D + L + H + E'	-225	178												
		MMAT 11625	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	2	142													
		MMAC 11625	D + L + H + E'	-70	303													
3-H-L		MTCM 23343	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	87	140													
		MCCM 23343	D + L + H + E'	-86	126													
	MMAT 23343	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	39	221														
	MMAC 23343	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-66	221														
	4-H-L	MTCM 4190	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	26	34													
		MCCM 8891	D + L + H + E'	-239	176													
MMAT 8730		1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	9	99														
MMAC 8654		D + L + H + E'	-174	800														
5-H-L		MTCM 2711	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	53	14													
		MCCM 3199	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-169	143													
	MMAT 3205	D + L + H + E'	7	64														
	MMAC 3205	D + L + H + E'	-139	256														
	6-H-L	MTCM 4190	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	26	34													
		MCCM 8891	D + L + H + E'	-239	176													
MMAT 8730		1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	9	99														
MMAC 8654		D + L + H + E'	-174	800														
7-H-L		MTCM 2711	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	53	14													
		MCCM 3199	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-169	143													
	MMAT 3205	D + L + H + E'	7	64														
	MMAC 3205	D + L + H + E'	-139	256														
	8-H-L	MTCM 4190	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	26	34													
		MCCM 8891	D + L + H + E'	-239	176													
MMAT 8730		1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	9	99														
MMAC 8654		D + L + H + E'	-174	800														
9-H-L		MTCM 2711	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	53	14													
		MCCM 3199	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-169	143													
	MMAT 3205	D + L + H + E'	7	64														
	MMAC 3205	D + L + H + E'	-139	256														
	10-H-L	MTCM 4190	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	26	34													
		MCCM 8891	D + L + H + E'	-239	176													
MMAT 8730		1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	9	99														
MMAC 8654		D + L + H + E'	-174	800														
11-H-L		MTCM 2711	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	53	14													
		MCCM 3199	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-169	143													
	MMAT 3205	D + L + H + E'	7	64														
	MMAC 3205	D + L + H + E'	-139	256														
	12-H-L	MTCM 4190	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	26	34													
		MCCM 8891	D + L + H + E'	-239	176													
MMAT 8730		1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	9	99														
MMAC 8654		D + L + H + E'	-174	800														
13-H-L		MTCM 2711	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	53	14													
		MCCM 3199	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-169	143													
	MMAT 3205	D + L + H + E'	7	64														
	MMAC 3205	D + L + H + E'	-139	256														
	14-H-L	MTCM 4190	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	26	34													
		MCCM 8891	D + L + H + E'	-239	176													
MMAT 8730		1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	9	99														
MMAC 8654		D + L + H + E'	-174	800														
15-H-L		MTCM 2711	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	53	14													
		MCCM 3199	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-169	143													
	MMAT 3205	D + L + H + E'	7	64														
	MMAC 3205	D + L + H + E'	-139	256														
	16-H-L	MTCM 4190	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	26	34													
		MCCM 8891	D + L + H + E'	-239	176													
MMAT 8730		1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	9	99														
MMAC 8654		D + L + H + E'	-174	800														
17-H-L		MTCM 2711	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	53	14													
		MCCM 3199	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-169	143													
	MMAT 3205	D + L + H + E'	7	64														
	MMAC 3205	D + L + H + E'	-139	256														
	18-H-L	MTCM 4190	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	26	34													
		MCCM 8891	D + L + H + E'	-239	176													
MMAT 8730		1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	9	99														
MMAC 8654		D + L + H + E'	-174	800														
19-H-L		MTCM 2711	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	53	14													
		MCCM 3199	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-169	143													
	MMAT 3205	D + L + H + E'	7	64														
	MMAC 3205	D + L + H + E'	-139	256														
	20-H-L	MTCM 4190	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	26	34													
		MCCM 8891	D + L + H + E'	-239	176													
MMAT 8730		1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	9	99														
MMAC 8654		D + L + H + E'	-174	800														
21-H-L		MTCM 2711	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	53	14													
		MCCM 3199	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-169	143													
	MMAT 3205	D + L + H + E'	7	64														
	MMAC 3205	D + L + H + E'	-139	256														
	22-H-L	MTCM 4190	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	26	34													
		MCCM 8891	D + L + H + E'	-239	176													
MMAT 8730		1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	9	99														
MMAC 8654		D + L + H + E'	-174	800														
23-H-L		MTCM 2711	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	53	14													
		MCCM 3199	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-169	143													
	MMAT 3205	D + L + H + E'	7	64														
	MMAC 3205	D + L + H + E'	-139	256														
	24-H-L	MTCM 4190	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	26	34													
		MCCM 8891	D + L + H + E'	-239	176													
MMAT 8730		1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	9	99														
MMAC 8654		D + L + H + E'	-174	800														
25-H-L		MTCM 2711	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	53	14													
		MCCM 3199	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-169	143													
	MMAT 3205	D + L + H + E'	7	64														
	MMAC 3205	D + L + H + E'	-139	256														
	26-H-L	MTCM 4190	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	26	34													
		MCCM 8891	D + L + H + E'	-239	176													
MMAT 8730		1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	9	99														
MMAC 8654		D + L + H + E'	-174	800														
27-H-L		MTCM 2711	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	53	14													
		MCCM 3199	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-169	143													
	MMAT 3205	D + L + H + E'	7	64														
	MMAC 3205	D + L + H + E'	-139	256														
	28-H-L	MTCM 4190	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	26	34													
		MCCM 8891	D + L + H + E'	-239	176													
MMAT 8730		1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	9	99														
MMAC 8654		D + L + H + E'	-174	800														
29-H-L		MTCM 2711	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	53	14													
		MCCM 3199	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-169	143													
	MMAT 3205	D + L + H + E'	7	64														
	MMAC 3205	D + L + H + E'	-139	256														
	30-H-L	MTCM 4190	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	26	34													
		MCCM 8891	D + L + H + E'	-239	176													
MMAT 8730		1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	9	99														
MMAC 8654		D + L + H + E'	-174	800														
31-H-L		MTCM 2711	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	53	14													
		MCCM 3199	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-169	143													
	MMAT 3205	D + L + H + E'	7	64														
	MMAC 3205	D + L + H + E'	-139	256														
	32-H-L	MTCM 4190	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	26	34													
		MCCM 8891	D + L + H + E'	-239	176													
MMAT 8730		1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	9	99														
MMAC 8654		D + L + H + E'	-174	800														
33-H-L		MTCM 2711	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	53	14													
		MCCM 3199	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-169	143													
	MMAT 3205	D + L + H + E'	7	64														
	MMAC 3205	D + L + H + E'	-139	256														
	34-H-L	MTCM 4190	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	26	34													
		MCCM 8891	D + L + H + E'	-239	176													
MMAT 8730		1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	9	99														
MMAC 8654		D + L + H + E'	-174	800														
35-H-L		MTCM 2711	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	53	14													
		MCCM 3199	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-169	143													
	MMAT 3205	D + L + H + E'	7	64														
	MMAC 3205	D + L + H + E'	-139	256														
	36-H-L	MTCM 4190	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	26	34													
		MCCM 8891	D + L + H + E'	-239	176													
MMAT 8730		1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	9	99														
MMAC 8654		D + L + H + E'	-174	800														

**Table 3H.3-3 Results of Radwaste Building Concrete Wall Design (Continued)**

Location	Face	Direction	Reinforcement Layout Diagram Number (1)	Thickness (ft)	Reinforcement Zone Number <sup>(2)</sup>	Maximum Force <sup>(3)</sup>	Element	Longitudinal Reinforcement Design Loads				Longitudinal Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Transverse Shear Design Loads <sup>(4)</sup>				Transverse Shear <sup>(1)</sup> Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Remarks								
								Axial and Flexure Loads		In-Plane Shear Loads			Load Combination	Horizontal Section		Vertical Section										
								Load Combination	Axial <sup>(4)</sup> (kips / ft)	Flexure <sup>(4)</sup> (ft-kips / ft)	Load Combination			Transverse Shear Force (kip / ft)	Corresponding Axial Force (kip / ft)	Transverse Shear Force (kip / ft)			Corresponding Axial Force (kip / ft)							
Waste	Far Side	Vertical	3H.3.26	3	1-V/L	MTCM 29048	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	62	46	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	77	1.56	-	-	-	-	-	-								
						MCCM 29050	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-121	33																	
						MMAT 32206	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	3	101																	
					2-V/L	MTCM 26402	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	111	30	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	90	3.12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-					
						MCCM 26402	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-303	38																	
						MMAT 26890	D + L + H + E'	4	196																	
					3-V/L	MTCM 26890	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-55	220	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	90	4.68	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-					
						MTCM 26300	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	43	122																	
						MCCM 26377	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-143	164																	
					4-V/L	MTCM 26344	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	9	282	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	177	3.12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-					
						MMAT 26344	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-57	309																	
						MTCM 13204	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	126	39																	
	5-V/L	MTCM 13204	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-467	64	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	212	4.68	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-									
		MMAT 14385	D + L + H + E'	8	253																					
		MMAC 14385	D + L + H + E'	-180	254																					
	6-V/L	MTCM 11573	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	176	97	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	205	4.68	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-									
		MCCM 11573	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-529	67																					
		MMAT 11623	D + L + H + E'	2	288																					
	7-V/L	MMAC 11597	D + L + H + E'	-232	334	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	179	6.24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-									
		MTCM 2350	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	214	74																					
		MCCM 2350	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-587	50																					
	8-V/L	MMAT 5196	D + L + H + E'	6	340	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	149	7.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-									
		MMAC 6247	D + L + H + E'	-188	369																					
		MTCM 2402	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	112	27																					
9-V/L	MCCM 3199	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-405	190	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-										
	MMAT 5191	D + L + H + E'	10	307																						
	MMAC 4190	D + L + H + E'	-281	309																						
10-V/L	MTCM 2347	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	312	86	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-										
	MCCM 2347	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-735	58																						
	MMAT 8534	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	5	219																						
11-V/L	MMAC 8534	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-251	219	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-										
	1-T	-	-	-													-	-	-	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	18	20	21	116	0.20 (#4@12)	-
	2-T	-	-	-													-	-	-	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	47	10	44	28	0.31 (#5@12)	-
4	3-T	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	D + L + H + E'	6	-63	-91	-69	0.20 (#4@12)	-							
	4-T	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	D + L + H + E'	-1	-68	-115	-110	0.31 (#5@12)	-							
	5-T	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	D + L + H + E'	-1	-66	120	-99	0.44 (#6@12)	-							
5	6-T	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	D + L + H + E'	-135	-35	126	-366	0.79 (#8@12)	-							
	7-T	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	D + L + H + E'	-188	-66	-171	-259	1.76 (#6@9)	-							
	8-T	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	D + L + H + E'	18	-40	84	-110	0.20 (#4@12)	-							
12-T	9-T	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	D + L + H + E'	-135	31	-12	-15	0.31 (#5@12)	-							
	10-T	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	D + L + H + E'	-165	-6	-2	-17	0.44 (#6@12)	-							
	11-T	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	D + L + H + E'	-92	-56	-122	185	0.60 (#7@12)	-							
12-T	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	D + L + H + E'	147	-22	-229	-251	1.24 (#6@9)	-							

**Table 3H.3-3 Results of Radwaste Building Concrete Wall Design (Continued)**

Location	Floor	Direction	Reinforcement Drawing Number <sup>(1)</sup>	Thickness (ft)	Reinforcement Zone Number <sup>(2)</sup>	Maximum Force <sup>(3)</sup>	Element	Longitudinal Reinforcement Design Loads				Longitudinal Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Transverse Shear Design Loads <sup>(1)</sup>				Transverse Shear <sup>(7)</sup> Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft <sup>2</sup> )	Remarks	
								Axial and Flexure Loads		In-Plane Shear Loads			Load Combination	Horizontal Section		Vertical Section			
								Load Combination	Axial <sup>(4)</sup> (kips / ft)	Flexure <sup>(4)</sup> (ft-kips / ft)	In-plane <sup>(5)</sup> Shear (kips / ft)			Transverse Shear Force (kip / ft)	Corresponding Axial Force (kip / ft)	Transverse Shear Force (kip / ft)			Corresponding Axial Force (kip / ft)

- Notes:**
- (1) The reinforcement layout drawings show the various zones used to define the minimum reinforcement that will be provided based on finite element analysis results. Actual provided reinforcement based on final rebar layout and including development length may exceed the reported provided reinforcement and the zones with higher reinforcement may be extended beyond their reported boundaries. The dimensions in the reinforcement drawings are based on the dimensions of the SAP2000 shell elements, which are modeled at the centerline of the walls and slabs. Therefore, the reinforcement drawing dimensions do not match actual building dimensions. See Figure 3H-3-63 for the wall and slab labeling convention for the RWB.
  - (2) Each reinforcement layout drawing is divided into reinforcement zones. The reinforcement zone naming convention is as follows: "H" = horizontal, "V" = vertical, "L" = longitudinal reinforcement, "T" = transverse reinforcement. For slabs, vertical corresponds to North-South direction and horizontal corresponds to East-West direction.
  - (3) The maximum tension (MTCM) and compression (MCCM) axial forces are provided with the corresponding moment from the same load combination. The maximum moment that has a corresponding tension (MMAAT) in the same load combination and the maximum moment that has a corresponding compression (MMAC) in the same load combination are also provided. For the roof, the maximum tension and maximum moment (MTMM) are reported.
  - (4) Negative axial load is compression and positive axial load is tension. Negative moment applies tension to the top face of the shell element and positive moment applies tension to the bottom face of the shell element. For walls or slabs where the same reinforcement is provided on both faces, the moment is shown as absolute value. The axial and flexural loads reported in the table are the average of the 2 node pairs that form the 4 edges of the critical rectangular shell element. If the 2 node pairs on the shell element edges parallel to the reinforcement direction do not satisfy PSM interaction criteria, then only the 2 node pairs on the shell element edges perpendicular to the reinforcement direction are used for design (effective width considered). The element mesh is sufficiently refined for this design approach.
  - (5) The reported in-plane shear is the maximum average in-plane shear along a plane that crosses the longitudinal reinforcement zone.
  - (6) The transverse shear reinforcement loads are reported for the critical element requiring the largest area of steel for transverse reinforcement within the zone. The shear force and the corresponding axial force in the same load combination for each direction is reported for the critical element.
  - (7) The reported transverse shear reinforcement is the summation of the required shear reinforcement in the horizontal direction and the required shear reinforcement in the vertical direction.
  - (8) For certain areas of the structure, the standard element post-processing methods were too conservative. For such cases, detailed manual design was performed and the design forces determined by the detailed manual design are provided in the table.
  - (9) The longitudinal reinforcement shown is required to be tied.
  - (10) The reported forces are from the FEM analysis. The provided longitudinal reinforcement includes additional reinforcement required due to manual one-way design calculations.
  - (11) The reported axial and in-plane forces are from the FEM analysis. The reported flexural forces are from manual one-way design calculations.

**Table 3H.3-4 Results of Radwaste Building Concrete Slab Design**

Location	Face	Direction	Reinforcement Drawing Number (1)	Thickness (ft)	Reinforcement Zone Number (2)	Maximum Force (3)	Longitudinal Reinforcement Design Loads				Longitudinal Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Transverse Shear Design Loads (4)				Transverse Shear (5) Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Remarks					
							Axial and Flexure Loads			In-Plane Shear Loads		Load Combination	Horizontal Section		Vertical Section							
							Load Combination	Axial (kips / ft)	Flexure (ft-kips / ft)	In-Plane Shear (kips / ft)			Transverse Shear Force (kip / ft)	Corresponding Axial Force (kip / ft)	Transverse Shear Force (kip / ft)			Corresponding Axial Force (kip / ft)				
																			Load Combination			
Foundation Mat	Near Side	Horizontal	3H-3-20	12	1-H/L	MTCM	1269	D + L + H + E'	79	-218	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	68	6.24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
						MCCM	1073	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-128	-54												
						MMAT	277	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	1	-1182												
						MMAC	514	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-25	-1480												
						MTCM	26158	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	86	-403												
						MCCM	26186	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-102	-273												
		MMAT	29850	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	21	-1377																
		MMAC	29850	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-28	-1377																
		Vertical	3H-3-20	12	1-V/L	MTCM	844	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	42	-179	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	66	6.24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
						MCCM	880	D + L + H + E'	-189	-126												
						MMAT	880	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	67	-1136												
						MMAC	26810	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-26	-1059												
	MTCM					27828	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	125	-1615													
	MCCM					27828	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-166	-643													
	2-V/L	3H-3-20	12	2-V/L	MMAT	27828	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	125	-1615	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	62	7.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
					MMAC	27828	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-63	-1615													
					MTCM	29886	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	83	1105													
					MCCM	933	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-72	1593													
					MMAT	415	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	26	1579													
					MMAC	933	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-67	1623													
	Far Side	Horizontal	3H-3-30	12	1-H/L	MTCM	603	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	63	1642	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	75	7.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
						MCCM	645	D + L + H + E'	-18	480												
						MMAT	463	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	1	2329												
						MMAC	604	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-67	2510												
						MTCM	27384	D + L + H + E'	114	1049												
						MCCM	27348	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-227	2262												
		3-H/L	3H-3-30	12	3-H/L	MMAT	29849	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	34	2642	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	68	9.36	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
						MMAC	27347	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-207	3199												
						MTCM	26185	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	91	634												
						MCCM	26159	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-168	1429												
						MMAT	26185	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	15	3252												
						MMAC	26185	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-134	3259												
	Vertical	3H-3-31	12	1-V/L	MTCM	880	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	67	1062	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	66	6.24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
					MCCM	880	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-190	2096													
					MMAT	880	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	35	1666													
					MMAC	880	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-190	2096													
					MTCM	1261	D + L + H + E'	93	1051													
					MCCM	32363	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-171	1458													
		2-V/L	3H-3-31	12	2-V/L	MMAT	32362	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	7	2039	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	36	7.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
						MMAC	32363	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-163	2104												
						MTCM	28433	D + L + H + E'	92	437												
						MCCM	72	D + L + H + E'	-228	2034												
						MMAT	32371	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	29	3045												
						MMAC	20	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-144	2912												
	4-V/L	3H-3-31	12	4-V/L	MTCM	27828	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	125	1572	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	62	10.92	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
					MCCM	27828	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-224	3713													
					MMAT	27828	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	6	3675													
					MMAC	27828	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-224	3713													
MTCM					27828	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	125	1572														
MCCM					27828	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-224	3713														
Transverse (Horizontal and Vertical)	3H-3-32	12	1-T	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-	21	32	288	0	0.20 (#4@12)	-				
			2-T	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-	176	41	268	-31	0.31 (#5@12)	-		

Table 3H.3-4 Results of Radwaste Building Concrete Slab Design (Continued)

Location	Face	Direction	Reinforcement Drawing Number (1)	Thickness (ft)	Reinforcement Zone Number <sup>(2)</sup>	Maximum Force <sup>(3)</sup> Moment (k-ft)	Element	Longitudinal Reinforcement Design Loads				Longitudinal Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Transverse Shear Design Loads <sup>(4)</sup>				Transverse Shear <sup>(5)</sup> Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Remarks						
								Axial and Flexure Loads		In-Plane Shear Loads			Load Combination	In-plane Shear (kips/ft)	Horizontal Section				Vertical Section					
								Load Combination	Axial <sup>(6)</sup> (kips/ft)	Flexure <sup>(6)</sup> (ft-kips/ft)	Transverse Shear Force (kip/ft)				Corresponding Axial Force (kip/ft)	Transverse Shear Force (kip/ft)			Corresponding Axial Force (kip/ft)					
JF 35' E3	Near Side	Horizontal	3H.3.33	5	1-H/L	MTCM	37891	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Ee	99	-45	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Ee	122	3.12	-	-	-	-	-						
						MCCM	37891	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Ee	-291	-110														
						MMAT	38339	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Ee	1	-266														
					2-H/L	MTCM	38166	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Ee	-190	-354	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Ee	107	4.68	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				
						MCCM	36144	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Ee	-224	-390														
						MMAT	35340	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Ee	19	-405														
					3-H/L	MTCM	38231	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Ee	-70	-366	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Ee	73	6.24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				
						MCCM	37838	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Ee	87	-144														
						MMAT	37838	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Ee	-302	-427														
					4	4-H/L	MTCM	37838	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Ee	13	-428	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Ee	97	3.12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
							MCCM	37838	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Ee	-273	-434													
							MMAT	37838	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Ee	-273	-434													
				2	5-H/L	MTCM	38193	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Ee	81	-8	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Ee	102	3.12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				
						MCCM	37895	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Ee	-203	-188														
						MMAT	37773	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Ee	3	-308														
				3H.3.34	Vertical	Near Side	3H.3.34	5	1-V/L	MTCM	37788	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Ee	-89	-347	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Ee	72	3.12	-	-	-	-	-		
										MCCM	25335	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Ee	73	-19										
										MMAT	25335	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Ee	-195	-30										
									2	2-V/L	MTCM	36029	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Ee	6	-115	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Ee	52	4.68	-	-	-	-	-	-
											MCCM	36029	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Ee	-44	-115									
											MMAT	36029	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Ee	-44	-115									
								4	4-V/L	MTCM	35810	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Ee	160	-135	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Ee	72	6.24	-	-	-	-	-	-	
										MCCM	35810	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Ee	-319	-37										
										MMAT	35273	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Ee	34	-590										
MTCM	37824	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Ee	-167							-764														
MCCM	38187	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Ee	82							-79														
MMAT	38187	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Ee	-195							-256														
2	7-V/L	MTCM	38395	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Ee	27	-160	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Ee	41	1.56	-	-	-	-	-	-	-								
		MCCM	38395	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Ee	-114	-223																		
		MMAT	38395	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Ee	-114	-223																		
		MTCM	36982	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Ee	140	-160																		
		MCCM	37824	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Ee	-184	-164																		
		MMAT	34304	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Ee	2	-371																		
4	8-V/L	MTCM	37824	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Ee	-177	-531	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Ee	52	6.24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-								
		MCCM	36810	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Ee	160	-135																		
		MMAT	35273	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Ee	34	-590																		
		MTCM	38187	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Ee	82	-79																		
		MCCM	38187	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Ee	-195	-256																		
		MMAT	38302	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Ee	7	-215																		
4	9-V/L	MTCM	38258	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Ee	-38	-344	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Ee	66	4.68	-	-	-	-	-	-	-								
		MCCM	38143	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Ee	44	-240																		
		MMAT	38143	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Ee	-189	-412																		
		MTCM	38143	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Ee	9	-473																		
		MCCM	38143	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Ee	-97	-493																		
		MMAT	38143	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Ee	-97	-493																		
2	8-V/L	MTCM	38165	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Ee	66	-211	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Ee	60	3.12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-								
		MCCM	38165	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Ee	-236	-747																		
		MMAT	38165	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Ee	1	-701																		
		MTCM	38165	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Ee	-211	-786																		
		MCCM	25310	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Ee	33	-19																		
		MMAT	25333	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Ee	-64	-27																		
2	8-V/L	MTCM	39027	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Ee	1	-50	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Ee	41	1.56	-	-	-	-	-	-	-								
		MCCM	39027	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Ee	-21	-50																		
		MMAT	39027	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Ee	-21	-50																		
		MTCM	34573	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Ee	41	-28																		
		MCCM	34574	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Ee	-40	-15																		
		MMAT	34573	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Ee	20	-52																		
2	8-V/L	MTCM	34573	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Ee	-49	-52	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Ee	50	3.12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-								
		MCCM	34574	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Ee	-40	-15																		
		MMAT	34573	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Ee	20	-52																		
		MTCM	34573	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Ee	41	-28																		
		MCCM	34574	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Ee	-40	-15																		
		MMAT	34573	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7W + 1.7Ee	20	-52																		

**Table 3H.3-4 Results of Radwaste Building Concrete Slab Design (Continued)**

Location	Face	Direction	Reinforcement Drawing Number (1)	Thickness (ft)	Reinforcement Zone Number(2)	Maximum Force(3)	Element	Longitudinal Reinforcement Design Loads				Longitudinal Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Transverse Shear Design Loads (4)				Transverse Shear(5) Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Remarks						
								Axial and Flexure Loads		In-Plane Shear Loads			Horizontal Section		Vertical Section									
								Load Combination	Axial (kips / ft)	Flexure (k-ft / ft)	Load Combination		In-plane Shear (kips / ft)	Load Combination	Transverse Shear Force (kip / ft)	Corresponding Axial Force (kip / ft)			Transverse Shear Force (kip / ft)	Corresponding Axial Force (kip / ft)				
JH-351B	Far Side	Horizontal	3H-3-35	5	1-H-L	MTCM 38359	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	44	50	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	122	3.12	-	-	-	-	-	-						
						MCCM 38398	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-194	78															
						MMAT 36138	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	5	252															
						MMAC 36353	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-23	165															
						MTCM 38220	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	98	88															
						MCCM 37817	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-195	46															
		MTCM 38193	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	81	56	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	97	3.12	-	-	-	-	-	-										
		MCCM 38193	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-239	173																			
		MMAT 38195	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	1	227																			
		MMAC 38609	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-139	237																			
		MTCM 25335	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	96	15																			
		MCCM 25335	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-247	11																			
	MTCM 39021	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	24	61	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	102	3.12	-	-	-	-	-	-	(10)										
	MMAT 39021	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-11	61																				
	MTCM 38119	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	54	73																				
	MCCM 37849	D + L + H + E'	-230	129																				
	MMAT 36853	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	34	208																				
	MMAC 37645	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-139	306																				
	MTCM 37131	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	17	82	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	72	4.68	-	-	-	-	-	-	-										
	MCCM 37074	D + L + H + E'	-144	231																				
	MMAT 37559	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	11	104																				
	MMAC 37889	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-149	557																				
	MTCM 35810	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	160	173																				
	MCCM 35810	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-319	240																				
MTCM 35810	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	160	173	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	43	6.24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-											
MCCM 35810	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-319	240																					
MMAT 35282	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	76	536																					
MMAC 35282	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-103	536																					
MTCM 38165	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	66	89																					
MCCM 38165	D + L + H + E'	-191	40																					
MTCM 37764	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	21	201	D + L + H + E'	66	3.12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-											
MMAT 37764	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	21	201																					
MMAC 38553	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-135	408																					
MTCM 38157	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	20	95																					
MCCM 38157	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-159	395																					
MMAT 38155	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	7	121																					
MTCM 25310	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	33	6	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	41	1.56	-	-	-	-	-	-	(10)											
MCCM 25314	D + L + H + E'	-64	6																					
MMAT 39021	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	3	31																					
MMAC 39021	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-3	31																					
MTCM 34573	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	41	29																					
MCCM 34821	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-70	10																					
MTCM 34821	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	70	10	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	50	3.12	-	-	-	-	-	-	(10)											
MCCM 34821	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-70	10																					
MMAT 34825	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	5	45																					
MMAC 34876	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-47	57																					
MTCM 34876	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	47	57																					
MCCM 34876	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	-47	57																					
Near Side	Horizontal	3H-3-37a	5	1-T	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	94	-35	-3	-1	0.20 (#4@12)	-						
					2-T	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	53	123	54	100	0.31 (#5@12)	-		
					3-T	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	117	169	68	115	0.50 (#4@9)	-	
					4-T	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	26	26	62	48	0.60 (#4@6)	-
					1-H-L	MTMM	-	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	27	-	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	0.79	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
					1-V-L	MTMM	-	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	22	16	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	61	1.20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(11)
	Far Side	Horizontal	3H-3-40	1	1-H-L	MTMM	-	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	27	-	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	0.79	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
					1-H-L	MTMM	-	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	27	-	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	0.79	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
					1-V-L	MTMM	-	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	22	16	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	61	1.20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
		Vertical	3H-3-41	1	1-H-L	MTMM	-	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	22	16	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	0.79	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
					1-H-L	MTMM	-	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	22	16	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	0.79	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
					1-V-L	MTMM	-	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	22	16	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7Eo	0.79	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		

Table 3H.3-4 Results of Radwaste Building Concrete Slab Design (Continued)

## Notes:

- (1) The reinforcement layout drawings show the various zones used to define the minimum reinforcement that will be provided based on finite element analysis results. Actual provided reinforcement based on final rebar layout and including development length may exceed the reported provided reinforcement and the zones with higher reinforcement may be extended beyond their reported boundaries. The dimensions in the reinforcement drawings are based on the dimensions of the SAP2000 shell elements, which are modeled at the centerline of the walls and slabs. Therefore, the reinforcement drawing dimensions do not match actual building dimensions. See Figure 3H.3-53 for the wall and slab labeling convention for the RIVE.
- (2) Each reinforcement layout drawing is divided into reinforcement zones. The reinforcement zone naming convention is as follows: "H" = horizontal, "V" = vertical, "L" = longitudinal reinforcement, "T" = transverse reinforcement. For slabs, vertical corresponds to North-South direction and horizontal corresponds to East-West direction.
- (3) The maximum tension (MTCM) and compression (MCCM) axial forces are provided with the corresponding moment from the same load combination. The maximum moment that has a corresponding tension (MMAT) in the same load combination and the maximum moment that has a corresponding compression (MMAC) in the same load combination are also provided. For the roof, the maximum tension and maximum moment (MTMM) are reported.
- (4) Negative axial load is compression and positive axial load is tension. Negative moment applies tension to the top face of the shell element and positive moment applies tension to the bottom face of the shell element. For walls or slabs where the same reinforcement is provided on both faces, the moment is shown as absolute value. The axial and flexural loads reported in the table are the average of the 2 node pairs that form the 4 edges of the critical rectangular shell element. If the 2 node pairs on the shell element edges parallel to the reinforcement direction do not satisfy PSM interaction criteria, then only the 2 node pairs on the shell element edges perpendicular to the reinforcement direction are used for design (effective width considered). The element mesh is sufficiently refined for this design approach.
- (5) The reported in-plane shear is the maximum average in-plane shear along a plane that crosses the longitudinal reinforcement zone.
- (6) The transverse shear reinforcement loads are reported for the critical element requiring the largest area of steel for transverse reinforcement within the zone. The shear force and the corresponding axial force in the same load combination for each direction is reported for the critical element.
- (7) The reported transverse shear reinforcement is the summation of the required shear reinforcement in the horizontal direction and the required shear reinforcement in the vertical direction.
- (8) For certain areas of the structure, the standard element post-processing methods were too conservative. For such cases, detailed manual design was performed and the design forces determined by the detailed manual design are provided in the table.
- (9) The longitudinal reinforcement shown is required to be tied.
- (10) The reported forces are from the FEM analysis. The provided longitudinal reinforcement includes additional reinforcement required due to manual one-way design calculations.
- (11) The reported axial and in-plane forces are from the FEM analysis. The reported flexural forces are from manual one-way design calculations.

Table 3H.3-5 Summary of Radwaste Building Structural Steel Design

Elevation 35'-0" Floor Steel Beams					
Location <sup>6</sup>	Figure Number	Size <sup>2,3,4</sup>	Safety Margin = Capacity/Demand	Max. Moment (kip-ft)	Governing Load Combination <sup>5</sup>
Elevation 35'-0" Formwork Steel Beams	3H.3-39	W10X54	2.0	81.7	D+L
		W14X193	1.5	565.8	D+L
		W14X283	1.8	700.4	D+L
Elevation 35'-0" Composite Steel Beams	3H.3-40 3H.3-41 3H.3-42	W14x82	1.5	629.5	D+L+E'
		W36x210	1.3	577.4	Construction
		W36x231	1.2	4540.4	D+L+E'
		W36x262	1.1	5511.0	D+L+E'

  

Roof Truss Members					
Location	Figure Number	Size <sup>2,3,4</sup>	Safety Margin = Capacity/Demand	Max. Axial Load <sup>1</sup> (kip)	Governing Load Combination <sup>5</sup>
North-South Spanning Truss Top Chord Member	3H.3-43 3H.3-44	W14X120	1.6	705.0	D+L+E'
			1.6	-962.0	D+L+E'
North-South Spanning Truss Bottom Chord Member		W14X311	1.4	2161.0	D+L+E'
			4.3	-908.0	D+E'
North-South Spanning Truss Outer Diagonal Members		W12X136	1.4	910.0	D+L+E'
			4.5	-329.0	D+E'
North-South Spanning Truss Outer Vertical Members		2L8X8X1	2.6	241.0	D+E'
			1.3	-667.0	D+L+E'
North-South Spanning Truss Inner Diagonal Members		2L8X6X3/4LLBB	1.4	284.0	D+L+E'
			3.7	-139.0	D+E'
North-South Spanning Truss Inner Vertical Members	2L5X5X1/2	2.0	91.0	D+E'	
		1.3	-185.0	D+L+E'	
North-South Spanning Truss Lateral Bracing Members	2L8X4X1LLBB	1.1	386.0	D+L+E'	
		1.1	-316.0	D+L+E'	
East-West Spanning Truss Top Chord Member	3H.3-43 3H.3-45	2L5X5X1/2	3.8	47.0	0.9D+E'
			1.9	-152.0	D+L+E'
East-West Spanning Truss Bottom Chord Member		2L8X4X1LLBB	1.4	316.0	D+L+E'
			7.1	-94.0	0.9D+E'
East-West Spanning Truss Outer Diagonal Members		L8X8X7/8	1.3	208.0	D+L+E'
			8.3	-51.0	0.9D+E'
East-West Spanning Truss Outer Vertical Members		L6X6X1/2	3.3	35.0	D+L+E'
			1.3	-143.0	D+L+E'
East-West Spanning Truss Inner Diagonal Members		L4X4X3/8	4.3	14.0	D+L+E'
			11.1	-7.0	0.9D+E'
East-West Spanning Truss Inner Vertical Members		L6X6X1/2	5.0	23.0	0.9D+E'
			2.9	-63.0	D+L+E'
East-West Spanning Truss Lateral Bracing Members		L5X5X3/8	3.8	18.0	D+L+E'
			2.6	-21.0	D+L+E'

  

Roof Purlins						
Location	Figure Number	Size <sup>2,3,4</sup>	Safety Margin = Capacity/Demand	Max. Axial Load <sup>1</sup> (kip)	Max. Moment <sup>7</sup> (kip-ft)	Governing Load Combination <sup>5</sup>
North-South Spanning Roof Purlins	3H.3-43	W12X210	1.3	-1299.3	-13.2	D+L+E'
East-West Spanning Roof Purlins						

Notes:

- Positive axial load is tension and negative axial load is compression.
- W-shapes : ASTM A572 Gr. 50 (Fy = 50ksi)
- Angles and Double Angles : ASTM A36 Gr. 36 (Fy = 36ksi)
- Member sizes reported are based on analysis results.
- Actual member sizes used will have the same or greater capacity, but size and shape may vary based on connection design requirements.
- E<sub>s</sub> is the design basis earthquake load (1/2 SSE). E' is the III earthquake load (SSE).
- The steel beams located between column lines W1-W7 and WA-WE are required for concrete formwork only. Once the concrete cures, the concrete alone is designed for all design basis loading. The formwork steel will remain in-place unless commodity routing required the formwork steel to be removed.
- Maximum moment for governing load combination is based on bending about the minor-axis.

Table 3H.6-1 Strain-Compatible Soil Properties Used in SSI Analysis

Soil Layers			Lower Bound			Mean			Upper Bound		
Layer No.	Thickness (ft)	Unit Weight (kcf)	S-Wave Vel. (ft/sec)	P-Wave Vel. (ft/sec)	Damping (%)	S-Wave Vel. (ft/sec)	P-Wave Vel. (ft/sec)	Damping (%)	S-Wave Vel. (ft/sec)	P-Wave Vel. (ft/sec)	Damping (%)
1	4.00	0.124	419.1	1128.4	1.6698	548.1	1475.9	1.2224	677.2	1823.4	0.7749
2	5.00	0.124	474.4	1277.4	1.9487	600.1	1615.8	1.4113	735.0	1979.0	0.8738
3	5.00	0.124	470.6	2399.5	2.1614	596.5	3041.5	1.5678	730.5	3725.1	0.9743
4	5.00	0.124	470.0	2396.7	2.3119	599.2	3055.2	1.6698	733.8	3741.9	1.0277
5	5.00	0.124	466.9	2380.6	2.4295	598.3	3050.9	1.7540	732.8	3736.6	1.0785
6	5.00	0.121	578.1	2947.9	2.8987	730.0	3722.5	2.0647	894.1	4559.1	1.2307
7	5.00	0.121	581.3	2964.2	3.0535	733.4	3739.4	2.1657	898.2	4579.8	1.2778
8	5.00	0.122	606.6	3093.0	2.1873	778.2	3968.1	1.4972	953.1	4859.9	0.8072
9	5.00	0.122	602.2	3070.6	2.3098	774.6	3949.6	1.5804	948.7	4837.3	0.8509
10	5.00	0.122	598.1	3049.7	2.4308	771.2	3932.2	1.6566	944.5	4816.0	0.8824
11	5.00	0.122	600.0	3059.2	2.5321	771.9	3935.9	1.7154	945.4	4820.4	0.8986
12	5.00	0.122	719.8	3670.5	2.2554	924.5	4714.1	1.6695	1132.3	5000.0	1.0836
13	5.00	0.122	720.6	3674.4	2.2824	925.0	4716.5	1.6893	1132.9	5000.0	1.0962
14	5.00	0.122	719.8	3670.4	2.3079	924.3	4712.9	1.7112	1132.0	5000.0	1.1145
15	5.00	0.122	719.1	3666.7	2.3275	923.6	4709.5	1.7260	1131.2	5000.0	1.1245
16	5.00	0.123	827.3	4218.4	2.0584	1013.2	5000.0	1.4280	1241.0	5215.9	0.7975
17	5.00	0.123	825.7	4210.5	2.1082	1011.3	5000.0	1.4603	1238.6	5206.1	0.8123
18	5.00	0.123	824.2	4202.7	2.1636	1009.5	5000.0	1.4988	1236.3	5196.6	0.8340
19	5.00	0.123	822.8	4195.2	2.2125	1007.7	5000.0	1.5321	1234.1	5187.3	0.8516
20	5.00	0.125	850.3	4335.6	2.2666	1041.4	5000.0	1.6792	1275.4	5360.8	1.0917
21	5.00	0.125	849.9	4333.5	2.2780	1040.9	5000.0	1.6904	1274.8	5358.3	1.1027
22	5.00	0.125	849.5	4331.5	2.2969	1040.4	5000.0	1.7027	1274.2	5355.8	1.1085
23	5.00	0.125	874.5	4459.3	2.0113	1085.2	5000.0	1.4063	1329.1	5586.6	0.8014
24	5.00	0.125	873.3	4452.8	2.0424	1084.2	5000.0	1.4290	1327.9	5581.2	0.8157
25	5.00	0.125	872.1	4446.7	2.0761	1083.2	5000.0	1.4485	1326.6	5576.1	0.8209
26	7.00	0.125	914.5	4663.0	2.3111	1120.0	5000.0	1.6966	1371.7	5765.6	1.0822
27	7.00	0.125	914.0	4660.8	2.3253	1119.5	5000.0	1.7081	1371.1	5762.9	1.0909
28	7.00	0.125	911.5	4647.8	2.3428	1117.8	5000.0	1.7197	1369.1	5754.5	1.0966

**Table 3H.6-1 Strain-Compatible Soil Properties Used in SSI Analysis (Continued)**

Soil Layers			Lower Bound			Mean			Upper Bound		
Layer No.	Thickness (ft)	Unit Weight (kcf)	S-Wave Vel. (ft/sec)	P-Wave Vel. (ft/sec)	Damping (%)	S-Wave Vel. (ft/sec)	P-Wave Vel. (ft/sec)	Damping (%)	S-Wave Vel. (ft/sec)	P-Wave Vel. (ft/sec)	Damping (%)
29	7.00	0.125	910.9	4644.9	2.3545	1117.4	5000.0	1.7287	1368.5	5751.9	1.1029
30	7.00	0.125	910.4	4642.2	2.3693	1116.9	5000.0	1.7403	1367.9	5749.4	1.1114
31	5.00	0.125	883.7	4506.2	2.2271	1102.4	5000.0	1.5420	1350.1	5674.8	0.8568
32	5.00	0.125	881.5	4494.7	2.2467	1101.0	5000.0	1.5575	1348.4	5667.5	0.8683
33	5.00	0.125	880.6	4490.3	2.2764	1100.2	5000.0	1.5770	1347.4	5663.6	0.8775
34	9.00	0.125	919.6	4689.0	2.3842	1126.3	5000.0	1.7519	1379.4	5797.7	1.1196
35	9.00	0.125	919.1	4686.8	2.3984	1125.7	5000.0	1.7608	1378.7	5795.0	1.1231
36	9.00	0.125	922.5	4703.8	2.4066	1129.8	5000.0	1.7673	1383.7	5816.1	1.1281
37	9.00	0.125	922.8	4705.5	2.4195	1130.2	5000.0	1.7795	1384.2	5818.2	1.1394
38	9.00	0.125	919.2	4687.1	2.4362	1125.8	5000.0	1.7917	1378.8	5795.4	1.1472
39	9.00	0.124	921.5	4698.6	2.4066	1146.4	5000.0	1.7870	1404.0	5901.3	1.1674
40	9.00	0.124	931.4	4749.0	2.4129	1157.6	5000.0	1.7862	1417.8	5959.3	1.1595
41	5.00	0.127	986.2	5000.0	2.2903	1222.6	5138.7	1.5360	1497.4	6293.7	0.7818
42	5.00	0.127	985.7	5000.0	2.2989	1222.1	5136.6	1.5447	1496.7	6291.0	0.7905
43	5.00	0.127	985.1	5000.0	2.3165	1221.6	5134.5	1.5554	1496.1	6288.4	0.7943
44	5.00	0.127	984.6	5000.0	2.3275	1221.1	5132.4	1.5619	1495.5	6285.9	0.7963
45	5.00	0.127	984.0	5000.0	2.3410	1220.6	5130.4	1.5697	1494.9	6283.4	0.7984
46	5.00	0.125	1025.7	5000.0	2.3496	1256.3	5280.3	1.7372	1538.6	6467.1	1.1247
47	15.00	0.127	1010.5	5000.0	2.1171	1237.7	5202.1	1.5316	1515.8	6371.2	0.9461
48	11.80	0.123	1034.4	5000.0	2.3607	1266.9	5324.9	1.7527	1551.6	6521.6	1.1447
49	11.80	0.123	1034.0	5000.0	2.3685	1266.4	5323.0	1.7581	1551.0	6519.3	1.1477
50	11.80	0.123	1033.7	5000.0	2.3815	1266.0	5321.2	1.7665	1550.5	6517.1	1.1516
51	11.80	0.123	1037.2	5000.0	2.3948	1270.3	5339.2	1.7726	1555.8	6539.1	1.1505
52	11.80	0.123	1036.9	5000.0	2.4048	1269.9	5337.6	1.7792	1555.3	6537.2	1.1536
53	17.00	0.128	1252.4	5264.0	1.8381	1575.1	6620.6	1.2897	1929.1	8108.5	0.7413
54	8.00	0.123	1301.7	5471.3	2.1463	1607.2	6755.4	1.6064	1968.4	8273.7	1.0664
55	16.50	0.128	1310.3	5507.2	1.7999	1604.7	6744.9	1.2702	1965.4	8260.8	0.7405
56	16.50	0.128	1309.5	5503.9	1.8246	1603.7	6740.8	1.2855	1964.2	8255.8	0.7465

Table 3H.6-1 Strain-Compatible Soil Properties Used in SSI Analysis (Continued)

Soil Layers			Lower Bound			Mean			Upper Bound		
Layer No.	Thickness (ft)	Unit Weight (kcf)	S-Wave Vel. (ft/sec)	P-Wave Vel. (ft/sec)	Damping (%)	S-Wave Vel. (ft/sec)	P-Wave Vel. (ft/sec)	Damping (%)	S-Wave Vel. (ft/sec)	P-Wave Vel. (ft/sec)	Damping (%)
57	8.00	0.123	1290.5	5424.1	2.2004	1580.5	6643.2	1.6357	1935.7	8136.2	1.0711
58	19.00	0.128	1156.1	5000.0	2.0671	1417.2	5956.7	1.4716	1735.7	7295.4	0.8761
59	15.00	0.123	995.4	5000.0	2.5251	1219.2	5124.3	1.8573	1493.2	6276.0	1.1895
60	15.00	0.123	995.2	5000.0	2.5283	1218.9	5123.3	1.8597	1492.8	6274.7	1.1910
61	8.00	0.128	970.0	4946.2	2.6235	1188.1	5000.0	1.8389	1455.1	6115.9	1.0543
62	18.00	0.123	990.9	5000.0	2.5359	1213.6	5101.1	1.8669	1486.4	6247.5	1.1980
63	18.00	0.123	990.6	5000.0	2.5391	1213.3	5099.7	1.8706	1486.0	6245.8	1.2021
64	18.00	0.123	999.5	5000.0	2.5358	1224.1	5145.1	1.8672	1499.2	6301.4	1.1986
65	18.00	0.123	1196.2	5027.7	2.0970	1465.0	6157.6	1.4997	1794.2	7541.5	0.9024
66	14.60	0.123	1172.4	5000.0	2.3353	1435.9	6035.4	1.7343	1758.6	7391.8	1.1332
67	14.60	0.123	1172.2	5000.0	2.3381	1435.6	6034.3	1.7362	1758.3	7390.5	1.1343
68	14.60	0.123	1172.0	5000.0	2.3411	1435.4	6033.3	1.7397	1758.0	7389.2	1.1382
69	14.60	0.123	1171.8	5000.0	2.3468	1435.2	6032.3	1.7427	1757.7	7388.0	1.1386
70	14.60	0.123	1171.7	5000.0	2.3531	1435.0	6031.5	1.7455	1757.5	7387.0	1.1379
71	45.50	0.129	1378.7	5065.8	0.9127	1688.6	6204.3	0.5883	2068.1	7598.6	0.2639
72	45.50	0.129	1378.7	5065.8	0.9127	1688.6	6204.3	0.5883	2068.1	7598.6	0.2639
73	100.00	0.128	1388.7	5102.3	0.9127	1700.8	6249.0	0.5883	2083.0	7653.4	0.2639
74	100.00	0.128	1388.7	5102.3	0.9127	1700.8	6249.0	0.5883	2083.0	7653.4	0.2639
75	100.00	0.130	1533.0	5084.5	0.9127	1877.6	6227.2	0.5883	2299.5	7626.7	0.2639
76	100.00	0.130	1533.0	5084.5	0.9127	1877.6	6227.2	0.5883	2299.5	7626.7	0.2639
77	100.00	0.130	1667.2	5529.4	0.9127	2041.9	6772.1	0.5883	2500.8	8294.1	0.2639
78	100.00	0.130	1667.2	5093.3	0.9127	2041.9	6238.0	0.5883	2500.8	7640.0	0.2639
79	100.00	0.130	1735.4	5301.6	0.9127	2125.4	6493.1	0.5883	2603.0	7952.4	0.2639
80	100.00	0.130	1735.4	5301.6	0.9127	2125.4	6493.1	0.5883	2603.0	7952.4	0.2639
81	100.00	0.130	1870.7	5338.3	0.9127	2291.2	6538.0	0.5883	2806.1	8007.4	0.2639
82	100.00	0.130	1870.7	5338.3	0.9127	2291.2	6538.0	0.5883	2806.1	8007.4	0.2639
83	100.00	0.130	1912.1	5456.3	0.9127	2341.8	6682.6	0.5883	2868.1	8184.4	0.2639
84	100.00	0.130	1912.1	5148.5	0.9127	2341.8	6305.6	0.5883	2868.1	7722.7	0.2639

**Table 3H.6-1 Strain-Compatible Soil Properties Used in SSI Analysis (Continued)**

Soil Layers			Lower Bound			Mean			Upper Bound		
Layer No.	Thickness (ft)	Unit Weight (kcf)	S-Wave Vel. (ft/sec)	P-Wave Vel. (ft/sec)	Damping (%)	S-Wave Vel. (ft/sec)	P-Wave Vel. (ft/sec)	Damping (%)	S-Wave Vel. (ft/sec)	P-Wave Vel. (ft/sec)	Damping (%)
85	100.00	0.135	2042.5	5499.7	0.9127	2501.6	6735.7	0.5883	3063.8	8249.6	0.2639
86	100.00	0.135	2051.1	5522.8	0.9127	2512.1	6764.0	0.5883	3076.7	8284.2	0.2639
87	100.00	0.135	2259.9	5786.1	0.9127	2767.8	7086.5	0.5883	3389.8	8679.2	0.2639
88	100.00	0.135	2259.9	5786.1	0.9127	2767.8	7086.5	0.5883	3389.8	8679.2	0.2639
89	100.00	0.135	2402.8	6152.0	0.9127	2942.8	7534.6	0.5883	3604.1	9228.0	0.2639
90	100.00	0.135	2402.8	5885.6	0.9127	2942.8	7208.3	0.5883	3604.1	8828.3	0.2639
91	100.00	0.140	2402.8	5885.6	0.9127	2942.8	7208.3	0.5883	3604.1	8828.3	0.2639
92	100.00	0.140	2409.5	5902.0	0.9127	2951.0	7228.5	0.5883	3614.3	8853.1	0.2639
93	100.00	0.140	2496.3	5878.5	0.9127	3057.3	7199.6	0.5883	3744.4	8817.7	0.2639
94	100.00	0.140	2496.3	5878.5	0.9127	3057.3	7199.6	0.5883	3744.4	8817.7	0.2639
95	100.00	0.140	2531.9	5962.2	0.9127	3100.9	7302.2	0.5883	3797.8	8943.3	0.2639
96	100.00	0.140	2531.9	5755.0	0.9127	3100.9	7048.4	0.5883	3797.8	8632.5	0.2639
97	100.00	0.140	2789.2	6340.0	0.9127	3416.1	7764.8	0.5883	4183.8	9509.9	0.2639
98	100.00	0.140	2789.2	6340.0	0.9127	3416.1	7764.8	0.5883	4183.8	9509.9	0.2639
99	100.00	0.140	3055.6	6726.6	0.9127	3742.3	8238.4	0.5883	4583.4	10089.9	0.2639
100	100.00	0.140	3055.6	6726.6	0.9127	3742.3	8238.4	0.5883	4583.4	10089.9	0.2639
101	100.00	0.140	3144.4	6922.0	0.9127	3851.0	8477.7	0.5883	4716.5	10383.0	0.2639
102	100.00	0.140	3144.4	6722.9	0.9127	3851.0	8233.9	0.5883	4716.5	10084.4	0.2639
103	100.00	0.140	3245.3	6938.8	0.9127	3974.7	8498.3	0.5883	4868.0	10408.3	0.2639
104	100.00	0.140	3245.3	6938.8	0.9127	3974.7	8498.3	0.5883	4868.0	10408.3	0.2639
105	100.00	0.140	3280.1	6828.1	0.9127	4017.3	8362.7	0.5883	4920.2	10242.1	0.2639
106	100.00	0.140	3280.1	6828.1	0.9127	4017.3	8362.7	0.5883	4920.2	10242.1	0.2639
107	100.00	0.140	3280.1	6828.1	0.9127	4017.3	8362.6	0.5883	4920.1	10242.1	0.2639
108	100.00	0.140	3280.1	6661.9	0.9127	4017.3	8159.1	0.5883	4920.1	9992.8	0.2639
109	100.00	0.140	3337.8	6779.1	0.9127	4088.0	8302.7	0.5883	5006.7	10168.6	0.2639
110	100.00	0.140	3337.8	6779.1	0.9127	4088.0	8302.7	0.5883	5006.7	10168.6	0.2639
111	100.00	0.140	3395.5	6740.9	0.9127	4158.6	8255.9	0.5883	5093.3	10111.3	0.2639
112	100.00	0.140	3395.5	6740.9	0.9127	4158.6	8255.9	0.5883	5093.3	10111.3	0.2639

Table 3H.6-1 Strain-Compatible Soil Properties Used in SSI Analysis (Continued)

Soil Layers			Lower Bound			Mean			Upper Bound		
Layer No.	Thickness (ft)	Unit Weight (kcf)	S-Wave Vel. (ft/sec)	P-Wave Vel. (ft/sec)	Damping (%)	S-Wave Vel. (ft/sec)	P-Wave Vel. (ft/sec)	Damping (%)	S-Wave Vel. (ft/sec)	P-Wave Vel. (ft/sec)	Damping (%)
113	100.00	0.140	3425.0	6799.4	0.9127	4194.7	8327.6	0.5883	5137.5	10199.1	0.2639
114	100.00	0.140	3425.0	6657.0	0.9127	4194.7	8153.1	0.5883	5137.5	9985.5	0.2639
115	100.00	0.140	3609.5	7015.6	0.9127	4420.7	8592.3	0.5883	5414.2	10523.4	0.2639
116	100.00	0.140	3609.5	7015.6	0.9127	4420.7	8592.3	0.5883	5414.2	10523.4	0.2639
117	100.00	0.140	3815.4	7271.0	0.9127	4672.9	8905.1	0.5883	5723.2	10906.5	0.2639
118	100.00	0.140	3815.4	7271.0	0.9127	4672.9	8905.1	0.5883	5723.2	10906.5	0.2639
119	100.00	0.140	3828.5	7295.9	0.9127	4689.0	8935.6	0.5883	5742.8	10943.9	0.2639
120	100.00	0.140	3828.5	7162.5	0.9127	4689.0	8772.3	0.5883	5742.8	10743.8	0.2639
121	100.00	0.140	3995.3	7474.4	0.9127	4893.2	9154.3	0.5883	5992.9	11211.7	0.2639
122	100.00	0.140	3995.3	7474.4	0.9127	4893.2	9154.3	0.5883	5992.9	11211.7	0.2639
123	100.00	0.140	4042.3	7562.4	0.9127	4950.8	9262.1	0.5883	6063.4	11343.7	0.2639
124	100.00	0.140	4042.3	7562.4	0.9127	4950.8	9262.1	0.5883	6063.4	11343.7	0.2639
125	100.00	0.140	4057.2	7590.4	0.9127	4969.1	9296.2	0.5883	6085.8	11385.5	0.2639
126	100.00	0.140	4057.2	7590.4	0.9127	4969.1	9296.2	0.5883	6085.8	11385.5	0.2639
127	100.00	0.140	4064.5	7604.1	0.9127	4978.0	9313.0	0.5883	6096.8	11406.1	0.2639
128	100.00	0.140	4064.5	7604.1	0.9127	4978.0	9313.0	0.5883	6096.8	11406.1	0.2639
129	100.00	0.140	3997.4	7478.4	0.9127	4895.8	9159.2	0.5883	5996.1	11217.7	0.2639
130	100.00	0.140	3997.4	7478.4	0.9127	4895.8	9159.2	0.5883	5996.1	11217.7	0.2639
131	100.00	0.140	3779.9	7071.5	0.9127	4629.4	8660.8	0.5883	5669.8	10607.3	0.2639
132	100.00	0.140	3779.9	7071.5	0.9127	4629.4	8660.8	0.5883	5669.8	10607.3	0.2639
133	100.00	0.140	3164.0	5919.4	0.9127	3875.1	7249.7	0.5883	4746.1	8879.1	0.2639
134	100.00	0.140	3164.0	5919.4	0.9127	3875.1	7249.7	0.5883	4746.1	8879.1	0.2639
135	100.00	0.140	2974.8	5565.3	0.9127	3643.3	6816.0	0.5883	4462.1	8347.9	0.2639
136	100.00	0.140	2974.8	5565.3	0.9127	3643.3	6816.0	0.5883	4462.1	8347.9	0.2639
137	100.00	0.140	2942.9	5505.7	0.9127	3604.3	6743.0	0.5883	4414.4	8258.5	0.2639
138	100.00	0.140	2942.9	5505.7	0.9127	3604.3	6743.0	0.5883	4414.4	8258.5	0.2639
139	100.00	0.140	2914.5	5452.5	0.9127	3569.5	6677.9	0.5883	4371.7	8178.7	0.2639
140	100.00	0.140	2914.5	5452.5	0.9127	3569.5	6677.9	0.5883	4371.7	8178.7	0.2639

**Table 3H.6-1 Strain-Compatible Soil Properties Used in SSI Analysis (Continued)**

Soil Layers			Lower Bound			Mean			Upper Bound		
Layer No.	Thickness (ft)	Unit Weight (kcf)	S-Wave Vel. (ft/sec)	P-Wave Vel. (ft/sec)	Damping (%)	S-Wave Vel. (ft/sec)	P-Wave Vel. (ft/sec)	Damping (%)	S-Wave Vel. (ft/sec)	P-Wave Vel. (ft/sec)	Damping (%)
141	100.00	0.140	2914.5	5452.5	0.9127	3569.5	6677.9	0.5883	4371.7	8178.7	0.2639
142	100.00	0.140	2914.5	5452.5	0.9127	3569.5	6677.9	0.5883	4371.7	8178.7	0.2639
143	100.00	0.140	2875.7	5379.9	0.9127	3522.0	6589.1	0.5883	4313.6	8069.9	0.2639
144	100.00	0.140	2875.7	5379.9	0.9127	3522.0	6589.1	0.5883	4313.6	8069.9	0.2639
145	100.00	0.140	2875.9	5380.4	0.9127	3522.3	6589.6	0.5883	4313.9	8070.6	0.2639
146	100.00	0.140	2875.9	5380.4	0.9127	3522.3	6589.6	0.5883	4313.9	8070.6	0.2639

**Table 3H.6-1a Layer Thicknesses and Strain Compatible In-Situ Soil Properties Used for the SSI Analysis (Mean)**

Layer No.	Thickness (ft)	Top Elevation of Layer (ft)	Bottom Elevation of Layer (ft)	Unit Weight (kcf)	S-Wave Vel. (ft/sec)	P-Wave Vel. (ft/sec)	Damping (%)	Passing Freq. for S-Wave Vel. (Hz)
1	2.75	56.0	53.3	0.124	548.1	1475.9	1.22	39.9
2	3.25	53.3	50.0	0.124	579.0	1559.0	1.34	35.6
3	3.50	50.0	46.5	0.124	599.6	1731.8	1.43	34.3
4	3.50	46.5	43.0	0.124	596.5	3041.5	1.57	34.1
5	3.50	43.0	39.5	0.124	598.4	3051.3	1.64	34.2
6	3.50	39.5	36.0	0.124	598.9	3054.0	1.69	34.2
7	3.00	36.0	33.0	0.124	598.3	3050.9	1.75	39.9
8	3.00	33.0	30.0	0.122	680.1	3468.0	1.96	45.3
9	4.00	30.0	26.0	0.121	730.8	3726.7	2.09	36.5
10	2.00	26.0	24.0	0.121	733.4	3739.4	2.17	73.3
11	4.00	24.0	20.0	0.122	755.1	3850.4	1.83	37.8
12	4.00	20.0	16.0	0.122	777.3	3963.5	1.52	38.9
13	4.00	16.0	12.0	0.122	774.6	3949.6	1.58	38.7
14	4.00	12.0	8.0	0.122	771.2	3932.2	1.66	38.6
15	4.00	8.0	4.0	0.122	771.7	3935.0	1.70	38.6
16	5.00	4.0	-1.0	0.122	856.8	4368.6	1.69	34.3
17	5.00	-1.0	-6.0	0.122	924.8	4715.5	1.68	37.0
18	2.00	-6.0	-8.0	0.122	925.0	4716.5	1.69	92.5
19	5.50	-8.0	-13.5	0.122	924.2	4712.6	1.71	33.6
20	5.60	-13.5	-19.1	0.122	939.9	4763.9	1.67	33.6
21	6.10	-19.1	-25.2	0.123	1012.5	5000.0	1.44	33.2
22	6.10	-25.2	-31.3	0.123	1010.3	5000.0	1.48	33.1
23	6.10	-31.3	-37.4	0.123	1008.2	5000.0	1.52	33.1
24	6.10	-37.4	-43.5	0.125	1037.9	5000.0	1.58	34.0
25	6.30	-43.5	-49.8	0.125	1040.8	5000.0	1.69	33.0
26	6.40	-49.8	-56.2	0.125	1062.3	5000.0	1.55	33.2
27	6.50	-56.2	-62.7	0.125	1084.5	5000.0	1.42	33.4
28	6.60	-62.7	-69.3	0.125	1090.3	5000.0	1.28	33.0
29	6.75	-69.3	-76.1	0.125	1119.9	5000.0	1.70	33.2

**Table 3H.6-1a Layer Thicknesses and Strain Compatible In-Situ Soil Properties Used for the SSI Analysis (Mean) (Continued)**

Layer No.	Thickness (ft)	Top Elevation of Layer (ft)	Bottom Elevation of Layer (ft)	Unit Weight (kcf)	S-Wave Vel. (ft/sec)	P-Wave Vel. (ft/sec)	Damping (%)	Passing Freq. for S-Wave Vel. (Hz)
30	6.75	-76.1	-82.8	0.125	1119.3	5000.0	1.71	33.2
31	6.75	-82.8	-89.6	0.125	1117.8	5000.0	1.72	33.1
32	6.75	-89.6	-96.36	0.125	1117.4	5000.0	1.73	33.1
33	6.75	-96.3	-103.1	0.125	1116.8	5000.0	1.74	33.1
34	6.50	-103.1	-109.6	0.125	1102.1	5000.0	1.55	33.9
35	6.50	-109.6	-116.1	0.125	1100.6	5000.0	1.57	33.9
36	6.75	-116.1	-122.8	0.125	1118.6	5000.0	1.70	33.1
37	6.75	-122.8	-129.6	0.125	1126.1	5000.0	1.76	33.4
38	6.75	-129.6	-136.3	0.125	1125.9	5000.0	1.76	33.4
39	6.75	-136.3	-143.1	0.125	1129.8	5000.0	1.77	33.5
40	6.75	-143.1	-149.8	0.125	1130.1	5000.0	1.78	33.5
41	6.75	-149.8	-156.6	0.125	1128.5	5000.0	1.78	33.4
42	6.75	-156.6	-163.3	0.125	1126.7	5000.0	1.79	33.4
43	6.80	-163.3	-170.1	0.124	1146.4	5000.0	1.79	33.7
44	6.90	-170.1	-177.0	0.124	1154.5	5000.0	1.79	33.5
45	7.10	-177.0	-184.1	0.125	1185.1	5059.6	1.68	33.4
46	7.40	-184.1	-191.5	0.127	1222.2	5137.0	1.48	33.0
47	7.30	-191.5	-198.8	0.127	1221.4	5133.7	1.56	33.5
48	7.30	-198.8	-206.1	0.127	1221.2	5133.0	1.55	33.5
49	7.50	-206.1	-213.6	0.126	1249.8	5252.9	1.67	33.3
50	7.40	-213.6	-221.0	0.127	1237.7	5202.1	1.53	33.5
51	7.50	-221.0	-228.5	0.126	1247.3	5242.4	1.61	33.3
52	7.60	-228.5	-236.1	0.123	1266.9	5324.9	1.75	33.3
53	7.60	-236.1	-243.7	0.123	1266.5	5323.4	1.76	33.3
54	7.60	-243.7	-251.3	0.123	1266.3	5322.6	1.76	33.3
55	7.60	-251.3	-258.9	0.123	1266.0	5321.2	1.77	33.3
56	7.60	-258.9	-266.5	0.123	1268.9	5333.3	1.77	33.4
57	7.60	-266.5	-274.1	0.123	1270.3	5339.0	1.77	33.4
58	7.60	-274.1	-281.7	0.123	1269.9	5337.6	1.78	33.4

**Table 3H.6-1a Layer Thicknesses and Strain Compatible In-Situ Soil Properties Used for the SSI Analysis (Mean) (Continued)**

Layer No.	Thickness (ft)	Top Elevation of Layer (ft)	Bottom Elevation of Layer (ft)	Unit Weight (kcf)	S-Wave Vel. (ft/sec)	P-Wave Vel. (ft/sec)	Damping (%)	Passing Freq. for S-Wave Vel. (Hz)
59	8.70	-281.7	-290.4	0.126	1443.5	6067.4	1.48	33.2
60	9.50	-290.4	-299.9	0.128	1575.1	6620.6	1.29	33.2
61	9.50	-299.9	-309.4	0.124	1600.0	6725.1	1.54	33.7
62	9.50	-309.4	-318.9	0.128	1604.9	6745.6	1.29	33.8
63	9.50	-318.9	-328.4	0.128	1604.5	6744.1	1.27	33.8
64	9.50	-328.4	-337.9	0.128	1603.7	6740.8	1.29	33.8
65	9.50	-337.9	-347.4	0.126	1592.9	6695.2	1.45	33.5
66	8.90	-347.4	-356.3	0.126	1479.0	6216.6	1.54	33.2
67	8.50	-356.3	-364.8	0.128	1417.2	5956.7	1.47	33.3
68	8.10	-364.8	-372.9	0.126	1339.3	5629.3	1.61	33.1
69	7.30	-372.9	-380.2	0.123	1219.2	5124.3	1.86	33.4
70	7.30	-380.2	-387.5	0.123	1219.1	5124.0	1.86	33.4
71	7.30	-387.5	-394.8	0.123	1218.9	5123.3	1.86	33.4
72	7.30	-394.8	-402.1	0.124	1209.9	5087.2	1.85	33.1
73	7.20	-402.1	-409.3	0.127	1192.6	5018.0	1.84	33.1
74	7.30	-409.3	-416.6	0.123	1213.6	5101.1	1.87	33.2
75	7.30	-416.6	-423.9	0.123	1213.6	5101.1	1.87	33.2
76	7.30	-423.9	-431.2	0.123	1213.4	5100.1	1.87	33.2
77	7.30	-431.2	-438.5	0.123	1213.3.	5099.7	1.87	33.2
78	7.30	-438.5	-445.8	0.123	1215.9	5110.8	1.87	33.3
79	7.40	-445.8	-453.2	0.123	1224.1	5145.1	1.87	33.1
80	7.40	-453.2	-460.6	0.123	1224.1	5145.1	1.87	33.1
81	8.50	-460.6	-469.1	0.123	1419.0	5964.3	1.56	33.4
82	8.80	-469.1	-477.9	0.123	1465.0	6157.6	1.50	33.3
83	8.70	-477.9	-486.6	0.123	1442.8	6064.5	1.68	33.2
84	8.70	-477.9	-495.3	0.123	1435.9	6035.3	1.73	33.0
85	8.70	-495.3	-504.0	0.123	1435.6	6034.3	1.74	33.0
86	8.70	-504.0	-512.7	0.123	1435.5	6033.9	1.74	33.0
87	8.60	-512.7	-521.3	0.123	1435.4	6033.3	1.74	33.4

**Table 3H.6-1a Layer Thicknesses and Strain Compatible In-Situ Soil Properties Used for the SSI Analysis (Mean) (Continued)**

Layer No.	Thickness (ft)	Top Elevation of Layer (ft)	Bottom Elevation of Layer (ft)	Unit Weight (kcf)	S-Wave Vel. (ft/sec)	P-Wave Vel. (ft/sec)	Damping (%)	Passing Freq. for S-Wave Vel. (Hz)
88	8.60	-521.3	-529.9	0.123	1435.3	6032.6	1.74	33.4
89	8.60	-529.9	-538.5	0.123	1435.2	6032.3	1.74	33.4
90	8.60	-538.5	-547.1	0.123	1435.0	6031.5	1.75	33.4
91	9.10	-547.1	-556.2	0.125	1515.0	6091.2	1.34	33.3
92	10.20	-556.2	-566.4	0.129	1688.6	6204.3	0.59	33.1
93	10.20	-566.4	-576.6	0.129	1688.6	6204.3	0.59	33.1
94	10.20	-576.6	-586.8	0.129	1688.6	6204.3	0.59	33.1
95	10.20	-586.8	-597.0	0.129	1688.6	6204.3	0.59	33.1
96	10.20	-597.0	-607.2	0.129	1688.6	6204.3	0.59	33.1
97	10.20	-607.2	-617.4	0.129	1688.6	6204.3	0.59	33.1
98	10.20	-617.4	-627.6	0.129	1688.6	6204.3	0.59	33.1
99	10.20	-627.6	-637.8	0.129	1688.6	6204.3	0.59	33.1
100	10.20	-637.8	-648.0	0.129	1693.4	6221.8	0.59	33.2
Halfspace				0.129	1693.4	6221.8	0.588-	-

**Table 3H.6-1b Layer Thicknesses and Strain Compatible In-Situ Soil Properties Used for the SSI Analysis (Upper Bound)**

Layer No.	Thickness (ft)	Top Elevation of Layer (ft)	Bottom Elevation of Layer (ft)	Unit Weight (kcf)	S-Wave Vel. (ft/sec)	P-Wave Vel. (ft/sec)	Damping (%)	Passing Freq. for S-Wave Vel. (Hz)
1	2.75	56.0	53.3	0.124	677.2	1823.4	0.77	49.3
2	3.25	53.3	50.0	0.124	711.6	1916.1	0.84	43.8
3	3.50	50.0	46.5	0.124	734.4	2121.0	0.89	42.0
4	3.50	46.5	43.0	0.124	730.5	3725.1	0.97	41.7
5	3.50	43.0	39.5	0.124	732.9	3737.1	1.01	41.9
6	3.50	39.5	36.0	0.124	733.5	3740.4	1.04	41.9
7	3.00	36.0	33.0	0.124	732.8	3736.6	1.08	48.9
8	3.00	33.0	30.0	0.122	833.0	4247.5	1.18	55.5
9	4.00	30.0	26.0	0.121	895.1	4564.3	1.24	44.8
10	2.00	26.0	24.0	0.121	898.2	4579.8	1.28	89.8
11	4.00	24.0	20.0	0.122	924.8	4715.7	1.04	46.2
12	4.00	20.0	16.0	0.122	952.0	4854.2	0.82	47.6
13	4.00	16.0	12.0	0.122	948.7	4837.3	0.85	47.4
14	4.00	12.0	8.0	0.122	944.5	4816.0	0.88	47.2
15	4.00	8.0	4.0	0.122	945.2	4819.3	0.89	47.3
16	5.00	4.0	-1.0	0.122	1049.3	4926.6	1.01	42.0
17	5.00	-1.0	-6.0	0.122	1132.7	5000.0	1.09	45.3
18	2.00	-6.0	-8.0	0.122	1132.9	5000.0	1.10	113.3
19	5.50	-8.0	-13.5	0.122	1131.9	5000.0	1.12	41.2
20	5.60	-13.5	-19.1	0.122	1151.2	5041.0	1.06	41.1
21	6.10	-19.1	-25.2	0.123	1240.1	5212.4	0.80	40.7
22	6.10	-25.2	-31.3	0.123	1237.4	5201.0	0.82	40.6
23	6.10	-31.3	-37.4	0.123	1234.7	5189.9	0.85	40.5
24	6.10	-37.4	-43.5	0.125	1271.2	5343.0	1.05	41.7
25	6.30	-43.5	-49.8	0.125	1274.6	5357.6	1.10	40.5
26	6.40	-49.8	-56.2	0.125	1301.1	5468.8	0.95	40.7
27	6.50	-56.2	-62.7	0.125	1328.2	5582.7	0.81	40.9
28	6.60	-62.7	-69.3	0.125	1335.3	5612.7	0.84	40.5
29	6.75	-69.3	-76.1	0.125	1371.6	5765.2	1.08	40.6

**Table 3H.6-1b Layer Thicknesses and Strain Compatible In-Situ Soil Properties Used for the SSI Analysis (Upper Bound) (Continued)**

Layer No.	Thickness (ft)	Top Elevation of Layer (ft)	Bottom Elevation of Layer (ft)	Unit Weight (kcf)	S-Wave Vel. (ft/sec)	P-Wave Vel. (ft/sec)	Damping (%)	Passing Freq. for S-Wave Vel. (Hz)
30	6.75	-76.1	-82.8	0.125	1370.9	5761.9	1.09	40.6
31	6.75	-82.8	-89.6	0.125	1369.1	5754.3	1.10	40.6
32	6.75	-89.6	-96.3	0.125	1368.5	5751.8	1.10	40.5
33	6.75	-96.3	-103.1	0.125	1367.8	5748.8	1.11	40.5
34	6.50	-103.1	-109.6	0.125	1349.7	5673.1	0.86	41.5
35	6.50	-109.6	-116.1	0.125	1347.9	5665.7	0.87	41.5
36	6.75	-116.1	-122.8	0.125	1370.0	5758.3	1.05	40.6
37	6.75	-122.8	-129.6	0.125	1379.1	5796.7	1.12	40.9
38	6.75	-129.6	-136.3	0.125	1378.9	5795.9	1.12	40.9
39	6.75	-136.3	-143.1	0.125	1383.7	5816.1	1.13	41.0
40	6.75	-143.1	-149.8	0.125	1384.1	5817.6	1.14	41.0
41	6.75	-149.8	-156.6	0.125	1382.2	5809.6	1.14	41.0
42	6.75	-156.6	-163.3	0.125	1379.9	5800.0	1.15	40.9
43	6.80	-163.3	-170.1	0.124	1404.0	5901.3	1.17	41.3
44	6.90	-170.1	-177.0	0.124	1414.0	5943.2	1.16	41.0
45	7.10	-177.0	-184.1	0.125	1451.5	6100.8	0.99	40.9
46	7.40	-184.1	-191.5	0.127	1496.8	6291.5	0.82	40.5
47	7.30	-191.5	-198.8	0.127	1495.9	6287.4	0.80	41.0
48	7.30	-198.8	-206.1	0.127	1495.7	6286.6	0.80	41.0
49	7.50	-206.1	-213.6	0.126	1530.6	6433.5	1.06	40.8
50	7.40	-213.6	-221.0	0.127	1515.8	6371.2	0.95	41.0
51	7.50	-221.0	-228.5	0.126	1527.5	6420.6	1.01	40.7
52	7.60	-228.5	-236.1	0.123	1551.6	6521.6	1.14	40.8
53	7.60	-236.1	-243.7	0.123	1551.1	6519.8	1.15	40.8
54	7.60	-243.7	-251.3	0.123	1550.9	6518.8	1.15	40.8
55	7.60	-251.3	-258.9	0.123	1550.5	6517.1	1.15	40.8
56	7.60	-258.9	-266.5	0.123	1554.1	6531.8	1.15	40.9
57	7.60	-266.5	-274.1	0.123	1555.7	6538.9	1.15	40.9
58	7.60	-274.1	-281.7	0.123	1555.3	6537.2	1.15	40.9

**Table 3H.6-1b Layer Thicknesses and Strain Compatible In-Situ Soil Properties Used for the SSI Analysis (Upper Bound) (Continued)**

Layer No.	Thickness (ft)	Top Elevation of Layer (ft)	Bottom Elevation of Layer (ft)	Unit Weight (kcf)	S-Wave Vel. (ft/sec)	P-Wave Vel. (ft/sec)	Damping (%)	Passing Freq. for S-Wave Vel. (Hz)
59	8.70	-281.7	-290.4	0.126	1767.9	7431.0	0.90	40.6
60	9.50	-290.4	-299.9	0.128	1929.1	8108.5	0.74	40.6
61	9.50	-299.9	-309.4	0.124	1959.6	8236.6	0.99	41.3
62	9.50	-309.4	-318.9	0.128	1965.6	8261.6	0.76	41.4
63	9.50	-318.9	-328.4	0.128	1965.2	8259.8	0.74	41.4
64	9.50	-328.4	-337.9	0.128	1964.2	8255.8	0.75	41.4
65	9.50	-337.9	-347.4	0.126	1950.9	8200.0	0.90	41.1
66	8.90	-347.4	-356.3	0.126	1811.4	7613.7	0.95	40.7
67	8.50	-356.3	-364.8	0.128	1735.7	7295.4	0.88	40.8
68	8.10	-364.8	-372.9	0.126	1640.3	6894.5	0.99	40.5
69	7.30	-372.9	-380.2	0.123	1493.2	6276.0	1.19	40.9
70	7.30	-380.2	-387.5	0.123	1493.1	6275.6	1.19	40.9
71	7.30	-387.5	-394.8	0.123	1492.8	6274.7	1.19	40.9
72	7.30	-394.8	-402.1	0.124	1481.8	6228.2	1.15	40.6
73	7.20	-402.1	-409.3	0.127	1460.7	6139.2	1.08	40.6
74	7.30	-409.3	-416.6	0.123	1486.4	6247.5	1.20	40.7
75	7.30	-416.6	-423.9	0.123	1486.4	6247.5	1.20	40.7
76	7.30	-423.9	-431.2	0.123	1486.1	6246.3	1.20	40.7
77	7.30	-431.2	-438.5	0.123	1486.0	6245.8	1.20	40.7
78	7.30	-438.5	-445.8	0.123	1489.2	6259.4	1.20	40.8
79	7.40	-445.8	-453.2	0.123	1499.2	6301.4	1.20	40.5
80	7.40	-453.2	-460.6	0.123	1499.2	6301.4	1.20	40.5
81	8.50	-460.6	-469.1	0.123	1737.9	7304.7	0.95	40.9
82	8.80	-469.1	-477.9	0.123	1794.2	7541.5	0.90	40.8
83	8.70	-477.9	-486.6	0.123	1767.1	7427.4	1.08	40.6
84	8.70	-486.6	-495.3	0.123	1758.6	7391.7	1.13	40.4
85	8.70	-495.3	-504.0	0.123	1758.3	7390.5	1.13	40.4
86	8.70	-504.0	-512.7	0.123	1758.2	7390.0	1.14	40.4
87	8.60	-512.7	-521.3	0.123	1758.0	7389.2	1.14	40.9

**Table 3H.6-1b Layer Thicknesses and Strain Compatible In-Situ Soil Properties Used for the SSI Analysis (Upper Bound) (Continued)**

Layer No.	Thickness (ft)	Top Elevation of Layer (ft)	Bottom Elevation of Layer (ft)	Unit Weight (kcf)	S-Wave Vel. (ft/sec)	P-Wave Vel. (ft/sec)	Damping (%)	Passing Freq. for S-Wave Vel. (Hz)
88	8.60	-521.3	-529.9	0.123	1757.8	7388.3	1.14	40.9
89	8.60	-529.9	-538.5	0.123	1757.7	7388.0	1.14	40.9
90	8.60	-538.5	-547.1	0.123	1757.5	7387.0	1.14	40.9
91	9.10	-547.1	-556.2	0.125	1855.5	7460.1	0.83	40.8
92	10.20	-556.2	-566.4	0.129	2068.1	7598.6	0.26	40.6
93	10.20	-566.4	-576.6	0.129	2068.1	7598.6	0.26	40.6
94	10.20	-576.6	-586.8	0.129	2068.1	7598.6	0.26	40.6
95	10.20	-586.8	-597.0	0.129	2068.1	7598.6	0.26	40.6
96	10.20	-597.0	-607.2	0.129	2068.1	7598.6	0.26	40.6
97	10.20	-607.2	-617.4	0.129	2068.1	7598.6	0.26	40.6
98	10.20	-617.4	-627.6	0.129	2068.1	7598.6	0.26	40.6
99	10.20	-627.6	-637.8	0.129	2068.1	7598.6	0.26	40.6
100	10.20	-637.8	-648.0	0.129	2073.9	7620.0	0.26	40.7
Halfspace				0.129	2073.9	7620.0	0.264	-

**Table 3H.6-1c Layer Thicknesses and Strain Compatible In-Situ Soil Properties Used or the SSI Analysis (Lower Bound)**

Layer No.	Thickness (ft)	Top Elevation of Layer (ft)	Bottom Elevation of Layer (ft)	Unit Weight (kcf)	S-Wave Vel. (ft/sec)	P-Wave Vel. (ft/sec)	Damping (%)	Passing Freq. for S-Wave Vel. (Hz)
1	2.75	56.0	53.3	0.124	419.1	1128.4	1.67	30.5
2	3.25	53.3	50.0	0.124	451.5	1215.7	1.84	27.8
3	3.50	50.0	46.5	0.124	473.9	1368.8	1.98	27.1
4	3.50	46.5	43.0	0.124	470.6	2399.5	2.16	26.9
5	3.50	43.0	39.5	0.124	470.2	2397.5	2.27	26.9
6	3.50	39.5	36.0	0.124	469.1	2392.1	2.35	26.8
7	3.00	36.0	33.0	0.124	466.9	2380.6	2.43	31.1
8	3.00	33.0	30.0	0.122	535.6	2731.0	2.74	35.7
9	4.00	30.0	26.0	0.121	578.9	2952.0	2.94	28.9
10	2.00	26.0	24.0	0.121	581.3	2964.2	3.05	58.1
11	4.00	24.0	20.0	0.122	593.7	3027.2	2.62	29.7
12	4.00	20.0	16.0	0.122	605.5	3087.4	2.22	30.3
13	4.00	16.0	12.0	0.122	602.2	3070.6	2.31	30.1
14	4.00	12.0	8.0	0.122	598.1	3049.7	2.43	29.9
15	4.00	8.0	4.0	0.122	599.5	3056.8	2.51	30.0
16	5.00	4.0	-1.0	0.122	666.6	3398.8	2.37	26.7
17	5.00	-1.0	-6.0	0.122	720.3	3672.8	2.27	28.8
18	2.00	-6.0	-8.0	0.122	720.6	3674.4	2.28	72.1
19	5.50	-8.0	-13.5	0.122	719.7	3670.1	2.31	26.2
20	5.60	-13.5	-19.1	0.122	738.1	3763.4	2.27	26.4
21	6.10	-19.1	-25.2	0.123	826.7	4215.5	2.08	27.1
22	6.10	-25.2	-31.3	0.123	824.9	4206.3	2.14	27.0
23	6.10	-31.3	-37.4	0.123	823.2	4197.3	2.20	27.0
24	6.10	-37.4	-43.5	0.125	847.5	4321.2	2.11	27.8
25	6.30	-43.5	-49.8	0.125	849.8	4332.9	2.28	27.0
26	6.40	-49.8	-56.2	0.125	861.8	4394.5	2.15	26.9
27	6.50	-56.2	-62.7	0.125	873.6	4454.6	2.03	26.9
28	6.60	-62.7	-69.3	0.125	880.2	4488.0	1.75	26.7
29	6.75	-69.3	-76.1	0.125	914.4	4662.7	2.31	27.1

**Table 3H.6-1c Layer Thicknesses and Strain Compatible In-Situ Soil Properties Used or the SSI Analysis (Lower Bound) (Continued)**

Layer No.	Thickness (ft)	Top Elevation of Layer (ft)	Bottom Elevation of Layer (ft)	Unit Weight (kcf)	S-Wave Vel. (ft/sec)	P-Wave Vel. (ft/sec)	Damping (%)	Passing Freq. for S-Wave Vel. (Hz)
30	6.75	-76.1	-82.8	0.125	913.7	4659.3	2.33	27.1
31	6.75	-82.8	-89.6	0.125	911.5	4647.6	2.34	27.0
32	6.75	-89.6	-96.3	0.125	910.9	4644.8	2.36	27.0
33	6.75	-96.3	-103.1	0.125	910.2	4641.2	2.37	27.0
34	6.50	-103.1	-109.6	0.125	883.2	4503.5	2.23	27.2
35	6.50	-109.6	-116.1	0.125	881.1	4492.6	2.26	27.1
36	6.75	-116.1	-122.8	0.125	908.0	4629.8	2.35	26.9
37	6.75	-122.8	-129.6	0.125	919.4	4688.2	2.39	27.2
38	6.75	-129.6	-136.3	0.125	919.3	4687.6	2.40	27.2
39	6.75	-136.3	-143.1	0.125	922.5	4703.8	2.41	27.3
40	6.75	-143.1	-149.8	0.125	922.7	4705.0	2.42	27.3
41	6.75	-149.8	-156.6	0.125	921.4	4698.5	2.43	27.3
42	6.75	-156.6	-163.3	0.125	919.3	4687.6	2.43	27.2
43	6.80	-163.3	-170.1	0.124	921.5	4698.6	2.41	27.1
44	6.90	-170.1	-177.0	0.124	928.7	4735.0	2.41	26.9
45	7.10	-177.0	-184.1	0.125	954.6	4855.4	2.36	26.9
46	7.40	-184.1	-191.5	0.127	985.8	5000.0	2.17	26.6
47	7.30	-191.5	-198.8	0.127	984.9	5000.0	2.32	27.0
48	7.30	-198.8	-206.1	0.127	984.7	5000.0	2.31	27.0
49	7.50	-206.1	-213.6	0.126	1020.4	5000.0	2.27	27.2
50	7.40	-213.6	-221.0	0.127	1010.5	5000.0	2.12	27.3
51	7.50	-221.0	-228.5	0.126	1018.3	5000.0	2.20	27.2
52	7.60	-228.5	-236.1	0.123	1034.4	5000.0	2.36	27.2
53	7.60	-236.1	-243.7	0.123	1034.1	5000.0	2.37	27.2
54	7.60	-243.7	-251.3	0.123	1033.9	5000.0	2.37	27.2
55	7.60	-251.3	-258.9	0.123	1033.7	5000.0	2.38	27.2
56	7.60	-258.9	-266.5	0.123	1036.0	5000.0	2.39	27.3
57	7.60	-266.5	-274.1	0.123	1037.2	5000.0	2.40	27.3
58	7.60	-274.1	-281.7	0.123	1036.9	5000.0	2.40	27.3

**Table 3H.6-1c Layer Thicknesses and Strain Compatible In-Situ Soil Properties Used or the SSI Analysis (Lower Bound) (Continued)**

Layer No.	Thickness (ft)	Top Elevation of Layer (ft)	Bottom Elevation of Layer (ft)	Unit Weight (kcf)	S-Wave Vel. (ft/sec)	P-Wave Vel. (ft/sec)	Damping (%)	Passing Freq. for S-Wave Vel. (Hz)
59	8.70	-281.7	-290.4	0.126	1160.9	5160.6	2.05	26.7
60	9.50	-290.4	-299.9	0.128	1252.4	5264.0	1.84	26.4
61	9.50	-299.9	-309.4	0.124	1290.5	5424.1	2.08	27.2
62	9.50	-309.4	-318.9	0.128	1309.8	5504.9	1.82	27.6
63	9.50	-318.9	-328.4	0.128	1310.1	5506.5	1.80	27.6
64	9.50	-328.4	-337.9	0.128	1309.5	5503.9	1.82	27.6
65	9.50	-337.9	-347.4	0.126	1300.6	5466.7	2.00	27.4
66	8.90	-347.4	-356.3	0.126	1206.9	5163.3	2.12	27.1
67	8.50	-356.3	-364.8	0.128	1156.1	5000.0	2.07	27.2
68	8.10	-364.8	-372.9	0.126	1092.9	5000.0	2.23	27.0
69	7.30	-372.9	-380.2	0.123	995.4	5000.0	2.53	27.3
70	7.30	-380.2	-387.5	0.123	995.3	5000.0	2.53	27.3
71	7.30	-387.5	-394.8	0.123	995.2	5000.0	2.53	27.3
72	7.30	-394.8	-402.1	0.124	987.8	4984.4	2.56	27.1
73	7.20	-402.1	-409.3	0.127	973.7	4955.8	2.61	27.0
74	7.30	-409.3	-416.6	0.123	990.9	5000.0	2.54	27.1
75	7.30	-416.6	-423.9	0.123	990.9	5000.0	2.54	27.1
76	7.30	-423.9	-431.2	0.123	990.7	5000.0	2.54	27.1
77	7.30	-431.2	-438.5	0.123	990.6	5000.0	2.54	27.1
78	7.30	-438.5	-445.8	0.123	992.8	5000.0	2.54	27.2
79	7.40	-445.8	-453.2	0.123	999.5	5000.0	2.54	27.0
80	7.40	-453.2	-460.6	0.123	999.5	5000.0	2.54	27.0
81	8.50	-460.6	-469.1	0.123	1158.6	5023.1	2.17	27.3
82	8.80	-469.1	-477.9	0.123	1196.2	5027.7	2.10	27.2
83	8.70	-477.9	-486.6	0.123	1178.1	5006.7	2.28	27.1
84	8.70	-486.6	-495.3	0.123	1172.4	5000.0	2.34	27.0
85	8.70	-495.3	-504.0	0.123	1172.2	5000.0	2.34	26.9
86	8.70	-504.0	-512.7	0.123	1172.1	5000.0	2.34	26.9
87	8.60	-512.7	-521.3	0.123	1172.0	5000.0	2.34	27.3

**Table 3H.6-1c Layer Thicknesses and Strain Compatible In-Situ Soil Properties Used or the SSI Analysis (Lower Bound) (Continued)**

Layer No.	Thickness (ft)	Top Elevation of Layer (ft)	Bottom Elevation of Layer (ft)	Unit Weight (kcf)	S-Wave Vel. (ft/sec)	P-Wave Vel. (ft/sec)	Damping (%)	Passing Freq. for S-Wave Vel. (Hz)
88	8.60	-521.3	-529.9	0.123	1171.9	5000.0	2.35	27.3
89	8.60	-529.9	-538.5	0.123	1171.8	5000.0	2.35	27.3
90	8.60	-538.5	-547.1	0.123	1171.7	5000.0	2.35	27.2
91	9.10	-547.1	-556.2	0.125	1237.0	5022.9	1.85	27.2
92	10.20	-556.2	-566.4	0.129	1378.7	5065.8	0.91	27.0
93	10.20	-566.4	-576.6	0.129	1378.7	5065.8	0.91	27.0
94	10.20	-576.6	-586.8	0.129	1378.7	5065.8	0.91	27.0
95	10.20	-586.8	-597.0	0.129	1378.7	5065.8	0.91	27.0
96	10.20	-597.0	-607.2	0.129	1378.7	5065.8	0.91	27.0
97	10.20	-607.2	-617.4	0.129	1378.7	5065.8	0.91	27.0
98	10.20	-617.4	-627.6	0.129	1378.7	5065.8	0.91	27.0
99	10.20	-627.6	-637.8	0.129	1378.7	5065.8	0.91	27.0
100	10.20	-637.8	-648.0	0.129	1382.6	5080.1	0.91	27.1
Halfspace				0.129	1382.6	5080.1	0.913	-

Table 3H.6-2 Strain-Compatible Properties of Backfill Material

Soil Depth (ft)	Lower Bound Soil			Mean Soil			Upper Bound Soil		
	Vs (ft/sec)	Vp (ft/sec)	Dampin g (%)	Vs (ft/sec)	Vp (ft/sec)	Dampin g (%)	Vs (ft/sec)	Vp (ft/sec)	Damping (%)
0 to 8	449	1208	3	550	1480	2	673	1813	1
8 to 13	553	2323	3	677	2845	2	829	3485	1
13 to 18	586	2462	3	717	3015	2	879	3693	1
18 to 23	614	2580	3	752	3160	2	921	3870	1
23 to 28	639	2684	3	782	3288	2	958	4027	1
28 to 33	661	2778	3	809	3402	2	991	4166	1
33 to 38	681	2862	3	834	3506	2	1021	4294	1
38 to 43	699	2940	3	857	3601	2	1049	4410	1
43 to 48	717	3012	3	878	3689	2	1075	4518	1
48 to 53	733	3079	3	897	3771	2	1099	4619	1
53 to 58	748	3142	3	916	3849	2	1121	4714	1
58 to 63	762	3202	3	933	3922	2	1143	4803	1
63 to 68	775	3258	3	949	3991	2	1163	4888	1
68 to 73	788	3312	3	965	4056	2	1182	4968	1
73 to 78.25	800	3364	3	980	4120	2	1201	5046	1
78.25 to 83.25	812	3414	3	995	4182	2	1218	5121	1
83.25 to 88.25	823	3461	3	1009	4239	2	1235	5192	1
88.25 to 94.25	835	3510	3	1023	4299	2	1253	5266	1

**Table 3H.6-2a Layer Thicknesses and Strain-Compatible Backfill Soil Properties Used for the SSI Analysis (Mean)**

Layer No.	Thickness (ft)	Top Elevation of Layer (ft)	Bottom Elevation of Layer (ft)	Unit Weight (kcf)	S-Wave Vel. (ft/sec)	P-Wave Vel. (ft/sec)	Damping (%)	Passing Freq. for S-Wave Vel. (Hz)
1	2.75	56.0	53.3	0.120	550.0	1480.0	2.00	40.0
2	3.25	53.3	50.0	0.120	550.0	1480.0	2.00	33.8
3	3.50	50.0	46.5	0.120	598.1	1863.1	2.00	34.2
4	3.50	46.5	43.0	0.120	677.0	2845.0	2.00	38.7
5	3.50	43.0	39.5	0.120	717.0	3015.0	2.00	41.0
6	3.50	39.5	36.0	0.120	736.6	3096.2	2.00	42.1
7	3.00	36.0	33.0	0.120	752.0	3160.0	2.00	50.1
8	3.00	33.0	30.0	0.120	782.0	3288.0	2.00	52.1
9	4.00	30.0	26.0	0.120	795.3	3344.0	2.00	39.8
10	2.00	26.0	24.0	0.120	809.0	3402.0	2.00	80.9
11	4.00	24.0	20.0	0.120	827.6	3479.4	2.00	41.4
12	4.00	20.0	16.0	0.120	845.3	3552.9	2.00	42.3
13	4.00	16.0	12.0	0.120	862.2	3622.6	2.00	43.1
14	4.00	12.0	8.0	0.120	878.0	3689.0	2.00	43.9
15	4.00	8.0	4.0	0.120	897.0	3771.0	2.00	44.9
16	5.00	4.0	-1.0	0.120	912.1	3833.1	2.00	36.5
17	5.00	-1.0	-6.0	0.120	929.5	3907.2	2.00	37.2
18	2.00	-6.0	-8.0	0.120	940.9	3956.2	2.00	94.1

**Table 3H.6-2b Layer Thicknesses and Strain-Compatible Backfill Soil Properties Used for the SSI Analysis (Upper Bound)**

Layer No.	Thickness (ft)	Top Elevation of Layer (ft)	Bottom Elevation of Layer (ft)	Unit Weight (kcf)	S-Wave Vel. (ft/sec)	P-Wave Vel. (ft/sec)	Damping (%)	Passing Freq. for S-Wave Vel. (Hz)
1	2.75	56.0	53.3	0.120	673.0	1813.0	1.00	48.9
2	3.25	53.3	50.0	0.120	673.0	1813.0	1.00	41.1
3	3.50	50.0	46.5	0.120	732.0	2282.3	1.00	41.8
4	3.50	46.5	43.0	0.120	829.0	3485.0	1.00	47.4
5	3.50	43.0	39.5	0.120	879.0	3693.0	1.00	50.2
6	3.50	39.5	36.0	0.120	902.5	3792.1	1.00	51.6
7	3.00	36.0	33.0	0.120	921.0	3870.0	1.00	61.4
8	3.00	33.0	30.0	0.120	958.0	4027.0	1.00	63.9
9	4.00	30.0	26.0	0.120	974.2	4095.3	1.00	48.7
10	2.00	26.0	24.0	0.120	991.0	4166.0	1.00	99.1
11	4.00	24.0	20.0	0.120	1013.3	4261.3	1.00	50.7
12	4.00	20.0	16.0	0.120	1034.8	4351.2	1.00	51.7
13	4.00	16.0	12.0	0.120	1055.4	4436.5	1.00	52.8
14	4.00	12.0	8.0	0.120	1075.0	4518.0	1.00	53.8
15	4.00	8.0	4.0	0.120	1099.0	4619.0	1.00	55.0
16	5.00	4.0	-1.0	0.120	1116.5	4694.7	1.00	44.7
17	5.00	-1.0	-6.0	0.120	1138.5	4784.9	1.00	45.5
18	2.00	-6.0	-8.0	0.120	1152.9	4845.1	1.00	115.3

**Table 3H.6-2c Layer Thicknesses and Strain-Compatible Backfill Soil Properties Used for the SSI Analysis (Lower Bound)**

Layer No.	Thickness (ft)	Top Elevation of Layer (ft)	Bottom Elevation of Layer (ft)	Unit Weight (kcf)	S-Wave Vel. (ft/sec)	P-Wave Vel. (ft/sec)	Damping (%)	Passing Freq. for S-Wave Vel. (Hz)
1	2.75	56.0	53.3	0.120	449.0	1208.0	3.00	32.7
2	3.25	53.3	50.0	0.120	449.0	1208.0	3.00	27.6
3	3.50	50.0	46.5	0.120	488.4	1520.8	3.00	27.9
4	3.50	46.5	43.0	0.120	553.0	2323.0	3.00	31.6
5	3.50	43.0	39.5	0.120	586.0	2462.0	3.00	33.5
6	3.50	39.5	36.0	0.120	601.7	2528.1	3.00	34.4
7	3.00	36.0	33.0	0.120	614.0	2580.0	3.00	40.9
8	3.00	33.0	30.0	0.120	639.0	2684.0	3.00	42.6
9	4.00	30.0	26.0	0.120	649.8	2730.2	3.00	32.5
10	2.00	26.0	24.0	0.120	661.0	2778.0	3.00	66.1
11	4.00	24.0	20.0	0.120	675.9	2840.5	3.00	33.8
12	4.00	20.0	16.0	0.120	689.9	2900.5	3.00	34.5
13	4.00	16.0	12.0	0.120	703.4	2957.7	3.00	35.2
14	4.00	12.0	8.0	0.120	717.0	3012.0	3.00	35.9
15	4.00	8.0	4.0	0.120	733.0	3079.0	3.00	36.7
16	5.00	4.0	-1.0	0.120	745.0	3129.2	3.00	29.8
17	5.00	-1.0	-6.0	0.120	759.2	3189.8	3.00	30.4
18	2.00	-6.0	-8.0	0.120	768.4	3229.8	3.00	76.8

**Table 3H.6-2d Comparison of Spectral Accelerations for Target 5% Damped Spectrum and Synthetic Time History Spectrum (E-W Time History)**

Frequency (Hz)	Target Spectral Acceleration	Spectral Acceleration from Time History – (E-W)	Percentage Less than Target	Frequency (Hz)	Target Spectral Acceleration	Spectral Acceleration from Time History – (E-W)	Percentage Less than Target
0.1	0.0106	0.0119	-	0.224	0.0757	0.0777	-
0.102	0.0112	0.0123	-	0.229	0.08	0.0845	-
0.105	0.0119	0.0129	-	0.234	0.0846	0.0919	-
0.107	0.0126	0.0136	-	0.24	0.0895	0.0996	-
0.11	0.0133	0.0147	-	0.246	0.0947	0.107	-
0.112	0.014	0.016	-	0.251	0.0994	0.113	-
0.115	0.0148	0.0175	-	0.257	0.1014	0.1171	-
0.118	0.0157	0.0193	-	0.263	0.1034	0.1195	-
0.12	0.0166	0.0211	-	0.269	0.1055	0.1215	-
0.123	0.0176	0.0231	-	0.275	0.1076	0.1235	-
0.126	0.0186	0.025	-	0.282	0.1098	0.1255	-
0.129	0.0196	0.0268	-	0.288	0.112	0.1281	-
0.132	0.0208	0.0283	-	0.295	0.1142	0.1314	-
0.135	0.022	0.0295	-	0.302	0.1165	0.1344	-
0.138	0.0232	0.0302	-	0.309	0.1189	0.1349	-
0.141	0.0246	0.0305	-	0.316	0.1212	0.1318	-
0.145	0.026	0.0305	-	0.324	0.1237	0.1219	1.5%
0.148	0.0275	0.0303	-	0.331	0.1261	0.1329	-
0.151	0.0291	0.0302	-	0.339	0.1287	0.1436	-
0.155	0.0308	0.0305	1.0%	0.347	0.1313	0.1513	-
0.159	0.0326	0.0313	4.2%	0.355	0.1339	0.1573	-
0.162	0.0345	0.033	4.5%	0.363	0.1366	0.1606	-
0.166	0.0365	0.0354	3.1%	0.371	0.1393	0.1622	-
0.17	0.0385	0.0385	-	0.38	0.1421	0.1583	-
0.174	0.0408	0.042	-	0.389	0.145	0.1508	-
0.178	0.0431	0.0453	-	0.398	0.1479	0.1641	-
0.182	0.0457	0.0483	-	0.407	0.1509	0.1779	-
0.186	0.0483	0.0511	-	0.417	0.1539	0.1824	-

**Table 3H.6-2d Comparison of Spectral Accelerations for Target 5% Damped Spectrum and Synthetic Time History Spectrum (E-W Time History) (Continued)**

Frequency (Hz)	Target Spectral Acceleration	Spectral Acceleration from Time History – (E-W)	Percentage Less than Target	Frequency (Hz)	Target Spectral Acceleration	Spectral Acceleration from Time History – (E-W)	Percentage Less than Target
0.191	0.051	0.055	-	0.427	0.157	0.1842	-
0.195	0.054	0.059	-	0.436	0.1601	0.1897	-
0.2	0.0571	0.0622	-	0.447	0.1633	0.1956	-
0.204	0.0604	0.065	-	0.457	0.1666	0.1925	-
0.209	0.0639	0.0674	-	0.468	0.1699	0.1756	-
0.214	0.0676	0.07	-	0.479	0.1733	0.1889	-
0.219	0.0715	0.073	-	0.49	0.1768	0.2054	-
0.5	0.18	0.2133	-	1.096	0.268	0.3131	-
0.501	0.1802	0.2133	-	1.122	0.2712	0.306	-
0.513	0.1823	0.2061	-	1.148	0.2743	0.304	-
0.525	0.1845	0.194	-	1.175	0.2776	0.3014	-
0.537	0.1866	0.2049	-	1.202	0.2808	0.2998	-
0.55	0.1888	0.2104	-	1.23	0.2841	0.3034	-
0.562	0.191	0.2173	-	1.259	0.2874	0.3143	-
0.575	0.1933	0.2228	-	1.288	0.2908	0.3137	-
0.589	0.1956	0.2271	-	1.318	0.2942	0.3295	-
0.603	0.1979	0.2313	-	1.349	0.2977	0.3442	-
0.617	0.2002	0.2354	-	1.38	0.3012	0.3366	-
0.631	0.2025	0.2385	-	1.412	0.3047	0.3276	-
0.646	0.2049	0.2402	-	1.445	0.3083	0.3508	-
0.661	0.2073	0.2402	-	1.479	0.3119	0.3524	-
0.676	0.2097	0.2387	-	1.514	0.3156	0.3555	-
0.692	0.2122	0.2364	-	1.549	0.3193	0.3626	-
0.708	0.2147	0.2353	-	1.585	0.323	0.3688	-
0.724	0.2172	0.237	-	1.622	0.3268	0.3755	-
0.741	0.2198	0.2393	-	1.659	0.3307	0.377	-
0.759	0.2224	0.2429	-	1.698	0.3345	0.3599	-
0.776	0.225	0.2527	-	1.738	0.3385	0.3894	-
0.794	0.2276	0.2595	-	1.778	0.3425	0.3968	-

**Table 3H.6-2d Comparison of Spectral Accelerations for Target 5% Damped Spectrum and Synthetic Time History Spectrum (E-W Time History) (Continued)**

Frequency (Hz)	Target Spectral Acceleration	Spectral Acceleration from Time History – (E-W)	Percentage Less than Target	Frequency (Hz)	Target Spectral Acceleration	Spectral Acceleration from Time History – (E-W)	Percentage Less than Target
0.813	0.2303	0.2569	-	1.82	0.3465	0.3994	-
0.832	0.233	0.2622	-	1.862	0.3505	0.4027	-
0.851	0.2357	0.2669	-	1.905	0.3547	0.3804	-
0.871	0.2385	0.2702	-	1.95	0.3588	0.3969	-
0.891	0.2413	0.2711	-	1.995	0.363	0.4157	-
0.912	0.2441	0.2703	-	2.042	0.3673	0.42	-
0.933	0.247	0.2697	-	2.089	0.3716	0.4167	-
0.955	0.2499	0.2664	-	2.138	0.376	0.4158	-
0.977	0.2528	0.2605	-	2.188	0.3804	0.4123	-
1	0.2558	0.2614	-	2.239	0.3848	0.4421	-
1.023	0.2588	0.279	-	2.291	0.3894	0.442	-
1.047	0.2618	0.2846	-	2.344	0.3939	0.4312	-
1.071	0.2649	0.3019	-	2.399	0.3986	0.4344	-
2.455	0.4032	0.4561	-	5.249	0.3661	0.4155	-
2.5	0.407	0.458	-	5.371	0.3649	0.3992	-
2.512	0.4067	0.4548	-	5.495	0.3637	0.3969	-
2.571	0.4054	0.4526	-	5.624	0.3625	0.4013	-
2.63	0.4041	0.4573	-	5.754	0.3613	0.4031	-
2.692	0.4027	0.4499	-	5.889	0.3602	0.3971	-
2.754	0.4014	0.4415	-	6.024	0.359	0.3893	-
2.818	0.4001	0.437	-	6.165	0.3578	0.3906	-
2.884	0.3988	0.4532	-	6.309	0.3566	0.3964	-
2.952	0.3975	0.4547	-	6.456	0.3555	0.4052	-
3.02	0.3962	0.449	-	6.605	0.3543	0.3992	-
3.09	0.3949	0.4376	-	6.761	0.3531	0.3775	-
3.163	0.3936	0.4301	-	6.92	0.352	0.3885	-
3.236	0.3923	0.4464	-	7.077	0.3508	0.4094	-
3.311	0.391	0.4537	-	7.246	0.3497	0.4119	-
3.389	0.3897	0.4431	-	7.413	0.349	0.4112	-

**Table 3H.6-2d Comparison of Spectral Accelerations for Target 5% Damped Spectrum and Synthetic Time History Spectrum (E-W Time History) (Continued)**

Frequency (Hz)	Target Spectral Acceleration	Spectral Acceleration from Time History – (E-W)	Percentage Less than Target	Frequency (Hz)	Target Spectral Acceleration	Spectral Acceleration from Time History – (E-W)	Percentage Less than Target
3.467	0.3884	0.4255	-	7.587	0.347	0.4092	-
3.549	0.3872	0.434	-	7.764	0.346	0.3939	-
3.631	0.3859	0.4236	-	7.943	0.345	0.3753	-
3.715	0.3846	0.4266	-	8.13	0.344	0.3744	-
3.802	0.3834	0.4346	-	8.319	0.343	0.3821	-
3.891	0.3821	0.4275	-	8.511	0.342	0.3825	-
3.981	0.3809	0.416	-	8.711	0.341	0.3792	-
4.073	0.3796	0.4262	-	8.913	0.339	0.3773	-
4.168	0.3784	0.426	-	9.124	0.336	0.3774	-
4.266	0.3771	0.4199	-	9.328	0.33	0.3785	-
4.365	0.3759	0.4244	-	9.551	0.324	0.3648	-
4.466	0.3746	0.4249	-	9.775	0.319	0.3598	-
4.57	0.3734	0.421	-	10	0.314	0.3565	-
4.677	0.3722	0.4029	-	10.235	0.308	0.3522	-
4.787	0.371	0.4141	-	10.471	0.303	0.3331	-
4.897	0.3698	0.4194	-	10.718	0.298	0.3288	-
5	0.3687	0.4188	-	10.965	0.293	0.3356	-
5.013	0.3685	0.4181	-	11.223	0.288	0.324	-
5.128	0.3673	0.4196	-	11.481	0.283	0.3146	-
11.751	0.278	0.3073	-	25.707	0.1563	0.1683	-
12.019	0.274	0.2985	-	26.316	0.1537	0.1658	-
12.3	0.269	0.2821	-	26.882	0.1511	0.1622	-
12.594	0.265	0.3001	-	27.548	0.1485	0.1599	-
12.887	0.26	0.3014	-	28.169	0.146	0.1643	-
13.175	0.256	0.2846	-	28.818	0.1436	0.1656	-
13.495	0.252	0.2863	-	29.499	0.1412	0.1628	-
13.812	0.247	0.2711	-	30.211	0.1388	0.1631	-
14.124	0.243	0.2659	-	30.864	0.1365	0.1616	-
14.451	0.239	0.2621	-	31.646	0.1342	0.1585	-

**Table 3H.6-2d Comparison of Spectral Accelerations for Target 5% Damped Spectrum and Synthetic Time History Spectrum (E-W Time History) (Continued)**

Frequency (Hz)	Target Spectral Acceleration	Spectral Acceleration from Time History – (E-W)	Percentage Less than Target	Frequency (Hz)	Target Spectral Acceleration	Spectral Acceleration from Time History – (E-W)	Percentage Less than Target
14.793	0.235	0.2534	-	32.362	0.1319	0.1542	-
15.129	0.231	0.2577	-	33.113	0.13	0.1496	-
15.48	0.227	0.253	-	33.898	0.13	0.1454	-
15.848	0.223	0.251	-	34.722	0.13	0.1426	-
16.207	0.22	0.2464	-	35.461	0.13	0.1398	-
16.584	0.216	0.2412	-	36.364	0.13	0.1394	-
16.978	0.212	0.2305	-	37.175	0.13	0.1434	-
17.391	0.209	0.2316	-	38.023	0.13	0.1438	-
17.794	0.205	0.2273	-	38.911	0.13	0.1444	-
18.182	0.202	0.2253	-	39.841	0.13	0.143	-
18.622	0.198	0.2368	-	40.816	0.13	0.1419	-
19.048	0.195	0.2353	-	41.667	0.13	0.1428	-
19.493	0.1917	0.2275	-	42.735	0.13	0.1436	-
19.96	0.1884	0.2073	-	43.668	0.13	0.1449	-
20.408	0.1853	0.1903	-	44.643	0.13	0.1399	-
20.877	0.1821	0.1951	-	45.662	0.13	0.1425	-
21.368	0.1791	0.1997	-	46.729	0.13	0.1447	-
21.882	0.176	0.2008	-	47.847	0.13	0.1461	-
22.371	0.1731	0.1974	-	49.02	0.13	0.146	-
22.883	0.1702	0.2031	-	50.251	0.13	0.1454	-
23.419	0.1673	0.1967	-				-
23.981	0.1645	0.1908	-				-
24.57	0.1617	0.1788	-				-
25	0.1595	0.1709	-				-
25.126	0.159	0.1705	-				-

**Table 3H.6-2e Comparison of Spectral Accelerations for Target 5% Damped Spectrum and Synthetic Time History Spectrum (N-S Time History)**

Frequency (Hz)	Target Spectral Acceleration	Spectral Acceleration from Time History - (N-S)	Percentage Less than Target	Frequency (Hz)	Target Spectral Acceleration	Spectral Acceleration from Time History - (N-S)	Percentage Less than Target
0.1	0.0106	0.0111	-	0.224	0.0757	0.0801	-
0.102	0.0112	0.0121	-	0.229	0.08	0.08	-
0.105	0.0119	0.0133	-	0.234	0.0846	0.0864	-
0.107	0.0126	0.0145	-	0.24	0.0895	0.0916	-
0.11	0.0133	0.0158	-	0.246	0.0947	0.0933	1.5%
0.112	0.014	0.0173	-	0.251	0.0994	0.0981	1.3%
0.115	0.0148	0.0187	-	0.257	0.1014	0.1062	-
0.118	0.0157	0.0203	-	0.263	0.1034	0.1128	-
0.12	0.0166	0.0217	-	0.269	0.1055	0.1168	-
0.123	0.0176	0.0232	-	0.275	0.1076	0.1182	-
0.126	0.0186	0.025	-	0.282	0.1098	0.118	-
0.129	0.0196	0.0277	-	0.288	0.112	0.1189	-
0.132	0.0208	0.0303	-	0.295	0.1142	0.1235	-
0.135	0.022	0.0326	-	0.302	0.1165	0.1265	-
0.138	0.0232	0.0345	-	0.309	0.1189	0.1279	-
0.141	0.0246	0.036	-	0.316	0.1212	0.1294	-
0.145	0.026	0.037	-	0.324	0.1237	0.1342	-
0.148	0.0275	0.0374	-	0.331	0.1261	0.1387	-
0.151	0.0291	0.0374	-	0.339	0.1287	0.1429	-
0.155	0.0308	0.0375	-	0.347	0.1313	0.147	-
0.159	0.0326	0.0373	-	0.355	0.1339	0.1507	-
0.162	0.0345	0.0371	-	0.363	0.1366	0.154	-
0.166	0.0365	0.0369	-	0.371	0.1393	0.1569	-
0.17	0.0385	0.0373	3.2%	0.38	0.1421	0.1592	-
0.174	0.0408	0.0394	3.6%	0.389	0.145	0.1609	-
0.178	0.0431	0.0421	2.4%	0.398	0.1479	0.1621	-
0.182	0.0457	0.0457	-	0.407	0.1509	0.1628	-
0.186	0.0483	0.0502	-	0.417	0.1539	0.163	-

**Table 3H.6-2e Comparison of Spectral Accelerations for Target 5% Damped Spectrum and Synthetic Time History Spectrum (N-S Time History) (Continued)**

Frequency (Hz)	Target Spectral Acceleration	Spectral Acceleration from Time History - (N-S)	Percentage Less than Target	Frequency (Hz)	Target Spectral Acceleration	Spectral Acceleration from Time History - (N-S)	Percentage Less than Target
0.191	0.051	0.0557	-	0.427	0.157	0.1748	-
0.195	0.054	0.0617	-	0.436	0.1601	0.1886	-
0.2	0.0571	0.0668	-	0.447	0.1633	0.1903	-
0.204	0.0604	0.0702	-	0.457	0.1666	0.1804	-
0.209	0.0639	0.0708	-	0.468	0.1699	0.1804	-
0.214	0.0676	0.073	-	0.479	0.1733	0.1773	-
0.219	0.0715	0.0782	-	0.49	0.1768	0.1868	-
0.5	0.18	0.1939	-	1.096	0.268	0.2904	-
0.501	0.1802	0.1948	-	1.122	0.2712	0.2979	-
0.513	0.1823	0.2027	-	1.148	0.2743	0.3035	-
0.525	0.1845	0.2028	-	1.175	0.2776	0.3031	-
0.537	0.1866	0.2029	-	1.202	0.2808	0.3058	-
0.55	0.1888	0.2112	-	1.23	0.2841	0.313	-
0.562	0.191	0.1992	-	1.259	0.2874	0.3161	-
0.575	0.1933	0.2094	-	1.288	0.2908	0.3043	-
0.589	0.1956	0.218	-	1.318	0.2942	0.3225	-
0.603	0.1979	0.2219	-	1.349	0.2977	0.3322	-
0.617	0.2002	0.2257	-	1.38	0.3012	0.3329	-
0.631	0.2025	0.2263	-	1.412	0.3047	0.3266	-
0.646	0.2049	0.2249	-	1.445	0.3083	0.3396	-
0.661	0.2073	0.2251	-	1.479	0.3119	0.3465	-
0.676	0.2097	0.228	-	1.514	0.3156	0.3497	-
0.692	0.2122	0.2327	-	1.549	0.3193	0.3526	-
0.708	0.2147	0.2359	-	1.585	0.323	0.3577	-
0.724	0.2172	0.2348	-	1.622	0.3268	0.3644	-
0.741	0.2198	0.247	-	1.659	0.3307	0.3702	-
0.759	0.2224	0.2383	-	1.698	0.3345	0.3723	-
0.776	0.225	0.2463	-	1.738	0.3385	0.3694	-
0.794	0.2276	0.2468	-	1.778	0.3425	0.365	-

**Table 3H.6-2e Comparison of Spectral Accelerations for Target 5% Damped Spectrum and Synthetic Time History Spectrum (N-S Time History) (Continued)**

Frequency (Hz)	Target Spectral Acceleration	Spectral Acceleration from Time History - (N-S)	Percentage Less than Target	Frequency (Hz)	Target Spectral Acceleration	Spectral Acceleration from Time History - (N-S)	Percentage Less than Target
0.813	0.2303	0.2496	-	1.82	0.3465	0.3724	-
0.832	0.233	0.2574	-	1.862	0.3505	0.4028	-
0.851	0.2357	0.2647	-	1.905	0.3547	0.4082	-
0.871	0.2385	0.2705	-	1.95	0.3588	0.4003	-
0.891	0.2413	0.2718	-	1.995	0.363	0.3918	-
0.912	0.2441	0.2646	-	2.042	0.3673	0.393	-
0.933	0.247	0.2701	-	2.089	0.3716	0.4265	-
0.955	0.2499	0.2714	-	2.138	0.376	0.422	-
0.977	0.2528	0.2732	-	2.188	0.3804	0.4103	-
1	0.2558	0.279	-	2.239	0.3848	0.4202	-
1.023	0.2588	0.2851	-	2.291	0.3894	0.4271	-
1.047	0.2618	0.2907	-	2.344	0.3939	0.4331	-
1.071	0.2649	0.294	-	2.399	0.3986	0.4345	-
2.455	0.4032	0.4309	-	5.249	0.3661	0.4074	-
2.5	0.407	0.4462	-	5.371	0.3649	0.4083	-
2.512	0.4067	0.4494	-	5.495	0.3637	0.4079	-
2.571	0.4054	0.4537	-	5.624	0.3625	0.4027	-
2.63	0.4041	0.4421	-	5.754	0.3613	0.3928	-
2.692	0.4027	0.4258	-	5.889	0.3602	0.3905	-
2.754	0.4014	0.4424	-	6.024	0.359	0.3932	-
2.818	0.4001	0.4351	-	6.165	0.3578	0.3929	-
2.884	0.3988	0.4337	-	6.309	0.3566	0.3938	-
2.952	0.3975	0.445	-	6.456	0.3555	0.3905	-
3.02	0.3962	0.4484	-	6.605	0.3543	0.3839	-
3.09	0.3949	0.4447	-	6.761	0.3531	0.3916	-
3.163	0.3936	0.4247	-	6.92	0.352	0.3922	-
3.236	0.3923	0.4246	-	7.077	0.3508	0.3964	-
3.311	0.391	0.4452	-	7.246	0.3497	0.3951	-
3.389	0.3897	0.4372	-	7.413	0.349	0.3768	-

**Table 3H.6-2e Comparison of Spectral Accelerations for Target 5% Damped Spectrum and Synthetic Time History Spectrum (N-S Time History) (Continued)**

Frequency (Hz)	Target Spectral Acceleration	Spectral Acceleration from Time History - (N-S)	Percentage Less than Target	Frequency (Hz)	Target Spectral Acceleration	Spectral Acceleration from Time History - (N-S)	Percentage Less than Target
3.467	0.3884	0.4171	-	7.587	0.347	0.375	-
3.549	0.3872	0.4115	-	7.764	0.346	0.38	-
3.631	0.3859	0.428	-	7.943	0.345	0.3788	-
3.715	0.3846	0.425	-	8.13	0.344	0.3709	-
3.802	0.3834	0.4256	-	8.319	0.343	0.386	-
3.891	0.3821	0.4153	-	8.511	0.342	0.3889	-
3.981	0.3809	0.4184	-	8.711	0.341	0.3783	-
4.073	0.3796	0.4156	-	8.913	0.339	0.3706	-
4.168	0.3784	0.4101	-	9.124	0.336	0.3642	-
4.266	0.3771	0.4034	-	9.328	0.33	0.3599	-
4.365	0.3759	0.4171	-	9.551	0.324	0.359	-
4.466	0.3746	0.4159	-	9.775	0.319	0.3422	-
4.57	0.3734	0.4077	-	10	0.314	0.344	-
4.677	0.3722	0.4088	-	10.235	0.308	0.3423	-
4.787	0.371	0.4147	-	10.471	0.303	0.3321	-
4.897	0.3698	0.4036	-	10.718	0.298	0.3252	-
5	0.3687	0.3998	-	10.965	0.293	0.3213	-
5.013	0.3685	0.4018	-	11.223	0.288	0.3137	-
5.128	0.3673	0.4093	-	11.481	0.283	0.3232	-
11.751	0.278	0.3143	-	25.707	0.1563	0.1846	-
12.019	0.274	0.3016	-	26.316	0.1537	0.1887	-
12.3	0.269	0.2917	-	26.882	0.1511	0.1815	-
12.594	0.265	0.2816	-	27.548	0.1485	0.1703	-
12.887	0.26	0.2812	-	28.169	0.146	0.1643	-
13.175	0.256	0.2844	-	28.818	0.1436	0.1599	-
13.495	0.252	0.2854	-	29.499	0.1412	0.1563	-
13.812	0.247	0.2787	-	30.211	0.1388	0.1556	-
14.124	0.243	0.2722	-	30.864	0.1365	0.1554	-
14.451	0.239	0.2643	-	31.646	0.1342	0.1549	-

**Table 3H.6-2e Comparison of Spectral Accelerations for Target 5% Damped Spectrum and Synthetic Time History Spectrum (N-S Time History) (Continued)**

Frequency (Hz)	Target Spectral Acceleration	Spectral Acceleration from Time History - (N-S)	Percentage Less than Target	Frequency (Hz)	Target Spectral Acceleration	Spectral Acceleration from Time History - (N-S)	Percentage Less than Target
14.793	0.235	0.2558	-	32.362	0.1319	0.1553	-
15.129	0.231	0.2519	-	33.113	0.13	0.1548	-
15.48	0.227	0.2476	-	33.898	0.13	0.1538	-
15.848	0.223	0.2449	-	34.722	0.13	0.1529	-
16.207	0.22	0.2422	-	35.461	0.13	0.1517	-
16.584	0.216	0.2401	-	36.364	0.13	0.1506	-
16.978	0.212	0.2359	-	37.175	0.13	0.1501	-
17.391	0.209	0.2288	-	38.023	0.13	0.1502	-
17.794	0.205	0.2221	-	38.911	0.13	0.1505	-
18.182	0.202	0.2195	-	39.841	0.13	0.1502	-
18.622	0.198	0.2181	-	40.816	0.13	0.1502	-
19.048	0.195	0.2124	-	41.667	0.13	0.1499	-
19.493	0.1917	0.2048	-	42.735	0.13	0.1493	-
19.96	0.1884	0.1989	-	43.668	0.13	0.1491	-
20.408	0.1853	0.2104	-	44.643	0.13	0.1489	-
20.877	0.1821	0.2076	-	45.662	0.13	0.1485	-
21.368	0.1791	0.2035	-	46.729	0.13	0.1483	-
21.882	0.176	0.2014	-	47.847	0.13	0.1482	-
22.371	0.1731	0.1952	-	49.02	0.13	0.1482	-
22.883	0.1702	0.1882	-	50.251	0.13	0.148	-
23.419	0.1673	0.184	-				-
23.981	0.1645	0.1778	-				-
24.57	0.1617	0.1704	-				-
25	0.1595	0.1742	-				-
25.126	0.159	0.1767	-				-

**Table 3H.6-2f Comparison of Spectral Accelerations for Target 5% Damped Spectrum and Synthetic Time History Spectrum (Vertical Time History)**

Frequency (Hz)	Target Spectral Acceleration	Spectral Acceleration from Time History –V1	Percentage Less than Target	Frequency (Hz)	Target Spectral Acceleration	Spectral Acceleration from Time History –V1	Percentage Less than Target
0.1	0.0071	0.0101	-	0.224	0.0506	0.0534	-
0.102	0.0075	0.0108	-	0.229	0.0535	0.0552	-
0.105	0.0079	0.0115	-	0.234	0.0566	0.0582	-
0.107	0.0084	0.0123	-	0.24	0.0599	0.0617	-
0.11	0.0088	0.0129	-	0.246	0.0633	0.0652	-
0.112	0.0094	0.0135	-	0.251	0.0665	0.0683	-
0.115	0.0099	0.0141	-	0.257	0.068	0.071	-
0.118	0.0105	0.0146	-	0.263	0.0695	0.073	-
0.12	0.0111	0.0149	-	0.269	0.0711	0.0778	-
0.123	0.0117	0.0152	-	0.275	0.0727	0.0822	-
0.126	0.0124	0.0154	-	0.282	0.0744	0.0847	-
0.129	0.0131	0.016	-	0.288	0.0761	0.0845	-
0.132	0.0139	0.0166	-	0.295	0.0778	0.0812	-
0.135	0.0147	0.0173	-	0.302	0.0796	0.0854	-
0.138	0.0155	0.018	-	0.309	0.0814	0.0895	-
0.141	0.0164	0.0184	-	0.316	0.0832	0.0921	-
0.145	0.0174	0.0186	-	0.324	0.0851	0.0932	-
0.148	0.0184	0.0186	-	0.331	0.087	0.0935	-
0.151	0.0194	0.0195	-	0.339	0.089	0.0939	-
0.155	0.0206	0.0206	-	0.347	0.091	0.0959	-
0.159	0.0217	0.0222	-	0.355	0.0931	0.099	-
0.162	0.023	0.0236	-	0.363	0.0952	0.103	-
0.166	0.0243	0.0249	-	0.371	0.0974	0.1069	-
0.17	0.0257	0.026	-	0.38	0.0996	0.109	-
0.174	0.0272	0.0272	-	0.389	0.1018	0.1092	-
0.178	0.0288	0.0287	0.35%	0.398	0.1041	0.1096	-
0.182	0.0305	0.0305	-	0.407	0.1065	0.1124	-
0.186	0.0322	0.0327	-	0.417	0.1089	0.1183	-
0.191	0.0341	0.0354	-	0.427	0.1114	0.1238	-

**Table 3H.6-2f Comparison of Spectral Accelerations for Target 5% Damped Spectrum and Synthetic Time History Spectrum (Vertical Time History) (Continued)**

Frequency (Hz)	Target Spectral Acceleration	Spectral Acceleration from Time History –V1	Percentage Less than Target	Frequency (Hz)	Target Spectral Acceleration	Spectral Acceleration from Time History –V1	Percentage Less than Target
0.195	0.0361	0.0385	-	0.436	0.1139	0.1264	-
0.2	0.0381	0.0418	-	0.447	0.1165	0.129	-
0.204	0.0404	0.0452	-	0.457	0.1191	0.1269	-
0.209	0.0427	0.0481	-	0.468	0.1218	0.1199	1.58%
0.214	0.0452	0.0506	-	0.479	0.1246	0.1203	3.57%
0.219	0.0478	0.0524	-	0.49	0.1274	0.1376	-
0.5	0.13	0.1467	-	1.096	0.2019	0.2192	-
0.501	0.1302	0.1473	-	1.122	0.2045	0.2209	-
0.513	0.1319	0.1506	-	1.148	0.2072	0.2163	-
0.525	0.1336	0.1484	-	1.175	0.2099	0.2277	-
0.537	0.1353	0.138	-	1.202	0.2126	0.2264	-
0.55	0.1371	0.1486	-	1.23	0.2154	0.229	-
0.562	0.1388	0.1578	-	1.259	0.2182	0.238	-
0.575	0.1407	0.1568	-	1.288	0.221	0.2453	-
0.589	0.1425	0.1451	-	1.318	0.2239	0.2505	-
0.603	0.1443	0.1558	-	1.349	0.2268	0.2532	-
0.617	0.1462	0.1615	-	1.38	0.2297	0.2529	-
0.631	0.1481	0.1624	-	1.412	0.2327	0.2504	-
0.646	0.15	0.1613	-	1.445	0.2357	0.2466	-
0.661	0.152	0.1599	-	1.479	0.2388	0.2494	-
0.676	0.154	0.1597	-	1.514	0.2419	0.2577	-
0.692	0.156	0.1632	-	1.549	0.245	0.2626	-
0.708	0.158	0.1774	-	1.585	0.2482	0.2612	-
0.724	0.16	0.1746	-	1.622	0.2514	0.263	-
0.741	0.1621	0.1669	-	1.659	0.2547	0.2671	-
0.759	0.1642	0.1656	-	1.698	0.258	0.2677	-
0.776	0.1663	0.1654	0.54%	1.738	0.2614	0.271	-
0.794	0.1685	0.169	-	1.778	0.2648	0.2946	-
0.813	0.1707	0.1762	-	1.82	0.2682	0.2794	-

**Table 3H.6-2f Comparison of Spectral Accelerations for Target 5% Damped Spectrum and Synthetic Time History Spectrum (Vertical Time History) (Continued)**

Frequency (Hz)	Target Spectral Acceleration	Spectral Acceleration from Time History –V1	Percentage Less than Target	Frequency (Hz)	Target Spectral Acceleration	Spectral Acceleration from Time History –V1	Percentage Less than Target
0.832	0.1729	0.1823	-	1.862	0.2717	0.2976	-
0.851	0.1752	0.19	-	1.905	0.2752	0.3047	-
0.871	0.1775	0.192	-	1.95	0.2788	0.2924	-
0.891	0.1798	0.1986	-	1.995	0.2824	0.3099	-
0.912	0.1821	0.1913	-	2.042	0.2861	0.3248	-
0.933	0.1845	0.2081	-	2.089	0.2898	0.3319	-
0.955	0.1868	0.205	-	2.138	0.2936	0.3319	-
0.977	0.1893	0.1905	-	2.188	0.2974	0.3102	-
1	0.1917	0.2056	-	2.239	0.3012	0.3101	-
1.023	0.1942	0.2134	-	2.291	0.3052	0.3294	-
1.047	0.1967	0.2171	-	2.344	0.3091	0.337	-
1.071	0.1993	0.2166	-	2.399	0.3131	0.335	-
2.455	0.3172	0.3366	-	5.249	0.3656	0.3918	-
2.5	0.3205	0.3425	-	5.371	0.3645	0.387	-
2.512	0.3213	0.3443	-	5.495	0.3633	0.3886	-
2.571	0.3255	0.3509	-	5.624	0.3621	0.396	-
2.63	0.3297	0.3536	-	5.754	0.3609	0.3873	-
2.692	0.334	0.3613	-	5.889	0.3598	0.3866	-
2.754	0.3384	0.367	-	6.024	0.3586	0.4048	-
2.818	0.3427	0.3586	-	6.165	0.3575	0.406	-
2.884	0.3472	0.3755	-	6.309	0.3563	0.4029	-
2.952	0.3517	0.3927	-	6.456	0.3552	0.3828	-
3.02	0.3563	0.3983	-	6.605	0.354	0.3716	-
3.09	0.3609	0.3991	-	6.761	0.3529	0.3809	-
3.163	0.3656	0.4006	-	6.92	0.3517	0.3851	-
3.236	0.3703	0.4073	-	7.077	0.3506	0.3867	-
3.311	0.3752	0.4222	-	7.246	0.3495	0.3685	-
3.389	0.38	0.4347	-	7.413	0.348	0.3488	-
3.467	0.385	0.4162	-	7.587	0.347	0.3884	-

**Table 3H.6-2f Comparison of Spectral Accelerations for Target 5% Damped Spectrum and Synthetic Time History Spectrum (Vertical Time History) (Continued)**

Frequency (Hz)	Target Spectral Acceleration	Spectral Acceleration from Time History –V1	Percentage Less than Target	Frequency (Hz)	Target Spectral Acceleration	Spectral Acceleration from Time History –V1	Percentage Less than Target
3.549	0.3863	0.3931	-	7.764	0.346	0.3934	-
3.631	0.385	0.419	-	7.943	0.345	0.3712	-
3.715	0.3838	0.4216	-	8.13	0.344	0.367	-
3.802	0.3825	0.4112	-	8.319	0.343	0.3804	-
3.891	0.3813	0.4072	-	8.511	0.342	0.3669	-
3.981	0.3801	0.3966	-	8.711	0.341	0.3589	-
4.073	0.3788	0.4033	-	8.913	0.339	0.3563	-
4.168	0.3776	0.4212	-	9.124	0.336	0.3603	-
4.266	0.3764	0.4112	-	9.328	0.33	0.3554	-
4.365	0.3752	0.3923	-	9.551	0.324	0.347	-
4.466	0.374	0.3998	-	9.775	0.319	0.3497	-
4.57	0.3728	0.4	-	10	0.314	0.3288	-
4.677	0.3716	0.4118	-	10.235	0.308	0.3309	-
4.787	0.3704	0.4134	-	10.471	0.303	0.3334	-
4.897	0.3692	0.3894	-	10.718	0.298	0.3315	-
5	0.3681	0.395	-	10.965	0.293	0.325	-
5.013	0.368	0.3967	-	11.223	0.288	0.3163	-
5.128	0.3668	0.3969	-	11.481	0.283	0.3117	-
11.751	0.278	0.2999	-	25.707	0.1563	0.1818	-
12.019	0.274	0.2913	-	26.316	0.1537	0.1875	-
12.3	0.269	0.2869	-	26.882	0.1511	0.1815	-
12.594	0.265	0.2927	-	27.548	0.1485	0.1748	-
12.887	0.26	0.2874	-	28.169	0.146	0.16	-
13.175	0.256	0.275	-	28.818	0.1436	0.1496	-
13.495	0.252	0.2691	-	29.499	0.1412	0.1518	-
13.812	0.247	0.259	-	30.211	0.1388	0.1547	-
14.124	0.243	0.2489	-	30.864	0.1365	0.1535	-
14.451	0.239	0.25	-	31.646	0.1342	0.1592	-
14.793	0.235	0.2586	-	32.362	0.1319	0.1541	-

**Table 3H.6-2f Comparison of Spectral Accelerations for Target 5% Damped Spectrum and Synthetic Time History Spectrum (Vertical Time History) (Continued)**

Frequency (Hz)	Target Spectral Acceleration	Spectral Acceleration from Time History –V1	Percentage Less than Target	Frequency (Hz)	Target Spectral Acceleration	Spectral Acceleration from Time History –V1	Percentage Less than Target
15.129	0.231	0.2559	-	33.113	0.13	0.1483	-
15.48	0.227	0.2509	-	33.898	0.13	0.143	-
15.848	0.223	0.2382	-	34.722	0.13	0.1367	-
16.207	0.22	0.2358	-	35.461	0.13	0.1336	-
16.584	0.216	0.239	-	36.364	0.13	0.1332	-
16.978	0.212	0.2318	-	37.175	0.13	0.1362	-
17.391	0.209	0.22	-	38.023	0.13	0.1393	-
17.794	0.205	0.2173	-	38.911	0.13	0.1423	-
18.182	0.202	0.2192	-	39.841	0.13	0.1447	-
18.622	0.198	0.2165	-	40.816	0.13	0.1461	-
19.048	0.195	0.2141	-	41.667	0.13	0.1425	-
19.493	0.1917	0.2073	-	42.735	0.13	0.1389	-
19.96	0.1884	0.2038	-	43.668	0.13	0.1358	-
20.408	0.1853	0.2047	-	44.643	0.13	0.1318	-
20.877	0.1821	0.2039	-	45.662	0.13	0.1332	-
21.368	0.1791	0.2043	-	46.729	0.13	0.1337	-
21.882	0.176	0.1998	-	47.847	0.13	0.1338	-
22.371	0.1731	0.1925	-	49.02	0.13	0.1341	-
22.883	0.1702	0.1813	-	50.251	0.13	0.1346	-
23.419	0.1673	0.175	-				-
23.981	0.1645	0.165	-				-
24.57	0.1617	0.169	-				-
25	0.1595	0.1752	-				-
25.126	0.159	0.1783	-				-

Table 3H.6-3 Dominant UHS and RSW Pump House Natural Frequencies

Dominant Modes in the Global X Direction				
Mode	Frequency (Hz)	Mass Participation Ratios		
		UX Unitless	UY Unitless	UZ Unitless
1	2.1333	0.1708	0.0000	0.0000
177	14.6380	0.0624	0.0002	0.0006
106	9.5127	0.0369	0.0000	0.0000
105	9.3212	0.0289	0.0172	0.0001
78	7.2357	0.0250	0.0001	0.0000
128	11.2070	0.0199	0.0000	0.0000
76	7.1367	0.0186	0.0001	0.0000
108	9.7128	0.0128	0.0057	0.0016
126	11.0900	0.0126	0.0000	0.0000
113	10.2520	0.0115	0.0001	0.0001
175	14.5110	0.0110	0.0014	0.0015
110	9.9664	0.0082	0.0258	0.0011

Table 3H.6-3 Dominant UHS and RSW Pump House Natural Frequencies (Continued)

Dominant Modes in the Global Y Direction				
Mode	Frequency (Hz)	Mass Participation Ratios		
		UX Unitless	UY Unitless	UZ Unitless
4	3.1868	0.0000	0.1540	0.0000
100	8.6950	0.0000	0.0333	0.0005
110	9.9664	0.0082	0.0258	0.0011
8	3.4590	0.0000	0.0245	0.0000
147	12.2000	0.0005	0.0242	0.0000
5	3.2757	0.0000	0.0203	0.0000
206	16.5550	0.0001	0.0200	0.0000
102	8.9222	0.0004	0.0197	0.0000
105	9.3212	0.0289	0.0172	0.0001
10	3.7385	0.0000	0.0114	0.0000
66	6.5724	0.0005	0.0109	0.0000
16	4.2676	0.0000	0.0106	0.0000

Table 3H.6-3 Dominant UHS and RSW Pump House Natural Frequencies (Continued)

Dominant Modes in the Global Z Direction				
Mode	Frequency (Hz)	Mass Participation Ratios		
		UX Unitless	UY Unitless	UZ Unitless
116	10.7170	0.0000	0.0000	0.0447
120	10.8670	0.0006	0.0000	0.0107
307	21.5020	0.0000	0.0001	0.0067
121	10.8740	0.0001	0.0000	0.0043
99	8.6652	0.0001	0.0076	0.0042
298	20.7030	0.0002	0.0001	0.0041
323	22.2650	0.0000	0.0001	0.0037
131	11.3300	0.0001	0.0009	0.0033
363	24.9310	0.0002	0.0001	0.0032
273	19.4390	0.0001	0.0000	0.0030
203	16.3860	0.0008	0.0000	0.0027
184	15.2450	0.0005	0.0000	0.0026

Table 3H.6-4 Maximum Accelerations and Displacements for UHS and RSW Pump House

Description of Location	Elevation with Respect to Top of Pump House Mat	Maximum Acceleration (g)			Maximum Displacements Relative to Pump House Mat (inches)		
		E-W (X)	N-S (Y)	Vertical (Z)	E-W (X)	N-S (Y)	Vertical (Z)
Top of Pump House Mat	0	0.117	0.128	0.137	0.03	0.05	0.10
Pump House Operating Floor	32'-0"	0.122	0.140	0.541	0.07	0.09	0.11
Pump House Roof	68'-0"	0.121	0.149	0.417	0.09	0.17	0.11
Top of UHS Mat	32'-0"	0.125	0.144	0.133	0.12	0.14	0.12
Top of UHS Basin Walls	115'-6"	0.145	0.175	0.137	0.17	0.27	0.13
Bottom of Cooling Tower Walls	115'-6"	0.438	0.391	0.291	1.65	0.86	0.13
Mid-Level of Cooling Tower Walls	143'-3"	0.657	0.459	0.303	2.14	0.95	0.14
Top of Cooling Tower Walls	171'-0"	0.460	0.499	0.330	1.72	1.01	0.14

**Table 3H.6-5 Factors of Safety Against Sliding, Overturning, and Flotation for UHS Basin and RSW Pump House**

Load Combination	Calculated Safety Factor			Notes
	Overturning	Sliding	Flotation	
D + F'	---	---	1.77	2, 3
D + H + W	2.15	11.5	---	
D + H + W <sub>t</sub>	2.11	7.2	---	
D + H' + E'	1.47	1.11	---	2, 3, 4, 5, 6
D + H + W <sub>th</sub>	2.10	8.55	---	2, 3

Notes:

- (1) Loads D, H, H', W, W<sub>t</sub>, and E' are defined in Subsection 3H.6.4.3.4.1. F' is the buoyant force corresponding to the design basis flood. Load W<sub>th</sub> is defined in Subsection 3H.11.1.
- (2) Reported safety factors are conservatively based on considering empty weight of the UHS Basin.
- (3) Coefficients of friction for sliding resistance are 0.3 under the RSW Pump House and 0.4 under the UHS Basin.
- (4) The calculated safety factor for sliding requires less than half of the available passive pressure to be engaged for sliding resistance.
- (5) The seismic values considered for stability are based on the full basin case and the empty basin case.
- (6) The seismic sliding forces and overturning moments from SSI analysis are less than the seismic sliding forces and overturning moments used in the stability evaluations.

Table 3H.6-6 Results of RSW Piping Tunnel Design

Location <sup>(4)</sup>	Item	Thickness (ft)	Governing Load Combination	Design Moment (kip-ft/ft)	Design Shear (kip/ft)	Area of Reinforcement (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)			
						Moment Reinforcement <sup>(1)</sup>		Shear Reinforcement	
						Required	Provided (both faces)	Required	Provided
Main Tunnel	Exterior Wall	3'-0"	D+Lo+F+H'+E'	226.78	36.52	1.56 (vertical)	1.56 (vertical)	None	None
	Roof Slab	3'-0"	1.4D+1.7L+1.4F+1.7H	55.90	11.29	0.7 (east-west)	0.79 (east-west)	None	None
	Interior Slab	2'-0"	D+Lo+F+H'+E' <sup>(2)</sup>	95.22	13.16	1.13 (east-west)	1.27 (east-west)	None	None
	Basemat	3'-0"	D+Lo+F+H'+E' <sup>(2)</sup>	123.94	19.10	0.97 (east-west)	1.00 (east-west)	None	None
North End of Main Tunnel (West of Control Building)	Exterior Wall	3'-0"	D+Lo+F+H'+E'	543.34	59.39	4.27 (east-west)	4.68 (east-west)	0.19	0.20
	Interior Wall	2'-0"	D+Lo+F+H'+E' <sup>(2)</sup>	152.15	19.96	1.69 (east-west)	2.25 (east-west)	None	None
	Roof Slab	3'-0"	1.4D+1.7L+1.4F+1.7H	86.64	15.29	0.70 (east-west)	0.79 (east-west)	None	None
	Interior Slab	2'-0"	D+Lo+F+H'+E' <sup>(2)</sup>	136.30	18.03	1.49 (east-west)	2.25 (east-west)	None	None
	Basemat	3'-0"	1.4D+1.7L+1.4F+1.7H	70.42	28.27	0.36 (north-south)	0.79 (north-south)	None	None
			1.4D+1.7L+1.4F+1.7H	155.74	36.39	1.16 (east-west)	1.27 (east-west)	None	None
Main Tunnel (in Access Region 1)	Basemat	3'-0"	1.4D+1.7L+1.4F+1.7H	46.60	20.54	0.70 (north-south)	0.79 (north-south)	None	None

**Table 3H.6-6 Results of RSW Piping Tunnel Design (Continued)**

Location <sup>(4)</sup>	Item	Thickness (ft)	Governing Load Combination	Design Moment (kip-ft/ft)	Design Shear (kip/ft)	Area of Reinforcement (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)			
						Moment Reinforcement <sup>(1)</sup>		Shear Reinforcement	
						Required	Provided (both faces)	Required	Provided
Main Tunnel (In Access Region 2)	Exterior Wall	3'-0"	D+Lo+F+H'+E'	321.96	29.22	2.21 (vertical)	2.25 (vertical)	None	None
				214.84	29.22	1.40 (horizontal)	1.56 (horizontal)	None	None
	Basemat	6'-0"	D+Lo+F+H'+E' <sup>(2)</sup>	530.76	66.74	1.66 (east-west)	2.25 (east-west)	None	None
			1.4D+1.7L+1.4F+1.7H / D+Lo+F+H'+E' <sup>(2)</sup>	500.50	66.74	1.78 (north-south)	2.25 (north-south)	None	None
Main Tunnel (In Access Region 3) North of Pump House	Exterior Wall	3'-0"	D+Lo+F+H'+E'	245.29	36.52	1.76 (vertical)	3.12 (vertical)	None	None
	Roof Slab	3'-0"	1.4D+1.7L+1.4F+1.7H	344.53	37.20	2.56 (north-south)	4.68 (north-south)	None	None
	Interior Slab	2'-0"	D+Lo+F+H'+E' <sup>(2)</sup>	150.97	19.29	1.70 (north-south)	3.12 (north-south)	None	None
	Basemat	3'-0"	1.4D+1.7L+1.4F+1.7H	236.52	38.12	1.74 (north-south)	3.12 (north-south)	0.18	0.20

Notes:

- (1) Unless noted otherwise, the required reinforcement in the direction not reported in the table is controlled by the minimum required reinforcement. The minimum required reinforcement for 2'-0" thick and 3'-0" thick elements is 0.36 in<sup>2</sup>/ft and 0.54 in<sup>2</sup>/ft. For such cases the provided reinforcement is 0.79 in<sup>2</sup>/ft.
- (2) The loading also includes loads due to internal flooding.
- (3) In addition to the reinforcement shown within this table, the following reinforcement is required due to SSE Wave Propagation:
  - For the Main Tunnel, 0.79 in<sup>2</sup>/ft (applied to both faces of the walls and slabs) in the north-south direction of the Main Tunnel for 84'-0" (measured north from the centerline of the intersection of the Main Tunnel and Access Region 3)
  - For Access Region 3 from 0'-0" to 56'-0" (measured east from the centerline of the intersection of the Main Tunnel and Access Region 3), 1.56 in<sup>2</sup>/ft (applied to both faces of the roof, interior slab, and basemat) in the north-south direction
  - For Access Region 3 from 56'-0" to 103'-0" (measured east from the centerline of the intersection of the Main Tunnel and Access Region 3), 1.56 in<sup>2</sup>/ft (applied to both faces of the roof and basemat) in the north-south direction
- (4) Refer to Figure 3H.6-248 for plan view of the RSW Tunnel



**Table 3H.6-7 Results of UHS/RSW Pump House Concrete Wall Design (Continued)**

Location	Thickness (ft)	Face	Direction	Reinforcement Layout Drawing Number (1)	Reinforcement Zone Number (2)	Maximum Force (3)	Longitudinal Reinforcement Design Loads				Longitudinal Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Transverse Shear Design Loads				Transverse Shear Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Remarks				
							Axial and Flexure Loads		In-Plane Shear Loads			Load Combination	In-plane Shear (kips/ft) (5)	Horizontal Section				Vertical Section			
							Load Combination	Axial (kips/ft) (4)	Flexure (ft-kips/ft) (4)	Transverse Shear Force (kips/ft)				Corresponding Axial Force (kips/ft)	Transverse Shear Force (kips/ft)			Corresponding Axial Force (kips/ft)			
Pump Station Pump (Cont'd)	6	East (outside)	Vertical	3H-6-57	2-V-L	MTCM 3226	D + L + F + H + T + E	216	-134	D + L + F + H + T + E	247	6.24	-	-	-	-	-	-			
						MCCM 8833	D + L + F + H + T + E	-521	-162												
						MMAT 8854	D + L + F + H + T + E	62	-531												
					MTCM 8854	D + L + F + H + T + E	-349	-842	D + L + F + H + T + E	175	3.12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
					MCCM 6526	D + L + F + H + T + E	76	-30													
					MMAT 6399	D + L + F + H + T + E	-306	-61													
					MTCM 3097	D + L + F + H + T + E	36	-299	D + L + F + H + T + E	115	6.24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
					MCCM 6568	D + L + F + H + T + E	109	-229													
					MMAT 6491	D + L + F + H + T + E	-112	-344													
					MTCM 6566	1.05D + 1.3L + 1.05F + 1.3H + 1.2T + 1.3W	190	-97	D + L + F + H + T + E	247	6.24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
					MCCM 6528	D + L + F + H + T + E	-264	-92													
					MMAT 6568	D + L + F + H + T + E	109	-229													
		MTCM 6547	D + L + F + H + T + E	-50	-221	D + L + F + H + T + E	155	12.48	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
		MCCM 6520	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7F + 1.7H + 1.7W	242	-411																
		MMAT 6349	D + L + F + H + T + E	-440	-653																
		MTCM 6518	D + L + F + H + T + E	9	-536	D + L + F + H + T + E	155	4.68	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
		MCCM 8869	D + L + F + H + T + E	-251	-884																
		MMAT 3222	D + L + F + H + T + E	606	40																
		MTCM 3222	D + L + F + H + T + E	-814	868	D + L + F + H + T + E	155	4.68	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
		MCCM 3222	D + L + F + H + T + E	180	868																
		MMAT 3222	D + L + F + H + T + E	-814	868																
		MTCM 3098	D + L + F + H + T + E	262	129	D + L + F + H + T + E	154	4.68	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
		MCCM 3088	D + L + F + H + T + E	-301	46																
		MMAT 3100	D + L + F + H + T + E	27	357																
MTCM 3100	D + L + F + H + T + E	-92	357	D + L + F + H + T + E	263	6.24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-					
MCCM 8894	D + L + F + H + T + E	168	179																		
MMAT 8829	D + L + F + H + T + E	-514	502																		
MTCM 8829	D + L + F + H + T + E	57	415	D + L + F + H + T + E	308	15.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-					
MCCM 8829	D + L + F + H + T + E	-493	582																		
MMAT 8829	D + L + F + H + T + E	140	65																		
MTCM 8827	1.4D + 1.4F + 1.7H + 1.7W	62	65	D + L + F + H + T + E	247	6.24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-					
MCCM 8827	D + L + F + H + T + E	-645	204																		
MMAT 8851	D + L + F + H + T + E	6	617																		
MTCM 8881	D + L + F + H + T + E	-470	982	D + L + F + H + T + E	308	15.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-					
MCCM 3222	D + L + F + H + T + E	640	146																		
MMAT 8825	D + L + F + H + T + E	-884	1232																		
MTCM 8825	D + L + F + H + T + E	-	-	D + L + F + H + T + E	247	9.36	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-					
MCCM 8825	D + L + F + H + T + E	-	-																		
MMAT 8825	D + L + F + H + T + E	-283	1815																		
MTCM 3226	D + L + F + H + T + E	199	51	D + L + F + H + T + E	234	6.24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-					
MCCM 8853	D + L + F + H + T + E	-535	833																		
MMAT 8854	D + L + F + H + T + E	2	1176																		
MTCM 8853	D + L + F + H + T + E	-491	1604	D + L + F + H + T + E	234	6.24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-					
MCCM 3241	D + L + F + H + T + E	80	40																		
MMAT 8900	D + L + F + H + T + E	-367	62																		
MTCM 8900	D + L + F + H + T + E	1	590	D + L + F + H + T + E	234	6.24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-					
MCCM 8900	D + L + F + H + T + E	-367	62																		
MTCM 8397	D + L + F + H + T + E	1	590	D + L + F + H + T + E	234	6.24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-					
MCCM 8880	D + L + F + H + T + E	-294	651																		

Table 3H.6-7 Results of UHS/RSW Pump House Concrete Wall Design (Continued)

Location	Thickness (ft)	Face	Direction	Reinforcement Drawing Number <sup>(1)</sup>	Reinforcement Zone Number <sup>(2)</sup>	Maximum Force <sup>(3)</sup>	Element	Longitudinal Reinforcement Design Loads				Longitudinal Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Transverse Shear Design Loads				Transverse Shear Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Remarks			
								Axial and Flexure Loads		In-Plane Shear Loads			Load Combination	Horizontal Section	Vertical Section						
								Load Combination	Axial <sup>(4)</sup> (kips/ft)	Flexure <sup>(4)</sup> (ft-kips/ft)	Load Combination					In-plane Shear <sup>(5)</sup> (kips/ft)			Transverse Shear Force (kip/ft)	Corresponding Axial Force (kip/ft)	Transverse Shear Force (kip/ft)
Pump House East Wall (Cont'd)	6	West (inside)	Vertical	3H.6-59	4-V-L	MTCM 6444	D + L + F + H + T + E	46	202	D + L + F + H + T + E	175	4.68	-	-	-	-	-	-			
						MCCM 6355	D + L + F + H + T + E	-328	20												
						MMAT 6456	D + L + F + H + T + E	1	533												
					MMAC 3097	D + L + F + H + T + E	-86	551	D + L + F + H + T + E	120	3.12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
					MTCM 6520	D + L + F + H + T + E	76	35													
					MCCM 6522	D + L + F + H + T + E	-244	217													
					MAT 6505	D + L + F + H + T + E	4	308	D + L + F + H + T + E	115	4.68	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
					MMAC 3106	D + L + F + H + T + E	-46	321													
					MTCM 6520	D + L + F + H + T + E	211	118													
					MCCM 6520	D + L + F + H + T + E	-300	164	D + L + F + H + T + E	2	222	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
					MAT 6520	D + L + F + H + T + E	2	222													
					MMAC 6520	D + L + F + H + T + E	-239	228													
-	-	-	Transverse (Horizontal and Vertical)	3H.6-60	1-T	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	D + L + F + H + T + E	41	34	154	542	0.60			
					2-T	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	D + L + F + H + T + E	-130	-205	-354	-47	1.24	
					3-T	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	D + L + F + H + T + E	49	23	78	476	0.44
					4-T	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	D + L + F + H + T + E	43	32	37	436	0.31
					5-T	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	D + L + F + H + T + E	327	-118	328	-308	1.76
					6-T	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7F + 1.7H + 1.7W	-58	363	2	89	0.20
Pump House South Wall	6	-	North (inside)	Horizontal	3H.6-61	1-H-L	MTCM 5788	D + L + F + H + T + E	249	63	D + L + F + H + T + E	235	6.24	-	-	-	-	-			
							MCCM 5611	D + L + F + H + T + E	-1115	-117											
							MMAT 5784	D + L + F + H + T + E	6	-639											
				MMAC 5784	D + L + F + H + T + E	-89	-639	D + L + F + H + T + E	222	6.24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				
				MTCM 5784	D + L + F + H + T + E	149	-192														
				MCCM 5607	D + L + F + H + T + E	-767	-236														
			MAT 5783	D + L + F + H + T + E	0	-492	D + L + F + H + T + E	222	9.36	-	-	-	-	-	-	-					
			MMAC 5783	D + L + F + H + T + E	-230	-653															
			MTCM 5786	D + L + F + H + T + E	243	-611															
			MCCM 5609	D + L + F + H + T + E	-1036	-801	D + L + F + H + T + E	235	6.24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-					
			MAT 5786	D + L + F + H + T + E	126	-1204															
			MMAC 5786	D + L + F + H + T + E	-605	-1401															
			South (outside)	Horizontal	3H.6-63	1-H-L	MTCM 5783	D + L + F + H + T + E	97	205	D + L + F + H + T + E	235	6.24	-	-	-	-	-	-		
							MCCM 5606	D + L + F + H + T + E	-628	192											
							MAT 5784	D + L + F + H + T + E	25	712											
				MMAC 5784	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7F + 1.7H + 1.7W	-163	785	D + L + F + H + T + E	222	6.24	-	-	-	-	-	-					
MTCM 5607	D + L + F + H + T + E	164		196																	
MCCM 5607	D + L + F + H + T + E	-722		17																	
MAT 5774	D + L + F + H + T + E	0	578	D + L + F + H + T + E	2	222	-	-	-	-	-	-									
MMAC 5757	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7F + 1.7H + 1.7W	-281	1198																		
1-T	-	-	-										-	-	-	-	-	-	-	D + L + F + H + T + E	42
2-T	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	D + L + F + H + T + E	13	-145	126	46	0.20				
East (outside)	6	West (outside)	Horizontal	3H.6-66	1-H-L	MTCM 3273	D + L + F + H + T + E	462	-106	D + L + F + H + T + W	124	6.24	-	-	-	-	-				
						MCCM 6229	D + L + F + H + T + E	-252	-58												
						MAT 3028	D + L + F + H + T + E	59	-407												
						MMAC 6169	D + L + F + H + T + E	-122	-704												

**Table 3H.6-7 Results of UHS/RSW Pump House Concrete Wall Design (Continued)**

Location	Thickness (ft)	Face	Direction	Reinforcement Layout Drawing Number (1)	Reinforcement Zone Number (2)	Maximum Force (3)	Longitudinal Reinforcement Design Loads						Longitudinal Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Transverse Shear Design Loads				Transverse Shear Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Remarks
							Axial and Flexure Loads		In-Plane Shear Loads		Load Combination	In-plane Shear (kips/ft)		Horizontal Section		Vertical Section			
							Load Combination	Axial (kips/ft)	Flexure (ft-kips/ft)	Transverse Shear Force (kip/ft)				Corresponding Axial Force (kip/ft)	Transverse Shear Force (kip/ft)	Corresponding Axial Force (kip/ft)			
Pump House West Wall (Cont'd)	6	West (outside)	Vertical	3H.6.66	2-HL	MTCM 3291	1.05D + 1.3L + 1.05F + 1.3H + 1.2T + 1.3W	974	-229	1.05D + 1.3L + 1.05F + 1.3H + 1.2T + 1.3W	98	14.04	-	-	-	-	-	-	
						MCCM 3291	D + L + F + H + T + E	-360	-396										
						MMAT 3291	D + L + F + H + T + E	712	-743										
					MTCM 3290	D + L + F + H + T + E	-19	-991	D + L + F + H + T + E	129	3.12	-	-	-	-	-	-		
					MCCM 9052	D + L + F + H + T + E	84	-34											
					MMAT 9052	D + L + F + H + T + E	-309	-99											
					MTCM 9125	D + L + F + H + T + E	4	-200	D + L + F + H + T + E	129	6.24	-	-	-	-	-	-		
					MCCM 9125	D + L + F + H + T + E	4	-200											
					MMAT 9125	D + L + F + H + T + E	-158	-742											
					MTCM 3280	1.05D + 1.3L + 1.05F + 1.3H + 1.2T + 1.3W	429	-66	D + L + F + H + T + E	129	7.8	-	-	-	-	-	-		
					MCCM 9136	D + L + F + H + T + E	-735	-468											
					MMAT 9136	D + L + F + H + T + E	7	-803											
				MTCM 9138	D + L + F + H + T + E	-171	-900	D + L + F + H + T + E	75	3.12	-	-	-	-	-	-			
				MCCM 9125	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7F + 1.7H + 1.7W	317	-384												
				MMAT 9125	D + L + F + H + T + E	-233	-26												
				MTCM 9126	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7F + 1.7H + 1.7W	69	-458	D + L + F + H + T + E	132	4.68	-	-	-	-	-	-			
				MCCM 9126	D + L + F + H + T + E	-41	-341												
				MMAT 9126	D + L + F + H + T + E	-127	-408												
				MTCM 9151	1.05D + 1.3L + 1.05F + 1.3H + 1.2T + 1.3W	84	-75	D + L + F + H + T + E	132	4.68	-	-	-	-	-	-			
				MCCM 9042	D + L + F + H + T + E	-202	-8												
				MMAT 3073	1.05D + 1.3L + 1.05F + 1.3H + 1.2T + 1.3W	19	-348												
				MTCM 6321	D + L + F + H + T + E	-127	-408	D + L + F + H + T + E	132	4.68	-	-	-	-	-	-			
				MCCM 9131	D + L + F + H + T + E	64	-101												
				MMAT 9037	D + L + F + H + T + E	-315	-206												
				MTCM 9127	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7F + 1.7H + 1.7W	26	-528	D + L + F + H + T + E	115	4.68	-	-	-	-	-	-			
				MCCM 6293	D + L + F + H + T + E	-165	-496												
				MMAT 3283	1.05D + 1.3L + 1.05F + 1.3H + 1.2T + 1.3W	222	-188												
				MTCM 9110	D + L + F + H + T + E	-285	-315	D + L + F + H + T + E	144	9.36	-	-	-	-	-	-			
				MCCM 9105	D + L + F + H + T + E	5	-494												
				MMAT 9105	D + L + F + H + T + E	-92	-704												
				MTCM 3290	1.05D + 1.3L + 1.05F + 1.3H + 1.2T + 1.3W	549	-213	D + L + F + H + T + E	124	6.24	-	-	-	-	-	-			
				MCCM 9134	D + L + F + H + T + E	-780	-354												
				MMAT 9134	D + L + F + H + T + E	256	-916												
				MTCM 9138	D + L + F + H + T + E	-340	-1271	D + L + F + H + T + E	98	12.48	-	-	-	-	-	-			
				MCCM 3276	D + L + F + H + T + E	488	49												
				MMAT 9099	D + L + F + H + T + E	-315	97												
MTCM 9061	D + L + F + H + T + E	-145	292	D + L + F + H + T + E	129	3.12	-	-	-	-	-	-							
MCCM 3291	D + L + F + H + T + E	922	153																
MMAT 3291	D + L + F + H + T + E	-360	217																
MTCM 9087	1.05D + 1.3L + 1.05F + 1.3H + 1.2T + 1.3W	136	57	D + L + F + H + T + E	98	12.48	-	-	-	-	-	-							
MCCM 3291	D + L + F + H + T + E	-360	217																
MMAT 3291	D + L + F + H + T + E	-126	820																
MTCM 9079	D + L + F + H + T + E	-422	175	D + L + F + H + T + E	129	3.12	-	-	-	-	-	-							
MCCM 9077	D + L + F + H + T + E	0	267																
MMAT 9077	D + L + F + H + T + E	-355	288																

Table 3H.6-7 Results of UHS/RSW Pump House Concrete Wall Design (Continued)

Location	Thickness (ft)	Face	Direction	Reinforcement Layout Drawing Number <sup>(1)</sup>	Reinforcement Zone Number <sup>(2)</sup>	Minimum Provided <sup>(3)</sup>	Element	Longitudinal Reinforcement Design Loads				Longitudinal Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Transverse Shear Design Loads				Transverse Shear Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Remarks																																					
								Axial and Flexure Loads			In-Plane Shear Loads		Horizontal Section		Vertical Section																																								
								Load Combination	Axial <sup>(4)</sup> (kips/ft)	Flexure <sup>(5)</sup> (ft-kips/ft)	Load Combination		In-plane Shear <sup>(6)</sup> (kips/ft)	Transverse Shear Force (kip/ft)	Corresponding Axial Force (kip/ft)	Transverse Shear Force (kip/ft)			Corresponding Axial Force (kip/ft)																																				
																				1.0SD + 1.3L + 1.0SF + 1.3H + 1.2T + 1.3W	424	17	-	-	-	-																													
Pump House West Wall (Cont'd)	6	East (inside)	Horizontal	3H.6-6B	4-HL	MTCM 3280	1.0SD + 1.3L + 1.0SF + 1.3H + 1.2T + 1.3W	424	17	D + L + F + H + T + E	129	6.24	-	-	-	-	-	-																																					
						MCCM 9134	D + L + F + H + T + E	-607	222										-	-	-	-	-	-																															
						MMAT 9134	D + L + F + H + T + E	21	359																-	-	-	-	-	-																									
						MMAC 9134	D + L + F + H + T + E	-408	377																						-	-	-	-	-	-																			
						MTCM 6125	D + L + F + H + T + E	209	33																												D + L + F + H + T + E	75	4.68	-	-	-	-	-	-										
						MCCM 6161	D + L + F + H + T + E	-199	12																																					-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
		MMAT 3029	1.0SD + 1.3L + 1.0SF + 1.3H + 1.2T + 1.3W	7	122	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-																																										
		MMAC 3029	1.0SD + 1.3L + 1.0SF + 1.3H + 1.2T + 1.3W	-1	121									-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-																																		
		MTCM 6134	1.0SD + 1.3L + 1.0SF + 1.3H + 1.2T + 1.3W	128	95																	D + L + F + H + T + E	132	3.12	-	-	-	-	-	-																									
		MCCM 9907	D + L + F + H + T + E	-244	68																										-	-	-	-	-	-																		-	-
		MMAT 6285	D + L + F + H + T + E	0	402																																-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-										
		MMAC 3073	D + L + F + H + T + E	-54	425																																									-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	MTCM 9116	D + L + F + H + T + E	125	57	D + L + F + H + T + E	115	4.68	-	-	-	-	-	-																																										
	MCCM 9102	D + L + F + H + T + E	-295	308										-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-																																		
	MMAT 9105	D + L + F + H + T + E	13	437																		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-																									
	MMAC 9106	D + L + F + H + T + E	-218	739																											-	-	-	-	-	-																		-	-
	MTCM 3291	1.0SD + 1.3L + 1.0SF + 1.3H + 1.2T + 1.3W	664	95																																	D + L + F + H + T + E	144	9.36	-	-	-	-	-	-										
	MCCM 9134	D + L + F + H + T + E	-866	1406																																										-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	MMAT 9134	D + L + F + H + T + E	4	1105	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-																																										
	MMAC 9134	D + L + F + H + T + E	-866	1406										-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-																																		
	1-T	-	-	-																		-	-	-	1.0SD + 1.3L + 1.0SF + 1.3H + 1.2T + 1.3W	-68	69	77	330	0.20																									
	2-T	-	-	-																		-	-	-	D + L + F + H + T + E	16	100	204	1	0.44	-																								
	3-T	-	-	-																		-	-	-	D + L + F + H + T + E	-61	340	-92	1213	0.60	-																								
	Pump House West Wall (Cont'd)	4	East (top)	Horizontal																		3H.6-71	1-HL	MTCM 3246	D + L + F + H + T + E	351	-94	D + L + F + H + T + E	109	6.24	-	-	-	-	-	-																			
MCCM 3246					D + L + F + H + T + E	-477	-19	-	-	-	-	-	-											-	-																														
MMAT 3246					D + L + F + H + T + E	194	-119							-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-																																		
MMAC 3246					D + L + F + H + T + E	-304	-119																			-	-										-	-	-	-	-	-													
MTCM 3251					D + L + F + H + T + E	130	-23																																				D + L + F + H + T + E	186	3.12	-	-	-	-	-	-				
MCCM 8939					D + L + F + H + T + E	-545	-19																																													-	-	-	-
MMAT 7016			D + L + F + H (Internal Flood)	5	-147	-	-															-	-					-	-	-	-	-																							
MMAC 6984			D + L + F + H (Internal Flood)	-28	-205			-	-	-	-	-	-											-	-								-																						
MTCM 3246			D + L + F + H + T + E	188	-7									D + L + F + H + T + E	236	6.24	-	-	-	-	-													-																					
MCCM 3246			D + L + F + H + T + E	-487	-14																					-	-								-	-	-	-	-	-	-														
MMAT 3246			D + L + F + H + T + E	58	-21																																					-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-					
MMAC 8925			D + L + F + H + T + E	-191	-199																																														-	-	-	-	-
MTCM 3248		D + L + F + H + T + E	108	-10	D + L + F + H + T + E	199	3.12															-	-					-	-	-	-	-																							
MCCM 6800		1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7F + 1.7H + 1.7W	-409	-24				-	-	-	-	-	-											-	-								-																						
MMAT 6968		D + L + F + H + T + E	38	-99										-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-													-																					
MMAC 6800		D + L + F + H (Internal Flood)	-226	-343																						-	-								-	-	-	-	-	-	-														
MTCM 3246		D + L + F + H + T + E	333	8																																						D + L + F + H + T + E	109	6.24	-	-	-	-	-	-					
MCCM 3246		D + L + F + H + T + E	-477	74																																															-	-	-	-	-
MMAT 3246		D + L + F + H + T + E	188	95	-	-	-															-	-					-	-	-	-	-																							
MMAC 3246		D + L + F + H + T + E	-310	95				-	-	-	-	-	-											-	-								-																						
MTCM 3254		D + L + F + H + T + E	128	10										D + L + F + H + T + E	186	3.12	-	-	-	-	-													-																					
MCCM 8937		D + L + F + H + T + E	-865	102																						-	-								-	-	-	-	-	-	-														
MMAT 7016		D + L + F + H (Internal Flood)	9	121																																						-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-					
MMAC 6984		D + L + F + H (Internal Flood)	-21	197																																															-	-	-	-	-

**Table 3H.6-7 Results of UHS/RSW Pump House Concrete Wall Design (Continued)**

Location	Thickness (ft)	Face	Direction	Reinforcement Layout Drawing Number <sup>(1)</sup>	Reinforcement Zone Number <sup>(2)</sup>	Maximum Force <sup>(3)</sup>	Element	Longitudinal Reinforcement Design Loads				Longitudinal Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Transverse Shear Design Loads				Transverse Shear Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Remarks		
								Axial and Flexure Loads		In-Plane Shear Loads			Load Combination	In-plane Shear (kips/ft)	Horizontal Section				Vertical Section	
								Load Combination	Axial <sup>(4)</sup> (kips/ft)	Flexure <sup>(4)</sup> (ft-kips/ft)	Transverse Shear Force (kip/ft)				Corresponding Axial Force (kip/ft)	Transverse Shear Force (kip/ft)			Corresponding Axial Force (kip/ft)	
Pump House Internal East Wall (Cont'd)	4	West (bottom)	Vertical	3H.6.74	1-VL	MTCM 3246	D + L + F + H + T + E'	188	7	D + L + F + H + T + E'	236	6.24	-	-	-	-	-	-		
						MCCM 3246	D + L + F + H + T + E'	-467	5											
						MMAT 3245	D + L + F + H + T + E'	74	16											
					MMAC 8937	D + L + F + H + T + E'	-244	146												
					2-VL	MTCM 3248	D + L + F + H + T + E'	98	4	D + L + F + H + T + E'	199	3.12	-	-	-	-	-	-		
					MCCM 8946	D + L + F + H + T + E'	-392	16												
	MMAT 8988	D + L + F + H + T + E'	15	54																
	MMAC 8853	D + L + F + H (Internal Flood)	-109	327																
	-	-	Transverse (Horizontal and Vertical)	3H.6.74A	1-T	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	D + L + F + H + T + E'	-8	100	-26	377	0.20	-	
	Pump House Internal West Wall	4	East (top)	Horizontal	3H.6.75	1-HL	MTCM 3294	D + L + F + H + T + E'	275	-46	D + L + F + H + T + E'	94	4.68	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MCCM 3294							D + L + F + H + T + E'	-410	-57											
MMAT 3171							D + L + F + H + T + E'	12	-130											
MMAC 3171						D + L + F + H + T + E'	-6	-130												
2-HL						MTCM 3299	D + L + F + H + T + E'	99	-8	D + L + F + H + T + E'	161	3.12	-	-	-	-	-	-		
MCCM 9163						D + L + F + H + T + E'	-552	-25												
MMAT 6792				D + L + F + H (Internal Flood)	8	-127														
MMAC 6769				D + L + F + H (Internal Flood)	-20	-201														
Vertical				3H.6.76	1-VL	MTCM 3294	D + L + F + H + T + E'	139	-16	D + L + F + H + T + E'	206	4.68	-	-	-	-	-			
MCCM 9165				D + L + F + H + T + E'	-466	-29														
MMAT 3294				D + L + F + H + T + E'	83	-21														
MMAC 9161				D + L + F + H + T + E'	-112	-181														
2-VL		MTCM 3296	D + L + F + H + T + E'	70	-7	D + L + F + H + T + E'	173	3.12	-	-	-	-	-							
MCCM 9168		D + L + F + H + T + E'	-393	-7																
MMAT 6601		D + L + F + H + T + E'	1	-57																
MMAC 6576		D + L + F + H (Internal Flood)	-103	-333																
West (bottom)		Horizontal	3H.6.77	1-HL	MTCM 3294	D + L + F + H + T + E'	275	42	D + L + F + H + T + E'	94	4.68	-	-	-	-	-	-			
					MCCM 3294	D + L + F + H + T + E'	-410	17												
					MMAT 3171	D + L + F + H + T + E'	12	101												
				MMAC 3171	D + L + F + H + T + E'	-176	113													
				2-HL	MTCM 3299	D + L + F + H + T + E'	99	7	D + L + F + H + T + E'	161	3.12	-	-	-	-					
				MCCM 9161	D + L + F + H + T + E'	-576	104													
		MMAT 6792	D + L + F + H (Internal Flood)	1	137															
		MMAC 6769	D + L + F + H (Internal Flood)	-28	203															
	Vertical	3H.6.78	1-VL	MTCM 3294	D + L + F + H + T + E'	139	6	D + L + F + H + T + E'	206	4.68	-	-	-	-						
	MCCM 9165	D + L + F + H + T + E'	-466	84																
	MMAT 3294	D + L + F + H + T + E'	24	23																
	MMAC 9161	D + L + F + H + T + E'	-326	201																
2-VL	MTCM 3296	D + L + F + H + T + E'	70	6	D + L + F + H + T + E'	173	3.12	-	-	-	-									
MCCM 9168	D + L + F + H + T + E'	-394	33																	
MMAT 6744	D + L + F + H + T + E'	44	89																	
MMAC 6576	D + L + F + H (Internal Flood)	-220	343																	
-	-	Transverse (Horizontal and Vertical)	3H.6.78A	1-T	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	D + L + F + H + T + E'	6	93	15	399	0.20	-		

Table 3H.6-7 Results of UHS/RSW Pump House Concrete Wall Design (Continued)

Location	Thickness (ft)	Face	Direction	Reinforcement Drawing Number (1)	Reinforcement Zone Number (2)	Maximum Force (3)	Element	Longitudinal Reinforcement Design Loads					Longitudinal Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Transverse Shear Design Loads				Transverse Shear Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Remarks	
								Axial and Flexure Loads			In-Plane Shear Loads			Load Combination	Horizontal Section		Vertical Section			
								Load Combination	Axial (4) (kips / ft)	Flexure (4) (ft-kips / ft)	In-plane (5) Shear (kips / ft)	Transverse Shear Force (kip / ft)			Corresponding Axial Force (kip / ft)	Transverse Shear Force (kip / ft)	Corresponding Axial Force (kip / ft)			
																				Load Combination
Pump House Exterior <sup>6</sup>	6	North (top) South (bottom)	Horizontal	3H.6-79	1-HL	MTCM	1330	1.05D + 1.3L + 1.05F + 1.3H + 1.2T + 1.3W	220	9	D + L + F + H + T + E	218	4.68	-	-	-	-	-	-	
						MCCM	13461	D + L + F + H + T + E	-276	53										
						MMAT	13445	D + L + F + H + T + E	89	198										
						MMAC	13451	D + L + F + H + T + E	-50	142										
			MTCM	13320	D + L + F + H + T + E	188	-90	D + L + F + H + T + E	92	4.68	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
			MCCM	13420	D + L + F + H + T + E	-281	-99													
			MMAT	13414	D + L + F + H + T + E	103	145													
			MMAC	13414	D + L + F + H + T + E	-48	143													
		MTCM	13410	D + L + F + H + T + E	471	72	D + L + F + H + T + E	92	7.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
		MCCM	13437	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7F + 1.7H + 1.7W	-321	288														
		MMAT	13437	D + L + F + H + T + E	7	475														
		MMAC	13437	D + L + F + H + T + E	-127	477														
		-	-	Transverse (Horizontal and Vertical)	3H.6-81	1-T	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	D + L + F + H + T + E	38	470	0	76	0.20
UHS Basin North Wall	6	North (outside)	Horizontal	3H.6-82	1-HL	MTCM	6177	D + L + F + H + T + E	1006	-246	D + L + F + H + T + E	42	12.48	-	-	-	-	-	-	
						MCCM	5873	D + L + F + H + T + E	-294	-499										
						MMAT	5801	D + L + F + H + T + E	57	-1311										
						MMAC	5801	D + L + F + H + T + E	-133	-1311										
				MTCM	6006	1.4D + 1.7F + 1.3H + 1.4T <sub>G</sub>	648	-139	D + L + F + H + T + E	176	9.36	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
				MCCM	2678	1.05D + 1.3L + 1.05F + 1.3H + 1.2T + 1.3W	-912	-182												
				MMAT	3939	D + L + F + H + T + E	39	-968												
				MMAC	3939	D + L + F + H + T + E	-190	-1936												
				MTCM	6796	1.4D + 1.7F + 1.3H + 1.4T <sub>G</sub>	282	-335	D + L + F + H + T + E	153	6.24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
				MCCM	3600	D + L + F + H + T + E	-608	-86												
				MMAT	5975	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7F + 1.7H + 1.7W	66	-633												
				MMAC	3674	1.4D + 1.7F + 1.3H + 1.4T <sub>G</sub>	-48	-477												
			MTCM	2977	D + L + F + H + T + E	248	-129	D + L + F + H + T + E	139	4.68	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
			MCCM	6108	D + L + F + H + T + E	-334	-101													
			MMAT	6108	D + L + F + H + T + E	26	-664													
			MMAC	6108	D + L + F + H + T + E	-200	-664													
			MTCM	2880	D + L + F + H + T + E	259	-190	D + L + F + H + T + E	175	6.24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
			MCCM	6109	D + L + F + H + T + E	-320	-41													
			MMAT	6113	D + L + F + H + T + E	0	-713													
			MMAC	6113	D + L + F + H + T + E	-144	-713													
			MTCM	3004	D + L + F + H + T + E	313	-164	D + L + F + H + T + E	258	7.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
			MCCM	6116	D + L + F + H + T + E	-322	-149													
			MMAT	6116	D + L + F + H + T + E	76	-736													
			MMAC	6116	D + L + F + H + T + E	-189	-736													
		MTCM	3027	D + L + F + H + T + E	473	-699	D + L + F + H + T + E	249	12.48	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
		MCCM	6988	D + L + F + H + T + E	-507	-256														
		MMAT	6124	D + L + F + H + T + E	133	-600														
		MMAC	6124	D + L + F + H + T + E	-49	-600														
		MTCM	6003	D + L + F + H + T + E	281	-59	1.05D + 1.3L + 1.05F + 1.3H + 1.2T + 1.3W	214	6.24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
		MCCM	6003	D + L + F + H + T + E	-284	-61														
		MMAT	4149	D + L + F + H + T + E	133	-372														
		MMAC	4149	D + L + F + H + T + E	-6	-393														

**Table 3H.6-7 Results of UHS/RSW Pump House Concrete Wall Design (Continued)**

Location	Thickness (ft)	Face	Direction	Reinforcement Drawing Number (1)	Reinforcement Zone Number (2)	Maximum Force (3)	Element	Longitudinal Reinforcement Design Loads				Longitudinal Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Transverse Shear Design Loads				Transverse Shear Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Remarks																
								Axial and Flexure Loads		In-Plane Shear Loads			Horizontal Section		Vertical Section																			
								Load Combination	Axial (kips / ft)	Fixure (k-ft / ft)	Load Combination		In-plane Shear (kips / ft)	Transverse Shear Force (kip / ft)	Corresponding Axial Force (kip / ft)	Transverse Shear Force (kip / ft)			Corresponding Axial Force (kip / ft)															
UHS Reinforcement (Cont'd)	6	North (outside)	Vertical	3H-83	6-V-L	MTCM 6005	D + L + F + H + T + E	373	-744	D + L + F + H + T + E	222	9.36	-	-	-	-	-																	
						MCCM 2469	D + L + F + H + T + E	-402	-352																									
						MMAT 6005	D + L + F + H + T + E	373	-744																									
					MMAC 6005	D + L + F + H + T + E	-189	-744																										
					MTCM 2859	1.4D + 1.7F + 1.3H + 1.4To	143	-152	D + L + F + H + T + E									222	6.24	-	-	-	-	-										
					MCCM 2460	D + L + F + H + T + E	-508	-157																										
					MMAT 3624	D + L + F + H + T + E	3	-889																										
					MMAC 3600	D + L + F + H + T + E	-272	-897																										
					MTCM 2859	1.4D + 1.7F + 1.3H + 1.4To	360	326																	D + L + F + H + T + E	113	9.36	-	-	-	-	-		
					MCCM 3942	D + L + F + H + T + E	-205	366																										
					MMAT 2890	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7F + 1.7H + 1.7W	172	1113																										
					MMAC 3938	D + L + F + H + T + E	-3	1062																										
			MTCM 6177	1.05D + 1.3L + 1.05F + 1.3H + 1.2T + 1.3W	1025	209	D + L + F + H + T + E	42		14.04	-	-	-	-	-																			
			MCCM 5873	D + L + F + H + T + E	-294	193																												
			MMAT 7021	D + L + F + H + T + E	108	1219																												
			MMAC 7021	D + L + F + H + T + E	-77	1219																												
			MTCM 4005	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7F + 1.7H + 1.7W	525	417			D + L + F + H + T + E							93	9.36	-	-	-	-	-												
			MCCM 3363	D + L + F + H + T + E	-344	210																												
			MMAT 3002	1.4D + 1.7F + 1.3H + 1.4To	224	900																												
			MMAC 3002	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7F + 1.7H + 1.7W	-4	895																												
			MTCM 5847	1.4D + 1.7F + 1.3H + 1.4To	175	227																	D + L + F + H + T + E	149	6.24	-	-	-	-	-				
			MCCM 3600	D + L + F + H + T + E	-608	162																												
			MMAT 5992	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7F + 1.7H + 1.7W	88	943																												
			MMAC 5992	1.4D + 1.7F + 1.3H + 1.4To	-128	975																												
		MTCM 6005	1.4D + 1.7F + 1.3H + 1.4To	664	777	D + L + F + H + T + E	176	12.48		-	-	-	-	-																				
		MCCM 2610	1.05D + 1.3L + 1.05F + 1.3H + 1.2T + 1.3W	-495	99																													
		MMAT 3027	1.4D + 1.7F + 1.7H + 1.7W	127	1461																													
		MMAC 3027	D + L + F + H + T + E	-94	1347																													
		MTCM 6093	1.4D + 1.7F + 1.3H + 1.4To	522	61				D + L + F + H + T + E						176	12.48	-	-	-	-	-													
		MCCM 3641	D + L + F + H + T + E	-384	263																													
		MMAT 6964	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7F + 1.7H + 1.7W	149	1286																													
		MMAC 4150	D + L + F + H + T + E	-9	1563																													
		MTCM 2977	D + L + F + H + T + E	248	53																	D + L + F + H + T + E	139	4.68	-	-	-	-	-					
		MCCM 5846	D + L + F + H + T + E	-268	141																													
		MMAT 5856	D + L + F + H + T + E	28	341																													
		MMAC 5828	1.4D + 1.7F + 1.3H + 1.4To	-87	358																													
		MTCM 3001	D + L + F + H + T + E	309	35	D + L + F + H + T + E	211	6.24		-	-	-	-	-																				
		MCCM 5918	D + L + F + H + T + E	-269	183																													
		MMAT 5900	1.4D + 1.7F + 1.3H + 1.4To	23	423																													
		MMAC 5900	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7F + 1.7H + 1.7W	-87	476																													
		MTCM 3027	D + L + F + H + T + E	473	411				D + L + F + H + T + E						258	10.92	-	-	-	-	-													
		MCCM 5998	D + L + F + H + T + E	-507	713																													
		MMAT 5998	D + L + F + H + T + E	39	713																													
		MMAC 5998	D + L + F + H + T + E	-507	713																													
		South (inside)	Horizontal	3H-84	1-H-L																	MTCM 2977	D + L + F + H + T + E	248	53	D + L + F + H + T + E	139	4.68	-	-	-	-	-	-
																						MCCM 5846	D + L + F + H + T + E	-268	141									
																						MMAT 5856	D + L + F + H + T + E	28	341									
					MMAC 5828																	1.4D + 1.7F + 1.3H + 1.4To	-87	358										
MTCM 3001	D + L + F + H + T + E				309	35	D + L + F + H + T + E	211		6.24	-	-	-	-								-												
MCCM 5918	D + L + F + H + T + E				-269	183																												
MMAT 5900	1.4D + 1.7F + 1.3H + 1.4To		23	423																														
MMAC 5900	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7F + 1.7H + 1.7W		-87	476																														
MTCM 3027	D + L + F + H + T + E		473	411	D + L + F + H + T + E	258			10.92						-	-	-	-	-															
MCCM 5998	D + L + F + H + T + E		-507	713																														
MMAT 5998	D + L + F + H + T + E		39	713																														
Vertical	3H-85		2-V-L	MTCM 3001	D + L + F + H + T + E	309	35	D + L + F + H + T + E	211	6.24	-	-	-	-	-	-																		
		MCCM 5918		D + L + F + H + T + E	-269	183																												
		MMAT 5900		1.4D + 1.7F + 1.3H + 1.4To	23	423																												
		MMAC 5900	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7F + 1.7H + 1.7W	-87	476																													
		MTCM 3027	D + L + F + H + T + E	473	411	D + L + F + H + T + E	258										10.92	-	-	-	-	-												
		MCCM 5998	D + L + F + H + T + E	-507	713																													
MMAT 5998	D + L + F + H + T + E	39	713																															
3-V-L	MTCM 3027	D + L + F + H + T + E	473	411	D + L + F + H + T + E	258	10.92										-	-	-	-	-	-												
	MCCM 5998	D + L + F + H + T + E	-507	713																														
	MMAT 5998	D + L + F + H + T + E	39	713																														



**Table 3H.6-7 Results of UHS/RSW Pump House Concrete Wall Design (Continued)**

Location	Thickness (ft)	Face	Direction	Reinforcement Drawing Number (1)	Reinforcement Zone Number(2)	Maximum Force(3)	Element	Longitudinal Reinforcement Design Loads				Longitudinal Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Transverse Shear Design Loads				Transverse Shear Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Remarks			
								Axial and Flexure Loads		In-Plane Shear Loads			Horizontal Section		Vertical Section						
								Load Combination	Axial (4) (kips / ft)	Flexure (5) (ft-kips / ft)	Load Combination		In-plane Shear (6) (kips / ft)	Load Combination	Transverse Shear Force (kip / ft)	Corresponding Axial Force (kip / ft)			Load Combination	Transverse Shear Force (kip / ft)	Corresponding Axial Force (kip / ft)
UHS Base Reinforcement Wall (Cont'd)	6	South (outside)	Vertical	3H-88	5-V-L	MTCM	2163	D + L + F + H + T + E	217	-103	D + L + F + H + T + E	148	4.68								
						MCCM	1873	D + L + F + H + T + E	-365	-38											
						MMAT	1872	D + L + F + H + T + E	7	-637											
						MMAC	1868	D + L + F + H + T + E	-175	-661											
						MTCM	1880	D + L + F + H + T + E	227	-308											
						MCCM	1880	D + L + F + H + T + E	-237	-125											
						MMAT	1880	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7F + 1.7H + 1.7W	165	-370											
						MMAC	1880	D + L + F + H + T + E	-52	-385											
						MTCM	2032	1.4D + 1.7F + 1.3H + 1.4To	351	424											
						MCCM	3531	D + L + F + H + T + E	-249	438											
						MMAT	4318	D + L + F + H + T + E	108	1408											
						MMAC	4318	D + L + F + H + T + E	-79	1408											
			MTCM	4473	D + L + F + H + T + E	697	384														
			MCCM	4302	D + L + F + H + T + E	-329	339														
			MMAT	4497	D + L + F + H + T + E	70	698														
			MMAC	4497	D + L + F + H + T + E	-99	698														
			MTCM	3815	D + L + F + H + T + E	275	280														
			MCCM	3557	D + L + F + H + T + E	-362	193														
			MMAT	4436	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7F + 1.7H + 1.7W	98	713														
			MMAC	4436	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7F + 1.7H + 1.7W	-37	729														
			MTCM	2188	1.4D + 1.7F + 1.3H + 1.4To	360	154														
			MCCM	2118	1.4D + 1.7F + 1.3H + 1.4To	-191	671														
			MMAT	2140	1.4D + 1.7F + 1.3H + 1.4To	286	648														
			MMAC	2092	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7F + 1.7H + 1.7W	-21	852														
		MTCM	1705	1.4D + 1.7F + 1.3H + 1.4To	232	69															
		MCCM	1066	1.0SD + 1.3L + 1.0SF + 1.3H + 1.2T + 1.3W	-244	214															
		MMAT	1687	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7F + 1.7H + 1.7W	64	720															
		MMAC	1687	1.4D + 1.7F + 1.3H + 1.4To	-83	728															
		MTCM	2204	1.4D + 1.7F + 1.3H + 1.4To	386	568															
		MCCM	3836	1.0SD + 1.3L + 1.0SF + 1.3H + 1.2T + 1.3W	-246	38															
		MMAT	4905	D + L + F + H + T + E	111	1548															
		MMAC	4905	D + L + F + H + T + E	-76	1548															
		MTCM	3930	1.0SD + 1.3L + 1.0SF + 1.3H + 1.2T + 1.3W	187	42															
		MCCM	1014	D + L + F + H + T + E	-273	120															
		MMAT	4317	D + L + F + H + T + E	12	328															
		MMAC	1119	1.4D + 1.7F + 1.3H + 1.4To	-127	451															
		MTCM	3087	1.0SD + 1.3L + 1.0SF + 1.3H + 1.2T + 1.3W	204	15															
		MCCM	1197	D + L + F + H + T + E	-290	142															
		MMAT	4375	D + L + F + H + T + E	24	255															
		MMAC	1197	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7F + 1.7H + 1.7W	-239	308															
		MTCM	2139	D + L + F + H + T + E	238	25															
		MCCM	1536	D + L + F + H + T + E	-324	170															
		MMAT	1380	D + L + F + H + T + E	6	344															
		MMAC	1281	1.4D + 1.7F + 1.3H + 1.4To	-129	447															
		North (inside)	6	Horizontal	3H-89	4-H-L	MTCM	2188	1.4D + 1.7F + 1.3H + 1.4To	360	154	D + L + F + H + T + E	76	9.36							
							MCCM	2118	1.4D + 1.7F + 1.3H + 1.4To	-191	671										
							MMAT	2140	1.4D + 1.7F + 1.3H + 1.4To	286	648										
							MMAC	2092	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7F + 1.7H + 1.7W	-21	852										
MTCM	1705						1.4D + 1.7F + 1.3H + 1.4To	232	69												
MCCM	1066						1.0SD + 1.3L + 1.0SF + 1.3H + 1.2T + 1.3W	-244	214												
MMAT	1687						1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7F + 1.7H + 1.7W	64	720												
MMAC	1687						1.4D + 1.7F + 1.3H + 1.4To	-83	728												
MTCM	2204						1.4D + 1.7F + 1.3H + 1.4To	386	568												
MCCM	3836						1.0SD + 1.3L + 1.0SF + 1.3H + 1.2T + 1.3W	-246	38												
MMAT	4905						D + L + F + H + T + E	111	1548												
MMAC	4905						D + L + F + H + T + E	-76	1548												
Vertical	6	Vertical	3H-90	1-V-L	MTCM	3930	1.0SD + 1.3L + 1.0SF + 1.3H + 1.2T + 1.3W	187	42	D + L + F + H + T + E	131	4.68									
					MCCM	1014	D + L + F + H + T + E	-273	120												
					MMAT	4317	D + L + F + H + T + E	12	328												
					MMAC	1119	1.4D + 1.7F + 1.3H + 1.4To	-127	451												
					MTCM	3087	1.0SD + 1.3L + 1.0SF + 1.3H + 1.2T + 1.3W	204	15												
					MCCM	1197	D + L + F + H + T + E	-290	142												
					MMAT	4375	D + L + F + H + T + E	24	255												
					MMAC	1197	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7F + 1.7H + 1.7W	-239	308												
					MTCM	2139	D + L + F + H + T + E	238	25												
					MCCM	1536	D + L + F + H + T + E	-324	170												
					MMAT	1380	D + L + F + H + T + E	6	344												
					MMAC	1281	1.4D + 1.7F + 1.3H + 1.4To	-129	447												



**Table 3H.6-7 Results of UHS/RSW Pump House Concrete Wall Design (Continued)**

Location	Thickness (ft)	Face	Direction	Reinforcement Drawing Number (1)	Reinforcement Zone Number (2)	Maximum Force (3)	Element	Longitudinal Reinforcement Design Loads				Longitudinal Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Transverse Shear Design Loads				Transverse Shear Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Remarks					
								Axial and Flexure Loads		In-Plane Shear Loads			Horizontal Section		Vertical Section								
								Load Combination	Axial (4) (kips/ft)	Flexure (4) (ft-kips/ft)	Load Combination		In-plane Shear (5) (kips/ft)	Transverse Shear Force (kip/ft)	Corresponding Axial Force (kip/ft)	Transverse Shear Force (kip/ft)			Corresponding Axial Force (kip/ft)				
UHS East Wall East Face (Cont'd)	6	West (Inside)	Horizontal	3H-94	44-L	MTCM 2327	1.4D + 1.7F + 1.3H + 1.4To	348	247	D + L + F + H + T + E	77	9.36	-	-	-	-	-	-					
						MCCM 2414	D + L + F + H + T + E	-128	124														
						MBAT 1980	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7F + 1.7H + 1.7W	75	885														
						MMAC 1980	1.4D + 1.7F + 1.3H + 1.4To	-65	800														
					MTCM 2693	1.4D + 1.7F + 1.3H + 1.4To	239	164	D + L + F + H + T + E	106	6.24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
					MCCM 2879	1.09D + 1.3L + 1.09F + 1.3H + 1.2T + 1.3W	240	233															
					MBAT 2402	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7F + 1.7H + 1.7W	58	749															
					MMAC 2402	1.4D + 1.7F + 1.3H + 1.4To	-84	707															
					MTCM 2436	1.4D + 1.7F + 1.3H + 1.4To	341	334	D + L + F + H + T + E	106	9.36	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
					MCCM 3933	1.09D + 1.3L + 1.09F + 1.3H + 1.2T + 1.3W	256	74															
					MBAT 2441	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7F + 1.7H + 1.7W	176	1101															
					MMAC 3935	D + L + F + H + T + E	-1	1070															
			MTCM 2328	D + L + F + H + T + E	195	173	D + L + F + H + T + E	100	4.68	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
			MCCM 2699	D + L + F + H + T + E	-338	277																	
			MBAT 5206	D + L + F + H + T + E	13	846																	
			MMAC 5206	D + L + F + H + T + E	-4	846																	
			MTCM 2349	D + L + F + H + T + E	251	166	D + L + F + H + T + E	129	6.24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
			MCCM 2690	D + L + F + H + T + E	-375	254																	
			MBAT 4267	D + L + F + H + T + E	25	1097																	
			MMAC 4267	D + L + F + H + T + E	-188	1138																	
			MTCM 2375	D + L + F + H + T + E	266	136	D + L + F + H + T + E	128	4.68	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
			MCCM 2707	D + L + F + H + T + E	-365	242																	
			MBAT 4295	D + L + F + H + T + E	20	795																	
			MMAC 4295	D + L + F + H + T + E	-180	798																	
			MTCM 2625	D + L + F + H + T + E	232	138	D + L + F + H + T + E	129	7.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
			MCCM 2632	D + L + F + H + T + E	-460	679																	
			MBAT 2655	D + L + F + H + T + E	9	1176																	
			MMAC 2655	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7F + 1.7H + 1.7W	-185	1331																	
						Transverse (Horizontal and Vertical)	3H-96																
								1-T	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.4D + 1.7F + 1.3H + 1.4To	-9	-33	99	130	0.20			
								2-T	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.4D + 1.7F + 1.3H + 1.4To	-39	-2	89	263	0.31			
								3-T	-	-	-	-	-	-	D + L + F + H + T + E	204	105	204	428	1.76			
			UHS West Wall West Face	6	West (Outside)	Horizontal	3H-97	14-L	MTCM 5176	1.09D + 1.3L + 1.09F + 1.3H + 1.2T + 1.3W	402	-124	D + L + F + H + T + E	37	14.04	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
									MCCM 5171	D + L + F + H + T + E	-416	-857											
									MBAT 5177	D + L + F + H + T + E	52	-2201											
MMAC 5177	D + L + F + H + T + E	-137							-2201														
MTCM 4514	1.4D + 1.7F + 1.3H + 1.4To	368						-286	D + L + F + H + T + E	64	7.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MCCM 3477	1.09D + 1.3L + 1.09F + 1.3H + 1.2T + 1.3W	-356						-143															
MBAT 3866	D + L + F + H + T + E	32						-864															
MMAC 3866	D + L + F + H + T + E	-275						-909															
MTCM 2222	1.4D + 1.7F + 1.3H + 1.4To	846						-208	D + L + F + H + T + E	117	12.48	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MCCM 2220	D + L + F + H + T + E	-156						-195															
MBAT 2329	D + L + F + H + T + E	240						-517															
MMAC 2329	D + L + F + H + T + E	-113						-416															
MTCM 1996	1.4D + 1.7F + 1.3H + 1.4To	431				-402	D + L + F + H + T + E	117	7.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MCCM 1953	D + L + F + H + T + E	-150				-259																	
MBAT 1923	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7F + 1.7H + 1.7W	109				-651																	
MMAC 2167	D + L + F + H + T + E	-17				-534																	

Table 3H.6-7 Results of UHS/RSW Pump House Concrete Wall Design (Continued)

Location	Thickness (ft)	Face	Direction	Reinforcement Drawing Number (1)	Reinforcement Zone Number (2)	Maximum Force (2)	Element	Longitudinal Reinforcement Design Loads				Longitudinal Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Transverse Shear Design Loads				Transverse Shear Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Remarks												
								Axial and Flexure Loads		In-Plane Shear Loads			Horizontal Section		Vertical Section															
								Load Combination	Axial (4) (kips / ft)	Flexure (4) (ft-kips / ft)	Load Combination		In-plane Shear (5) (kips / ft)	Transverse Shear Force (kip / ft)	Corresponding Axial Force (kip / ft)	Transverse Shear Force (kip / ft)			Corresponding Axial Force (kip / ft)											
UHS Basin West Wall (Cont'd)	6		Horizontal	3H.6-97	5-HL	MTCM 2315	1.4D + 1.7F + 1.3H + 1.4To	466	-360																					
						MCCM 2314	D + L + F + H + T + E	-271	-337	D + L + F + H + T + E	141	7.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-										
						MMAT 2314	D + L + F + H + T + E	3	-614																					
						MMAC 2314	D + L + F + H + T + E	-40	-614																					
						MTCM 2582	1.4D + 1.7F + 1.3H + 1.4To	200	-295	D + L + F + H + T + E	141	6.24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-										
						MCCM 2459	1.0SD + 1.3L + 1.0SF + 1.3H + 1.2T + 1.3W	-214	-44																					
					MMAT 1903	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7F + 1.7H + 1.7W	72	-514																						
					MMAC 1903	1.4D + 1.7F + 1.3H + 1.4To	-49	-481																						
					Vertical	West (outside)	1-V-L	MTCM 2219	1.4D + 1.7F + 1.3H + 1.4To	617	-67	D + L + F + H + T + E	190	9.38	-	-	-	-	-	-	-									
								MCCM 2596	D + L + F + H + T + E	-172	-163																			
								MMAT 2596	D + L + F + H + T + E	73	-604																			
							MMAC 2596	D + L + F + H + T + E	-32	-604																				
							2-V-L	MTCM 2604	D + L + F + H + T + E	238	-118	D + L + F + H + T + E	133	6.24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-								
								MCCM 2408	D + L + F + H + T + E	-278	-99																			
								MMAT 2604	D + L + F + H + T + E	40	-704																			
							MMAC 3860	D + L + F + H + T + E	-75	-725																				
							3-V-L	MTCM 2239	D + L + F + H + T + E	284	-258	D + L + F + H + T + E	162	7.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-								
						MCCM 2608		D + L + F + H + T + E	-379	-150																				
			MMAT 2320	D + L + F + H + T + E		75		-791																						
			MMAC 5170	D + L + F + H + T + E		-295		-1069																						
			MTCM 2242	D + L + F + H + T + E		254		-203																						
			MCCM 2607	D + L + F + H + T + E		-463		-63																						
			4-V-L	MMAT 4263		D + L + F + H + T + E	4	-1011	D + L + F + H + T + E	151	6.24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-											
				MMAC 5176		D + L + F + H + T + E	-286	-1036																						
				MTCM 2246		D + L + F + H + T + E	195	-211																						
			5-V-L	MCCM 2612		D + L + F + H + T + E	-370	-110	D + L + F + H + T + E	116	4.68	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-											
				MMAT 5184	D + L + F + H + T + E	1	-646																							
				MMAC 5178	D + L + F + H + T + E	-73	-376																							
			Horizontal	1-HL	MTCM 4262	1.0SD + 1.3L + 1.0SF + 1.3H + 1.2T + 1.3W	404	132	D + L + F + H + T + E	37	15.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-											
					MCCM 5171	D + L + F + H + T + E	-416	1733																						
					MMAT 5171	D + L + F + H + T + E	288	2357																						
					MMAC 5171	D + L + F + H + T + E	-100	2283																						
					MTCM 4515	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7F + 1.7H + 1.7W	228	128												D + L + F + H + T + E	61	7.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
					MCCM 3887	1.0SD + 1.3L + 1.0SF + 1.3H + 1.2T + 1.3W	-353	60																						
					MMAT 3842	D + L + F + H + T + E	108	1263																						
					MMAC 3887	D + L + F + H + T + E	-73	1233																						
3-HL	MTCM 2220	1.4D + 1.7F + 1.3H + 1.4To			868	1126	D + L + F + H + T + E	120												15.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	MCCM 2314	D + L + F + H + T + E		-271	402																									
	MMAT 2329	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7F + 1.7H + 1.7W		732	1286																									
	MMAC 2329	D + L + F + H + T + E		-33	1199																									
	MTCM 2236	1.4D + 1.7F + 1.3H + 1.4To		521	332	D + L + F + H + T + E			120	10.92	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-												
	MCCM 2183	1.0SD + 1.3L + 1.0SF + 1.3H + 1.2T + 1.3W		-226	276																									
MMAT 2259	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7F + 1.7H + 1.7W	183		1221																										
MMAC 2291	D + L + F + H + T + E	-18		854																										

**Table 3H.6-7 Results of UHS/RSW Pump House Concrete Wall Design (Continued)**

Location	Thickness (ft)	Face	Direction	Reinforcement Layout Drawing Number (1)	Reinforcement Zone Number (2)	Minimum Force (3)	Element	Longitudinal Reinforcement Design Loads				Longitudinal Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Transverse Shear Design Loads				Transverse Shear Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Remarks						
								Axial and Flexure Loads			Load Combination		In-Plane Shear Loads			Load Combination			Horizontal Section		Vertical Section			
								Load Combination	Axial (kips/ft) (4)	Flexure (ft-kips/ft) (4)			Transverse Shear Force (kip/ft)	Corresponding Axial Force (kip/ft)	Transverse Shear Force (kip/ft)				Corresponding Axial Force (kip/ft)					
UHS East West North Wall (Cont'd)	6	East (inside)	Horizontal	3H.6-100	5-HL	MTCM 2311	1.4D + 1.7F + 1.3H + 1.4To	244	275	D + L + F + H + T + E	141	6.24	-	-	-	-	-	-						
						MCCM 2310	D + L + F + H + T + E	-100	242															
						MMAT 2310	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7F + 1.7H + 1.7W	130	729															
					MMAC 2677	D + L + F + H + T + E	-2	534																
					1-VL	MTCM 2219	1.4D + 1.7F + 1.3H + 1.4To	665	61	D + L + F + H + T + E	190	10.92	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
						MCCM 2696	D + L + F + H + T + E	-172	310															
						MMAT 2696	D + L + F + H + T + E	85	775															
					2-VL	MTCM 2237	D + L + F + H + T + E	228	144	D + L + F + H + T + E	133	4.68	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
						MCCM 2410	D + L + F + H + T + E	-347	174															
						MMAT 3846	D + L + F + H + T + E	2	300															
					3-VL	MMAC 5168	D + L + F + H + T + E	-79	440															
						MTCM 2239	D + L + F + H + T + E	284	145	D + L + F + H + T + E	162	7.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
			MCCM 5170	D + L + F + H + T + E		-315	130																	
			MMAT 4235	D + L + F + H + T + E	8	1073																		
			4-VL	MMAC 4235	D + L + F + H + T + E	-204	1160																	
				MTCM 1834	D + L + F + H + T + E	220	244	D + L + F + H + T + E	83	4.68	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				
				MCCM 2173	D + L + F + H + T + E	-293	212																	
			MMAT 4251	D + L + F + H + T + E	2	394																		
			5-VL	MMAC 4239	D + L + F + H + T + E	-112	763																	
				MTCM 2242	D + L + F + H + T + E	254	113	D + L + F + H + T + E	151	6.24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				
				MCCM 2455	D + L + F + H + T + E	-359	174																	
			MMAT 4263	D + L + F + H + T + E	25	839																		
			6-VL	MMAC 4263	D + L + F + H + T + E	-173	841																	
				MTCM 2246	D + L + F + H + T + E	195	138	D + L + F + H + T + E	116	4.68	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				
				MCCM 2456	D + L + F + H + T + E	-309	219																	
			MMAT 5185	D + L + F + H + T + E	7	495																		
			7-VL	MMAC 5179	D + L + F + H + T + E	-21	538																	
				MTCM 2320	D + L + F + H + T + E	255	681	D + L + F + H + T + E	162	9.36	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				
				MCCM 2607	D + L + F + H + T + E	-463	708																	
			MMAT 2324	1.4D + 1.7F + 1.3H + 1.4To	24	1235																		
			MMAC 2324	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7F + 1.7H + 1.7W	-139	1298																		
			UHS East West North Wall (Cont'd)	6	Transverse (horizontal and vertical)	3H.6-101	1-T	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.4D + 1.7F + 1.3H + 1.4To	-10	-73	-100	143	0.20			
							2-T	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	306	1.76	
							3-T	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	71	0.31
							4-T	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	746
			UHS East West North Wall (Cont'd)	6	East and West	Horizontal	3H.6-102	14-HL	MTCM 7788	D + L + F + H + T + E	638	-1066	D + L + F + H + T + E	331	15.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
									MCCM 7788	D + L + F + H + T + E	-408	-981												
								MMAT 7812	D + L + F + H + T + E	350	-1240													
								MMAC 7812	D + L + F + H + T + E	-112	-1240													
						24-HL	MTCM 7417	D + L + F + H + T + E	603	-465	D + L + F + H + T + E	369	9.36	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
MCCM 7417	D + L + F + H + T + E	-534					-275																	
MMAT 7650	D + L + F + H + T + E	188				974																		
MMAC 7650	D + L + F + H + T + E	-149				954																		

Table 3H.6-7 Results of UHS/RSW Pump House Concrete Wall Design (Continued)

Location	Thickness (ft)	Face	Direction	Reinforcement Drawing Number <sup>(1)</sup>	Reinforcement Zone Number <sup>(2)</sup>	Maximum Force <sup>(3)</sup>	Element	Longitudinal Reinforcement Design Loads						Longitudinal Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Transverse Shear Design Loads					Transverse Shear Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Remarks														
								Axial and Flexure Loads			In-Plane Shear Loads				Load Combination	In-plane Shear (kips/ft)	Load Combination	Horizontal Section				Vertical Section													
								Load Combination	Axial <sup>(4)</sup> (kips/ft)	Flexure <sup>(5)</sup> (ft-kips/ft)	Load Combination	Transverse Shear Force (kip/ft)	Corresponding Axial Force (kip/ft)					Transverse Shear Force (kip/ft)	Corresponding Axial Force (kip/ft)																
																						Longitudinal Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Load Combination	Transverse Shear Force (kip/ft)	Corresponding Axial Force (kip/ft)										
UHS Beam North-South Balconies (Cont'd) <sup>6</sup>	6	East and West	Vertical	3H-6-103	1-V/L	MTCM	7424	D+L+F+H+T+E'	742	-114	D+L+F+H+T+E'	237	9.36	-	-	-	-	-	-	-															
						MCCM	7212	D+L+F+H+T+E'	-897	103																									
						MMAT	7845	D+L+F+H+T+E'	124	-1010																									
						MMAC	7845	D+L+F+H+T+E'	-122	-1010																									
					2-V/L	MTCM	7032	D+L+F+H+T+E'	991	397	D+L+F+H+T+E'	237	15.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(8)												
						MCCM	7032	D+L+F+H+T+E'	-692	412																									
						MMAT	7032	D+L+F+H+T+E'	964	555																									
						MMAC	7032	D+L+F+H+T+E'	-411	555																									
					-	Transverse (Horizontal and Vertical)	3H-6-104	1-T	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	D+L+F+H+T+E'	-30	433	-4	47	0.20	-											
								2-T	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	D+L+F+H+T+E'	19	107	68	445	0.31	-										
3-T	-	-	-	-				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	D+L+F+H+T+E'	209	138	205	739	1.76	-														
UHS Beam East-West Balconies <sup>6</sup>	6	North and South	Horizontal	3H-6-105	144L	MTCM	7674	D+L+F+H+T+E'	999	274	D+L+F+H+T+E'	278	9.36	-	-	-	-	-	-	-															
						MCCM	7674	D+L+F+H+T+E'	-1110	-475																									
						MMAT	7681	D+L+F+H+T+E'	246	607																									
						MMAC	7681	D+L+F+H+T+E'	-527	607																									
					244L	MTCM	7511	1.4D+1.7L+1.7E+1.7H+1.7W	166	189	D+L+F+H+T+E'	243	6.24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-												
						MCCM	7491	D+L+F+H+T+E'	-96	155																									
				MMAT		7896	D+L+F+H+T+E'	116	486																										
				MMAC		7865	D+L+F+H+T+E'	-42	298																										
				344L	MTCM	7068	D+L+F+H+T+E'	417	-74	D+L+F+H+T+E'	332	9.36	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-													
					MCCM	7965	D+L+F+H+T+E'	-362	114																										
					MMAT	7335	D+L+F+H+T+E'	125	351																										
					MMAC	7276	D+L+F+H+T+E'	-3	-277																										
			Vertical		1-V/L	MTCM	7489	D+L+F+H+T+E'	418														-98	D+L+F+H+T+E'	284	6.24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
						MCCM	7674	D+L+F+H+T+E'	-692														108												
				MMAT		7489	D+L+F+H+T+E'	29	-251																										
				2-V/L	MTCM	7345	D+L+F+H+T+E'	674	165	D+L+F+H+T+E'	284	9.36	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-														
					MCCM	7289	D+L+F+H+T+E'	-897	213																										
					MMAT	7289	D+L+F+H+T+E'	251	276																										
			3-V/L	MTCM	7067	D+L+F+H+T+E'	974	-421	D+L+F+H+T+E'	284	15.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(8)														
				MCCM	7065	D+L+F+H+T+E'	-916	502																											
				MMAT	7065	D+L+F+H+T+E'	626	587																											
			MMAC	7065	D+L+F+H+T+E'	-700	587																												
			-	Transverse (Horizontal and Vertical)	3H-6-107	1-T	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	D+L+F+H+T+E'	22	889	1	35	0.20	-												
Coping North and South Wall and North-South Tower <sup>6</sup>	2	North (outside of North Wall) and South (outside of South Wall)	Horizontal	3H-6-108	144L	MTCM	1147	D+L+F+H+T+E'	220	-8	D+L+F+H+T+E'	31	6.24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-															
						MCCM	1127	D+L+F+H+T+E'	-171	-34																									
						MMAT	468	D+L+F+H+T+E'	77	-169																									
						MMAC	468	D+L+F+H+T+E'	-12	-169																									
					BEAM 1	MTCM	-	D+L+F+H+T+E'	360	-103	D+L+F+H+T+E'	28	7.49	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(8)												
						MCCM	-	D+L+F+H+T+E'	-547	-107																									
				MMAT	-	D+L+F+H+T+E'	99	-239																											
				MMAC	-	D+L+F+H+T+E'	-151	-239																											

**Table 3H.6-7 Results of UHS/RSW Pump House Concrete Wall Design (Continued)**

Location	Thickness (ft)	Face	Direction	Reinforcement Drawing Number (1)	Reinforcement Zone Number (2)	Maximum Force (3)	Element	Longitudinal Reinforcement Design Loads				Longitudinal Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Transverse Shear Design Loads				Transverse Shear Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Remarks			
								Axial and Flexure Loads			In-Plane Shear Loads		Horizontal Section		Vertical Section						
								Load Combination	Axial (kips/ft)	Flexure (ft-kips/ft)	Load Combination		In-plane Shear (kips/ft)	Transverse Shear Force (kip/ft)	Corresponding Axial Force (kip/ft)	Transverse Shear Force (kip/ft)			Corresponding Axial Force (kip/ft)		
																				Load Combination	Axial (kips/ft)
Coding Tower North and South Walls (Cont'd)	2	North (outside of North Wall) and South (outside of South Wall)	Vertical	3H.6-109	1-V/L	MTCM	580	D + L + F + H + T + E'	282	-23	D + L + F + H + T + E'	87	6.24	-	-	-	-	-	-		
						MCCM	580	D + L + F + H + T + E'	-297	-32											
						MIAAT	580	D + L + F + H + T + E'	124	-45											
						MBAC	580	D + L + F + H + T + E'	-242	-45											
					MTCM	615	D + L + F + H + T + E'	82	-3	D + L + F + H + T + E'	59	1.56	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
					MCCM	552	D + L + F + H + T + E'	-40	-4												
					MIAAT	444	D + L + F + H + T + E'	1	-21												
					MBAC	386	D + L + F + H + T + E'	-16	-24												
					MTCM	644	D + L + F + H + T + E'	167	-56	D + L + F + H + T + E'	59	4.68	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
					MCCM	459	D + L + F + H + T + E'	-239	-67												
					MIAAT	601	D + L + F + H + T + E'	143	-117												
					MBAC	462	D + L + F + H + T + E'	-96	-112												
					MTCM	523	D + L + F + H + T + E'	292	-38	D + L + F + H + T + E'	92	6.24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
					MCCM	523	D + L + F + H + T + E'	-303	-12												
					MIAAT	1135	D + L + F + H + T + E'	285	-39												
					MBAC	1135	D + L + F + H + T + E'	-88	-39												
					MTCM	1147	D + L + F + H + T + E'	220	18	D + L + F + H + T + E'	31	4.68	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
					MCCM	1127	D + L + F + H + T + E'	-171	62												
					MIAAT	667	D + L + F + H + T + E'	48	175												
					MBAC	667	D + L + F + H + T + E'	-44	175												
		MTCM	-	D + L + F + H + T + E'	360	-163	D + L + F + H + T + E'	28	7.49	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(8)		
		MCCM	-	D + L + F + H + T + E'	-547	107															
		MIAAT	-	D + L + F + H + T + E'	99	-239															
		MBAC	-	D + L + F + H + T + E'	-101	-239															
		MTCM	580	D + L + F + H + T + E'	282	24	D + L + F + H + T + E'	87	6.24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
		MCCM	580	D + L + F + H + T + E'	-297	44															
		MIAAT	1	D + L + F + H + T + E'	110	48															
		MBAC	1	D + L + F + H + T + E'	-203	48															
		MTCM	1164	D + L + F + H + T + E'	54	5	D + L + F + H + T + E'	59	1.56	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
		MCCM	552	D + L + F + H + T + E'	-38	3															
		MIAAT	795	D + L + F + H + T + E'	1	22															
		MBAC	983	D + L + F + H + T + E'	-19	24															
		MTCM	392	1.05D + 1.3E + 1.05F + 1.3H + 1.2T + 1.3W	168	5	D + L + F + H + T + E'	59	4.68	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
		MCCM	459	D + L + F + H + T + E'	-239	81															
		MIAAT	860	D + L + F + H + T + E'	108	131															
		MBAC	860	D + L + F + H + T + E'	-166	136															
		MTCM	523	D + L + F + H + T + E'	292	47	D + L + F + H + T + E'	92	6.24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
		MCCM	523	D + L + F + H + T + E'	-303	26															
		MIAAT	1135	D + L + F + H + T + E'	249	50															
		MBAC	1135	D + L + F + H + T + E'	-124	50															
1-T	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	D + L + F + H + T + E'	-	-	17	-15	153	0.80					
2-T	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	D + L + F + H + T + E'	-	34	118	41	178	1.12					
Coding Tower East Wall	6	East (outside)	Horizontal	3H.6-113	1-H/L	MTCM	289	D + L + F + H + T + E'	41	-304	D + L + F + H + T + E'	33	3.12	-	-	-	-	-	-		
						MCCM	294	D + L + F + H + T + W	-60	-19											
						MIAAT	273	D + L + F + H + T + E'	1	-395											
						MBAC	273	D + L + F + H + T + E'	-42	-395											

Table 3H.6-7 Results of UHS/RSW Pump House Concrete Wall Design (Continued)

Location	Thickness (ft)	Face	Direction	Reinforcement Layout Drawing Number (1)	Reinforcement Zone Number (2)	Maximum Force (3)	Element	Longitudinal Reinforcement Design Loads				Longitudinal Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Transverse Shear Design Loads				Transverse Shear Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Remarks		
								Axial and Flexure Loads			In-Plane Shear Loads		Load Combination	Horizontal Section		Vertical Section				
								Load Combination	Axial <sup>(4)</sup> (kips / ft)	Flexure <sup>(4)</sup> (ft-kips / ft)				Transverse Shear Force (kip / ft)	Corresponding Axial Force (kip / ft)	Transverse Shear Force (kip / ft)			Corresponding Axial Force (kip / ft)	
Cooling Tower East Wall (Cont'd)	6	East (outside)	Horizontal	3H.6-113	2-4LL	MTCM	239	D + L + F + H + T + E'	143	-481	D + L + F + H + T + E'	37	9.36	-	-	-	-	-		
						MCCM	231	D + L + F + H + T + E'	-146	-744										
						MMAT	287	D + L + F + H + T + E'	26	-1246										
						MMAC	287	D + L + F + H + T + E'	-103	-1287										
			Vertical	3H.6-114	1-V-L	MTCM	291	D + L + F + H + T + E'	31	-171	D + L + F + H + T + E'	118	3.12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
						MCCM	291	D + L + F + H + T + E'	-115	-74										
						MMAT	283	D + L + F + H + T + E'	7	-195										
						MMAC	275	D + L + F + H + T + E'	-42	-197										
			Vertical	3H.6-114	2-V-L	MTCM	289	D + L + F + H + T + E'	121	-799	D + L + F + H + T + E'	118	6.24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
						MCCM	233	D + L + F + H + T + E'	-297	-152										
						MMAT	287	D + L + F + H + T + E'	1	-1099										
						MMAC	287	D + L + F + H + T + E'	-197	-1110										
		West (inside)	Horizontal	3H.6-115	1-4LL	MTCM	270	D + L + F + H + T + E'	39	189	D + L + F + H + T + E'	33	3.12	-	-	-	-	-	-	
						MCCM	233	D + L + F + H + T + E'	-42	256										
						MMAT	289	D + L + F + H + T + E'	3	295										
						MMAC	289	D + L + F + H + T + E'	-41	295										
			Vertical	3H.6-116	1-V-L	MTCM	291	D + L + F + H + T + E'	31	151	D + L + F + H + T + E'	118	3.12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
						MCCM	235	D + L + F + H + T + E'	-120	71										
						MMAT	283	D + L + F + H + T + E'	3	243										
						MMAC	275	D + L + F + H + T + E'	-35	268										
			Vertical	3H.6-116	2-V-L	MTCM	289	D + L + F + H + T + E'	121	486	D + L + F + H + T + E'	118	6.24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
						MCCM	233	D + L + F + H + T + E'	-297	309										
						MMAT	231	D + L + F + H + T + E'	6	1173										
						MMAC	232	D + L + F + H + T + E'	-160	1212										
Transverse (Horizontal and Vertical)	3H.6-116A	1-T	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	D + L + F + H + T + E'	177	-75	125	5	0.60		
		2-T	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	D + L + F + H + T + E'	-131	239	-32	43	0.44	
Cooling Tower West Wall	6	Horizontal	3H.6-117	1-4LL	MTCM	193	D + L + F + H + T + E'	42	-266	D + L + F + H + T + E'	31	3.12	-	-	-	-	-			
					MCCM	225	D + L + F + H + T + W	-60	-23											
					MMAT	204	D + L + F + H + T + E'	6	-388											
					MMAC	204	D + L + F + H + T + E'	-49	-391											
			Vertical	3H.6-117	2-4LL	MTCM	210	D + L + F + H + T + E'	133	-283	D + L + F + H + T + E'	35	7.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	
						MCCM	29	D + L + F + H + T + E'	-172	-766										
						MMAT	218	D + L + F + H + T + E'	10	-1296										
						MMAC	218	D + L + F + H + T + E'	-117	-1306										
		Vertical	3H.6-118	1-V-L	MTCM	222	D + L + F + H + T + E'	35	-173	D + L + F + H + T + E'	112	3.12	-	-	-	-	-	-		
					MCCM	222	D + L + F + H + T + E'	-118	-53											
					MMAT	214	D + L + F + H + T + E'	7	-198											
					MMAC	206	D + L + F + H + T + E'	-45	-200											
			Vertical	3H.6-118	2-V-L	MTCM	220	D + L + F + H + T + E'	123	-770	D + L + F + H + T + E'	112	6.24	-	-	-	-	-	-	
						MCCM	220	D + L + F + H + T + E'	-295	-148										
						MMAT	218	D + L + F + H + T + E'	8	-1083										
						MMAC	218	D + L + F + H + T + E'	-193	-1094										

**Table 3H.6-7 Results of UHS/RSW Pump House Concrete Wall Design (Continued)**

Location	Thickness (ft)	Face	Direction	Reinforcement Layout Drawing Number <sup>(1)</sup>	Reinforcement Zone Number <sup>(2)</sup>	Maximum Force <sup>(3)</sup>	Longitudinal Reinforcement Design Loads				Longitudinal Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Transverse Shear Design Loads				Transverse Shear Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Remarks	
							Axial and Flexure Loads			In-Plane Shear Loads		Horizontal Section		Vertical Section				
							Load Combination	Axial <sup>(4)</sup> (kips / ft)	Flexure <sup>(4)</sup> (ft-kips / ft)	Load Combination		In-plane Shear <sup>(5)</sup> (kips / ft)	Transverse Shear Force (kips / ft)	Corresponding Axial Force (kips / ft)	Transverse Shear Force (kips / ft)			Corresponding Axial Force (kips / ft)
Cooling Tower West Wall (Cont'd)	6	East (inside)	Horizontal	3H-6-119	1-H-L	MTCM 193	D + L + F + H + T + E'	42	238	D + L + F + H + T + E'	31	3.12	-	-	-	-	-	
					MCCM 220	D + L + F + H + T + E'	-62	299										
					MMAT 220	D + L + F + H + T + E'	3	299										
					MMAC 220	D + L + F + H + T + E'	-62	299										
			MTCM 210	D + L + F + H + T + E'	133	139	D + L + F + H + T + E'	35	7.8	-	-	-	-	-				
			MCCM 29	D + L + F + H + T + E'	-172	979												
			MMAT 29	D + L + F + H + T + E'	94	1484												
			MMAC 29	D + L + F + H + T + E'	-16	1484												
		Vertical	3H-6-120	1-V-L	MTCM 222	D + L + F + H + T + E'	35	164	D + L + F + H + T + E'	112	3.12	-	-	-	-	-	-	
				MCCM 33	D + L + F + H + T + E'	-119	56											
				MMAT 214	D + L + F + H + T + E'	3	248											
			MTCM 208	D + L + F + H + T + E'	-37	280	D + L + F + H + T + E'	112	6.24	-	-	-	-	-	-			
			MCCM 220	D + L + F + H + T + E'	123	844												
			MMAT 29	D + L + F + H + T + E'	7	1187												
MMAC 30	D + L + F + H + T + E'	-186	1251															
Transverse (horizontal and vertical)	3H-6-120A	1-T	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	D + L + F + H + T + E'	177	-70	124	8	0.80	
	2-T	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	D + L + F + H + T + E'	-123	239	-42	40	0.31	
Cooling Tower Interior Wall	2	East and West	Horizontal	3H-6-121	1-H-L	MTCM 2427	D + L + F + H + T + E'	83	-116	D + L + F + H + T + E'	30	3.12	-	-	-	-	-	
					MCCM 1387	D + L + F + H + T + E'	-117	-11										
					MMAT 2427	D + L + F + H + T + E'	19	-139										
					MMAC 2427	D + L + F + H + T + E'	-9	-139										
			MTCM 2633	D + L + F + H + T + E'	378	89	D + L + F + H + T + E'	44	6.24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
			MCCM 2633	D + L + F + H + T + E'	-232	-90												
			MMAT 2428	D + L + F + H + T + E'	81	-125												
			MMAC 2428	D + L + F + H + T + E'	-4	-125												
		Vertical	3H-6-122	1-V-L	MTCM 2428	D + L + F + H + T + E'	31	23	D + L + F + H + T + E'	44	1.66	-	-	-	-	-	-	
				MCCM 2428	D + L + F + H + T + E'	-67	-20											
				MMAT 2451	D + L + F + H + T + E'	2	-64											
			MTCM 1568	D + L + F + H + T + E'	-40	-66	D + L + F + H + T + E'	44	4.68	-	-	-	-	-	-			
			MCCM 2633	D + L + F + H + T + E'	-220	-54												
			MMAT 1520	D + L + F + H + T + E'	31	-147												
MMAC 1520	D + L + F + H + T + E'	-63	-147															
Transverse (horizontal and vertical)	3H-6-122A	1-T	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	D + L + F + H + T + E'	15	128	11	270	0.80	

**Notes:**

- (1) The reinforcement layout drawings show the various zones used to define the minimum reinforcement that will be provided based on finite element analysis results. Actual provided reinforcement based on final rebar layout may exceed the reported provided reinforcement and the zones with higher reinforcement may be extended beyond their reported boundaries. See Figures 3H.6-40a through 3H.6-40c for the wall and slab labeling conventions for the RSW Pump House, UHS Basin, and Cooling Tower.
- (2) Each reinforcement layout drawing is divided into reinforcement zones. The reinforcement zone naming convention is as follows: "H" = horizontal, "V" = vertical, "L" = longitudinal reinforcement, "T" = transverse reinforcement.
- (3) The maximum tension (MTCM) and compression (MCCM) axial forces are provided with the corresponding moment from the same load combination. The maximum moment that has a corresponding tension (MMAT) in the same load combination and the maximum moment that has a corresponding compression (MMAC) in the same load combination are also provided. For zones where either axial tension or axial compression does not occur for any load combination, dashes are input into the corresponding cell.
- (4) Negative axial load is compression and positive axial load is tension. Negative moment applies tension to the top face of the shell element and positive moment applies tension to the bottom face of the shell element.
- (5) The reported in-plane shear is the maximum average in-plane shear along a plane that crosses the longitudinal reinforcement zone.
- (6) NOT USED.
- (7) The Pump House Operating Floor and Roof slab thickness includes the metal decking (2.5 inches).
- (8) For certain areas of the structure, the standard element post-processing methods were too conservative. For such cases, detailed manual design was performed and the design forces determined by the detailed manual design are provided in the table.
- (9) The transverse reinforcement for the UHS Basin and RSW Pump House Buttresses is spaced with a maximum center-to-center spacing of 4'.

Table 3H.6-8 Results of UHS/RSW Pump House Concrete Slab Design

Location	Thickness (ft)	Face	Direction	Reinforcement Layout Drawing Number (1)	Reinforcement Zone Number (2)	Reinforcement Detail (3)	Element	Longitudinal Reinforcement Design Loads					Longitudinal Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Transverse Shear Design Loads				Transverse Shear Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Remarks						
								Axial and Flexure Loads			In-Plane Shear Loads			Load Combination	In-plane Shear (kips/ft) (5)	Horizontal Section				Vertical Section					
								Load Combination	Axial (kips / ft) (4)	Flexure (ft-kips / ft) (4)	Load Combination	Transverse Shear Force (kip/ft)				Corresponding Axial Force (kip/ft)	Transverse Shear Force (kip/ft)			Corresponding Axial Force (kip/ft)					
Pump House Foundation Mat	10		East-West	3H.6-123	1-HL	MTCM 9644	1.05D + 1.3L + 1.05F + 1.3H + 1.2T + 1.3W	330	-17																
						MCCM 9637	D + L + F + H + T + E	-95	-70	D + L + F + H + T + E															
						MMAT 13467	D + L + F + H + T + E	7	-950																
						MMAC 13467	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7F + 1.7H + 1.7W	-16	-1027																
						MTCM 13481	D + L + F + H + T + E	227	-30																
						MCCM 13549	D + L + F + H + T + E	-181	-175	D + L + F + H + T + E															
				2-HL	MMAT 10584	1.4D + 1.4F + 1.7H + 1.7W	1	-376																	
					MMAC 10553	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7F + 1.7H + 1.7W	-104	-1213																	
					MTCM 13535	D + L + F + H + T + E	303	-113																	
					MCCM 13490	D + L + F + H + T + E	-135	-39	D + L + F + H + T + E																
					MMAT 13467	D + L + F + H + T + E	10	-1256																	
					MMAC 13467	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7F + 1.7H + 1.7W	-31	-1585																	
			North-South	3H.6-124	2-VL	MTCM 9051	D + L + F + H + T + E	40	-285																
						MCCM 9659	D + L + F + H + T + E	-197	-206	D + L + F + H + T + E															
						MMAT 9614	D + L + F + H + T + E	9	-953																
						MMAC 9614	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7F + 1.7H + 1.7W	-23	-1501																
						MTCM 13550	1.05D + 1.3L + 1.05F + 1.3H + 1.2T + 1.3W	318	-102																
						MCCM 13470	D + L + F + H + T + E	-155	-434	D + L + F + H + T + E															
			3-VL	MMAT 13470	D + L + F + H + T + E	16	-817																		
				MMAC 13470	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7F + 1.7H + 1.7W	-41	-1046																		
				MTCM 9645	1.05D + 1.3L + 1.05F + 1.3H + 1.2T + 1.3W	373	142																		
				MCCM 9637	D + L + F + H + T + E	-79	23	D + L + F + H + T + E																	
				MMAT 13470	1.4D + 1.4F + 1.7H + 1.7W	15	1047																		
				MMAC 13470	D + L + F + H + T + E	-24	938																		
East-West	3H.6-125	1-HL	MTCM 10645	D + L + F + H + T + E	64	307																			
			MCCM 13549	D + L + F + H + T + E	-181	377	D + L + F + H + T + E																		
			MMAT 10633	1.4D + 1.4F + 1.7H + 1.7W	0	1068																			
		2-HL	MMAC 10633	D + L + F + H + T + E	-150	1935																			
			MTCM 13564	D + L + F + H + T + E	74	519																			
			MCCM 10617	D + L + F + H + T + E	-199	2116	D + L + F + H + T + E																		
	3-HL	MMAT 10615	1.4D + 1.4F + 1.7H + 1.7W	0	1399																				
		MMAC 10617	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7F + 1.7H + 1.7W	-164	2525																				
		MTCM 10776	D + L + F + H + T + E	61	484																				
	4-HL	MCCM 10699	D + L + F + H + T + E	-154	123	D + L + F + H + T + E																			
		MMAT 10633	1.4D + 1.4F + 1.7H + 1.7W	1	1113																				
		MMAC 10633	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7F + 1.7H + 1.7W	-130	1927																				
5-HL	MTCM 13481	D + L + F + H + T + E	227	288																					
	MCCM 10695	D + L + F + H + T + E	-113	47	D + L + F + H + T + E																				
	MMAT 13646	D + L + F + H + T + E	132	926																					
MMAC 13646	1.4D + 1.4F + 1.7H + 1.7W	-8	1191																						
	North-South	1-VL	MTCM 13535	D + L + F + H + T + E	303	200																			
			MCCM 13490	D + L + F + H + T + E	-136	135	D + L + F + H + T + E																		
MMAT 13549			D + L + F + H + T + E	225	621																				
2-VL		MMAC 13467	1.4D + 1.4F + 1.7H + 1.7W	-84	685																				
		MTCM 10517	D + L + F + H + T + E	62	449																				
		MCCM 9659	D + L + F + H + T + E	-197	282	D + L + F + H + T + E																			
MMAT 10775	D + L + F + H + T + E	1	915																						
MMAC 10791	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7F + 1.7H + 1.7W	-143	1959																						

**Table 3H.6-8 Results of UHS/RSW Pump House Concrete Slab Design (Continued)**

Location	Thickness (ft)	Face	Direction	Reinforcement Layout Drawing Number <sup>(1)</sup>	Reinforcement Zone Number <sup>(2)</sup>	Minimum Force <sup>(3)</sup>	Element	Longitudinal Reinforcement Design Loads				Longitudinal Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Transverse Shear Design Loads				Transverse Shear Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Remarks			
								Axial and Flexure Loads			In-Plane Shear Loads		Load Combination	Transverse Shear Force (kip/ft)	Horizontal Section				Vertical Section		
								Load Combination	Axial <sup>(4)</sup> (kips/ft)	Flexure <sup>(4)</sup> (ft-kips/ft)	In-plane Shear <sup>(5)</sup> (kips/ft)				Transverse Shear Force (kip/ft)	Corresponding Axial Force (kip/ft)			Transverse Shear Force (kip/ft)	Corresponding Axial Force (kip/ft)	
Pump House Foundation Mat (Cont'd)	10	Bottom	North-South	3H.6-126	3-V/L	MTCM 13552	1.05D + 1.3L + 1.05F + 1.3H + 1.2T + 1.3W	315	288	D + L + F + H + T + E	50	7.8	-	-	-	-	-	-			
						MCCM 13470	D + L + F + H + T + E	-155	965												
						MMAT 13470	D + L + F + H + T + E	9	892												
						MMAC 13470	1.4D + 1.4F + 1.7H + 1.7W	-65	1192												
-	-	-	Transverse (East-West and North-South)	3H.6-126A	1-T	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7F + 1.7H + 1.7W	-155	-37	199	-31	0.31			
						2-T	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7F + 1.7H + 1.7W	254	-147	-6	-35	0.2			
UHS Slab Pump House	1.75	Top	East-West	3H.6-127	1-H/L	MTCM 13046	D + L + F + H + T + E	49	-16	D + L + F + H + T + E	98	3.81	-	-	-	-	-	-			
						MCCM 13105	D + L + F + H + T + E	-332	-1												
						MMAT 12434	1.4D + 1.4F + 1.7H + 1.7W	4	-64												
						MMAC 12434	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7F + 1.7H + 1.7W	-34	-68												
			North-South	3H.6-128	1-V/L	MTCM 13120	D + L + F + H + T + E	31	-3	D + L + F + H + T + E	87	2.54	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
						MCCM 12860	D + L + F + H + T + E	-294	-6												
						MMAT 12389	1.4D + 1.4F + 1.7H + 1.7W	0	-32												
						MMAC 13046	D + L + F + H + T + E	-126	-36												
		Bottom	East-West	3H.6-129	1-H/L	MTCM 12649	D + L + F + H (Internal Flood)	74	7	D + L + F + H + T + E	98	2.54	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
						MCCM 13105	D + L + F + H + T + E	-332	0												
						MMAT 12907	1.4D + 1.4F + 1.7H + 1.7W	1	18												
						MMAC 12070	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7F + 1.7H + 1.7W	-42	19												
			North-South	3H.6-130	1-V/L	MTCM 13120	D + L + F + H + T + E	31	5	D + L + F + H + T + E	87	2.54	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
						MCCM 12860	D + L + F + H + T + E	-294	4												
						MMAT 13052	D + L + F + H (Internal Flood)	1	15												
						MMAC 13052	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7F + 1.7H + 1.7W	-103	25												
UHS Slab Pump House	10	Top	East-West	3H.6-131	1-H/L	MTCM 13149	D + L + F + H + T + E	381	-399	D + L + F + H + T + E	187	8	-	-	-	-	-	-			
						MCCM 13149	D + L + F + H + T + E	-281	-341												
						MMAT 13149	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7F + 1.7H + 1.7W	42	-1286												
						MMAC 13147	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7F + 1.7H + 1.7W	-80	-1134												
						MTCM 13197	1.4D + 1.7F + 1.3H + 1.4T <sub>o</sub>	628	-377												
						MCCM 13251	D + L + F + H + T + E	-701	-1499												
			2-H/L	MTCM 13251	D + L + F + H + T + E	402	-2467	D + L + F + H + T + E	63	16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
				MCCM 13251	D + L + F + H + T + E	-402	-2467														
				MMAT 13251	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7F + 1.7H + 1.7W	-93	-2443														
				MTCM 11989	1.4D + 1.7F + 1.3H + 1.4T <sub>o</sub>	562	-572														
				MCCM 12117	D + L + F + H + T + E	-658	-542														
				MMAT 11319	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7F + 1.7H + 1.7W	77	-3055														
		3-H/L	MTCM 11319	D + L + F + H + T + E	-17	-3014	D + L + F + H + T + E	101	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
			MCCM 11961	1.4D + 1.7F + 1.3H + 1.4T <sub>o</sub>	447	-1446															
			MCCM 12124	D + L + F + H + T + E	-229	-361															
			MMAT 11317	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7F + 1.7H + 1.7W	166	-4436															
			MMAC 11317	D + L + F + H + T + E	-44	-3665															
			MTCM 14465	1.4D + 1.7F + 1.3H + 1.4T <sub>o</sub>	200	-860															
		5-H/L	MTCM 14665	D + L + F + H + T + E	-112	-121	D + L + F + H + T + E	104	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
			MCCM 14667	D + L + F + H + T + E	-112	-121															
			MMAT 14683	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7F + 1.7H + 1.7W	171	-1140															
			MMAC 11933	D + L + F + H + T + E	-25	-979															
			MTCM 11958	1.4D + 1.7F + 1.3H + 1.4T <sub>o</sub>	662	-2670															
			MCCM 11958	D + L + F + H + T + E	-310	-1252															
6-H/L	MTCM 11958	D + L + F + H + T + E	410	-4583	D + L + F + H + T + E	104	24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-					
	MCCM 11958	D + L + F + H + T + E	-410	-4583																	
	MMAT 11958	D + L + F + H + T + E	-17	-4200																	
	MMAC 11958	D + L + F + H + T + E	-17	-4200																	

Table 3H.6-8 Results of UHS/RSW Pump House Concrete Slab Design (Continued)

Location	Thickness (ft)	Face	Direction	Reinforcement Layout Drawing Number (1)	Reinforcement Area Number (2)	Maximum Force (3)	Element	Longitudinal Reinforcement Design Loads				Longitudinal Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Transverse Shear Design Loads				Transverse Shear Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Remarks				
								Axial and Flexure Loads			In-Plane Shear Loads		Load Combination	In-plane Shear (kips/ft)	Horizontal Section				Vertical Section			
								Load Combination	Axial (kips) (4)	Flexure (ft-kips) (4)	Load Combination				Load Combination	Transverse Shear Force (kip/ft)			Corresponding Axial Force (kip/ft)	Transverse Shear Force (kip/ft)	Corresponding Axial Force (kip/ft)	
UHS Slab Pump House (See Cont'd)	10	Top	East-West	3H.6-131	7-HL	MTCM 11511	1.4D + 1.7F + 1.3H + 1.4To	344	-1199	D + L + F + H + T + E	78	16	-	-	-	-	-	-				
						MCCM 11511	D + L + F + H + T + E	-146	-724													
						MMAT 11500	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7F + 1.7H + 1.7W	107	-3819													
						MMAC 11510	D + L + F + H + T + E	-9	-2432													
						8-HL	MTCM 11764	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7F + 1.7H + 1.7W	534	-3021	D + L + F + H + T + E	77	24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
						MCCM 11764	D + L + F + H + T + E	-307	-1268													
						MMAT 11764	D + L + F + H + T + E	337	-4002													
						MMAC 11764	D + L + F + H + T + E	-19	-3665													
						9-HL	MTCM 11539	1.4D + 1.7F + 1.3H + 1.4To	247	-502	D + L + F + H + T + E	104	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
						MCCM 10977	D + L + F + H + T + E	-172	-508													
						MMAT 10971	D + L + F + H + T + E	90	-1467													
						MMAC 10971	D + L + F + H + T + E	-49	-1467													
10-HL	MTCM 11407	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7F + 1.7H + 1.7W	538	-3374	D + L + F + H + T + E	104	24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-						
MCCM 11407	D + L + F + H + T + E	-340	-1048																			
MMAT 11407	D + L + F + H + T + E	335	-4724																			
MMAC 11407	D + L + F + H + T + E	-10	-4724																			
11-HL	MTCM 11004	1.4D + 1.7F + 1.3H + 1.4To	233	-745	D + L + F + H + T + E	77	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-						
MCCM 11004	D + L + F + H + T + E	-160	-918																			
MMAT 11005	D + L + F + H + T + E	101	-2779																			
MMAC 11005	D + L + F + H + T + E	-2	-2616																			
12-HL	MTCM 11245	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7F + 1.7H + 1.7W	505	-3992	D + L + F + H + T + E	77	24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-						
MCCM 11245	D + L + F + H + T + E	-310	-1643																			
MMAT 11245	D + L + F + H + T + E	326	-4418																			
MMAC 11245	D + L + F + H + T + E	-4	-4418																			
13-HL	MTCM 11050	1.4D + 1.7F + 1.3H + 1.4To	190	-731	D + L + F + H + T + E	104	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-						
MCCM 11048	D + L + F + H + T + E	-118	-343																			
MMAT 11050	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7F + 1.7H + 1.7W	187	-1179																			
MMAC 11048	D + L + F + H + T + E	-6	-366																			
14-HL	MTCM 11776	1.4D + 1.7F + 1.3H + 1.4To	262	-1079	D + L + F + H + T + E	72	16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-						
MCCM 11776	D + L + F + H + T + E	-127	-543																			
MMAT 11854	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7F + 1.7H + 1.7W	209	-3554																			
MMAC 11158	D + L + F + H + T + E	-4	-2709																			
15-HL	MTCM 11771	1.4D + 1.7F + 1.3H + 1.4To	174	-478	D + L + F + H + T + E	69	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-						
MCCM 11718	D + L + F + H + T + E	-114	-569																			
MMAT 11773	D + L + F + H + T + E	58	-1791																			
MMAC 11773	D + L + F + H + T + E	-5	-1791																			
16-HL	MTCM 11914	1.4D + 1.7F + 1.3H + 1.4To	244	-538	D + L + F + H + T + E	69	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-						
MCCM 11139	D + L + F + H + T + E	-105	-137																			
MMAT 11852	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7F + 1.7H + 1.7W	103	-3315																			
MMAC 11158	D + L + F + H + T + E	-5	-4943																			
17-HL	MTCM 11157	1.4D + 1.7F + 1.3H + 1.4To	164	-705	D + L + F + H + T + E	69	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-						
MCCM 11205	D + L + F + H + T + E	-85	-81																			
MMAT 11157	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7F + 1.7H + 1.7W	66	-1389																			
MMAC 11205	D + L + F + H + T + E	-24	-1222																			
18-HL	MTCM 11225	1.4D + 1.7F + 1.3H + 1.4To	232	-751	D + L + F + H + T + E	72	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-						
MCCM 11263	D + L + F + H + T + E	-165	-756																			
MMAT 11222	D + L + F + H + T + E	106	-2950																			
MMAC 11222	D + L + F + H + T + E	-9	-2968																			

**Table 3H.6-8 Results of UHS/RSW Pump House Concrete Slab Design (Continued)**

Location	Thickness (ft)	Face	Direction	Reinforcement Layout Drawing Number (1)	Reinforcement Zone Number (2)	Minimum Force <sup>(3)</sup>	Longitudinal Reinforcement Design Loads				Longitudinal Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Transverse Shear Design Loads				Transverse Shear Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Remarks
							Axial and Flexure Loads			In-Plane Shear Loads		Horizontal Section		Vertical Section			
							Load Combination	Axial <sup>(4)</sup> (kips / ft)	Flexure <sup>(4)</sup> (ft-kips / ft)			Load Combination	In-plane Shear (kips / ft)	Transverse Shear Force (kip / ft)	Corresponding Axial Force (kip / ft)		
UHS (Cont'd)	10	Top	East-West	3H.6-131	19-H/L	MTCM 11635	1.4D + 1.7F + 1.3H + 1.4Ts	930	-199	D + L + F + H + T + E	21	16	-	-	-	-	-
						MCCM 10961	D + L + F + H + T + E	474	-88								
						MMAT 11041	1.4D + 1.7F + 1.3H + 1.4Ts	442	-666								
						MMAC 11041	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7F + 1.7H + 1.7W	-100	-1191								
						MTCM 4577	1.4D + 1.7F + 1.3H + 1.4Ts	899	-105								
						MCCM 8336	D + L + F + H + T + E	740	-47								
						MMAT 13148	D + L + F + H + T + E	125	-1398								
						MMAC 13148	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7F + 1.7H + 1.7W	-688	-1840								
						MTCM 11958	1.4D + 1.4F + 1.7H + 1.7W	213	-40								
						MCCM 11940	D + L + F + H + T + E	-179	-960								
						MMAT 11944	D + L + F + H + T + E	94	-1281								
						MMAC 11746	D + L + F + H + T + E	-36	-1230								
					MTCM 13246	D + L + F + H + T + E	250	-523									
					MCCM 13246	D + L + F + H + T + E	-539	-748									
					MMAT 13246	D + L + F + H + T + E	53	-1003									
					MMAC 13246	D + L + F + H + T + E	-160	-1003									
					MTCM 12085	1.4D + 1.4F + 1.7H + 1.7W	201	-341									
					MCCM 12117	D + L + F + H + T + E	-304	-780									
					MMAT 12097	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7F + 1.7H + 1.7W	95	-1609									
					MMAC 12117	1.4D + 1.7F + 1.3H + 1.4Ts	-188	-1592									
					MTCM 12060	1.4D + 1.4F + 1.7H + 1.7W	552	-2097									
					MCCM 12060	D + L + F + H + T + E	-450	-629									
					MMAT 12060	D + L + F + H + T + E	262	-2862									
					MMAC 12060	D + L + F + H + T + E	-22	-2756									
			MTCM 12109	1.4D + 1.4F + 1.7H + 1.7W	494	-2535											
			MCCM 12109	D + L + F + H + T + E	-475	-724											
			MMAT 12109	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7F + 1.7H + 1.7W	398	-3394											
			MMAC 12109	D + L + F + H + T + E	-6	-3043											
			MTCM 11317	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7F + 1.7H + 1.7W	696	-4489											
			MCCM 11332	D + L + F + H + T + E	-322	-512											
			MMAT 11317	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7F + 1.7H + 1.7W	696	-4459											
			MMAC 11317	D + L + F + H + T + E	-3	-3649											
			MTCM 11396	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7F + 1.7H + 1.7W	274	-1481											
			MCCM 11393	D + L + F + H + T + E	-168	-771											
			MMAT 11245	D + L + F + H + T + E	99	-3775											
			MMAC 11407	D + L + F + H + T + E	-2	-3620											
			MTCM 11776	1.4D + 1.7F + 1.3H + 1.4Ts	257	-1507											
			MCCM 11974	D + L + F + H + T + E	-191	-231											
			MMAT 11958	D + L + F + H + T + E	133	-3670											
			MMAC 11958	D + L + F + H + T + E	-54	-3326											
			MTCM 11764	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7F + 1.7H + 1.7W	324	-624											
			MCCM 11975	D + L + F + H + T + E	-211	-36											
			MMAT 11779	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7F + 1.7H + 1.7W	274	-2157											
			MMAC 11779	D + L + F + H + T + E	-24	-1771											
			MTCM 11775	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7F + 1.7H + 1.7W	520	-2762											
			MCCM 11775	D + L + F + H + T + E	-282	-590											
			MMAT 11750	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7F + 1.7H + 1.7W	494	-3795											
			MMAC 11775	D + L + F + H + T + E	22	-3366											

Table 3H.6-8 Results of UHS/RSW Pump House Concrete Slab Design (Continued)

Location	Thickness (ft)	Face	Direction	Reinforcement Drawing Number <sup>(1)</sup>	Reinforcement Zone Number <sup>(2)</sup>	Maximum Moment <sup>(3)</sup>	Element	Longitudinal Reinforcement Design Loads				Longitudinal Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Transverse Shear Design Loads				Transverse Shear Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Remarks	
								Axial and Flexure Loads			In-Plane Shear Loads		Horizontal Section		Vertical Section				
								Load Combination	Axial <sup>(4)</sup> (kips / ft)	Moment <sup>(4)</sup> (ft-kips / ft)	Load Combination		In-Plane Shear <sup>(5)</sup> (kips / ft)	Load Combination	Transverse Shear Force (kips / ft)	Corresponding Axial Force (kips / ft)			Load Combination

- Notes:
- (1) The reinforcement layout drawings show the various zones used to define the minimum reinforcement that will be provided based on finite element analysis results. Actual provided reinforcement based on final rebar layout may exceed the reported provided reinforcement and the zones with higher reinforcement may be extended beyond their reported boundaries. See Figures 3H-6-40a through 3H-6-40c for the wall and slab labeling conventions for the RSW Pump House, UHS Basin, and Cooling Tower.
  - (2) Each reinforcement layout drawing is divided into reinforcement zones. The reinforcement zone naming convention is as follows: "H" = horizontal, "V" = vertical, "L" = longitudinal reinforcement, "T" = transverse reinforcement.
  - (3) The maximum tension (MTCM) and compression (MCMC) axial forces are provided with the corresponding moment from the same load combination. The maximum moment that has a corresponding tension (MMAT) in the same load combination and the maximum moment that has a corresponding compression (MMAC) in the same load combination are also provided. For zones where either axial tension or axial compression does not occur for any load combination, dashes are input into the corresponding cell.
  - (4) Negative axial load is compression and positive axial load is tension. Negative moment applies tension to the top face of the shell element and positive moment applies tension to the bottom face of the shell element.
  - (5) The reported in-plane shear is the maximum average in-plane shear along a plane that crosses the longitudinal reinforcement zone.
  - (6) NOT USED.
  - (7) The Pump House Operating Floor and Roof slab thickness includes the metal decking (2.5 inches).
  - (8) For certain areas of the structure, the standard element post-processing methods were too conservative. For such cases, detailed manual design was performed and the design forces determined by the detailed manual design are provided in the table.
  - (9) The transverse reinforcement for the UHS Basin and RSW Pump House Buttresses is spaced with a maximum center-to-center spacing of 4".

10	Top	North-South	3H-6-132			MCMC	11858	D + L + F + H + T + E'	-30	-3966	D + L + F + H + T + E'	117	28							
						MTCM	11854	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7F + 1.7H + 1.7W	887	-5307										
						MCCM	11839	D + L + F + H + T + E'	-303	-311										
						MMAT	11854	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7F + 1.7H + 1.7W	676	-5331										
						MMAC	11839	D + L + F + H + T + E'	-4	-3967										
						MTCM	11311	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7F + 1.7H + 1.7W	330	-343										
						MCCM	12118	D + L + F + H + T + E'	-264	-43										
						MMAT	10848	D + L + F + H + T + E'	75	-992										
						MMAC	11702	D + L + F + H + T + E'	-121	-1085										
						MTCM	11859	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7F + 1.7H + 1.7W	354	-1316										
						MCCM	11861	D + L + F + H + T + E'	-177	-328										
						MMAT	11855	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7F + 1.7H + 1.7W	227	-3419										
MMAC	11855	D + L + F + H + T + E'	-4	-3071																
MTCM	11918	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7F + 1.7H + 1.7W	724	-5436																
MCCM	11903	D + L + F + H + T + E'	-307	-559																
MMAT	11918	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7F + 1.7H + 1.7W	720	-5506																
MMAC	11903	D + L + F + H + T + E'	-3	-4321																
MTCM	11326	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7F + 1.7H + 1.7W	361	-666																
MCCM	11326	D + L + F + H + T + E'	-176	-159																
MMAT	11390	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7F + 1.7H + 1.7W	260	-1488																
MMAC	10966	D + L + F + H + T + E'	-21	-1322																
MTCM	10922	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7F + 1.7H + 1.7W	308	-419																
MCCM	11210	D + L + F + H + T + E'	-124	-648																
MMAT	11206	D + L + F + H + T + E'	107	-3552																
MMAC	11206	D + L + F + H + T + E'	0	-2085																
MTCM	11222	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7F + 1.7H + 1.7W	624	-2890																
MCCM	11222	D + L + F + H + T + E'	-262	-1056																
MMAT	11222	D + L + F + H + T + E'	308	-3746																
MMAC	11222	D + L + F + H + T + E'	-16	-3617																
MTCM	11801	1.4D + 1.7F + 1.3H + 1.4To	192	-884																
MCCM	11880	D + L + F + H + T + E'	-91	-215																
MMAT	11248	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7F + 1.7H + 1.7W	71	-1303																
MMAC	11737	D + L + F + H + T + E'	-3	-3024																
MTCM	11423	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7F + 1.7H + 1.7W	171	-242																
MCCM	11263	D + L + F + H + T + E'	-158	-822																
MMAT	11263	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7F + 1.7H + 1.7W	3	-1518																
MMAC	11251	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7F + 1.7H + 1.7W	-2	-1482																

3H-6-132 (Cont'd)



Table 3H.6-8 Results of UHS/RSW Pump House Concrete Slab Design (Continued)

Location	Thickness (ft)	Face	Direction	Reinforcement Layout Drawing Number <sup>(1)</sup>	Reinforcement Zone Number <sup>(2)</sup>	Maximum Force <sup>(3)</sup>	Element	Longitudinal Reinforcement Design Loads				Longitudinal Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Transverse Shear Design Loads				Transverse Shear Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Remarks			
								Axial and Flexure Loads		In-Plane Shear Loads			Load Combination	Horizontal Section		Vertical Section					
								Load Combination	Axial (kip/ft) <sup>(4)</sup>	Flexure (ft-kip/ft) <sup>(4)</sup>	Load Combination			In-plane Shear (kips/ft) <sup>(5)</sup>	Transverse Shear Force (kip/ft)	Corresponding Axial Force (kip/ft)			Transverse Shear Force (kip/ft)	Corresponding Axial Force (kip/ft)	
UHS/RSW Pump House	10	Bottom	East-West	3H.6-133	12-HL	MTCM 11467	D + L + F + H + T + E	343	2209	D + L + F + H + T + E	104	16	-	-	-	-	-	-			
						MCCM 11467	D + L + F + H + T + E	-340	3102												
						MMAT 11467	D + L + F + H + T + E	238	3436												
						MMAC 11467	D + L + F + H + T + E	-103	3436												
					13-HL	MTCM 10994	1.4D + 1.7F + 1.3H + 1.4To	217	454	D + L + F + H + T + E	78	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
						MCCM 11014	D + L + F + H + T + E	-173	1025												
						MMAT 10990	D + L + F + H + T + E	59	1891												
						MMAC 10990	D + L + F + H + T + E	-34	1891												
					14-HL	MTCM 11245	D + L + F + H + T + E	333	1780	D + L + F + H + T + E	77	16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
						MCCM 11245	D + L + F + H + T + E	-310	2419												
						MMAT 11245	D + L + F + H + T + E	212	3412												
						MMAC 11245	D + L + F + H + T + E	-114	3412												
			15-HL	MTCM 11051	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7F + 1.7H + 1.7W	192	543	D + L + F + H + T + E	104	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
				MCCM 11048	D + L + F + H + T + E	-121	461														
				MMAT 6042	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7F + 1.7H + 1.7W	1	1264														
				MMAC 8324	1.4D + 1.4F + 1.7H + 1.7W	-12	1514														
			16-HL	MTCM 11912	1.4D + 1.7F + 1.3H + 1.4To	233	119	D + L + F + H + T + E	40	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
				MCCM 11263	D + L + F + H + T + E	-165	115														
				MMAT 8118	1.4D + 1.4F + 1.7H + 1.7W	42	1701														
				MMAC 8118	1.4D + 1.7F + 1.3H + 1.4To	-33	1636														
			17-HL	MTCM 11610	1.4D + 1.7F + 1.3H + 1.4To	933	496	D + L + F + H + T + E	21	16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
				MCCM 11555	D + L + F + H + T + E	-684	223														
				MMAT 4586	1.4D + 1.4F + 1.7H + 1.7W	21	1827														
				MMAC 6036	1.4D + 1.7F + 1.3H + 1.4To	-20	1769														
North-South	3H.6-134	1-V-L	MTCM 4676	1.4D + 1.7F + 1.3H + 1.4To	904	132	D + L + F + H + T + E	39	16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				
			MCCM 8336	D + L + F + H + T + E	-740	124															
			MMAT 4696	1.4D + 1.4F + 1.7H + 1.7W	9	1902															
			MMAC 4696	1.4D + 1.7F + 1.3H + 1.4To	-23	1848															
		2-V-L	MTCM 11956	1.4D + 1.4F + 1.7H + 1.7W	219	157	D + L + F + H + T + E	51	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
			MCCM 11940	D + L + F + H + T + E	-162	222															
			MMAT 11456	1.4D + 1.4F + 1.7H + 1.7W	23	1754															
			MMAC 11456	1.4D + 1.7F + 1.3H + 1.4To	-68	1723															
		3-V-L	MTCM 11957	1.4D + 1.4F + 1.7H + 1.7W	256	30	D + L + F + H + T + E	117	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
			MCCM 12110	D + L + F + H + T + E	-264	1522															
			MMAT 12111	D + L + F + H + T + E	25	1690															
			MMAC 12111	D + L + F + H + T + E	-162	1792															
4-V-L	MTCM 11246	D + L + F + H + T + E	250	906	D + L + F + H + T + E	184	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-					
	MCCM 13246	D + L + F + H + T + E	-539	165																	
	MMAT 11319	D + L + F + H + T + E	101	2223																	
	MMAC 11319	D + L + F + H + T + E	-23	2223																	
5-V-L	MTCM 11373	1.4D + 1.7L + 1.7F + 1.7H + 1.7W	202	415	D + L + F + H + T + E	96	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-					
	MCCM 11353	D + L + F + H + T + E	-95	537																	
	MMAT 13206	1.4D + 1.4F + 1.7H + 1.7W	2	1481																	
	MMAC 13206	1.4D + 1.4F + 1.7H + 1.7W	-9	1498																	
6-V-L	MTCM 11981	1.4D + 1.4F + 1.7H + 1.7W	394	751	D + L + F + H + T + E	88	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-					
	MCCM 11996	D + L + F + H + T + E	-389	1947																	
	MMAT 11959	D + L + F + H + T + E	66	3209																	
	MMAC 11959	D + L + F + H + T + E	-26	3269																	



**Table 3H.6-8 Results of UHS/RSW Pump House Concrete Slab Design (Continued)**

Location	Thickness (ft)	Face	Direction	Reinforcement Drawing Number <sup>(1)</sup>	Reinforcement Zone Number <sup>(2)</sup>	Minimum Force <sup>(3)</sup>	Element	Longitudinal Reinforcement Design Loads				Longitudinal Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Transverse Shear Design Loads				Transverse Shear Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Remarks	
								Axial and Flexure Loads		In-Plane Shear Loads			Load Combination	Horizontal Section		Vertical Section			
								Load Combination	Axial <sup>(4)</sup> (kips / ft)	Flexure <sup>(4)</sup> (ft-kips / ft)	Load Combination			In-plane Shear <sup>(5)</sup> (kips / ft)	Load Combination	Transverse Shear Force (kip / ft)			Corresponding Axial Force (kip / ft)

- Notes:**
- (1) The reinforcement layout drawings show the various zones used to define the minimum reinforcement that will be provided based on finite element analysis results. Actual provided reinforcement based on final rebar layout may exceed the reported provided reinforcement and the zones with higher reinforcement may be extended beyond their reported boundaries. See Figures 3H.6-40a through 3H.6-40c for the wall and slab labeling conventions for the RSW Pump House, UHS Basin, and Cooling Tower.
  - (2) Each reinforcement layout drawing is divided into reinforcement zones. The reinforcement zone naming convention is as follows: "H" = horizontal, "V" = vertical, "L" = longitudinal reinforcement, "T" = transverse reinforcement.
  - (3) The maximum tension (MTCM) and compression (MCCM) axial forces are provided with the corresponding moment from the same load combination. The maximum moment that has a corresponding tension (MMAT) in the same load combination and the maximum moment that has a corresponding compression (MMAC) in the same load combination are also provided. For zones where either axial tension or axial compression does not occur for any load combination, dashes are input into the corresponding cell.
  - (4) Negative axial load is compression and positive axial load is tension. Negative moment applies tension to the top face of the shell element and positive moment applies tension to the bottom face of the shell element.
  - (5) The reported in-plane shear is the maximum average in-plane shear along a plane that crosses the longitudinal reinforcement zone.
  - (6) NOT USED.
  - (7) The Pump House Operating Floor and Roof slab thickness includes the metal decking (2.5 inches).
  - (8) For certain areas of the structure, the standard element post-processing methods were too conservative. For such cases, detailed manual design was performed and the design forces determined by the detailed manual design are provided in the table.
  - (9) The transverse reinforcement for the UHS Basin and RSW Pump House Buttresses is spaced with a maximum center-to-center spacing of 4".

**Table 3H.6-9 Results of UHS/RSW Pump House Beams and Columns Design**

Location	Item	Critical Element Number	Load Combination	Maximum Forces	Design Loads					Reinforcement			Remarks		
					Axial (kips)		Moments (ft-kips)			Shear (kips)		Longitudinal		Transverse	
					P	M2	M3	Torsion	V2	V3	Provided (in <sup>2</sup> )	Provided x-direction		Provided y-direction	
UHS Basin	5' x 5' Columns	516	1.4D+1.7L+1.7F+1.7H+1.7W	Maximum axial compression with corresponding forces	-2687	-1473	904	-	-	-	148.5	7 # 5 @ 4" O.C.	7 # 5 @ 4" O.C.	Local Axis definition: 1 = vertical 2 = east-west 3 = north-south  Transverse reinforcement includes one closed loop which accounts for two legs in each direction.	
		487	D+Lo+F+H+To+E'	Maximum axial tension with corresponding forces	348	1148	465	-	-	-	148.5	7 # 5 @ 4" O.C.	7 # 5 @ 4" O.C.		
		510	D+Lo+F+H+To+E'	Maximum M2 moment with corresponding forces	-1066	-9127	1990	-	-	-	148.5	7 # 5 @ 4" O.C.	7 # 5 @ 4" O.C.		
		506	D+Lo+F+H+To+E'	Maximum M3 moment with corresponding forces	-630	834	7298	-	-	-	148.5	7 # 5 @ 4" O.C.	7 # 5 @ 4" O.C.		
		506	D+Lo+F+H+To+E'	Maximum V2	-	-	-	-	212	-	148.5	7 # 5 @ 4" O.C.	7 # 5 @ 4" O.C.		
		510	D+Lo+F+H+To+E'	Maximum V3	-	-	-	-	-	-278	148.5	7 # 5 @ 4" O.C.	7 # 5 @ 4" O.C.		
		505	D+Lo+F+H+To+E'	Maximum Torsion	-	-	-	-652	-	-	148.5	7 # 5 @ 4" O.C.	7 # 5 @ 4" O.C.		
	5' x 12' Columns	518	1.4D+1.7L+1.7F+1.7H+1.7W	Maximum axial compression with corresponding forces	-4746	-2484	822	-	-	-	175.5	13 # 5 @ 4" O.C.	7 # 5 @ 4" O.C.	Local Axis definition: 1 = vertical 2 = east-west 3 = north-south  Transverse reinforcement includes one closed loop which accounts for two legs in each direction.	
		497	D+Lo+F+H+To+E'	Maximum axial tension with corresponding forces	645	2639	2900	-	-	-	175.5	13 # 5 @ 4" O.C.	7 # 5 @ 4" O.C.		
		496	D+Lo+F+H+To+E'	Maximum M2 moment with corresponding forces	-2509	-13456	-10148	-	-	-	175.5	13 # 5 @ 4" O.C.	7 # 5 @ 4" O.C.		
		518	D+Lo+F+H+To+E'	Maximum M3 moment with corresponding forces	-3435	3346	30990	-	-	-	175.5	13 # 5 @ 4" O.C.	7 # 5 @ 4" O.C.		
		518	D+Lo+F+H+To+E'	Maximum V2	-	-	-	-	453	-	175.5	13 # 5 @ 4" O.C.	7 # 5 @ 4" O.C.		
		496	D+Lo+F+H+To+E'	Maximum V3	-	-	-	-	-	-398	175.5	13 # 5 @ 4" O.C.	7 # 5 @ 4" O.C.		
497		D+Lo+F+H+To+E'	Maximum Torsion	-	-	-	-980	-	-	175.5	13 # 5 @ 4" O.C.	7 # 5 @ 4" O.C.			
4' x 4'-6" Beams	16	D+Lo+F+H+To+E'	Maximum axial compression with corresponding forces	-3313	-2968	-3215	-	-	-	155.16	8 # 5 @ 4" O.C.	6 # 5 @ 4" O.C.	Local Axis definition: 1 = north-south 2 = vertical 3 = east-west  Transverse reinforcement includes one closed loop which accounts for two legs in each direction.		
	16	D+Lo+F+H+To+E'	Maximum axial tension with corresponding forces	5158	1054	2155	-	-	-	155.16	8 # 5 @ 4" O.C.	6 # 5 @ 4" O.C.			
	36	D+Lo+F+H+To+E'	Maximum M2 moment with corresponding forces	947	-6596	44	-	-	-	155.16	8 # 5 @ 4" O.C.	6 # 5 @ 4" O.C.			
	16	D+Lo+F+H+To+E'	Maximum M3 moment with corresponding forces	-1848	2332	6486	-	-	-	155.16	8 # 5 @ 4" O.C.	6 # 5 @ 4" O.C.			
	16	D+Lo+F+H+To+E'	Maximum V2	-	-	-	-	663	-	155.16	8 # 5 @ 4" O.C.	6 # 5 @ 4" O.C.			
	36	D+Lo+F+H+To+E'	Maximum V3	-	-	-	-	-	798	155.16	8 # 5 @ 4" O.C.	6 # 5 @ 4" O.C.			
	403	D+Lo+F+H+To+E'	Maximum Torsion	-	-	-	698	-	-	155.16	8 # 5 @ 4" O.C.	6 # 5 @ 4" O.C.			

Table 3H.6-10 Tornado Missile Impact Evaluations for UHS/RSW Pump House

<b>Local Check</b>	<b>UHS/ RSW Pump House Walls and Roof</b>		Minimum Required Thickness to Prevent Penetration, Perforation and Scabbing = 12.9"
			Minimum Provided Thickness = 18"
<b>Overall Check of Impacted Element</b>	<b>Pump House</b>	<b>Roof</b>	Shear controls. Maximum impact load including Dynamic Load Factor (DLF) = 168 Kips Minimum capacity = 188 Kips
		<b>Walls</b>	Shear controls. Maximum impact load including Dynamic Load Factor (DLF) = 900 Kips Minimum capacity = 1772 Kips
	<b>UHS Basin</b>	<b>Fan Enclosure Walls</b>	Flexure controls. Ductility demand = 1.2 < Ductility limit = 10
		<b>Basin Walls</b>	Shear controls. Maximum impact load including Dynamic Load Factor (DLF) = 592 Kips Minimum capacity = 3395 Kips
<b>Global Check</b>			Equivalent static impact forces are applied to the FEM analysis of the UHS/RSW Pump House. The analysis results presented in Tables 3H.6-7 and 3H.6-8 provide summary of the results for all load combinations including those applicable to tornado load combinations which include missile impact.

Table 3H.6-11 Results of DGFOS Vault Concrete Design

Location	Thickness (ft)	Face	Direction	Reinforcement Designation (1)	Reinforcement Zone Number (2)	Maximum Force (3)	Element	Longitudinal Reinforcement Design Loads				Longitudinal Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Transverse Shear Design Load <sup>(4)</sup>				Transverse Shear <sup>(7)</sup> Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Remarks					
								Axial and Flexure Loads		In-Plane Shear Loads			Load Combination	In-plane Shear (kips/ft)	Horizontal Section				Vertical Section				
								Load Combination	Axial <sup>(6)</sup> (kips/ft)	Flexure <sup>(6)</sup> (kip-ft)	Transverse Shear Force (kip/ft)				Corresponding Axial Force (kip/ft)	Transverse Shear Force (kip/ft)			Corresponding Axial Force (kip/ft)				
10000	9	NAR SIDE	NAR SIDE	3H-12-1E	TH-1	MTCM	2302	D + F + L + H + E	32	-169	D + F + L + H + E	24	3.12	-	-	-	-	-	-				
						MCCM	2278	D + F + L + H + E	-78	-164													
						MMAT	263	D + F + L + H + E	-1	-374													
						MMAC	252	D + F + L + H + W	-32	-400													
					MTCM	2280	D + F + L + H + E	55	-220														
					MCCM	34	D + F + L + H + E	-52	-39														
					MMAT	99	D + F + L + H + E	5	-748														
					MMAC	99	D + F + L + H + E	-1	-748														
					MTCM	344	D + F + L + H + E	36	-341														
					MCCM	364	D + F + L + H + E	48	-610														
					MMAT	363	D + F + L + H + E	5	-1693														
					MMAC	363	D + F + L + H + E	-11	-1693														
				MTCM	2524	D + F + L + H + E	35	-85															
				MCCM	174	D + F + L + H + E	-174	-61															
				MMAT	2525	D + F + L + H + E	20	-322															
				MMAC	115	D + F + L + H + E	-63	-616															
				MTCM	377	D + F + L + H + E	36	-62															
				MCCM	231	D + F + L + H + E	-147	-9															
				MMAT	35	D + F + L + H + E	24	-416															
				MMAC	243	D + F + L + H + W	-25	-606															
				MTCM	18	D + F + L + H + E	41	-123															
				MCCM	117	1.4D + 1.6F + 1.7L + 1.7H + 1.7W	-123	-432															
				MMAT	344	D + F + L + H + E	16	-666															
				MMAC	99	D + F + L + H + E	-36	-1131															
			MTCM	253	D + F + L + H + E	23	185																
			MCCM	2289	D + F + L + H + E	62	136																
			MMAT	109	D + F + L + H + E	13	388																
			MMAC	158	D + F + L + H + E	-22	446																
			MTCM	2269	D + F + L + H + E	62	512																
			MCCM	354	D + F + L + H + E	-63	853																
			MMAT	116	D + F + L + H + E	11	748																
			MMAC	365	D + F + L + H + E	-74	940																
			MTCM	40	D + F + L + H + E	64	886																
			MCCM	377	D + F + L + H + E	-66	321																
			MMAT	40	D + F + L + H + E	-45	916																
			MMAC	378	D + F + L + H + E	-24	1215																
			MTCM	346	D + F + L + H + E	73	935																
			MCCM	364	D + F + L + H + E	-66	496																
			MMAT	99	D + F + L + H + E	9	1437																
			MMAC	99	D + F + L + H + E	-5	1437																
			MTCM	349	D + F + L + H + E	61	660																
			MCCM	194	D + F + L + H + E	-161	675																
			MMAT	61	D + F + L + H + E	18	1501																
			MMAC	265	D + F + L + H + E	-15	1102																
			MTCM	2521	D + F + L + H + E	60	575																
			MCCM	225	D + F + L + H + E	-300	1543																
			MMAT	263	D + F + L + H + E	27	676																
			MMAC	243	D + F + L + H + E	-135	1606																
10000	9	NAR SIDE	NAR SIDE	3H-13-1E	TH-1	MTCM	349	D + F + L + H + E	61	660	D + F + L + H + E	27	6.24	-	-	-	-	-	-				
						MCCM	194	D + F + L + H + E	-161	675													
						MMAT	61	D + F + L + H + E	18	1501													
						MMAC	265	D + F + L + H + E	-15	1102													
					MTCM	2521	D + F + L + H + E	60	575														
					MCCM	225	D + F + L + H + E	-300	1543														
				MMAT	263	D + F + L + H + E	27	676															
				MMAC	243	D + F + L + H + E	-135	1606															

Table 3H.6-11 Results of DGFOS Vault Concrete Design (Continued)

Location	Thickness (ft)	Floor	Direction	Reinforcement Layout Diagram Number (1)	Reinforcement Zone Number (2)	Minimum Force (3)	Element	Longitudinal Reinforcement Design Loads				Longitudinal Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Transverse Shear Design Loads (4)				Transverse Shear (7) Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Remarks		
								Axial and Flexure Loads			In-Plane Shear Loads		Load Combination	Horizontal Section		Vertical Section				
								Load Combination	Axial (kips / ft)	Flexure (ft-kips / ft)	Load Combination			In-plane (5) Shear (kips / ft)	Transverse Shear Force (kip / ft)	Corresponding Axial Force (kip / ft)			Transverse Shear Force (kip / ft)	Corresponding Axial Force (kip / ft)
Slab 1	6	Floor	Vertical	3H.6.105	3-V-L	MTCM	359	D+F+L+H+E	119	1130	D+F+L+H+E	27	10.92	-	-	-	-	-	-	
						MCCM	117	D+F+L+H+E	-285	1289										
						MMAT	71	D+F+L+H+E	21	1812										
					MMAC	221	D+F+L+H+E	-245	2135											
					MTCM	267	D+F+L+H+E	4	177	D+F+L+H+E	17	14.04	-	-	-	-				
					MCCM	231	D+F+L+H+E	-303	1378											
		MMAT	-	-	-	-														
		MMAC	125	D+F+L+H+E	-248	2465														
		MTCM	-	-	-	-	D+F+L+H+E	11	15.6	-	-	-	-							
		MCCM	215	D+F+L+H+E	-268	2308														
		MMAT	-	-	-	-														
		MMAC	187	D+F+L+H+E	-246	2453														
			Transverse Shear A	3H.6.106	1-T	-	-	-	-	D+F+L+H+E	-	-	12	-12	27	-21	0.91 (8B17)			
			Transverse Shear B	3H.6.106	2-T	-	-	-	-	D+F+L+H+E	-	-	195	18	119	5	0.86 (8B7)			
Slab 2	2	New Slab	Horizontal	3H.6.106	1-H-L	MTCM	586	D+F+L+H+WB	137	-32	D+F+L+H+E	40	3.12	-	-	-	-	-	-	
						MCCM	586	D+F+L+H+E	-168	-14										
						MMAT	554	D+F+L+H+WB	30	-81										
					MMAC	407	D+F+L+H+WB	-21	82											
					MTCM	401	D+F+L+H+E	41	-16	D+F+L+H+E	60	1.56	-	-	-	-				
					MCCM	585	D+F+L+H+E	-141	-32											
			MMAT	401	D+F+L+H+E	24	-31													
			MMAC	551	D+F+L+H+E	-105	-114													
			MTCM	554	D+F+L+H+E	80	0	D+F+L+H+E	60	3.12	-	-	-	-						
			MCCM	554	D+F+L+H+E	-185	-88													
			MMAT	539	D+F+L+H+WB	3	-107													
			MMAC	539	D+F+L+H+E	-85	-176													
		MTCM	586	D+F+L+H+E	8	-12	D+F+L+H+WB	33	6.24	-	-	-	-							
		MCCM	586	D+F+L+H+E	-152	-152														
		MMAT	566	D+F+L+H+E	3	-14														
		MMAC	586	D+F+L+H+E	-104	-221														
		MTCM	553	D+F+L+H+WB	108	11	D+F+L+H+E	40	3.12	-	-	-	-							
		MCCM	553	D+F+L+H+WB	-102	14														
		MMAT	558	D+F+L+H+E	3	87														
		MMAC	554	D+F+L+H+WB	-47	130														
		MTCM	554	D+F+L+H+E	81	24	D+F+L+H+E	60	1.56	-	-	-	-							
		MCCM	585	D+F+L+H+E	-114	11														
		MMAT	585	D+F+L+H+WB	87	52														
		MMAC	504	D+F+L+H+WB	-38	82														
MTCM	651	D+F+L+H+WB	30	-15	D+F+L+H+WB	24	1.56	-	-	-	-									
MCCM	638	D+F+L+H+WB	-68	-21																
MMAT	642	D+F+L+H+WB	2	-68																
MMAC	643	D+F+L+H+WB	-4	-79																
MTCM	574	D+F+L+H+WB	11	-23	D+F+L+H+WB	24	3.12	-	-	-	-									
MCCM	574	D+F+L+H+E	-8	-6																
MMAT	573	D+F+L+H+WB	4	-41																
MMAC	574	D+F+L+H+E	-3	-13																

Table 3H.6-11 Results of DGFOS Vault Concrete Design (Continued)

Location	Thickness (ft)	Face	Direction	Reinforcement Layout Diagram Number (1)	Reinforcement Zone Number <sup>(2)</sup>	Maximum Force <sup>(3)</sup>	Element	Longitudinal Reinforcement Design Loads						Longitudinal Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Transverse Shear Design Loads <sup>(4)</sup>				Transverse Shear <sup>(7)</sup> Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Remarks			
								Axial and Flexure Loads			In-Plane Shear Loads				Horizontal Section		Vertical Section						
								Load Combination	Axial <sup>(4)</sup> (kips / ft)	Flexure <sup>(4)</sup> (ft-kips / ft)	Load Combination	In-plane Shear <sup>(5)</sup> (kips / ft)	Load Combination		Transverse Shear Force (kip / ft)	Corresponding Axial Force (kip / ft)	Load Combination	Transverse Shear Force (kip / ft)			Corresponding Axial Force (kip / ft)		
eMB	2	Near Side	Vertical	3H.6.102	1-V/L	MTCM	575	D + F + L + H + Wbh	55	-19													
						MCCM	575	D + F + L + H + E	-73	5													
						MMAT	588	D + F + L + H + Wbh	46	-35	D + F + L + H + W	16	1.56										
					MMAC	575	D + F + L + H + Wbh	-57	-29														
					MTCM	574	D + F + L + H + Wbh	81	-48														
					MCCM	574	D + F + L + H + Wbh	-101	-20	D + F + L + H + W	15	3.12											
			MMAT	574	D + F + L + H + Wbh	90	-48																
			MMAC	574	D + F + L + H + E	-3	-36																
			MTCM	638	D + F + L + H + W	30	5																
			MCCM	651	D + F + L + H + E	-60	1	D + F + L + H + W	24	1.56													
			MMAT	644	D + F + L + H + Wbh	0	40																
			MMAC	572	D + F + L + H + Wbh	-9	75																
		MTCM	574	D + F + L + H + E	5	6																	
		MCCM	574	D + F + L + H + Wbh	-18	37	D + F + L + H + W	24	3.12														
		MMAT	574	D + F + L + H + E	2	18																	
		MMAC	573	D + F + L + H + Wbh	-13	99																	
		MTCM	575	D + F + L + H + Wbh	66	25																	
		MCCM	575	D + F + L + H + E	-73	8	D + F + L + H + W	16	1.56														
		MMAT	575	D + F + L + H + Wbh	54	25																	
		MMAC	572	D + F + L + H + Wbh	-32	66																	
		MTCM	574	D + F + L + H + Wbh	80	23																	
		MCCM	574	D + F + L + H + W	-114	41	D + F + L + H + W	15	3.12														
		MMAT	574	D + F + L + H + Wbh	1	30																	
		MMAC	574	D + F + L + H + Wbh	-102	100																	
			Diagonal & End Frame	3H.6.108	1-T	-	-	-	-	-	-	D + F + L + H + E	-18	61	-28	9	0.44 (0.6%)						
Roof 5	2	Near Side	Horizontal	3H.6.105	1-H/L	MTCM	691	D + F + L + H + Wbh	46	-14													
						MCCM	695	D + F + L + H + Wbh	-166	-19	D + F + L + H + W	37	1.56										
						MMAT	695	D + F + L + H + Wbh	10	-38													
					MMAC	748	D + F + L + H + E	-8	-41														
					MTCM	690	D + F + L + H + Wbh	120	-18														
					MCCM	700	D + F + L + H + Wbh	-91	-2	D + F + L + H + Wbh	36	3.12											
			MMAT	695	D + F + L + H + Wbh	116	-25																
			MMAC	690	D + F + L + H + Wbh	-7	-20																
			MTCM	769	D + F + L + H + W	63	-5																
			MCCM	760	D + F + L + H + Wbh	-92	-13	D + F + L + H + Wbh	22	1.56													
			MMAT	731	D + F + L + H + E	0	-19																
			MMAC	766	D + F + L + H + W	-31	-19																
		MTCM	691	D + F + L + H + Wbh	43	1																	
		MCCM	703	D + F + L + H + Wbh	-313	43	D + F + L + H + W	37	1.56														
		MMAT	772	D + F + L + H + Wbh	54	43																	
		MMAC	775	D + F + L + H + Wbh	-299	69																	
		MTCM	704	D + F + L + H + Wbh	84	9																	
		MCCM	760	D + F + L + H + Wbh	-404	57	D + F + L + H + W	36	3.12														
		MMAT	746	D + F + L + H + Wbh	17	37																	
		MMAC	760	D + F + L + H + Wbh	-395	79																	

Table 3H.6-11 Results of DGFOS Vault Concrete Design (Continued)

Location	Thickness (ft)	Face	Direction	Reinforcement Drawing Number (1)	Reinforcement Zone Number (2)	Member Force (3)	Element	Longitudinal Reinforcement Design Loads				Longitudinal Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Transverse Shear Design Loads (4)				Transverse Shear Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Remarks			
								Axial and Flexure Loads		In-Plane Shear Loads			Load Combination	In-plane Shear (kips / ft)	Horizontal Section				Vertical Section		
								Load Combination	Axial (kips / ft)	Flexure (ft-kips / ft)	Transverse Shear Force (kip / ft)				Corresponding Axial Force (kip / ft)	Transverse Shear Force (kip / ft)			Corresponding Axial Force (kip / ft)		
Roof 5	2	Far Side	Vertical	65-9-HE	10-AL	MTCM 766	D + F + L + H + Wb	41	1	D + F + L + H + Wb	22	1.56	-	-	-	-	-	-			
						MCCM 760	D + F + L + H + Wb	-370	46				-	-	-	-	-				
						MMAT 709	D + F + L + H + Wb	4	36				-	-	-	-	-				
						MMAC 760	D + F + L + H + Wb	362	66				-	-	-	-					
Roof 6	2	Near Side	Horizontal	65-9-HE	10-AL	MTCM 688	D + F + L + H + Wb	38	-8	D + F + L + H + Wb	142	3.12	-	-	-	-	-	-			
						MCCM 689	D + F + L + H + Wb	-361	-116				-	-	-	-					
						MMAT 689	D + F + L + H + Wb	29	-49				-	-	-	-					
						MMAC 689	D + F + L + H + Wb	-361	-116				-	-	-	-					
						MTCM 654	D + F + L + H + Wb	126	-23				D + F + L + H + Wb	133	4.68	-	-	-	-	-	
						MCCM 654	D + F + L + H + Wb	-62	-8							-	-	-	-		
			MMAT 654	D + F + L + H + Wb	42	-35	-	-	-	-											
			MMAC 654	D + F + L + H + Wb	-62	-8	-	-	-	-											
			MTCM 654	D + F + L + H + Wb	69	-39	D + F + L + H + Wb	169	3.12	-	-	-				-	-				
			MCCM 689	D + F + L + H + Wb	-221	-5				-	-	-				-					
			MMAT 654	D + F + L + H + Wb	69	-39				-	-	-	-								
			MMAC 654	D + F + L + H + Wb	-221	-5				-	-	-	-								
		MTCM 685	D + F + L + H + Wb	53	6	D + F + L + H + Wb				142	3.12	-	-	-	-	-					
		MCCM 654	D + F + L + H + Wb	-475	53							-	-	-	-						
		MMAT 659	D + F + L + H + Wb	15	78		-	-	-			-									
		MMAC 654	D + F + L + H + Wb	-471	73		-	-	-			-									
		MTCM 655	D + F + L + H + Wb	32	49		D + F + L + H + Wb	169	3.12			-	-	-	-	-					
		MCCM 654	D + F + L + H + Wb	-347	73							-	-	-	-						
		MMAT 655	D + F + L + H + Wb	32	49	-				-	-	-									
		MMAC 656	D + F + L + H + Wb	-37	75	-				-	-	-									
		MTCM 875	D + F + L + H + Wb	118	-38	D + F + L + H + Wb				61	3.12	-	-	-	-	-					
		MCCM 1044	D + F + L + H + Wb	-187	-40							-	-	-	-						
		MMAT 811	D + F + L + H + Wb	5	-223		-	-	-			-									
		MMAC 1089	D + F + L + H + Wb	-163	-386		-	-	-			-									
MTCM 1046	D + F + L + H + Wb	40	-69	D + F + L + H + Wb	61		4.68	-	-			-	-	-							
MCCM 1052	D + F + L + H + Wb	-184	-654					-	-			-	-								
MMAT 1016	D + F + L + H + Wb	2	-116			-		-	-	-											
MMAC 1070	D + F + L + H + Wb	-165	-694			-		-	-	-											
MTCM 891	D + F + L + H + Wb	245	-116			D + F + L + H + Wb		61	6.24	-	-	-	-	-							
MCCM 1042	D + F + L + H + Wb	-223	-265							-	-	-	-								
MMAT 1042	D + F + L + H + Wb	96	-296	-	-		-			-											
MMAC 1041	D + F + L + H + Wb	-179	-765	-	-		-			-											
MTCM -	-	-	-	D + F + L + H + Wb	44		7.8			-	-	-	-	-							
MCCM 1003	D + F + L + H + Wb	-160	-488							-	-	-	-								
MMAT -	-	-	-			-		-	-	-											
MMAC 1065	D + F + L + H + Wb	-165	-600			-		-	-	-											
MTCM 1059	D + F + L + H + Wb	112	-33			D + F + L + H + Wb		92	3.12	-	-	-	-	-							
MCCM 1054	D + F + L + H + Wb	-221	-36							-	-	-	-								
MMAT 1059	D + F + L + H + Wb	1	-219	-	-		-			-											
MMAC 1059	D + F + L + H + Wb	-54	-219	-	-		-			-											
MTCM 1042	D + F + L + H + Wb	223	-100	D + F + L + H + Wb	92		4.68			-	-	-	-	-							
MCCM 1042	D + F + L + H + Wb	-342	-100							-	-	-	-								
MMAT 891	D + F + L + H + Wb	1	-378			-		-	-	-											
MMAC 804	D + F + L + H + Wb	-88	-457			-		-	-	-											
Roof 7	2	Near Side	Horizontal			63-9-HE		10-AL	MTCM 875	D + F + L + H + Wb	118	-38	D + F + L + H + Wb	61	3.12	-	-	-	-	-	-
									MCCM 1044	D + F + L + H + Wb	-187	-40				-	-	-	-		
				MMAT 811	D + F + L + H + Wb		5		-223	-	-	-				-					
				MMAC 1089	D + F + L + H + Wb		-163		-386	-	-	-				-					
				MTCM 1046	D + F + L + H + Wb		40		-69	D + F + L + H + Wb	61	4.68				-	-	-	-	-	
				MCCM 1052	D + F + L + H + Wb		-184		-654							-	-	-	-		
		MMAT 1016	D + F + L + H + Wb	2	-116	-	-	-	-												
		MMAC 1070	D + F + L + H + Wb	-165	-694	-	-	-	-												
		MTCM 891	D + F + L + H + Wb	245	-116	D + F + L + H + Wb	61	6.24	-				-	-	-	-					
		MCCM 1042	D + F + L + H + Wb	-223	-265				-				-	-	-						
		MMAT 1042	D + F + L + H + Wb	96	-296				-	-	-	-									
		MMAC 1041	D + F + L + H + Wb	-179	-765				-	-	-	-									
MTCM -	-	-	-	D + F + L + H + Wb	44				7.8	-	-	-	-	-							
MCCM 1003	D + F + L + H + Wb	-160	-488							-	-	-	-								
MMAT -	-	-	-			-	-	-		-											
MMAC 1065	D + F + L + H + Wb	-165	-600			-	-	-		-											
MTCM 1059	D + F + L + H + Wb	112	-33			D + F + L + H + Wb	92	3.12		-	-	-	-	-							
MCCM 1054	D + F + L + H + Wb	-221	-36							-	-	-	-								
MMAT 1059	D + F + L + H + Wb	1	-219	-	-				-	-											
MMAC 1059	D + F + L + H + Wb	-54	-219	-	-				-	-											
MTCM 1042	D + F + L + H + Wb	223	-100	D + F + L + H + Wb	92				4.68	-	-	-	-	-							
MCCM 1042	D + F + L + H + Wb	-342	-100							-	-	-	-								
MMAT 891	D + F + L + H + Wb	1	-378			-	-	-		-											
MMAC 804	D + F + L + H + Wb	-88	-457			-	-	-		-											
Roof 8	2	Far Side	Vertical			63-9-HE	10-AL	MTCM 875		D + F + L + H + Wb	118	-38	D + F + L + H + Wb	61	3.12	-	-	-	-	-	-
								MCCM 1044		D + F + L + H + Wb	-187	-40				-	-	-	-		
				MMAT 811	D + F + L + H + Wb			5	-223	-	-	-				-					
				MMAC 1089	D + F + L + H + Wb			-163	-386	-	-	-				-					
				MTCM 1046	D + F + L + H + Wb			40	-69	D + F + L + H + Wb	61	4.68				-	-	-	-	-	
				MCCM 1052	D + F + L + H + Wb			-184	-654							-	-	-	-		
MMAT 1016	D + F + L + H + Wb	2	-116	-	-	-	-														
MMAC 1070	D + F + L + H + Wb	-165	-694	-	-	-	-														
MTCM 891	D + F + L + H + Wb	245	-116	D + F + L + H + Wb	61	6.24	-	-	-				-	-							
MCCM 1042	D + F + L + H + Wb	-223	-265				-	-	-				-								
MMAT 1042	D + F + L + H + Wb	96	-296				-	-	-	-											
MMAC 1041	D + F + L + H + Wb	-179	-765				-	-	-	-											
MTCM -	-	-	-				D + F + L + H + Wb	44	7.8	-	-	-	-	-							
MCCM 1003	D + F + L + H + Wb	-160	-488							-	-	-	-								
MMAT -	-	-	-	-	-	-				-											
MMAC 1065	D + F + L + H + Wb	-165	-600	-	-	-				-											
MTCM 1059	D + F + L + H + Wb	112	-33	D + F + L + H + Wb	92	3.12				-	-	-	-	-							
MCCM 1054	D + F + L + H + Wb	-221	-36							-	-	-	-								
MMAT 1059	D + F + L + H + Wb	1	-219				-	-	-	-											
MMAC 1059	D + F + L + H + Wb	-54	-219				-	-	-	-											
MTCM 1042	D + F + L + H + Wb	223	-100				D + F + L + H + Wb	92	4.68	-	-	-	-	-							
MCCM 1042	D + F + L + H + Wb	-342	-100							-	-	-	-								
MMAT 891	D + F + L + H + Wb	1	-378	-	-	-				-											
MMAC 804	D + F + L + H + Wb	-88	-457	-	-	-				-											



Table 3H.6-11 Results of DGFOs Vault Concrete Design (Continued)

Location	Thickness (ft)	Face	Direction	Reinforcement Drawing Number	Reinforcement Zone Number <sup>(1)</sup>	Reinforcement Force <sup>(2)</sup>	Longitudinal Reinforcement Design Loads				Longitudinal Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Transverse Shear Design Loads <sup>(3)</sup>				Transverse Shear <sup>(7)</sup> Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Remarks						
							Axial and Flexure Loads		In-Plane Shear Loads			Horizontal Section		Vertical Section									
							Load Combination	Axial <sup>(4)</sup> (kips/ft)	Flexure <sup>(4)</sup> (ft-kips/ft)	Load Combination		In-plane Shear (kips/ft)	Load Combination	Transverse Shear Force (kips/ft)	Corresponding Axial Force (kips/ft)			Load Combination	Transverse Shear Force (kips/ft)	Corresponding Axial Force (kips/ft)			
9 ft	7	Side	Horizontal	80-318E	3-1/2	MTCM	1276	D+F+L+H+Wb	33	-93	D+F+L+H+E	60	4.68	-	-	-	-	-	-				
						MCCM	1266	D+F+L+H+E	-183	624		-	-	-	-	-	-	-					
						MMAT	1288	D+F+L+H+E	3	-123		-	-	-	-	-	-	-					
						MMAC	1300	D+F+L+H+E	-164	-621		-	-	-	-	-	-	-					
						MTCM	1108	D+F+L+H+Wb	234	-124		D+F+L+H+E	60	6.24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
						MCCM	1280	D+F+L+H+E	-217	-242			-	-	-	-	-	-	-				
						MMAT	1260	D+F+L+H+E	80	-339			-	-	-	-	-	-	-				
						MMAC	1287	D+F+L+H+E	-137	-763			-	-	-	-	-	-	-				
						MTCM	-	-	-	-			D+F+L+H+E	44	7.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
						MCCM	1305	D+F+L+H+E	-150	-903				-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
						MMAT	-	-	-	-				-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
						MMAC	1311	D+F+L+H+E	-184	-948				-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
					MTCM	1297	D+F+L+H+Wb	109	-31	D+F+L+H+E	93			3.12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
					MCCM	1292	D+F+L+H+Wb	211	-56		-			-	-	-	-	-	-				
					MMAT	1288	D+F+L+H+E	2	-195		-			-	-	-	-	-	-				
					MMAC	1287	D+F+L+H+E	-63	-245		-			-	-	-	-	-	-				
					MTCM	1280	D+F+L+H+Wb	228	-104		D+F+L+H+E	93		4.68	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
					MCCM	1280	D+F+L+H+Wb	-328	-89			-		-	-	-	-	-	-				
					MMAT	1108	D+F+L+H+E	3	-415			-		-	-	-	-	-	-				
					MMAC	1181	D+F+L+H+E	-88	-465			-		-	-	-	-	-	-				
					MTCM	1173	D+F+L+H+E	53	-436			D+F+L+H+E	72	9.36	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
					MCCM	1272	D+F+L+H+E	-129	-85				-	-	-	-	-	-	-				
					MMAT	1165	D+F+L+H+E	2	-693				-	-	-	-	-	-	-				
					MMAC	1165	D+F+L+H+E	-47	-993				-	-	-	-	-	-	-				
			MTCM	1157	D+F+L+H+E	39	-632	D+F+L+H+E	61	10.92			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
			MCCM	1157	D+F+L+H+E	-118	-44		-	-			-	-	-	-	-						
			MMAT	1149	D+F+L+H+E	6	-1222		-	-			-	-	-	-	-						
			MMAC	1149	D+F+L+H+E	-55	-1229		-	-			-	-	-	-	-						
			MTCM	1141	D+F+L+H+E	21	-720		D+F+L+H+E	54	12.48		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
			MCCM	1141	D+F+L+H+E	-110	-36			-	-		-	-	-	-	-						
			MMAT	1117	D+F+L+H+E	0	-1229			-	-		-	-	-	-	-						
			MMAC	1133	D+F+L+H+E	-68	-1284			-	-		-	-	-	-	-						
			MTCM	1140	D+F+L+H+E	108	12			D+F+L+H+E	60	3.12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
			MCCM	1116	D+F+L+H+E	-239	238				-	-	-	-	-	-	-						
			MMAT	1288	D+F+L+H+E	11	152				-	-	-	-	-	-	-						
			MMAC	1104	D+F+L+H+E	-134	378				-	-	-	-	-	-	-						
			MTCM	1276	D+F+L+H+Wb	154	77	D+F+L+H+E			50	4.68	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
			MCCM	1280	D+F+L+H+Wb	-314	34				-	-	-	-	-	-	-						
			MMAT	1275	D+F+L+H+E	9	225				-	-	-	-	-	-	-						
			MMAC	1175	D+F+L+H+E	-111	429				-	-	-	-	-	-	-						
			MTCM	1282	D+F+L+H+E	76	74		D+F+L+H+E		93	3.12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
			MCCM	1281	D+F+L+H+E	-201	19				-	-	-	-	-	-	-						
			MMAT	1286	D+F+L+H+E	5	201				-	-	-	-	-	-	-						
			MMAC	1272	D+F+L+H+E	-81	257				-	-	-	-	-	-	-						
			MTCM	1189	D+F+L+H+E	140	59			D+F+L+H+E	93	4.68	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
			MCCM	1289	D+F+L+H+E	-250	179				-	-	-	-	-	-	-						
			MMAT	1297	D+F+L+H+E	2	477				-	-	-	-	-	-	-						
			MMAC	1297	D+F+L+H+E	-67	489				-	-	-	-	-	-	-						

Table 3H.6-11 Results of DGFOS Vault Concrete Design (Continued)

Location	Thickness (ft)	Face	Direction	Reinforcement Drawing Number (1)	Reinforcement Zone Number(2)	Maximum Force(3)	Element	Longitudinal Reinforcement Design Loads				Longitudinal Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Transverse Shear Design Loads(4)				Transverse Shear Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Remarks																				
								Axial and Flexure Loads		In-Plane Shear Loads			Horizontal Section		Vertical Section																							
								Load Combination	Axial (4) (kips / ft)	Flexure (4) (ft-kips / ft)	Load Combination		In-plane (5) Shear (kips / ft)	Transverse Shear Force (kip / ft)	Corresponding Axial Force (kip / ft)	Transverse Shear Force (kip / ft)			Corresponding Axial Force (kip / ft)																			
8 W8	4	Roof	Vertical	3H-171	3/4L	MTCM	1280	D+F+L+H+Wb	180	104	D+F+L+H+E	65	6.24	-	-	-	-	-	-																			
						MCCM	1292	D+F+L+H+Wb	-203	26																												
						MMAT	1181	D+F+L+H+E	8	607																												
						MMAC	1181	D+F+L+H+E	-24	607																												
						MTCM	1121	D+F+L+H+Wb	26	103																												
						MCCM	1120	D+F+L+H+Wb	-84	125																												
		MMAT	1145	D+F+L+H+E	7	754																																
		MMAC	1145	D+F+L+H+E	-26	754																																
		MTCM	-	-	-	-	D+F+L+H+E	54	7.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-																					
		MCCM	1141	D+F+L+H+Wb	-110	50																																
		MMAT	-	-	-	-																																
		MMAC	1117	D+F+L+H+E	-47	114																																
MTCM	-	-	-	-	D+F+L+H+E	-												0	-44	-159	-	-	-	-	-	-												
MCCM	-	-	-	-																																		
MMAT	-	-	-	-																																		
MMAC	-	-	-	-																																		
8 W8	2	Near Side	Horizontal	3H-173	3/4L	MTCM	999	D+F+L+H+Wb	134	-37	D+F+L+H+Wb	102	3.12	-	-	-	-	-	-																			
						MCCM	1019	D+F+L+H+Wb	-107	-6																												
						MMAT	999	D+F+L+H+Wb	39	-100																												
						MMAC	1023	D+F+L+H+E	-30	-101																												
						MTCM	1030	D+F+L+H+Wb	179	-35																												
						MCCM	1030	D+F+L+H+Wb	-220	-13																												
		MMAT	1030	D+F+L+H+E	58	-85																																
		MMAC	1035	D+F+L+H+E	-36	-101																																
		MTCM	1035	D+F+L+H+Wb	132	-6	D+F+L+H+Wb	100	3.12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-																						
		MCCM	1019	D+F+L+H+Wb	-171	-10																																
		MMAT	1031	D+F+L+H+E	9	-87																																
		MMAC	1031	D+F+L+H+E	-80	-87																																
MTCM	1030	D+F+L+H+Wb	277	-33																																		
MCCM	1030	D+F+L+H+Wb	-366	-36																																		
MMAT	1030	D+F+L+H+E	60	-179																																		
MMAC	1030	D+F+L+H+E	-101	-179																																		
MTCM	1030	D+F+L+H+Wb	122	15	D+F+L+H+Wb	102	3.12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-																								
MCCM	999	D+F+L+H+Wb	-302	25																																		
MMAT	999	D+F+L+H+Wb	50	50																																		
MMAC	999	D+F+L+H+Wb	-17	88																																		
MTCM	1035	D+F+L+H+Wb	129	5	D+F+L+H+Wb	100	3.12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-																							
MCCM	1007	D+F+L+H+Wb	-188	6																																		
MMAT	999	D+F+L+H+Wb	48	89																																		
MMAC	995	D+F+L+H+Wb	-39	89																																		
MTCM	1030	D+F+L+H+Wb	97	4																																		
MCCM	1018	D+F+L+H+Wb	-320	16																																		
MMAT	932	D+F+L+H+Wb	10	10																																		
MMAC	1006	D+F+L+H+E	-167	27																																		
Transverse Shear Reinforcement	3H-170B	3/4	E	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-																			
																				3/4	3/4	3/4	3/4	3/4	3/4	3/4	3/4	3/4	3/4	3/4	3/4	3/4	3/4	3/4	3/4	3/4	3/4	
																				3/4	3/4	3/4	3/4	3/4	3/4	3/4	3/4	3/4	3/4	3/4	3/4	3/4	3/4	3/4	3/4	3/4	3/4	3/4
																				3/4	3/4	3/4	3/4	3/4	3/4	3/4	3/4	3/4	3/4	3/4	3/4	3/4	3/4	3/4	3/4	3/4	3/4	3/4

Transverse shear reinforcement provided due to hurricane missile impact evaluation.

Table 3H.6-11 Results of DGFOS Vault Concrete Design (Continued)

Location	Thickness (ft)	Face	Direction	Reinforcement Designation	Reinforcement Zone Number <sup>(1)</sup>	Maximum Force <sup>(2)</sup>	Element	Longitudinal Reinforcement Design Loads				Longitudinal Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Transverse Shear Design Loads <sup>(3)</sup>				Transverse Shear Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Remarks		
								Axial and Flexure Loads		In-Plane Shear Loads			Load Combination	Transverse Shear Force (kip/ft)	Horizontal Section				Vertical Section	
								Load Combination	Axial <sup>(4)</sup> (kips/ft)	Flexure <sup>(4)</sup> (k-ft/ft)	Load Combination				In-plane Shear (kips/ft)	Transverse Shear Force (kip/ft)			Corresponding Axial Force (kip/ft)	Transverse Shear Force (kip/ft)
Wall 16	2	Near Side	Horizontal	216-S17	14H	MTCM 1246	D+F+L+H+Wb	94	-12	D+F+L+H+Wb	99	3.12	-	-	-	-	-	-		
						MCCM 1246	D+F+L+H+Wb	-100	-8											
						MMAT 1208	D+F+L+H+Wb	37	-86											
						MMAC 1198	D+F+L+H+E	-29	-66											
						MTCM 1257	D+F+L+H+Wb	188	-36											
						MCCM 1257	D+F+L+H+Wb	-216	-14											
			MMAT 1257	D+F+L+H+E	54	-66														
			MMAC 1197	D+F+L+H+E	-36	-66														
			MTCM 1197	D+F+L+H+Wb	127	-8	D+F+L+H+Wb	100	3.12	-	-	-	-	-						
			MCCM 1247	D+F+L+H+Wb	-162	-5														
			MMAT 1246	D+F+L+H+E	11	-102														
			MMAC 1245	D+F+L+H+E	-45	-102														
		MTCM 1257	D+F+L+H+Wb	266	-35															
		MCCM 1257	D+F+L+H+Wb	-358	-38															
		MMAT 1257	D+F+L+H+E	51	-188															
		MMAC 1257	D+F+L+H+E	-78	-188															
		MTCM 1257	D+F+L+H+Wb	117	14	D+F+L+H+Wb	99	3.12	-	-	-	-	-							
		MCCM 1268	D+F+L+H+Wb	-360	49															
		MMAT 1268	D+F+L+H+Wb	49	87															
		MMAC 1232	D+F+L+H+Wb	-41	66															
		MTCM 1197	D+F+L+H+Wb	124	4															
		MCCM 1247	D+F+L+H+Wb	-152	7															
		MMAT 1208	D+F+L+H+Wb	48	84															
		MMAC 1265	D+F+L+H+Wb	-47	89															
MTCM 1257	D+F+L+H+Wb	103	4	D+F+L+H+Wb	81	6.24	-	-	-	-	-									
MCCM 1258	D+F+L+H+Wb	-266	14																	
MMAT 1260	D+F+L+H+Wb	60	8																	
MMAC 1259	D+F+L+H+E	-140	27																	
-	-	-	-									-	-	-	D+F+L+H+E	-31	120	16	3	0.44 (3)(F)
-	-	-	-									-	-	-	D+F+L+H+E	-32	102	87	-12	0.80 (4)(F)
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.44 (3)(F)							
Wall 15	2	Near Side	Horizontal	18-S-8	14H	MTCM 944	D+F+L+H+Wb	98	-13	D+F+L+H+Wb	95	1.56	-	-	-	-	-	-		
						MCCM 939	D+F+L+H+Wb	-85	-1											
						MMAT 948	D+F+L+H+Wb	20	-43											
						MMAC 947	D+F+L+H+Wb	-2	-38											
						MTCM 951	D+F+L+H+Wb	142	-41											
						MCCM 941	D+F+L+H+Wb	-67	-2											
			MMAT 911	D+F+L+H+Wb	48	-87														
			MMAC 943	D+F+L+H+Wb	-11	-24														
			MTCM 844	D+F+L+H+Wb	78	-5	D+F+L+H+Wb	43	1.56	-	-	-	-	-						
			MCCM 908	D+F+L+H+Wb	-84	-25														
			MMAT 917	D+F+L+H+Wb	20	-21														
			MMAC 907	D+F+L+H+Wb	-80	-33														
		MTCM 911	D+F+L+H+Wb	85	-41															
		MCCM 911	D+F+L+H+Wb	-104	-11															
		MMAT 911	D+F+L+H+Wb	33	-137															
		MMAC 918	D+F+L+H+Wb	-38	-21															

Table 3H.6-11 Results of DGFOS Vault Concrete Design (Continued)

Location	Reference (1)	Face	Direction	Reinforcement Detail Number	Reinforcement Zone Number <sup>(2)</sup>	Maximum Force <sup>(3)</sup>	Element	Longitudinal Reinforcement Design Loads				Longitudinal Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Transverse Shear Design Loads <sup>(4)</sup>				Transverse Shear <sup>(7)</sup> Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Remarks			
								Axial and Flexure Loads			In-Plane Shear Loads		Load Combination	Horizontal Section		Vertical Section					
								Load Combination	Axial <sup>(5)</sup> (kips / ft)	Flexure <sup>(6)</sup> (ft-kips / ft)	Load Combination			In-plane Shear <sup>(5)</sup> (kips / ft)	Transverse Shear Force (kips / ft)	Corresponding Axial Force (kips / ft)			Transverse Shear Force (kips / ft)	Corresponding Axial Force (kips / ft)	
Wall T1	2	Facing	Horizontal	3H.6.1B	1-NL	MTCM	920	D + F + L + H + W	19	6	D + F + L + H + W	55	1.56	-	-	-	-	-			
						MCCM	927	D + F + L + H + W	-210	25				-	-	-	-	-			
						MMAT	947	D + F + L + H + W	6	45				-	-	-	-	-			
						MMAC	907	D + F + L + H + W	-2	61				-	-	-	-	-			
						MTCM	911	D + F + L + H + W	57	136				D + F + L + H + W	103	4.68	-	-	-	-	-
						MCCM	911	D + F + L + H + W	-469	57							-	-	-	-	-
		MMAT	911	D + F + L + H + W	57	136	-	-	-	-	-										
		MMAC	951	D + F + L + H + W	-36	54	-	-	-	-	-										
		MTCM	944	D + F + L + H + W	69	1	D + F + L + H + W	43	1.56	-	-	-	-				-				
		MCCM	944	D + F + L + H + W	-112	9				-	-	-	-				-				
		MMAT	906	D + F + L + H + W	6	20				-	-	-	-	-							
		MMAC	927	D + F + L + H + W	-79	99				-	-	-	-	-							
MTCM	950	D + F + L + H + W	61	43	D + F + L + H + W	43				4.68	-	-	-	-	-						
MCCM	927	D + F + L + H + W	-184	23							-	-	-	-	-						
MMAT	911	D + F + L + H + W	45	142			-	-	-		-	-									
MMAC	936	D + F + L + H + W	0	69			-	-	-		-	-									
MTCM	1437	D + F + L + H + E	24	-168			D + F + L + H + E	108	3.12		-	-	-	-	-						
MCCM	1345	D + F + L + H + E	-199	-379							-	-	-	-	-						
MMAT	1349	D + F + L + H + E	14	-218	-	-				-	-	-									
MMAC	1432	D + F + L + H + E	-188	-174	-	-				-	-	-									
MTCM	-	-	-	-	D + F + L + H + E	85				4.68	-	-	-	-	-						
MCCM	1435	D + F + L + H + E	-199	-333							-	-	-	-	-						
MMAT	-	-	-	-			-	-	-		-	-									
MMAC	1434	D + F + L + H + E	-188	-543			-	-	-		-	-									
MTCM	1341	D + F + L + H + E	24	-170			D + F + L + H + E	108	7.8		-	-	-	-	-						
MCCM	1337	D + F + L + H + E	-201	-431							-	-	-	-	-						
MMAT	1445	D + F + L + H + E	16	-226	-	-				-	-	-									
MMAC	1337	D + F + L + H + E	-201	-431	-	-				-	-	-									
MTCM	1432	D + F + L + H + E	81	-41	D + F + L + H + E	100				3.12	-	-	-	-	-						
MCCM	1440	D + F + L + H + E	-180	-75							-	-	-	-	-						
MMAT	1365	D + F + L + H + E	4	-222			-	-	-		-	-									
MMAC	1373	D + F + L + H + E	53	630			-	-	-		-	-									
MTCM	1439	D + F + L + H + E	125	-17			D + F + L + H + E	100	4.68		-	-	-	-	-						
MCCM	1439	D + F + L + H + E	-210	-27							-	-	-	-	-						
MMAT	1415	D + F + L + H + E	10	-200	-	-				-	-	-									
MMAC	1415	D + F + L + H + E	-49	-200	-	-				-	-	-									
MTCM	1438	D + F + L + H + E	194	-118	D + F + L + H + E	100				8.24	-	-	-	-	-						
MCCM	1438	D + F + L + H + E	-270	-22							-	-	-	-	-						
MMAT	1406	D + F + L + H + E	41	-602			-	-	-		-	-									
MMAC	1406	D + F + L + H + E	-12	-602			-	-	-		-	-									
MTCM	1382	D + F + L + H + E	92	-490			D + F + L + H + E	90	7.8		-	-	-	-	-						
MCCM	1398	D + F + L + H + E	-86	-47							-	-	-	-	-						
MMAT	1374	D + F + L + H + E	95	-714	-	-				-	-	-									
MMAC	1398	D + F + L + H + E	-1	673	-	-				-	-	-									
MTCM	1341	D + F + L + H + E	20	13	D + F + L + H + E	108				3.12	-	-	-	-	-						
MCCM	1409	D + F + L + H + E	-194	54							-	-	-	-	-						
MMAT	1349	D + F + L + H + E	1	80			-	-	-		-	-									
MMAC	1393	D + F + L + H + E	-170	338			-	-	-		-	-									

Table 3H.6-11 Results of DGFOS Vault Concrete Design (Continued)

Location	Thickness (ft)	Size	Direction	Reinforcement Location Drawing Number	Reinforcement Zone Number <sup>(1)</sup>	Maximum Force <sup>(2)</sup>	Element	Longitudinal Reinforcement Design Loads				Longitudinal Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Transverse Shear Design Loads <sup>(3)</sup>				Transverse Shear <sup>(7)</sup> Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Remarks				
								Axial and Flexure Loads			In-Plane Shear Loads		Load Combination	Transverse Shear Force (kips/ft)	Horizontal Section				Vertical Section			
								Load Combination	Axial <sup>(4)</sup> (kips/ft)	Flexure <sup>(6)</sup> (ft-kips/ft)	In-plane <sup>(5)</sup> Shear (kips/ft)				Transverse Shear Force (kips/ft)	Corresponding Axial Force (kips/ft)			Transverse Shear Force (kips/ft)	Corresponding Axial Force (kips/ft)		
Wall 12	4	20x24	Vertical	3H.6.08	1	1343	D+F+L+H+E	98	57	100	3.12	-	-	-	-	-	-					
								MCCM	1332									D+F+L+H+E	-201	11		
								MMAAT	1423									D+F+L+H+E	8	184		
								MMAAC	1423									D+F+L+H+E	-109	212		
								MTCM	1430									D+F+L+H+E	134	43		
								MCCM	1438									D+F+L+H+E	-270	48		
								MMAAT	1385									D+F+L+H+E	50	339		
								MMAAC	1400									D+F+L+H+E	-10	324		
								MTCM	1383									D+F+L+H+E	78	275		
								MCCM	1391									D+F+L+H+E	-22	70		
								MMAAT	1384									D+F+L+H+E	88	358		
								MMAAC	1388									1.4D+1.4E+1.2L+1.7H+1.7W	-1	235		
Wall 12	4	20x24	Horizontal	3H.6.08	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-					
																		MTCM	1873	D+F+L+H+W	10	-19
																		MCCM	1953	D+F+L+H+E	-200	-482
																		MMAAT	1873	D+F+L+H+W	1	-85
																		MMAAC	1953	D+F+L+H+E	-200	-482
																		MTCM	1872	D+F+L+H+E	25	-16
																		MCCM	1942	D+F+L+H+E	-205	-503
																		MMAAT	1872	D+F+L+H+E	5	-199
																		MMAAC	1956	D+F+L+H+E	-189	-613
																		MTCM	1871	D+F+L+H+E	33	-48
																		MCCM	1928	D+F+L+H+E	-192	-737
																		MMAAT	1884	D+F+L+H+E	11	-354
MMAAC	1912	D+F+L+H+E	-120	-785																		
Wall 12	4	20x24	Horizontal	3H.6.08	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-					
																		MTCM	-	-	-	-
																		MCCM	1954	D+F+L+H+E	-202	-881
																		MMAAT	-	-	-	-
																		MMAAC	1988	D+F+L+H+E	-190	-625
																		MTCM	1883	D+F+L+H+W	104	-20
																		MCCM	1913	D+F+L+H+E	-185	-710
																		MMAAT	1927	D+F+L+H+E	49	-123
																		MMAAC	1927	D+F+L+H+E	-84	-152
																		MTCM	1871	D+F+L+H+W	160	-37
																		MCCM	1827	D+F+L+H+E	-260	-31
																		MMAAT	1880	D+F+L+H+E	24	-422
MMAAC	1880	D+F+L+H+E	-48	-422																		
MTCM	1884	D+F+L+H+E	89	-724																		
MCCM	1868	D+F+L+H+E	-119	-30																		
MMAAT	1885	D+F+L+H+E	82	-790																		
MMAAC	1887	D+F+L+H+E	-2	-625																		
Wall 12	4	20x24	Horizontal	3H.6.08	4	1571	D+F+L+H+E	37	151	105	3.12	-	-	-	-	-	-					
								MTCM	1545									D+F+L+H+E	-186	35		
								MMAAT	1883									D+F+L+H+E	4	205		
								MMAAC	1984									D+F+L+H+E	-180	414		



Table 3H.6-11 Results of DGFOS Vault Concrete Design (Continued)

Location	Thickness (ft)	Face	Direction	Reinforcement Layer/Element Identifier	Reinforcement Zone Number <sup>(6)</sup>	Minimum Force <sup>(8)</sup>	Element	Longitudinal Reinforcement Design Loads				Longitudinal Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Transverse Shear Design Loads <sup>(9)</sup>				Transverse Shear <sup>(7)</sup> Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Remarks		
								Axial and Flexure Loads			In-Plane Shear Loads		Horizontal Section		Vertical Section					
								Load Combination	Asial <sup>(1)</sup> (kips/ft)	Flexure <sup>(2)</sup> (k-ft/ft)			Load Combination	Transverse Shear Force (kip/ft)	Corresponding Axial Force (kip/ft)	Transverse Shear Force (kip/ft)			Corresponding Axial Force (kip/ft)	
Wall 14	2	End Side	Vertical	3H-1018	3H-1018	-	MTCM 1499	D + F + L + H + Ws	113	1	D + F + L + H + Ws	62	3.12	-	-	-	-	-	-	
							MCCM 1498	D + F + L + H + Ws	-261	136										
							MMAT 1507	D + F + L + H + Ws	46	88										
							MMAC 1496	D + F + L + H + Ws	-261	136										
							MTCM 1653	D + F + L + H + E	93	9										
							MCCM 1652	D + F + L + H + Ws	-209	68										
	MTCM 1652	D + F + L + H + E	1	65																
	MCCM 1652	D + F + L + H + Ws	-207	138	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(8)								
	MMAT 1652	D + F + L + H + E	1	65																
	MMAC 1652	D + F + L + H + Ws	-207	138																
	1st	-	-	-									-	-	D + F + L + H + E	-22	90	13	18	0.44 (38%)
	2nd	-	-	-									-	-	D + F + L + H + E	-40	202	16	-122	0.80 (48%)
3rd	-	-	-	-									-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Wall 15	2	Near Side	Horizontal	0202-14E	0202-14E	-	MTCM 1609	D + F + L + H + Ws	85	9	D + F + L + H + Ws	37	1.56	-	-	-	-	-	-	
							MCCM 1610	D + F + L + H + Ws	-30	-2										
							MMAT 1609	D + F + L + H + Ws	6	-55										
							MMAC 1603	D + F + L + H + E	-14	-83										
							MTCM 1644	D + F + L + H + Ws	41	-12										
							MCCM 1689	D + F + L + H + E	-33	-43										
			MTCM 1700	D + F + L + H + Ws	33	89	D + F + L + H + Ws	37	3.12	-	-	-	-	-	-					
			MMAT 1700	D + F + L + H + Ws	33	89														
			MMAC 1645	D + F + L + H + E	-27	-102														
			MTCM 1719	D + F + L + H + Ws	8	-12														
			MCCM 1796	D + F + L + H + Ws	-107	-10														
			MMAT 1770	D + F + L + H + E	0	-32														
		MTCM 1796	D + F + L + H + E	-11	-44	D + F + L + H + Ws	54	1.56	-	-	-	-	-	-						
		MTCM 1691	D + F + L + H + Ws	60	-19															
		MCCM 1856	D + F + L + H + Ws	-71	-3															
		MMAT 1856	D + F + L + H + Ws	37	-76															
		MMAC 1846	D + F + L + H + E	-3	-29															
		MTCM 1689	D + F + L + H + Ws	155	-52															
		MCCM 1700	D + F + L + H + Ws	-87	-6	D + F + L + H + Ws	85	4.88	-	-	-	-	-	-						
		MMAT 1700	D + F + L + H + Ws	46	-103															
		MMAC 1689	D + F + L + H + E	-1	-39															
		MTCM 1843	D + F + L + H + Ws	24	1															
		MCCM 1724	D + F + L + H + Ws	-228	13															
		MMAT 1741	D + F + L + H + E	3	43															
		MTCM 1700	D + F + L + H + Ws	42	84	D + F + L + H + Ws	37	1.56	-	-	-	-	-	-						
		MCCM 1700	D + F + L + H + Ws	-207	45															
		MMAT 1700	D + F + L + H + Ws	42	94															
		MMAC 1700	D + F + L + H + Ws	-391	61															
		MTCM 1833	D + F + L + H + E	45	5															
		MCCM 1796	D + F + L + H + Ws	-106	6															
		MTCM 1702	D + F + L + H + E	58	6	D + F + L + H + Ws	85	3.12	-	-	-	-	-	-						
		MCCM 1889	D + F + L + H + Ws	-150	42															
		MMAT 1856	D + F + L + H + Ws	46	91															
		MMAC 1695	D + F + L + H + Ws	-29	79															
		MTCM 1702	D + F + L + H + E	58	6															
		MCCM 1889	D + F + L + H + Ws	-150	42															

**Table 3H.6-11 Results of DGFOS Vault Concrete Design (Continued)**

Location	Thickness (ft)	Face	Direction	Reinforcement Drawing Number (1)	Reinforcement Zone Number (2)	Minimum Force (3)	Longitudinal Reinforcement Design Loads						Longitudinal Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Transverse Shear Design Loads (6)				Transverse Shear Reinforcement Provided (in <sup>2</sup> /ft)	Remarks							
							Axial and Flexure Loads			In-Plane Shear Loads				Load Combination	Horizontal Section		Vertical Section									
							Load Combination	Axial (4) (kips / ft)	Flexure (4) (ft-kips / ft)	Load Combination	In-plane (5) Shear (kips / ft)	Transverse Shear Force (kip / ft)			Corresponding Axial Force (kip / ft)	Transverse Shear Force (kip / ft)	Corresponding Axial Force (kip / ft)									
																				Axial and Flexure Loads		In-Plane Shear Loads				
Wall 15	2	Face	Vertical	3H-030A	3-1A	-	MTCM	1700	D + F + L + H + Wb	60	116	D + F + L + H + Wb	55	4.68	-	-	-	-	-	-						
							MCCM	1700	D + F + L + H + Wb	-5	4															
							MMAT	1700	D + F + L + H + Wb	60	117															
							MMAC	1700	D + F + L + H + E	-1	11															
Wall 16	2	Face	Horizontal	3H-020B	1-1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.44 (5)(8)					
							Vertical	MTCM	1488	D + F + L + H + Wb	69	-79	D + F + L + H + Wb	51	3.12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
								MCCM	1447	D + F + L + H + Wb	-56	-16														
								MMAT	1484	D + F + L + H + Wb	36	-112														
								MMAC	1470	D + F + L + H + Wb	-41	-25														
			MTCM	1450	D + F + L + H + Wb	61		-6																		
			Face	Horizontal	3H-020C	1-1	-	-	-	MTCM	1447	D + F + L + H + Wb	-111	-118	D + F + L + H + Wb	38	3.12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
										MCCM	1447	D + F + L + H + Wb	-111	-118												
										MMAT	1486	D + F + L + H + Wb	21	-54												
										MMAC	1447	D + F + L + H + Wb	-104	-100												
		MTCM								1403	D + F + L + H + Wb	30	-26													
		Vertical		3H-020C	1-1	-	-	-	-	MCCM	1483	D + F + L + H + Wb	-19	-4	D + F + L + H + Wb	38	4.68	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
										MCCM	1483	D + F + L + H + Wb	-19	-4												
										MMAT	1484	D + F + L + H + Wb	50	-118												
										MMAC	1484	D + F + L + H + Wb	-11	-8												
										MTCM	1484	D + F + L + H + Wb	49	102												
		Face	Horizontal	3H-010C	1-1	-	-	-	MTCM	1484	D + F + L + H + Wb	49	102	D + F + L + H + Wb	51	3.12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
									MCCM	1484	D + F + L + H + Wb	-438	76													
									MMAT	1484	D + F + L + H + Wb	49	102													
									MMAC	1484	D + F + L + H + Wb	-427	99													
MTCM	1451								D + F + L + H + Wb	82	11															
Vertical	3H-020F		1-1	-	-	-	-	MCCM	1478	D + F + L + H + Wb	-138	36	D + F + L + H + Wb	38	3.12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-				
								MCCM	1478	D + F + L + H + Wb	-138	36														
								MMAT	1484	D + F + L + H + Wb	61	103														
								MMAC	1481	D + F + L + H + Wb	-50	79														
								MTCM	1451	D + F + L + H + Wb	82	11														
Face	Horizontal	3H-030B	1-1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.24 (5)(8)					
							Vertical	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.44 (5)(8)

**Notes:**

- (1) The reinforcement layout drawings show the various zones used to define the minimum reinforcement that will be provided based on finite element analysis results. Actual provided reinforcement based on final rebar layout and including development length may exceed the reported provided reinforcement and the zones with higher reinforcement may be extended beyond their reported boundaries. The dimensions in the reinforcement drawings are based on the dimensions of the SAP2000 shell elements, which are modeled at the centerline of the walls and slabs. Therefore, the reinforcement drawing dimensions do not match actual building dimensions. See Figure 3H-6.141 for wall and slab labeling convention.
- (2) Each reinforcement layout drawing is divided into reinforcement zones. The reinforcement zone naming convention is as follows: "H" = horizontal, "V" = vertical, "L" = longitudinal reinforcement, "T" = transverse reinforcement. For slabs, vertical corresponds to Y-axis and horizontal corresponds to X-axis as shown on Figure 3H-6.140.
- (3) The maximum tension (MTCM) and compression (MCCM) axial forces are provided with the corresponding moment from the same load combination. The maximum moment that has a corresponding tension (MMAT) in the same load combination and the maximum moment that has a corresponding compression (MMAC) in the same load combination are also provided.
- (4) Negative axial load is compression and positive axial load is tension. Negative moment applies tension to the top face of the shell element and positive moment applies tension to the bottom face of the shell element. For walls or slabs where the same reinforcement is provided on both faces, the moment is shown as absolute value. The axial and flexural loads reported in the table are the average of the 2 node pairs that form the 4 edges of the critical rectangular shell element. If the 2 node pairs on the shell element edges parallel to the reinforcement direction do not satisfy P-M interaction criteria, then only the 2 node pairs on the shell element edges perpendicular to the reinforcement direction are used for design (effective width considered).
- (5) The reported in-plane shear is the maximum average in-plane shear along a plane that crosses the longitudinal reinforcement zone.
- (6) The transverse shear reinforcement loads are reported for the critical element requiring the largest area of steel for transverse reinforcement within the zone. The shear force and the corresponding axial force in the same load combination for each direction is reported for the critical element.
- (7) The reported transverse shear reinforcement is the summation of the required shear reinforcement in the horizontal direction and the required shear reinforcement in the vertical direction.
- (8) For certain areas of the structure, the standard element post-processing methods were too conservative. For such cases, detailed manual design was performed and the design forces determined by the detailed manual design are provided in the table.
- (9) The reported forces are from the FEM analysis. The provided longitudinal reinforcement includes additional reinforcement required due to manual one-way design calculations.
- (10) The longitudinal reinforcement shown is required to be tied.

**Table 3H.6-12 Factors of Safety Against Sliding, Overturning, and Flotation for Diesel Generator Fuel Oil Storage Vaults**

Load Combination	Calculated Safety Factor			Notes
	Overturning	Sliding	Flotation	
D + F'	---	---	1.28	2, 3
D + H + W	1.5	5.84	---	2, 3, 4
D + H + W <sub>t</sub>	1.41	19.75	---	2, 3
D + H' + E'	1.1	1.1	---	3, 4, 5
D + H + W <sub>th</sub>	1.17	1.34	---	2, 3

Notes:

- 1) Loads D, H, H', W, W<sub>t</sub>, and E' are defined in Subsection 3H.6.4.3.4.1. F' is the buoyant force corresponding to the design basis flood. Load W<sub>th</sub> is defined in Subsection 3H.11.1.
- 2) Reported safety factors are conservatively based on considering empty weight of the fuel oil tank.
- 3) Coefficients of friction for sliding resistance are 0.58 for static conditions and 0.39 for dynamic conditions for the Diesel Generator Fuel Oil Storage Vault.
- 4) The calculated safety factors consider less than full passive pressure. The calculated safety factors increase if full passive pressure ( $K_p = 3.0$ ) is considered.
- 5) The seismic sliding forces and overturning moments from SSI and SSSI analyses are less than the seismic sliding forces and overturning moments used in the stability evaluations.

**Table 3H.6-13 Tornado Missile Impact Evaluation for Diesel Generator Fuel Oil Storage Vault**

<b>Local Check</b>	<b>DGFOS Vault</b>	Minimum required thickness to prevent penetration, perforation, and scabbing = 13.6" Minimum provided thickness = 18"
<b>Overall Check of Impacted Element</b>	<b>Roof</b>	Impacts where Flexure controls. Maximum impact load including Dynamic Load Factor (DLF) = 432 kips Ductility demand < 1 Ductility limit = 10
		Impacts where shear controls. Maximum impact load including Dynamic Load Factor (DLF) = 432 kips Minimum capacity = 613 kips
	<b>Protection Hood</b>	Shear controls Maximum impact load including Dynamic Load Factor (DLF) = 200 kips Minimum capacity = 534 kips The minimum capacity is based on the inclusion of the following shear reinforcement: - #3 bars spaced at 6" o.c. in both directions
	<b>Walls</b>	Shear controls. Maximum impact load including Dynamic Load Factor (DLF) = 617 kips Minimum capacity = 866 kips Maximum impact load and minimum capacity based on largest ratio of impact load to capacity.

Table 3H.6-13 Tornado Missile Impact Evaluation for Diesel Generator Fuel Oil Storage Vault (Continued)

	<b>Entry Way Wall</b>	<p>Shear controls.</p> <p>For Vertical Beam Shear:</p> <p>Maximum impact load including Dynamic Load Factor (DLF) = 309 kips</p> <p>Minimum capacity = 1044 kips</p> <p>Shear ties are required locally for vertical beam shear to withstand a missile strike near the top and bottom panel supports. See Table 3H.6-11 and Figure 3H.6-208 for reinforcement size and location.</p> <p>For Horizontal Beam Shear:</p> <p>Maximum impact load including Dynamic Load Factor (DLF) = 281 kips</p> <p>Minimum capacity = 359 kips</p>
<b>Global Check</b>		<p>Equivalent static impact forces are applied to the FEM analysis of the DGFOV Vault. The analysis results presented in Table 3H.6-11 provide a summary of the results for all load combinations including those affected by the tornado missile impact.</p>

**Table 3H.6-14 Calculated Overturning and Sliding Factors of Safety Under Site-Specific SSE and Flotation Factors of Safety for TB, SB, RWB and CBA**

Structure	Calculated Factor of Safety			Minimum Required Factor of Safety	Coefficient of Friction for Sliding Evaluation
	Overturning	Sliding	Flotation		
<b>Turbine Building (TB)</b>	2.18	1.11	1.46	1.1	0.30 (dynamic)
<b>Service Building (SB)</b>	<del>2.65</del> 2.11	<del>1.84</del> 1.11	1.40	1.1	0.39 (dynamic)
<b>Radwaste<sup>1</sup> Building (RWB)</b>	<del>4.23</del> 3.24	<del>1.92</del> 1.68	1.51	1.1	0.39 (dynamic)
<b>Control Building Annex (CBA)</b>	2.03	1.16	1.18	1.1	0.58 (static)

Notes:

- (1) The seismic sliding forces and overturning moments from SSSI analysis are less than the seismic sliding forces and overturning moments used in the stability evaluations.

**Table 3H.6-15 Required and Provided Gaps at the Interface of Site-Specific Seismic Category I Structures and Diesel Generator Fuel Oil Tunnels with Adjoining Structures**

Interfacing Structures	Required and Provided Gaps (inches)	
	Required Gap	Provided Gap
RSW Piping Tunnels and Control Building	4.54	5.0
RSW Pump House and RSW Piping Tunnel A	3.99	5.0
RSW Pump House and RSW Piping Tunnel B	4.92	5.0
RSW Pump House and RSW Piping Tunnel C	3.07	5.0
Diesel Generator Fuel Oil Storage Vault (DGFOSV) No. 1 and its Diesel Generator Fuel Oil Tunnel	2.37	3.0
Diesel Generator Fuel Oil Storage Vault (DGFOSV) No. 2 and its Diesel Generator Fuel Oil Tunnel	2.60	3.0
Diesel Generator Fuel Oil Storage Vault (DGFOSV) No. 3 and its Diesel Generator Fuel Oil Tunnel	2.42	3.0
Reactor Building and Diesel Generator Fuel Oil Tunnel (DGFOT) No. 1A	2.65	4.0
Reactor Building and Diesel Generator Fuel Oil Tunnel (DGFOT) No. 1B	3.77	4.0
Reactor Building and Diesel Generator Fuel Oil Tunnel (DGFOT) No. 1C	3.24	4.0

Note: See Figure 3H.6-221 for layout of the above structures

**Table 3H.6-16 Factors of Safety Against Sliding, Overturning, and Flotation for Reactor Service Water Tunnel**

Load Combination	Calculated Safety Factor			Notes
	Overturning	Sliding	Flotation	
D + F'	---	---	1.18	2
D + H + W	2.29	50.76	---	
D + H + W <sub>t</sub>	2.23	21.31	---	
D + H' + E'	1.1	1.29	---	2,3,4
D + H + W <sub>th</sub>	1.10	1.23	---	2, 3

Notes

- (1) Loads D, H, H', W, W<sub>t</sub>, and E' are defined in Subsection 3H.6.4.3.4.1. F' is the buoyant force corresponding to the design basis flood. Load W<sub>th</sub> is defined in Subsection 3H.11.1.
- (2) Coefficients of friction for sliding resistance are 0.45 for static conditions and 0.30 for dynamic conditions for the RSW Tunnel.
- (3) The calculated safety factors consider less than half of the full passive pressure. The calculated safety factors increase if full passive pressure (K<sub>p</sub> = 3.0) is considered.
- (4) The seismic sliding forces and overturning moments from SSI and SSSI analyses are less than the seismic sliding forces and overturning moments used in the stability evaluations.

Table 3H.6-17 UHS/RSW Pump House Response Spectra Modification Factors

Group <sup>(1)</sup>	Direction	Damping	Frequency Range(Hz)							
			0-2	2-5	5-10	10-15	15-20	20-25	25-30	30-35
group1	X	0.005	1.255	1.255	1.472	2.195	2.195	1.837	1.837	1.047
group2			1.432	1.432	1.882	2.348	2.348	1.888	1.367	1.021
group3			1.321	1.321	1.868	2.083	2.083	1.775	1.697	1.097
group4			1.193	1.193	1.858	2.630	2.630	2.136	1.677	1.020
group5			1.195	1.195	1.864	1.838	1.838	1.317	1.219	1.000
group6			1.449	1.590	3.253	3.849	3.270	3.763	3.639	1.514
group7			1.230	1.230	1.814	1.582	1.553	2.234	1.202	1.003
group8			1.660	4.430	4.430	1.734	1.372	1.237	1.222	1.136
group9			1.660	2.138	1.859	1.734	1.413	1.237	1.192	1.117
group10			1.660	2.138	1.770	1.734	1.753	1.275	1.192	1.117
group1	X	0.01	1.273	1.273	1.423	1.754	1.754	1.340	1.298	1.047
group2			1.381	1.381	1.729	1.917	1.917	1.424	1.235	1.019
group3			1.285	1.285	1.734	1.728	1.728	1.384	1.184	1.097
group4			1.207	1.207	1.700	2.164	2.164	1.692	1.385	1.021
group5			1.166	1.166	1.760	1.567	1.567	1.216	1.059	1.000
group6			1.483	1.514	2.566	2.856	2.274	2.672	2.672	1.467
group7			1.192	1.192	1.727	1.347	1.532	1.553	1.110	1.002
group8			1.417	3.653	3.653	1.464	1.231	1.228	1.149	1.136
group9			1.417	2.072	1.662	1.464	1.301	1.149	1.149	1.117
group10			1.417	2.072	1.637	1.464	1.429	1.215	1.149	1.117
group1	X	0.02	1.264	1.264	1.363	1.505	1.505	1.181	1.181	1.047
group2			1.317	1.317	1.518	1.587	1.587	1.292	1.085	1.018
group3			1.252	1.252	1.535	1.377	1.377	1.113	1.097	1.097
group4			1.247	1.247	1.497	1.708	1.708	1.358	1.164	1.021
group5			1.151	1.151	1.576	1.348	1.348	1.118	1.016	1.000
group6			1.441	1.479	2.039	2.277	1.938	1.879	1.893	1.369
group7			1.205	1.205	1.561	1.303	1.334	1.158	1.078	1.001
group8			1.251	2.770	2.770	1.300	1.151	1.194	1.156	1.136
group9			1.251	1.843	1.483	1.300	1.197	1.122	1.123	1.117
group10			1.251	1.843	1.364	1.300	1.195	1.151	1.123	1.117

Table 3H.6-17 UHS/RSW Pump House Response Spectra Modification Factors (Continued)

Group <sup>(1)</sup>	Direction	Damping	Frequency Range(Hz)							
			0-2	2-5	5-10	10-15	15-20	20-25	25-30	30-35
group1	X	0.03	1.227	1.227	1.326	1.342	1.312	1.152	1.152	1.048
group2			1.338	1.338	1.395	1.426	1.436	1.186	1.068	1.018
group3			1.274	1.274	1.413	1.272	1.272	1.054	1.097	1.097
group4			1.274	1.274	1.382	1.415	1.415	1.203	1.116	1.021
group5			1.123	1.123	1.459	1.217	1.217	1.055	1.000	1.000
group6			1.416	1.507	1.871	1.958	1.718	1.673	1.697	1.311
group7			1.181	1.181	1.456	1.247	1.247	1.104	1.073	1.000
group8			1.221	2.315	2.315	1.182	1.151	1.174	1.162	1.136
group9			1.221	1.672	1.317	1.182	1.151	1.117	1.120	1.117
group10			1.221	1.672	1.293	1.182	1.151	1.130	1.120	1.117
group1	X	0.04	1.202	1.202	1.269	1.256	1.233	1.122	1.122	1.047
group2			1.283	1.283	1.318	1.319	1.322	1.126	1.079	1.017
group3			1.236	1.236	1.336	1.239	1.239	1.061	1.097	1.097
group4			1.250	1.250	1.312	1.286	1.286	1.113	1.070	1.022
group5			1.102	1.102	1.379	1.121	1.121	1.012	1.000	1.000
group6			1.402	1.498	1.755	1.834	1.566	1.580	1.595	1.274
group7			1.159	1.159	1.381	1.223	1.207	1.048	1.045	1.000
group8			1.173	2.009	2.009	1.154	1.145	1.163	1.163	1.136
group9			1.173	1.595	1.282	1.154	1.145	1.115	1.118	1.116
group10			1.173	1.595	1.282	1.154	1.145	1.115	1.118	1.116
group1	X	0.05	1.191	1.191	1.230	1.245	1.188	1.103	1.103	1.047
group2			1.245	1.245	1.267	1.241	1.248	1.089	1.081	1.017
group3			1.208	1.208	1.283	1.219	1.219	1.064	1.096	1.096
group4			1.240	1.240	1.265	1.244	1.244	1.058	1.036	1.022
group5			1.127	1.127	1.324	1.089	1.087	1.000	1.000	1.000
group6			1.391	1.476	1.692	1.732	1.460	1.515	1.520	1.248
group7			1.140	1.140	1.326	1.207	1.166	1.018	1.018	1.000
group8			1.157	1.809	1.809	1.146	1.141	1.161	1.161	1.135
group9			1.157	1.545	1.224	1.146	1.141	1.114	1.117	1.116
group10			1.157	1.545	1.224	1.146	1.141	1.114	1.117	1.116

Table 3H.6-17 UHS/RSW Pump House Response Spectra Modification Factors (Continued)

Group <sup>(1)</sup>	Direction	Damping	Frequency Range(Hz)							
			0-2	2-5	5-10	10-15	15-20	20-25	25-30	30-35
group1	X	0.07	1.191	1.191	1.124	1.157	1.128	1.075	1.075	1.046
group2			1.212	1.212	1.177	1.140	1.140	1.090	1.039	1.016
group3			1.190	1.190	1.216	1.185	1.185	1.072	1.096	1.096
group4			1.234	1.234	1.198	1.187	1.187	1.055	1.024	1.022
group5			1.095	1.095	1.239	1.057	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
group6			1.383	1.457	1.604	1.597	1.373	1.404	1.404	1.223
group7			1.112	1.112	1.255	1.174	1.141	1.000	1.000	1.000
group8			1.147	1.582	1.582	1.138	1.135	1.152	1.152	1.135
group9			1.147	1.460	1.184	1.138	1.135	1.114	1.116	1.116
group10			1.147	1.460	1.184	1.138	1.135	1.114	1.116	1.116
group1	X	0.1	1.164	1.164	1.081	1.087	1.084	1.054	1.054	1.044
group2			1.163	1.163	1.118	1.080	1.091	1.086	1.032	1.014
group3			1.153	1.153	1.148	1.144	1.144	1.079	1.095	1.095
group4			1.182	1.182	1.109	1.155	1.150	1.037	1.022	1.021
group5			1.091	1.091	1.163	1.063	1.000	1.003	1.000	1.000
group6			1.362	1.401	1.559	1.486	1.393	1.306	1.306	1.217
group7			1.083	1.083	1.187	1.145	1.092	1.000	1.000	1.000
group8			1.135	1.416	1.416	1.151	1.130	1.141	1.141	1.134
group9			1.135	1.371	1.164	1.132	1.130	1.113	1.115	1.115
group10			1.135	1.371	1.164	1.132	1.130	1.113	1.115	1.115
group1	X	0.15	1.153	1.153	1.073	1.066	1.058	1.040	1.042	1.041
group2			1.130	1.130	1.079	1.055	1.058	1.058	1.008	1.010
group3			1.122	1.122	1.108	1.104	1.104	1.083	1.094	1.094
group4			1.152	1.152	1.100	1.086	1.086	1.021	1.021	1.020
group5			1.088	1.088	1.087	1.058	1.002	1.007	1.001	1.000
group6			1.324	1.339	1.493	1.390	1.373	1.259	1.260	1.211
group7			1.068	1.068	1.116	1.118	1.040	1.000	1.000	1.000
group8			1.122	1.350	1.350	1.180	1.124	1.134	1.134	1.132
group9			1.122	1.292	1.151	1.125	1.124	1.112	1.115	1.115
group10			1.122	1.292	1.151	1.125	1.124	1.112	1.115	1.115

Table 3H.6-17 UHS/RSW Pump House Response Spectra Modification Factors (Continued)

Group <sup>(1)</sup>	Direction	Damping	Frequency Range(Hz)							
			0-2	2-5	5-10	10-15	15-20	20-25	25-30	30-35
group1	X	0.2	1.101	1.101	1.067	1.056	1.049	1.034	1.038	1.038
group2			1.111	1.111	1.054	1.028	1.040	1.034	1.007	1.009
group3			1.105	1.105	1.072	1.080	1.082	1.085	1.094	1.094
group4			1.116	1.116	1.090	1.053	1.052	1.019	1.020	1.020
group5			1.059	1.059	1.061	1.040	1.000	1.004	1.000	1.000
group6			1.300	1.308	1.481	1.350	1.341	1.246	1.242	1.209
group7			1.063	1.066	1.090	1.061	1.006	1.000	1.000	1.000
group8			1.122	1.305	1.305	1.201	1.120	1.130	1.131	1.131
group9			1.122	1.269	1.145	1.120	1.120	1.112	1.115	1.115
group10			1.122	1.269	1.145	1.120	1.120	1.112	1.115	1.115
group1	Y	0.005	1.017	1.229	1.290	1.742	1.742	1.416	1.210	1.033
group2			1.051	1.116	2.071	2.424	2.424	5.938	3.282	1.055
group3			1.088	1.153	1.939	2.213	2.213	2.398	1.289	1.061
group4			1.082	1.113	2.647	1.855	1.687	2.427	1.666	1.031
group5			1.544	1.544	2.718	1.550	1.550	1.513	1.173	1.040
group6			1.394	1.639	5.529	3.093	3.093	3.693	2.794	1.370
group7			1.184	1.425	1.801	1.801	1.699	1.605	1.474	1.081
group8			2.327	9.258	1.967	2.941	1.801	1.495	1.485	1.485
group9			2.327	9.258	1.967	2.941	1.801	1.495	1.485	1.485
group10			2.327	9.258	1.967	2.941	2.357	1.495	1.485	1.485
group1	Y	0.01	1.020	1.203	1.280	1.513	1.513	1.275	1.153	1.033
group2			1.046	1.102	1.877	2.089	2.089	4.171	2.709	1.049
group3			1.091	1.134	1.788	1.793	1.753	1.764	1.209	1.062
group4			1.077	1.098	2.223	1.479	1.360	1.639	1.179	1.031
group5			1.303	1.303	2.137	1.348	1.348	1.241	1.096	1.040
group6			1.372	1.533	4.155	2.303	2.290	2.520	2.246	1.326
group7			1.250	1.318	1.456	1.512	1.512	1.362	1.153	1.081
group8			2.195	5.394	1.666	2.278	1.588	1.480	1.482	1.484
group9			2.195	5.394	1.666	2.278	1.588	1.480	1.482	1.484
group10			2.195	5.394	1.666	2.278	1.847	1.480	1.482	1.484

Table 3H.6-17 UHS/RSW Pump House Response Spectra Modification Factors (Continued)

Group <sup>(1)</sup>	Direction	Damping	Frequency Range(Hz)							
			0-2	2-5	5-10	10-15	15-20	20-25	25-30	30-35
group1	Y	0.02	1.023	1.108	1.156	1.233	1.233	1.157	1.123	1.033
group2			1.044	1.079	1.575	1.736	1.807	2.625	2.053	1.038
group3			1.074	1.110	1.488	1.430	1.416	1.260	1.117	1.062
group4			1.078	1.078	1.653	1.284	1.142	1.214	1.053	1.031
group5			1.163	1.163	1.715	1.194	1.194	1.131	1.093	1.040
group6			1.317	1.422	2.837	1.931	1.931	1.820	1.752	1.237
group7			1.191	1.258	1.207	1.207	1.207	1.175	1.090	1.081
group8			1.962	3.812	1.647	1.697	1.552	1.487	1.483	1.485
group9			1.962	3.812	1.647	1.697	1.552	1.487	1.483	1.485
group10			1.962	3.812	1.647	1.697	1.552	1.487	1.483	1.485
group1	Y	0.03	1.014	1.077	1.138	1.132	1.132	1.101	1.101	1.033
group2			1.046	1.073	1.335	1.711	1.767	1.973	1.762	1.038
group3			1.073	1.091	1.279	1.313	1.285	1.113	1.058	1.062
group4			1.076	1.076	1.385	1.183	1.084	1.091	1.035	1.031
group5			1.117	1.117	1.447	1.132	1.132	1.104	1.098	1.040
group6			1.307	1.379	2.238	1.726	1.644	1.574	1.522	1.186
group7			1.163	1.221	1.154	1.130	1.069	1.124	1.101	1.081
group8			1.793	3.145	1.696	1.537	1.537	1.493	1.483	1.485
group9			1.793	3.145	1.696	1.537	1.537	1.493	1.483	1.485
group10			1.793	3.145	1.696	1.537	1.537	1.493	1.483	1.485
group1	Y	0.04	1.012	1.077	1.131	1.093	1.092	1.080	1.080	1.033
group2			1.047	1.068	1.210	1.691	1.691	1.641	1.542	1.038
group3			1.072	1.072	1.189	1.251	1.251	1.073	1.059	1.063
group4			1.071	1.071	1.243	1.157	1.059	1.059	1.034	1.031
group5			1.099	1.117	1.301	1.101	1.103	1.103	1.103	1.040
group6			1.283	1.383	1.953	1.632	1.458	1.473	1.430	1.153
group7			1.143	1.206	1.135	1.133	1.076	1.110	1.107	1.082
group8			1.770	2.845	1.710	1.521	1.521	1.494	1.483	1.485
group9			1.770	2.845	1.710	1.521	1.521	1.494	1.483	1.485
group10			1.770	2.845	1.710	1.521	1.521	1.494	1.483	1.485

Table 3H.6-17 UHS/RSW Pump House Response Spectra Modification Factors (Continued)

Group <sup>(1)</sup>	Direction	Damping	Frequency Range(Hz)							
			0-2	2-5	5-10	10-15	15-20	20-25	25-30	30-35
group1	Y	0.05	1.015	1.078	1.122	1.086	1.087	1.067	1.067	1.033
group2			1.055	1.055	1.140	1.571	1.571	1.449	1.398	1.038
group3			1.070	1.070	1.143	1.216	1.216	1.062	1.062	1.063
group4			1.067	1.067	1.177	1.157	1.057	1.053	1.033	1.031
group5			1.092	1.105	1.228	1.088	1.098	1.105	1.105	1.041
group6			1.260	1.394	1.791	1.570	1.452	1.386	1.363	1.129
group7			1.126	1.198	1.132	1.124	1.081	1.106	1.106	1.082
group8			1.751	2.636	1.720	1.512	1.512	1.495	1.484	1.485
group9			1.751	2.636	1.720	1.512	1.512	1.495	1.484	1.485
group10			1.751	2.636	1.720	1.512	1.512	1.495	1.484	1.485
group1	Y	0.07	1.022	1.075	1.101	1.089	1.089	1.059	1.059	1.034
group2			1.055	1.055	1.123	1.389	1.389	1.246	1.234	1.038
group3			1.068	1.088	1.135	1.163	1.163	1.072	1.072	1.064
group4			1.053	1.053	1.162	1.162	1.061	1.052	1.037	1.031
group5			1.048	1.087	1.168	1.083	1.086	1.097	1.097	1.041
group6			1.228	1.321	1.578	1.549	1.420	1.259	1.259	1.117
group7			1.134	1.168	1.124	1.116	1.086	1.097	1.097	1.082
group8			1.818	2.384	1.744	1.502	1.502	1.495	1.484	1.485
group9			1.818	2.384	1.744	1.502	1.502	1.495	1.484	1.485
group10			1.818	2.384	1.744	1.502	1.502	1.495	1.484	1.485
group1	Y	0.1	1.025	1.067	1.083	1.098	1.098	1.044	1.044	1.034
group2			1.049	1.062	1.092	1.250	1.250	1.116	1.115	1.038
group3			1.063	1.087	1.111	1.112	1.114	1.075	1.075	1.065
group4			1.048	1.087	1.114	1.110	1.052	1.051	1.039	1.032
group5			1.035	1.079	1.146	1.069	1.070	1.078	1.078	1.043
group6			1.190	1.231	1.466	1.467	1.379	1.241	1.177	1.112
group7			1.129	1.139	1.123	1.105	1.086	1.089	1.090	1.083
group8			1.886	2.277	1.741	1.550	1.503	1.498	1.484	1.486
group9			1.886	2.277	1.741	1.550	1.503	1.498	1.484	1.486
group10			1.886	2.277	1.741	1.550	1.503	1.498	1.484	1.486

Table 3H.6-17 UHS/RSW Pump House Response Spectra Modification Factors (Continued)

Group <sup>(1)</sup>	Direction	Damping	Frequency Range(Hz)							
			0-2	2-5	5-10	10-15	15-20	20-25	25-30	30-35
group1	Y	0.15	1.017	1.055	1.066	1.082	1.082	1.049	1.033	1.035
group2			1.036	1.060	1.075	1.166	1.166	1.058	1.037	1.038
group3			1.028	1.068	1.084	1.081	1.081	1.070	1.070	1.066
group4			1.018	1.078	1.079	1.079	1.054	1.046	1.040	1.033
group5			1.029	1.062	1.093	1.056	1.056	1.062	1.062	1.045
group6			1.180	1.242	1.362	1.410	1.329	1.228	1.139	1.110
group7			1.105	1.114	1.090	1.090	1.075	1.085	1.085	1.083
group8			1.762	1.988	1.761	1.598	1.522	1.500	1.485	1.486
group9			1.762	1.988	1.761	1.598	1.522	1.500	1.485	1.486
group10			1.762	1.988	1.761	1.598	1.522	1.500	1.485	1.486
group1	Y	0.2	1.016	1.049	1.071	1.069	1.069	1.052	1.035	1.036
group2			1.017	1.028	1.068	1.119	1.119	1.055	1.036	1.038
group3			1.029	1.061	1.096	1.096	1.074	1.076	1.074	1.067
group4			1.015	1.048	1.062	1.062	1.055	1.045	1.039	1.033
group5			1.024	1.046	1.066	1.048	1.049	1.054	1.054	1.046
group6			1.187	1.233	1.354	1.381	1.289	1.218	1.125	1.113
group7			1.090	1.103	1.086	1.087	1.073	1.080	1.082	1.083
group8			1.659	1.812	1.692	1.607	1.537	1.503	1.487	1.487
group9			1.659	1.812	1.692	1.607	1.537	1.503	1.487	1.487
group10			1.659	1.812	1.692	1.607	1.537	1.503	1.487	1.487
group1	Z	0.005	1.024	1.025	1.307	1.522	1.410	1.819	1.819	1.115
group2			1.009	1.024	1.458	2.802	2.802	2.301	1.480	1.093
group3			1.054	1.183	1.922	6.446	5.706	3.806	3.825	3.535
group4			1.043	1.126	2.323	4.021	3.146	4.902	3.262	1.346
group5			1.145	1.145	1.230	1.655	1.467	1.867	1.374	1.018
group6			1.027	1.042	1.210	1.562	2.041	2.041	1.589	1.145
group7			1.121	1.173	1.193	1.655	1.636	1.724	1.555	1.072
group8			1.109	1.534	2.401	4.285	3.959	3.979	2.855	1.919
group9			1.109	1.534	2.401	4.285	3.959	3.979	2.855	1.919
group10			1.109	1.534	2.401	4.285	3.959	3.979	2.855	1.919

Table 3H.6-17 UHS/RSW Pump House Response Spectra Modification Factors (Continued)

Group <sup>(1)</sup>	Direction	Damping	Frequency Range(Hz)							
			0-2	2-5	5-10	10-15	15-20	20-25	25-30	30-35
group1	Z	0.01	1.021	1.025	1.244	1.489	1.274	1.308	1.308	1.113
group2			1.008	1.023	1.322	2.493	2.493	2.042	1.385	1.092
group3			1.052	1.196	1.826	5.703	4.015	3.481	3.326	3.099
group4			1.046	1.131	2.326	3.602	2.459	3.543	2.841	1.310
group5			1.109	1.109	1.187	1.521	1.391	1.471	1.387	1.018
group6			1.022	1.028	1.169	1.519	1.660	1.660	1.539	1.096
group7			1.094	1.094	1.155	1.571	1.456	1.406	1.395	1.036
group8			1.109	1.374	2.351	3.517	2.936	2.936	2.405	1.670
group9			1.109	1.374	2.351	3.517	2.936	2.936	2.405	1.670
group10			1.109	1.374	2.351	3.517	2.936	2.936	2.405	1.670
group1	Z	0.02	1.022	1.024	1.211	1.407	1.288	1.291	1.120	1.093
group2			1.008	1.026	1.228	2.051	2.051	1.621	1.219	1.092
group3			1.051	1.152	1.962	3.999	3.028	3.417	3.004	2.767
group4			1.042	1.121	2.180	2.856	1.873	2.338	1.979	1.286
group5			1.073	1.073	1.143	1.360	1.268	1.274	1.274	1.018
group6			1.013	1.020	1.169	1.352	1.473	1.473	1.420	1.065
group7			1.053	1.059	1.158	1.409	1.282	1.275	1.271	1.033
group8			1.107	1.213	1.836	3.179	2.113	2.248	2.248	1.607
group9			1.107	1.213	1.836	3.179	2.113	2.248	2.248	1.607
group10			1.107	1.213	1.836	3.179	2.113	2.248	2.248	1.607
group1	Z	0.03	1.019	1.024	1.197	1.330	1.293	1.307	1.099	1.093
group2			1.009	1.027	1.202	1.778	1.778	1.435	1.134	1.091
group3			1.048	1.166	2.136	3.599	2.822	3.220	2.737	2.571
group4			1.042	1.128	1.901	2.413	1.755	1.986	1.808	1.278
group5			1.064	1.064	1.132	1.274	1.204	1.164	1.164	1.018
group6			1.012	1.020	1.184	1.305	1.449	1.449	1.396	1.055
group7			1.039	1.049	1.162	1.292	1.217	1.243	1.220	1.036
group8			1.101	1.144	1.685	2.767	1.878	2.120	2.120	1.557
group9			1.101	1.144	1.685	2.767	1.878	2.120	2.120	1.557
group10			1.101	1.144	1.685	2.767	1.878	2.120	2.120	1.557

Table 3H.6-17 UHS/RSW Pump House Response Spectra Modification Factors (Continued)

Group <sup>(1)</sup>	Direction	Damping	Frequency Range(Hz)							
			0-2	2-5	5-10	10-15	15-20	20-25	25-30	30-35
group1	Z	0.04	1.016	1.023	1.210	1.277	1.294	1.294	1.093	1.093
group2			1.009	1.027	1.194	1.606	1.606	1.359	1.112	1.091
group3			1.047	1.166	2.248	3.545	2.811	3.012	2.626	2.439
group4			1.039	1.115	1.712	2.124	1.640	1.832	1.661	1.275
group5			1.054	1.054	1.123	1.224	1.180	1.112	1.096	1.017
group6			1.010	1.021	1.194	1.301	1.411	1.411	1.375	1.051
group7			1.031	1.041	1.165	1.235	1.210	1.205	1.205	1.036
group8			1.096	1.125	1.571	2.496	1.870	1.793	1.793	1.519
group9			1.096	1.125	1.571	2.496	1.870	1.793	1.793	1.519
group10			1.096	1.125	1.571	2.496	1.870	1.793	1.793	1.519
group1	Z	0.05	1.014	1.024	1.219	1.270	1.288	1.288	1.092	1.092
group2			1.009	1.028	1.196	1.515	1.515	1.300	1.090	1.090
group3			1.046	1.163	2.285	3.504	2.739	2.855	2.564	2.344
group4			1.039	1.117	1.614	1.944	1.586	1.728	1.571	1.274
group5			1.043	1.043	1.125	1.194	1.138	1.091	1.058	1.017
group6			1.009	1.021	1.203	1.301	1.362	1.362	1.304	1.051
group7			1.026	1.035	1.167	1.242	1.158	1.181	1.181	1.034
group8			1.090	1.132	1.556	2.306	1.791	1.679	1.676	1.491
group9			1.090	1.132	1.556	2.306	1.791	1.679	1.676	1.491
group10			1.090	1.132	1.556	2.306	1.791	1.679	1.676	1.491
group1	Z	0.07	1.011	1.024	1.225	1.253	1.256	1.256	1.109	1.092
group2			1.009	1.029	1.192	1.400	1.400	1.266	1.091	1.089
group3			1.046	1.167	2.487	3.422	2.724	2.767	2.378	2.220
group4			1.056	1.125	1.521	1.776	1.524	1.594	1.497	1.273
group5			1.029	1.029	1.134	1.198	1.080	1.064	1.047	1.016
group6			1.010	1.021	1.214	1.280	1.268	1.268	1.165	1.051
group7			1.023	1.028	1.166	1.231	1.116	1.138	1.138	1.031
group8			1.062	1.137	1.554	2.248	1.724	1.586	1.586	1.451
group9			1.062	1.137	1.554	2.248	1.724	1.586	1.586	1.451
group10			1.062	1.137	1.554	2.248	1.724	1.586	1.586	1.451

Table 3H.6-17 UHS/RSW Pump House Response Spectra Modification Factors (Continued)

Group <sup>(1)</sup>	Direction	Damping	Frequency Range(Hz)							
			0-2	2-5	5-10	10-15	15-20	20-25	25-30	30-35
group1	Z	0.1	1.010	1.023	1.199	1.214	1.226	1.226	1.133	1.092
group2			1.009	1.030	1.181	1.314	1.314	1.231	1.111	1.089
group3			1.066	1.188	2.418	3.274	2.734	2.633	2.254	2.120
group4			1.063	1.140	1.421	1.623	1.471	1.487	1.417	1.271
group5			1.022	1.023	1.135	1.207	1.065	1.049	1.036	1.016
group6			1.009	1.021	1.219	1.259	1.207	1.211	1.122	1.049
group7			1.019	1.022	1.142	1.189	1.112	1.093	1.064	1.028
group8			1.047	1.148	1.553	2.218	1.718	1.531	1.497	1.416
group9			1.047	1.148	1.553	2.218	1.718	1.531	1.497	1.416
group10			1.047	1.148	1.553	2.218	1.718	1.531	1.497	1.416
group1	Z	0.15	1.009	1.025	1.099	1.144	1.220	1.217	1.155	1.093
group2			1.009	1.032	1.118	1.217	1.217	1.192	1.095	1.088
group3			1.093	1.226	2.344	2.887	2.672	2.514	2.092	2.042
group4			1.083	1.169	1.354	1.478	1.414	1.398	1.354	1.275
group5			1.016	1.017	1.098	1.166	1.045	1.045	1.023	1.016
group6			1.006	1.022	1.152	1.183	1.195	1.197	1.129	1.048
group7			1.014	1.017	1.090	1.128	1.103	1.081	1.026	1.027
group8			1.056	1.160	1.470	2.138	1.885	1.516	1.472	1.429
group9			1.056	1.160	1.470	2.138	1.885	1.516	1.472	1.429
group10			1.056	1.160	1.470	2.138	1.885	1.516	1.472	1.429
group1	Z	0.2	1.010	1.025	1.089	1.191	1.220	1.217	1.152	1.095
group2			1.009	1.032	1.088	1.153	1.165	1.165	1.097	1.088
group3			1.117	1.298	2.125	2.705	2.643	2.440	2.032	2.007
group4			1.100	1.184	1.330	1.398	1.363	1.342	1.327	1.278
group5			1.014	1.017	1.100	1.120	1.039	1.039	1.017	1.016
group6			1.006	1.023	1.118	1.201	1.189	1.190	1.143	1.056
group7			1.011	1.017	1.091	1.111	1.079	1.071	1.026	1.028
group8			1.063	1.177	1.620	1.985	1.940	1.537	1.463	1.450
group9			1.063	1.177	1.620	1.985	1.940	1.537	1.463	1.450
group10			1.063	1.177	1.620	1.985	1.940	1.537	1.463	1.450

**Table 3H.6-17 UHS/RSW Pump House Response Spectra Modification Factors (Continued)****Note:**

(1) The UHS/RSW Pump House spectra are organized by the following 10 groups:

- Group 1: Top of RSW Pump House Mat (Bottom of RSW Pump House Walls)
- Group 2: Mid-Level of RSW Pump House Walls
- Group 3: RSW Pump House Roof
- Group 4: RSW Pump House Operating Floor
- Group 5: Top of UHS Basin Mat (Bottom of UHS Basin Walls)
- Group 6: Mid-Level of UHS Basin Walls
- Group 7: Top of UHS Basin Walls
- Group 8: Bottom of Cooling Tower Walls
- Group 9: Mid-Level of Cooling Tower Walls
- Group 10: Top of Cooling Tower Walls