

## Joosten, Sandy

---

**From:** Marv Lewis <marvlewis@juno.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, July 02, 2014 2:16 PM  
**To:** et@prop1.org; CHAIRMAN Resource; catalyst@actionPa.org; maryo@nirs.org; marvlewis@juno.com; Banerjee, Maitri; Morgan, Nadiyah  
**Subject:** Fw: Public Meeting of July 2, 2014 8:30AM GL2004-04.

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: "Marv Lewis" <marvlewis@juno.com>  
To: [marvlewis@juno.co](mailto:marvlewis@juno.co)  
Subject: Public Meeting of July 2, 2014 8:30AM GL2004-04.  
Date: Wed, 2 Jul 2014 18:05:42 GMT

The Honorable Allison MacFarlane

Dear Chairman MacFarlane,

I listened to the public meeting on GL 2004-02 today. The staff afforded me all the privileges of a member of the public. I was pleased by the staff's treatment.

I do have a concern that with the statement that LOCAs and issues raised in GL2004-02 do not require a look at unusual, not matter of fact, occurrences. Several reactors have had these issues of dropped tools and construction materials causing coolant blockage.

I pointed out that these were infrequent and unusual , and hope that LOCAs are also infrequent and unusual. I felt that an undercurrent of unusual, and infrequent ran through the presentations of the licensee staff. I point out that GL2004-02, GSI-191 and Regulatory Guide 1.82 cannot be fully answered with an attitude that unusual and infrequent constitutes a reason to trivialize.

The licensee and staff presented only chemical results that resulted from the usual and frequent. I did not observe any attempt to reach the unusual and infrequent to find problems in testing for chemical effects during LOCA and coolant blockage.

Respectfully submitted,

Marvin Lewis, P. E.