U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION (03-2013) CONVERSATION RECORD			DATE OF SIGNATURE
			09/29/2014
NAME OF PERSON(S) CONTACTED OR IN CONTACT WITH YOU		DATE OF CONTACT	TYPE OF CONVERSATION
See below		09/24/2014	E-MAIL
E-MAIL ADDRESS		TELEPHONE NUMBER	▼ TELEPHONE INCOMING
		(888) 455-0048	✓ OUTGOING
ORGANIZATION	DOCKET NUMBER(S)		
Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC)	72-13, 72-1014		
LICENSE NUMBER(S)	CONTROL NUMBER(S)	į.	
N/A			
SUBJECT			
Arkansas Nuclear One Misload Teleconference			
Entergy (licensee): Stephanie Pyle, Bob Gordon, Dave Eichenberger, Chip Garbe, Alan Palmer, Chris Walker, Dave Smith, Don Lomax and Bob Clark Holtec: Kim Manzione and Tom Tuberville NRC participants: Chris Allen, Ray Kellar and Lee Brookhart			
The teleconference, which was organized by NRC Region IV personnel, commenced at approximately 4:30 P.M. eastern standard time. The licensee began the call by addressing the Krypton calculation to address the amount of leakage, as suggested by NRC staff during the teleconference on September 22. The licensee stated that too much uncertainty was associated with the history of the fuel that was loaded in the Multi-Purpose Canister to make the calculation useful due to the fuel coming from 11 different discharge cycles. The licensee was informed that, since no evidence had been provided which indicated the fuel loaded into the Multi-Purpose Canister was allowed, an exemption request from the licensee, supported by a Holtec International safety evaluation, would be required for moving the fuel to the storage pad. The licensee was also informed that there was no other regulatory vehicle was Continue on Page 2			
ACTION REQUIRED (IF ANY)			
Continue on Page 3			
NAME OF PERSON DOCUMENTING CONVERSATION		-	
Chris Allen			
William C. allen			

NRC FORM 699 (03-2013)

NRC FORM 699 U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION **CONVERSATION RECORD (continued)** SUMMARY: (Continued from page 1) available to allow movement of the fuel to the storage pad. A discussion of the time required to review the exemption request ensued as well as scheduling challenges and options for facilitating review of the exemption. NRC staff stressed to the licensee that, in order to receive a quick evaluation of the exemption request, both speed, clarity and accuracy in developing the exemption request were necessary The call was concluded at approximately 5 P.M. Eastern Standard Time.

NRC FORM 699 (03-2013)