

FROM
Sen. Harold E. Hughes (Iowa)

CONTROL NUMBER
2836

ACTION COMPLETION DEADLINE
10/15/70

DATE OF DOCUMENT

LOCATION

TO
Chairman

ACTION PROCESSING DATES
Acknowledged _____
Interim Report _____
Final _____

INFORMATIONAL COPY DISTRIBUTION
____Chairman ____ADNS ____COM
____GM ____ADA ____SS
____Dep. Dir. ____OGC ____SLR
____A.D. ____RL ____ML

DESCRIPTION **ltr** Original Copy Other
**Trans ltr fr Mrs. Daniel Klassen re operation of the
Natickelle plant on a limited basis & asks why AEC is
responsible for promotion and regulation of atomic power and
why the states cannot set lower standards**
30437

REMARKS
92-1413
**Reply for signature of Dir. of
Reg.**

REFERRED TO	DATE	PREPARE FOR SIGNATURE OF:
Henderson f/action	10/12/70	CHAIRMAN
Cys: Morris Shepar RNR (50-263)	Copy sent	DIRECTOR OF REGULATION
Docket Files	(Specify) PDR	

DO NOT DETACH THIS COPY

DIRECTOR OF REGULATION
COMMUNICATIONS CONTROL

Form HQ-32 (7-64)
U.S. AEC

1/2

United States Senate

October 2, 1970

Respectfully referred to:

Chairman
Atomic Energy Commission
Washington, D. C. 20545

Because of the desire of this office to be responsive to all inquiries and communications, your consideration of the attached is requested. Your findings and views, in duplicate form, along with return of the enclosure, will be appreciated by

(skw)

..... HAROLD E. HUGHES
U.S.S.

DR-2836 4

1
1970
November 10, 1970

Senator Harold Hughes
Washington D.C.

Dear Senator Hughes,

The recent A.E.C. decision to allow N.S.P. to open on a limited basis its Monticello nuclear power plant was very disappointing. It raises several important questions. Why is the A.E.C. responsible for both promoting and regulating atomic power? Why can't a state pass laws with stiffer regulations on nuclear power plants. Radiation is cumulative. Any amount has the potential of causing serious health problems such as

cancer and birth defects. It also creates environmental problems. Minnesota is trying to protect its people, air and water from nuclear pollution. Why not pass stiffer standards than the A.E.C. Now is the time for action, not 20, 40 or 80 years from now when the effects are proven and it is too late. The age of business before all else is over. It is time to consider the welfare of all people.

Yours truly,
Mrs. Daniel L. Klassen

1902 Waven Apt 107
Wankato, Minn 56001