

GROUP B

FOIA/PA NO: 2014-0320

RECORDS BEING RELEASED IN PART

The following types of information are being withheld:

- Ex. 1: Records properly classified pursuant to Executive Order 13526
- Ex. 2: Records regarding personnel rules and/or human capital administration
- Ex. 3: Information about the design, manufacture, or utilization of nuclear weapons
 Information about the protection or security of reactors and nuclear materials
 Contractor proposals not incorporated into a final contract with the NRC
 Other _____
- Ex. 4: Proprietary information provided by a submitter to the NRC
 Other _____
- Ex. 5: Draft documents or other pre-decisional deliberative documents (D.P. Privilege)
 Records prepared by counsel in anticipation of litigation (A.W.P. Privilege)
 Privileged communications between counsel and a client (A.C. Privilege)
 Other _____
- Ex. 6: Agency employee PII, including SSN, contact information, birthdates, etc.
 Third party PII, including names, phone numbers, or other personal information
- Ex. 7(A): Copies of ongoing investigation case files, exhibits, notes, ROI's, etc.
 Records that reference or are related to a separate ongoing investigation(s)
- Ex. 7(C): Special Agent or other law enforcement PII
 PII of third parties referenced in records compiled for law enforcement purposes
- Ex. 7(D): Witnesses' and Allegers' PII in law enforcement records
 Confidential Informant or law enforcement information provided by other entity
- Ex. 7(E): Law Enforcement Technique/Procedure used for criminal investigations
 Technique or procedure used for security or prevention of criminal activity
- Ex. 7(F): Information that could aid a terrorist or compromise security

Other/Comments: _____

Blaney, Stephanie

From: Lyon, Fred
Sent: Friday, May 30, 2014 1:05 PM
To: Walker(NRR), Sandra
Subject: FW: G20120856 CHM Response to Oxford Draft 2 (TAC No. ME9888)

From: Blount, Tom
Sent: Sunday, January 06, 2013 4:37 PM
To: Lyon, Fred; (b)(7)(C)
Cc: Markley, Michael; OKeefe, Neil; Gepford, Heather
Subject: Re: G20120856 CHM Response to Oxford Draft 2 (TAC No. ME9888)

Fred- I support the comments you have received and do not have any others. I have shared and discussed the contents of the letter with Elmo and I did not receive any adverse input, comment or feedback. Please consider this concurrence and alignment from R-IV. Should that change I will advise you.

Thanks for all the work on this.

Tom

Thomas Blount

(b)(6)

Sent from NRC Blackberry

From: Lyon, Fred
To: (b)(7)(C)
Cc: Markley, Michael; OKeefe, Neil; Blount, Tom; Gepford, Heather
Sent: Sat Jan 05 19:29:53 2013
Subject: RE: G20120856 CHM Response to Oxford Draft 2 (TAC No. ME9888)

Excellent! Thanks (b)(7)(C) Fred

From: (b)(7)(C)
Sent: Saturday, January 05, 2013 12:58 PM
To: Lyon, Fred; Blount, Tom; Gepford, Heather
Cc: Markley, Michael; OKeefe, Neil
Subject: RE: G20120856 CHM Response to Oxford Draft 2 (TAC No. ME9888)

ALL: our comments with input from DI:HQ are as follows for your consideration... draft #2 at the end of the 3rd full paragraph on page 2...add this sentence...The shift manager's testimony was corroborated not only by the testimony of the reactor personnel in the control room, but by other documentary evidence.

One possible error. The sentence that transitions from page 1 to page 2 reads "Since the NRC has already reviewed, evaluated, and resolved issues that Mr. Criscione has raised..." Should the word "resolved" be "resolved?"

Let me know if you have any questions. Thanks (b)(7)(C)

(b)(7)(C)
(b)(7)(C)

Office of Investigations

B/1

(D) (b)(7)(C) (C) (b)(7)(C)

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission - Region RIV
(O) 817-200-1110 (F) 817-200-1125
1600 E. Lamar Boulevard
Arlington, Texas 76011-4511

From: Lyon, Fred
Sent: Friday, January 04, 2013 6:50 AM
To: Blount, Tom; Gepford, Heather; (b)(7)(C)
Cc: Markley, Michael; OKeefe, Neil
Subject: G20120856 CHM Response to Oxford Draft 2 (TAC No. ME9888)
Importance: High

Attached is draft 2 of the response letter for your review, comments, and concurrence. The letter includes the QTE and LA reviews. I've also tried to reduce the potential inflammatory words and to address Elmo's 11/17/11 letter, without going into myriad detail or explanation.

I've also attached draft 1 and a compare version, Ms. Oxford's letter, and Elmo's 11/17/11 letter (thanks, Heather!) for reference.

Thanks, Fred (301-415-2296)