LW Form

Savannah River Site (SRS)

UNREVIEWED WASTE MANAGEMENT QUESTION EVALUATION (UWMQE)

Page 1 of 6

		EVALUATION (OVVINGE)	
UWMC	QE Numi	Der: SRR-CWDA-2013-00148	
1.	Unrevi	ewed Waste Management Question Evaluation	
	NOTE:	Each question below requires Comment / Justification.	
	a.	Is the Proposed Activity or New Data outside the bounds of the critical inputs/assumptions of the analyses contained in the WD, PA, CA, approved SA(s), or approved UWMQE(s)? For example, does the proposed activity or new information involve a change to the assumed critical design features for a waste tank/disposal unit design as described in the WD, PA, CA, approved SA(s), or approved UWMQE(s) such as critical inputs/assumptions? Yes No	
		165 C 100 C	
Commo	ent / Just	ification	
The Pro as Futu minimu	posed A re Dispo m.clean	activity is disposing of saltstone with no clean cap in the Saltstone Disposal Units (SDUs) identified sal Cells (FDCs) in the FY2013 SA (SRR-CWDA-2013-00062). The FY2013 SA considers a cap of 2 feet above the final placement of saltstone in each FDC. The FDCs in this UWMQE are iDU 2 design.	•••
		Does the New Data involve an increase in the radionuclide inventory or chemical constituents evaluated in the approved WD, PA, CA, approved SA(s), and approved UWMQE(s)?	
		Yes 🛛 No 🗌	
Comma	ot / Justit	ileation:	
the FY20 inventoring ratio of 1 presente solution	clean ca 013 SA es. The 1.128 to a ed in SRE concentr	p in the FDCs, the potential radionuclide inventory in each FDC may be greater than analyzed in The accompanying sensitivity analysis. SRR-CWDA-2013-00141, considers two projected first is based on increasing the projected inventory presented in the FY2013 SA by the fill volume account for no clean cap. The second is based on the increased fill volume using the inventory R-CWDA-2013-00097 which is based on the Waste Acceptance Criteria, Rev. 12, but with the salt ration reduced by a factor of 6 for Cs-135 and I-129 and without the Tc-99 inventory restriction in the Change atthough the chemical inventory would be higher per FDC.	

LW Form

Savannah River Site (SRS)

UNREVIEWED WASTE MANAGEMENT QUESTION EVALUATION (UWMQE)

Page 2 of 6

1.	Unrev	iewed V	Vaste Ma	nagem	ent Question Evaluation - continued					
	c. Would the radionuclide disposal limits need to be changed to implement the proposed activity?									
		Yes		No						
Commo	ent / Jus	tification	n:							
					crease fill height of saltstone in the FDCs. The sensitivity analysis SRR- nended disposal concentrations presented in SRR-CWDA-2013-00097.					

************	****************									
	d.				oposed Activity or New Data causes the WD, PA, CA, approved MQE(s) performance objectives to be exceeded?					
		Yes		No						
Comme	nt / Justi	fication:								
concent the FDC	rations for	or Cs-13 oposed	35.and.l-1 Activity.v	29 cited	WDA-2013-00141, with the reduction by a factor of 6 on the salt solution. d in WAC, Rev. 12 and without the Tc-99 inventory restriction imposed on the cause the performance objectives to be exceed.					
to the	FY201	1CTS WC 3 SDF 1/2/20	SA.	recalcul	lated as the inventory increase is not significant as compared					
***************************************		**************	****************							

LW Form

Savannah River Site (SRS)

UNREVIEWED WASTE MANAGEMENT QUESTION EVALUATION (UWMQE)

Page 3 of 6

2.	UWMQE Originator				
	IS the activity within the bounds of the existing WD, PA, CA or approved SA(s) and approved UWMQEs?	Yes	⊠	No	
	IS a Special Analysis required?	Yes		No	
Comm	ent / Justification:				
	sults of the sensitivity analysis SRR-CWDA-2013-0	0141 justif	y that th	is Propo	sed Activity is within the
bound	s and conclusions of the FY2013 SA				
An SA	is not required as there is not a significant change	to the dose	es within	the 1.00	0 year compliance period.
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
				······································	
		-			
	Check one of the following boxes below and forw	ard to pee	r review	er.	
	☐ CANCEL the proposed activity. (Document	canceled	activities	s as appl	icable.)
	☐ MODIFY the proposed activity.				
	☑ PROCEED to PARC approval (if no SA is re	equired).			
	☐ PROCEED with proposed activity; categoric	cal exclusion	on applie	es.	
	PERFORM Special Analysis.				
	Originator: RICHARD F. SHEPPARO Print	1 Rice	hard	E Sh	the land
	Print	_	Si	gnature	

LW Form

Savannah River Site (SRS)

UNREVIEWED WASTE MANAGEMENT QUESTION EVALUATION (UWMQE)

Page 4 of 6

3.	UWMQE Peer Reviewer
	Concur with the UWMQEO's determination? Yes No
Comn	nent/Justification: After review of the supporting document (SRR-CWDA-2013-00141) and the UWMBE I Concur with the conclusions and have no further comments.
Peer	Reviewer: Kent H. Rosenberger Print Date: 12/20/2013

LW Form

Savannah River Site (SRS)

UNREVIEWED WASTE MANAGEMENT QUESTION EVALUATION (UWMQE)

Page 5 of 6

4.	UWMQE AGCC Reviewer							
	Is there a legal objection to the UWMQEO's determination?	/es		No	o 🛛			
I.have result This a Mark chang maint be su	nment / Justification: te no legal objection to the proposed activity with the unders its of this PARC; and (2) any pour height changes must be activity is authorized by the HQ DOE issued Disposal Author A. Gilbertson, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Site Restorates in the disposal technology, disposal unit, or waste form attenance plan and Unreviewed Waste Management Question ubmitted to the LERG for review in accordance with the LERG 2000)."	approrization. Shansha Shan (L RG F	oved by Ition Sta Speciall be ev JWMQ	y DOE-satement fically, the aluated procedured managements	SR in r dated he DAS Lin acc lures	evised DS I May 21. S provides ordance v If required	SA docum 2012, sig s: "Signif with the F	nents. Ined by Icant PA/CA es shall
	AGCC or Delegate AGCCThomas Frank Englan Print	d	/	Date:	2 70	Signature		
5.	UWMQE VP/GC Reviewer							
	Concur with the UWMQEO's determination?	es		No		N/A	\boxtimes	
	nent / Justification: 2 was notified of the legal opinion and has no comments.							
	VP/GC or Delegate VP/GCThomas Frank Englan	ıd	/	Mn. Date:	2 JA	Signature		

LW Form

Savannah River Site (SRS)

UNREVIEWED WASTE MANAGEMENT QUESTION EVALUATION (UWMQE)

Page 6 of 6

6.	PARC Chairman	•			
	IS the activity consistent with the existing WD?	Yes	\boxtimes	No	
	IS a Special Analysis required?	Yes		No	
Comme	ent / Justification:				
***************************************			••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
		••••••			
				•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
		***************************************	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••	
	IF a Special Analysis is required, INDICATE the fol below and return to the UWMQE Originator	low-up	action by c	checkin	g one of the following boxes
	☐ CANCEL the proposed activity. (Document ca	anceled	activities	as app	icable.)
	☐ MODIFY the proposed activity to attempt to el	iminate	the SA.		
	☐ PERFORM SA.				
	PARC Chairman or Designee: Michael N. Borders Print	_ / <u>P</u>	<u>u telec</u> ate: <u>1/4</u>	Signat	