

NRR-PMDAPEm Resource

From: NRR-PMDA-ECapture Resource
Sent: Wednesday, September 17, 2014 2:06 PM
To: NRR-PMDA-ECapture Resource
Subject: FW: NRC Comments on ESEP Section 6.0 Template

From: DiFrancesco, Nicholas
Sent: Thursday, September 11, 2014 2:01 PM
To: MAUER, Andrew (anm@nei.org)
Cc: Whaley, Sheena; Balazik, Michael
Subject: NRC Comments on ESEP Section 6.0 Template

Andrew,

Summarized below are comments on the industry ESEP Template Section 6.0. NRC comments are intended to support staff review efficiencies and integration.

Section 6.3 – Seismic Walkdown Approach

If referencing previous walkdowns, appropriate references should be made to NTTF 2.3, IPEEE, USI A-46 or other and how it complies with EPRI-NP-6041-SL guidance. The licensee should discuss the following items to demonstrate that the results of insights and findings from the previous walkdowns are still valid for this application:

1. Reflects current conditions and configurations
2. If a component is screened based on the previous walkdown, why the screening criteria still apply
3. Are all potential interactions accounted for (including potential flooding and fire sources)

Overall – Clarification of Template Review of FLEX Installed Phase I, II, and III equipment

With regards to Phase II (and III if applicable) connections, the reports need to include the findings related to its ability and availability. Discussions should include the installed connections evaluated, process used to evaluate the installed equipment and connection, and basis for the finding. It is expected that any Phase II installed equipment is utilized, it will be a part of ESEL.

Section 3.0 – ESEL Development Process Details

The ESEL development process is an important item for the staff review. Regarding Footnote for Section 3.0, discussion of ESEL develop process should be included in the report. The process should be describe in enough detail to understand the process and make judgments about its relationship to the guidance.

Please let me know if there are any questions.

Sincerely,

Nick DiFrancesco

Senior Project Manager - Seismic Walkdowns and Reevaluations
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
Japan Lesson Learned Project Division
nicholas.difrancesco@nrc.gov | Tel: (301) 415-1115

From: MAUER, Andrew [<mailto:anm@nei.org>]
Sent: Thursday, September 04, 2014 6:17 PM
To: DiFrancesco, Nicholas
Subject: Template - Section 6

Nick,

Attached is our proposed Section 6 which is intended to show the level of detail expected in this section of the reports. It is based on a site specific example that primarily relied on the IPEEE which was performed using the SMA approach – which may not be the case for all sites.

Would like to touch base on this Monday (pm) or Tuesday (am).

Thanks,
Andrew

Andrew N. Mauer
Senior Project Manager
Emergency Preparedness & Risk Assessment

Nuclear Energy Institute
1201 F Street, NW, Suite 1100
Washington, DC 20004
www.nei.org

T: 202.739.8018
M: 202.344.7137
F: 202.533.0157
E: anm@nei.org
T: @N_E_I

NOW AVAILABLE: NEI's Online Congressional Resource Guide, JUST THE FACTS!
Web site address: www.NEI.org/CongressionalResourceGuide

FOLLOW US ON

This electronic message transmission contains information from the Nuclear Energy Institute, Inc. The information is intended solely for the use of the addressee and its use by any other person is not authorized. If you are not the intended recipient, you have received this communication in error, and any review, use, disclosure, copying or distribution of the contents of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this electronic transmission in error, please notify the sender immediately by telephone or by electronic mail and permanently delete the original message. IRS Circular 230 disclosure: To ensure compliance with requirements imposed by the IRS and other taxing authorities, we inform you that any tax advice contained in this communication (including any attachments) is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding penalties that may be imposed on any taxpayer or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein.

Sent through www.intermedia.com

Hearing Identifier: NRR_PMDA
Email Number: 1578

Mail Envelope Properties (ED827D914C9CA74BA644EA1C796CCD74013A513C6B3C)

Subject: FW: NRC Comments on ESEP Section 6.0 Template
Sent Date: 9/17/2014 2:06:01 PM
Received Date: 9/17/2014 2:06:03 PM
From: NRR-PMDA-ECapture Resource

Created By: NRR-PMDA-ECapture.Resource@nrc.gov

Recipients:
"NRR-PMDA-ECapture Resource" <NRR-PMDA-ECapture.Resource@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None

Post Office: HQCLSTR02.nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	4574	9/17/2014 2:06:03 PM

Options
Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received: