

Norman, Yolande

From: Altic, Nickolas <Nick.Altic@orau.org>
Sent: Wednesday, June 18, 2014 4:32 PM
To: Norman, Yolande
Cc: Bailey, Erika
Subject: RAIs Not Included
Attachments: RAIs Not Included.docx

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

Yolande,

I have attached a table listing the RAIs that were not included in the final version and a brief rationale as to why. Let me know if you want to discuss further.

Cheers,

--
Nick Altic

RAI No.	Rationale
File name: Phase II Final Status Survey Report RAIs2.docx	
3	This RAI is somewhat already addressed. MDCs should be provided for lab results as well as DMs and surface scans. However, we feel that adding the DCGL and background to every table would not add enough value considering the level of effort required to perform the revisions considering the values should be the same for every table.
4	Unclear as to the meaning of this comment. If the commenter is requesting the authors tied it all together (e.g, found contamination, excavated to below contamination depth, confirmed results), the requested information is provided in the conclusions section of the report.
5	The correction factors were not applied to alpha measurements as there are no alpha DCGLs.
6	This comment seems more applicable to an inspection rather than the final radiological status of a site.
File name: MK.Phase II Final Status Survey Report RAIs.docx	
3	For this project there were two different contractors with no overlap in field time. Side-by-side measurements would not have been able to be performed.
7	See rational to previous RAI #3 (first listed in this table)
8	This RAI is somewhat already addressed. MDCs should be provided for lab results as well as DMs and surface scans. However, we feel that adding the DCGL and background to every table would not add enough value considering the level of effort required to perform the revisions considering the values should be the same for every table.