

CCNPP3eRAIPEm Resource

From: Arora, Surinder
Sent: Monday, October 06, 2014 3:35 PM
To: 'Mark.T.Finley@unistarnuclear.com'
Cc: CCNPP3eRAIPEm Resource; Bradford, Anna; Wilson, Anthony; Eudy, Michael; Som, Swagata; Segala, John; Zimmerman, Jacob; McLellan, Judith
Subject: CCNPP3- Final RAI 425 EEB 7659
Attachments: FINAL RAI 425 EEB 7659.docx

Mr. Finley,

Attached is Final RAI No. 425 (eRAI No. 7659) pertaining to Calvert Cliffs Unit 3 FSAR Chapter 8, Section 8.5. Note that Section 8.5 was a new section that was introduced in FSAR Chapter 8 during the issuance of the interim revision 10 of the Chapter. The draft of this RAI was previously sent to UniStar on September 29, 2014, and you had confirmed in our weekly status phone call today that no clarification phone call was needed for this RAI. This email, therefore, transmits the subject RAI as "final" without any changes to the draft RAI question.

The schedule that we have established for review of your COL application assumes that your technically complete response to the RAI question or a schedule for providing a complete response must be received within 30 days of the final issuance of the RAI. Please note that if, in lieu of a complete response, you are providing a response schedule, the staff will re-evaluate the completion schedule for the applicable chapter based on the response date provided by you.

Please also note that the time duration listed above is our normal time duration. However, due to the fact that Chapter 8 is one of your Group A Chapters in accordance with your May 30th letter, it is highly recommended that you take expeditious action and respond to the RAI question as soon as practical so that the staff's reviews continue without interruptions. Any interruptions, as you will appreciate, could have detrimental impact on our review schedule established for this chapter.

Additionally, please make sure to include in your response letter a statement certifying whether or not your response contains any sensitive or proprietary information that needs to be withheld from the public disclosure.

Thanks.

SURINDER ARORA, PE
LEAD PROJECT MANAGER,
CALVERT CLIFFS U3 COLA PROJECT
Office of New Reactors
US Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Phone: 301 415-1421
FAX: 301 415-6406
Email: Surinder.Arora@nrc.gov

Hearing Identifier: CalvertCliffs_Unit3Col_RAI
Email Number: 399

Mail Envelope Properties (B46615B367D1144982B324704E3BCEED01842F27178E)

Subject: CCNPP3- Final RAI 425 EEB 7659
Sent Date: 10/6/2014 3:34:43 PM
Received Date: 10/6/2014 3:34:45 PM
From: Arora, Surinder

Created By: Surinder.Arora@nrc.gov

Recipients:

"CCNPP3eRAIPEm Resource" <CCNPP3eRAIPEm.Resource@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Bradford, Anna" <Anna.Bradford@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Wilson, Anthony" <Anthony.Wilson@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Eudy, Michael" <Michael.Eudy@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Som, Swagata" <Swagata.Som@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Segala, John" <John.Segala@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Zimmerman, Jacob" <Jacob.Zimmerman@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"McLellan, Judith" <Judith.McLellan@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Mark.T.Finley@unistarnuclear.com" <Mark.T.Finley@unistarnuclear.com>
Tracking Status: None

Post Office: HQCLSTR01.nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	2068	10/6/2014 3:34:45 PM
FINAL RAI 425 EEB 7659.docx	31457	

Options

Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received:

Request for Additional Information 425 (eRAI 7659)

Issue Date: 10/06/2014

Application Title: Calvert Cliffs Unit 3 - Docket Number 52-016

Operating Company: UniStar

Docket No. 52-016

Review Section: 08.03.01 - AC Power Systems (Onsite)

Application Section: New Section 8.5

QUESTIONS

08.03.01-17

On the July 31, 2014, Unistar submitted interim revision 10 to FSAR Chapter 8. The staff noted that the applicant introduced a new section, identified as Section 8.5, "Standby Diesel Generator for Fire Protection Building Ventilation System," related to power supply after a safe shutdown earthquake (SSE) for Fire Protection Building (FPB) Ventilation System and other loads.

The staff requests the applicant to address the following issues:

1. The staff noted that the new section 8.5 is related to a non-safety system introducing a new standby diesel generator (DG) which is not part of the US EPR FSAR. Explain if this is a site-specific design for Calvert Cliffs Unit 3. Please justify why this design is different from the design in the EPR FSAR.
2. The staff noted that the electrical power supply for the ventilation system is provided by the proposed new standby diesel generator (SDG) after the SSE to maintain the normal room design temperature. The applicant has stated that this DG will supply power to the loads which comprise of ventilation, heating, control circuits, and battery charging for the diesel-driven fire pumps, and normal and emergency lighting loads for the FPB. Please explain why this additional DG is necessary, when SBO DG's are connected to the plant Normal Power Supply System (NPSS) buses.
3. The applicant has stated that under normal operating mode, the NPSS System provides the ventilation and other loads of FPB, and upon loss of power to the NPSS buses, an automatic transfer switch will transfer the loads to the SDG. Identify the SDG electrical connection to the NPSS buses in a single line diagram and revise Section 8.3.1 figures as appropriate. Please provide the technical parameters/specifications, such as kW, and voltage, for the Standby DG for FPB .
4. The staff also noted that new information related to FPB ventilation system power supply provided in the new Section 8.5, is not consistent with the NUREG-0800, Standard Review Plan (SRP). The SRP consists of 4 sections: 8.1, 8.2, 8.3, and 8.4. Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Part 47, Item 9, states:

For applications for light-water cooled nuclear power plants, an evaluation of the standard plant design against the Standard Review Plan (SRP) revision in effect 6 months before the docket date of the application. The evaluation required by this section shall include an identification and description of all differences in design features, analytical techniques, and procedural measures proposed for the design and those corresponding features, techniques, and measures given in the SRP acceptance criteria.

Where a difference exists, the evaluation shall discuss how the proposed alternative provides an acceptable method of complying with the Commission's regulations, or portions thereof, that underlie the corresponding SRP acceptance criteria (emphasis added).

Please clarify why this information pertaining to the electrical portion of the standby DG is not a part of the Section 8.3.1, which provides information on on-site ac and dc systems. In addition, please clarify whether the mechanical portion (e.g., ventilation, fuel capacity), and I&C portions (e.g., control circuits/indication/alarm from MCR) of the information would be part of Chapter 9 (BOP) and Chapter 7 (I&C) respectively.