REGULATO INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION STEM (RIDS)

ACCESSION NBR:81	03190384 DUC.	DATE: 81/03/16	NOTARIZED:	NO	DOCKET #
FACIL:50-261 H.	B. Robinson Pla	nt, Unit 2, Car	olina Power	and Ligh	05000261
AUTH.NAME	AUTHOR AFFILI	ATION			
STARKEY, R.B.	Carolina Power	& Light Co.			
RECIP.NAME	RECIPIENT AFF	ILIATION			
	Region 2, At	lanta, Office d	of the Direct	or	
	-				

SUBJECT: LER 80-008/03L-1:on 800406,pressure transmitter failed to full output during normal operation.Cause undetermined because instrument was returned to normal operation before thorough investigation.Investigation closed.

DISTRIBUTION CODE: A002S COPIES RECEIVED:LTR L ENCL L SIZE: 1+4 TITLE: Incident Reports

NOTES:

	RECIPIENT	COPIES		RECIPIENT	COPIES	
	ID CODE/NAME	LTTR	ENCL	ID CODE/NAME	LTTR	ENCL
ACTION:	VARGA,S. 04	Ş	3			
INTERNAL:	A/D COMP&STRU06	1	1	A/D ENV TECH 07	1	1
	A/D MATL & QUOS	1	1	A/D OP REACTO09	1	1
	A/D PLANT SYS10	1	1	A/D RAD PROT 11	1	1
	A/D SFTY ASSE12	1	1	ACC EVAL BR 14	1	1
	AEOD	3	3	ASLBP/J.HARD	1	1
	AUX SYS BR 15	1	1	CHEM ENG BR 16	1	1
	CONT SYS BR 17	1	1	CORE PERF BR 18	1	1
	DIR, ENGINEER120	1	1	DIR, HUM FAC S21	1	1
	DIR, SYS INTEG22	1	1	EFF TR SYS BR23	1	1
	EQUIP QUAL BR25	1	1	GEOSCIENCES 26	1	1
	I&C SYS BR 29	1	1	I&E 05	2	2
	JORDAN, E./IE	1	1	LIC GUID BR 30	1	1
	MATL ENG BR 32	ī	1	MECH ENG BR 33	1	1
	MPA	3	3	NRC PDR 02	1	1
	OP EX EVAL BR34	3	3	OR ASSESS BR 35	1	1
	POWER SYS BR 36	1	1	BAD ASSESS BR39	1	1
	REACT SYS BR 40	1	1	REG FILE 01	1	1
	REL & RISK A 41	1	1	SFTY PROG EVA42	1	1
	STRUCT ENG BR44	1	1	SYS INTERAC 845	1	1
EXTERNAL:	ACRS 46	16	16	LPDR 03	1	1
	NSIC 05	1	- 1			

TOTAL NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED: LTTR 66 ENCL 66

REAR & " OR'

. 1	NAC FQ9 (3-77)	LICENSEE EVENT REPORT	
		CONTROL BLOCK:	
	01	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	
-	CON'T	REPORT L 6 0 5 0 0 2 6 1 7 0 4 0 6 8 0 8 4 75 REPORT DATE 80 9 SOURCE 60 61 DOCKET NUMBER 68 69 EVENT DATE 74 75 REPORT DATE 80	
	02		•
•	03		
-	04		
	0 5		
-	06		
-	07		
		80.	
		$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & \text{SYSTEM} & \text{CAUSE} & \text{CAUSE} & \text{CAUSE} & \text{COMPONENT CODE} & \text{SUBCODE} & S$	
		$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
	. ·	ACTION FUTURE EFFECT SHUTDOWN TAKEN ACTION ON PLANT METHOD HOURS 22 ATTACHMENT NPRD-4 PRIME COMP. COMPONENT SUBMITTED FORM SUB. SUPPLIER MANUFACTURER IX ICOL X ICOL I Z ICOL I Z ICOL I O IO IO IO I Y ICOL Y ICOL I X ICOL X ICO	26)
		$\begin{bmatrix} x \\ 33 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 19 \\ 34 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 35 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 20 \\ 36 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 37 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 40 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 40 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 41 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 42 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 43 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 44 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 43 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 44 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 44 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 43 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 44 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 44 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 43 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 44 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 44 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 43 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 44 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 44 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 43 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 44 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 44 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 43 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 44 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -2 $	-
	1 0		
•••	11		
-	1 2		
••	13		
• .	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$	80	
•••		FACILITY % POWER OTHER STATUS (30) METHOD OF DISCOVERY DISCOVERY DISCOVERY DISCOVERY E (28) (0 9 3 (29) N/A [A] (31) Operator Observation (32) 0 9 3 (29) N/A [A] (31) Operator Observation (32) 0 12 12 44 45 46 80	-
		ACTIVITY CONTENT RELEASED OF RELEASE AMOUNT OF ACTIVITY 35 Z 33 Z 34 N/A A5 LOCATION OF RELEASE 36 N/A 80	
-	7 8	PERSONNEL EXPOSURES . NUMBER TYPE DESCRIPTION 39 0 0 0 37 Z 38 N/A	
••	7 8	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
		9 11 12 LOSS OF OR DAMAGE TO FACILITY (43) TYPE DESCRIPTION N/A	
	7 8	B108190384 PUBLICITY ISSUED DESCRIPTION (45) 8108190384 NRC USE ONLY	
-	20	$\frac{N}{9} \frac{44}{10} \frac{N/A}{10} \frac{N/A}{10} \frac{1}{10} \frac{1}{1$. 16 0
		NAME OF PREPARER R. B. Starkey, Jr. PHONE: (803) 383-4524	ц С

Item 10

During normal operation on April 6, 1980, at 0944 hours, pressure transmitter 495 (PT-495), which is one of three monitoring steam generator "C", failed to full output. This instrument feeds the SI initiating logic for "C" Hi Steam Line Differential Pressure. This mode of failure blocks the input from PT-495, which results in operation with less than the required degree of redundancy as stated in Table 3.5-3 of the Technical Specifications and is reportable under Section 6.9.2.b.1. The bistables of this loop were manually operated to return to the required degree of redundancy.

Item 27

The cause of this event was not determined due to the instrument resuming normal operation before it could be thoroughly investigated by I & C personnel. A checkout of the instruments failed to duplicate the failure or find any condition which could have contributed to its failure. The instrument was closely monitored for a nine month period including a refueling calibration with no problems identified. Therefore, the instrument PT-495 will remain in service and the investigation closed.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION FOR LICENSEE EVENT REPORT 80-008

1. Cause Description and Analysis:

During normal operation on April 6, 1980, at 0944 hours, pressure transmitter 495 (PT-495), which is one of three monitoring steam generator "C", failed to full output. This type of failure mode blocks this input to the Safety Injection initiation logic for High Steam Line Differential Pressure. The event is contrary to the minimum degree of redundancy as stated in Table 3.5-3 of Technical Specifications and is reportable under Section 6.9.2.b.1. Another function of steam flow for feedwater control on "C" Steam Generator. This failure caused a high flow rate on this control until the control operator placed the control in manual and switched to the other channel of steam flow which was operating normally. This resulted in a slight increase in "C" Steam Generator level but created no problems. The operator manually operated the trip bistables in the failed loop to restore the required degree of redundancy as per Table 3.5-3 of Technical Specifications.

2. Corrective Action:

The operator immediately put the "C" feedwater control on manual and switched to the channel of steam flow which was not affected. Then as quickly as practical, the Operating Work Procedures for this loop were implemented and the bistable switches for the failed pressure channel were placed in the trip position. The instrument resumed normal operation prior to any repair being made. Bistables were reset after Instrument and Control personnel tested the instrument at 1540 on April 7, 1980.

3. Corrective Action To Prevent Further Occurrence:

The cause of the upscale failure of PT-495 has not been determined due to the instrument resuming normal operation before Instrument and Control personnel could investigate. Although the instrument has been tested and monitored closely, the failure has not been duplicated nor has any condition been discovered which would have contributed to its failure.

This failure is very similar to the failure of PT-496 (LER-79-031). The instrument manufacturer investigated that failure without success. They were contacted on this current failure and agreed to furnish a replacement instrument for PT-495 so they could test it under laboratory conditions.

3. Corrective Action To Prevent Further Occurrence: (Continued)

tm

Due to procurement difficulties, before a replacement unit was available, the instrument had worked satisfactorily for approximately nine months. It had also gone through a refueling calibration where no problems were identified. With this report the vendor decided that a lab test of this instrument would not be beneficial in determining the failure mode which occurred on April 6, 1980. Based on their recommendation and due to the nine months record of dependable operation, PT-495 was left in service. No further action will be taken concerning this failure.

