

## Elements of an Aging Management Program (AMP)

Division of Spent Fuel Storage and Transportation Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

> PINGP ISFSI Public Meeting June 16, 2014

## **Regulatory Basis**



### 10 CFR 72.42(a), 72.240(c):

- TLAAs that demonstrate that ITS SSCs will continue to perform their intended function for the period of extended operation.
- A description of the AMP for management of issues associated with aging that could adversely affect ITS SSCs.

#### **Guidance: NUREG-1927 AMP Elements:**

- Scope of the Program 1.
- 2 Preventive Actions
- Parameters Monitored/Inspected 8. Confirmation Process 3.
- 4.
- 5.

- 6. Acceptance Criteria
- 7. **Corrective Actions**
- Detection of Aging Effects 9. Administrative Controls
- Monitoring and Trending 10. Operating Experience
- Increased efficiency and reduced number/rounds of Requests for Additional Information with complete AMPs



#### 1. Scope of the Program

#### NUREG-1927: The scope should include the specific SSCs subject to an AMR

- Component and subcomponent
- Material of construction
- Environment
- Aging mechanisms for material/environment combination
- Aging effects corresponding to the aging mechanism

#### 2. <u>Preventive Actions</u>

# **NUREG-1927:** Preventive actions should mitigate or prevent the applicable aging effects

- Actions to minimize, control, or prevent the degradation mechanism
- (e.g. peak cladding temperatures below ISG-11, rev. 3 limit during drying)



#### 3. Parameters Monitored or Inspected

**NUREG-1927:** Parameters monitored or inspected should be linked to the effects of aging on the intended functions of the particular structure and component

- Parameters (e.g. cracking, loss of material, temperature, fluence, etc.)
- Location (e.g. at highest heat location, at 1 m from cask)

#### 4. Detection of aging effects

**NUREG-1927:** Detection of aging effects should occur before there is a loss of any structure and component intended function

- Method/technique (e.g. visual, volumetric, and/or surface inspections or surveys)
  - Justification & qualification that technique can achieve proposed acceptance criteria for detecting potential aging effects to be monitored or inspected
- Frequency of inspection (e.g. inspection intervals)
- Sample size (dependent on operational experience trending)
- Data collection (clearinghouse for operational experience)
- Timing (new or one-time inspections)



### 5. Monitoring & Trending

**NUREG-1927:** Should provide for prediction of the extent of the effects of aging and timely corrective or mitigative actions

- Assess effects per prior inspections and industry-wide operational experience
- Track trending of aging effects (e.g. corrosion rate, crack growth rate, etc.)

#### 6. Acceptance Criteria

**NUREG-1927:** Acceptance criteria, against which the need for corrective action will be evaluated, should ensure SSC intended function is maintained under the existing licensing-basis design conditions during the renewal period

- Criteria for evaluating inspection results for operable aging effects
- Domestic and International consensus codes and standards, or previously used criteria if relevancy is justified and established
- Technical basis for these criteria should be provided
- Separate criteria should be provided for each aging effect



### 7. Corrective Actions

#### **NUREG-1927:** Corrective actions, including root cause determination and

#### prevention of recurrence, should be timely

#### CAP commensurate with 10 CFR 71 Subpart G, or 10 CFR 50 Appendix B

- Maintenance plans, corrective actions for the specific degradation effects (e.g. repair, replacement, mitigation activities, and extent of condition)
  - Actions to prevent reoccurrence
  - Justification for repair, replace, and/or mitigate deferral
  - Analysis of how action may affect other subcomponents
- Consideration of corrective actions on other components
- Plans for OE incorporation into the remediation plan

#### 8. Confirmation Process

**NUREG-1927:** Confirmation process should ensure that preventive actions are adequate & appropriate corrective actions have been completed & are effective

- QA Program consistent with 10 CFR 72 Subpart G, or 10 CFR 50 Appendix B
- Method to confirm actions required are taken
- Follow up action to determine success (e.g. effectiveness of repair)



#### 9. Administrative Controls

# **NUREG-1927:** Administrative controls should provide a formal review and approval process

- <u>CAP commensurate with 10 CFR 71 Subpart G, or 10 CFR 50 Appendix B</u>
- Inspector requirements
- Record retention requirements
- Review process of examination results
- Frequency/methods for reporting inspection results to NRC
- Frequency for updating AMP based on industry-wide operational experience

### 10. Operating Experience

**NUREG-1927:** Include past corrective actions resulting in program enhancements; objective evidence to support a determination that the effects of aging will be adequately managed so that the structure and component interfunctions will be maintained during the period of extended operation

 Provide specific industry-wide operational experience that supports the use of an examination method, inspection frequency, and/or inspection criteria

### **Path Forward**



- Staff developed generic AMP guidance for specific near-term aging effects:
  - Fuel performance
  - Concrete
  - Polymer-based neutron shielding