RulemakingComments Resource

From:	Parr, Nancy B. <parrnb@westinghouse.com></parrnb@westinghouse.com>
Sent:	Friday, October 17, 2014 4:49 PM
То:	RulemakingComments Resource; Bladey, Cindy
Subject:	Part 73 Draft Regulatory Basis Comments; Docket ID NRC-2014-0118
Attachments:	LTR-RAC-14-31 Part 73 Reg Basis Comments.pdf

Please find the attached letter.

Sincerely,

Nancy Blair Parr

This e-mail may contain proprietary information of the sending organization. Any unauthorized or improper disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of the contents of this e-mail and attached document(s) is prohibited. The information contained in this e-mail and attached document(s) is intended only for the personal and private use of the recipient(s) named above. If you have received this communication in error, please notify the sender immediately by email and delete the original e-mail and attached document(s).



Page 1 of 5

Westinghouse Electric Company LLC Columbia Fuel Site 5801 Bluff Road Hopkins, South Carolina 29061-9121 USA

Ms. Cindy Bladey Office of Administration U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555-0001 ATTN: Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff

Direct tel: 803-647-3338 Direct fax: 803-695-3964 e-mail: parrnb@westinghouse.com Your ref: Our ref: LTR-RAC-14-52

October 17, 2014

SUBJECT: WESTINGHOUSE PART 73 DRAFT REGULATORY BASIS COMMENTS; DOCKET ID NRC-2014-0118

Dear Ms. Bladey,

Westinghouse Electric Company LLC (Westinghouse) is pleased to submit the following comments from the Columbia Fuel Fabrication Facility (CFFF) on the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) Draft Regulatory Basis to amend security-related regulations. This Draft Regulatory Basis was published in the Federal Register on June 18, 2014 for public comment.

Westinghouse is fully committed to protecting health, safety and security of the public, our employees and the environment, and as such, Westinghouse also continues to invest in year-over-year improvements in safety and security. Contrary to NRC's intent and stated objectives in the Draft Regulatory Basis, Westinghouse believes the approaches recommended by the NRC would result in an additional burden on licensees, with no measurable increase in safety or security.

As a Category III facility, Westinghouse has difficulties in understanding the **regulatory problem** which warrants rulemaking and the rationale for the addition of new fixed site and transportation requirements. For example, a lack of consistency, incorporation of security orders, and incorporating operation experience do not seem to constitute a problem. In addition, there are alternatives to rulemaking to address these items, if needed.

Also, there are perceived **new requirements** described in Attachments #8 and #15, which appear to be prescriptive, rather than risk-informed and performance-based. The security orders in place today recognize the diversity of the small fleet of fuel cycle facilities and effectively incorporate a facility-specific, risk-informed and performance-based approach. This same effective approach does not seem to carry over into the Draft Regulatory Basis. Furthermore, there are new or vague terms used in the document, which could be interpreted in many different ways and create problems as we have experienced in the past. Specific comments on these attachments are listed in the enclosure to this letter.

Furthermore, as discussed in ongoing Cumulative Effects of Regulation (CER) meetings, NRC is currently driving several safeguards-related, regulatory activities, which do not seem to be coordinated with this initiative. Examples of these other activities include the Part 74, Material Control and Accounting revisions and the cyber security initiatives. Integration and coordination of these collective safeguards-related initiatives is needed to gain **regulatory efficiencies** and reduce the potential for conflicting requirements and re-work.

WESTINGHOUSE NON-PROPRIETARY CLASS 3 © 2014 Westinghouse Electric Company LLC All Rights Reserved

Page 2 of 5 Our ref: LTR-RAC-14-52 October 17, 2014

Lastly, Westinghouse fully endorses the industry comments provided by the Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI) on October 17, 2014. Included in this NEI letter are responses to the six additional questions on which NRC was seeking input.

If you have any questions or require additional information, please feel free to contact me at <u>parrnb@westinghouse.com</u> or telephone me at (803) 647-3338.

Kindest regards,

Nanaj Blair Pan

Nancy Blair Parr, Manager Licensing Westinghouse Columbia Fuel Fabrication Facility Docket 70-1151 License SNM -1107

Enclosure: Specific Comments on Attachments #8 and #15

cc:

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 11555 Rockville Pike Rockville, Maryland 20852-2738 Attn: Mr. Christopher Ryder Mail Stop: EBB 2C40M

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Region II 245 Peachtree Center Avenue NE, Suite 1200 Atlanta, GA 30303-1257 Attn: Mr. Manuel Crespo WESTINGHOUSE NON-PROPRIETARY CLASS 3

Page 3 of 5 Our ref: LTR-RAC-14-52 October 17, 2014

Specific Comments on Attachments #8 and #15

Snecific Comments for Attachment #8

			Specific Comments for Attachment #8	8#
NUMBER	AREA	PAGE	REGULATORY BASIS	QUESTIONS/COMMENTS
1	GPO	H-1	Licensees should use the site	This appears to be a new requirement.
			corréctive action program or	
		-	security event log to track	
2	Access Controls	H-2	Access control portals should be	This appears to be a new requirement.
			located	
m	Access Control Devices	H-3	Access Control Devices should only	This appears to be a new requirement, e.g., annual
			be issued	inventory of access control badges.
4	Communication	H-4	The entire section	These appear to be new, prescriptive requirements.
ß	Security Program Review	H-5	The entire section	These appear to be new, prescriptive requirements.
				Also, maintaining reports in an "audible" form appears to
				be a typo.
		, ·	Specific Comments for Attachment #15	15
NO	AREA	REF NO	REGULATORY BASIS	QUESTIONS/COMMENTS
	General	All	The term "SHOULD" is frequently	What level of enforcement is anticipated for these
			used throughout the proposed	"should" statements?
			changes.	
2	General	All	"LICENSEE"	In these proposed changes, the NRC appears to be
				placing responsibilities on licensees that are in excess of
				functional or legal authority and capability.
ε	Transportation Security Plan	0-1	Licensee should develop, maintain	Will NRC provide guidance as to the required structure of
			and implement an NRC-approved	this security plan and will it be in concert with, conflict
			Transportation Security Plan	with or in addition that currently required by DOT?
4	Security Organization	0-2	Licensees or their agents should	Define "AGENT". Does that include agreements with
			establish and maintain a	local law enforcement and emergency responders?
			transportation security	Define "SECURITY ORGANIZATION". The proposed

	·····				and and an and a second	ela. <u>Terrer</u>			
Page 4 of 5 Our ref: LTR-RAC-14-52 October 17, 2014	structure is not clearly delineated as to make up and if it is under the direction of licensee or simply participated in by the licensee.	for international shipments(i.e. at ports of export, ocean and air vehicles in transit, foreign ports, etc.)	This far exceeds limit of authority of licensee as it includes drivers, pilots, sea captains, etc., most of whom have no legal/regulatory responsibility to the licensee.	Does this include ocean vessels? This exceeds limit of licensee authority and capability.	This far exceeds limit of authority of licensee as it includes drivers, pilots, sea captains, etc., most of whom have no legal/regulatory responsibility to licensee. Does this also include computer passwords?	This imposes the requirements of the transportation security up to and including foreign ports, which exceeds the licensee's capability.	NRC should clarify its intent with "THREAT WARNING SYSTEM".	NRC should clarify meaning of "SAFE HAVEN LOCATION" and if it includes the mandatory acceptance of 'threatened' SNM shipments by licensees who may not be responsible for at risk shipment.	Define basis for 24 month review intervals. Define "INDEPENDENT".
	organizationhaving at least one member to direct activities.	Licensees should control access to SNM loading and transfer areas, a conveyance and containers	Licensees should implement a numbered photo identification badge program for all individuals who will have custody of a shipment.	Licensee should limit unescorted access to the controlled access areas, transports, aircraft, rail cars	Licensee should control all keys, locks, combination, passwords, and related access control devices	Licensee who exports Cat III SNM shouldup to the first point where the shipment is taken off the transport outside the United States.	Licensee should establish, maintain and implement a threat warning system	Licensee shoulda safe haven location.	The transportation security program should be reviewed at
		0-2	0-2	0-2	0-2	0-2,3	0-3	0-3	0-3
		Access Controls	Access Controls	Access Controls	Access Controls	Export and Import Shipments	Heightened Security	Heightened Security	Security Program Review
		5	٩	7	ω	თ	10	11	12

WESTINGHOUSE NON-PROPRIETARY CLASS 3

SS 3
₹S
CL/
RY
ETA
PRI
PRO
Z
ž
NGHOUSE NON-PROPRIETARY CL
OHE
STINC
WES

Page 5 of 5 Our ref: LTR-RAC-14-52 October 17, 2014

r			· · · · · · ·										-	
		This proposal conflicts with itself: "NRC mayremove	documents required to be kept". Adherence to this	would place the licensee in direct non-compliance.			GPS tracking has become somewhat routine domestically	but is impossible/impractical in international transport	(vessels, planes, etc.)		This will prohibit bulk shipments of heeled 30B UF6	cylinders, typically transported on open flatbeds	(domestic) or flatracks (internationally), where such a	cylinder averages approximately 1,500 kg each.
least every 24 months by	individuals independent	The NRC may inspect, copyand	remove all reports, records, and	documents required to be kept by	regulations, orders, or license	conditions	Staff proposes to require licensees	or their agents provide for	continuous determination of the	positionGPS tracking.	Closed and locked conveyance or	an open conveyance if the SNM	package weighs more than	2000kg
		0-4				-	40	Sec.	4.3.1		41	Table	4-4	
		Records					Transportation Physical	Protection Changes			Transport Security	Requirements		
		13					14				15			