ACCELERATED DISTRIBUTION DEMONSTRATION SYSTEM

REGULATE / INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION YSTEM (RIDS)

				(,		
FACLL:5 5 5 AUTH.N	0-261 H.B. Robinson 0-324 Brunswick Ste 0-325 Brunswick Ste 0-400 Shearon Harri AME AUTHOR A Y,D.C. Carolina NAME RECIPIEN	n Plant, Unit eam Electric eam Electric is Nuclear Po AFFILIATION Power & Ligh NT AFFILIATIC		& Light C olina Powe olina Powe Carolina	05000324	R
SUBJECT: Comments on proposed Suppl E to Conoria Itr 20 10 re						Ι
SUBJECT: Comments on proposed Suppl 5 to Generic Ltr 89-10 re inaccuracy of motor-operated valve diagnositic equipment						Ъ
resulting from valve stem directional effects.Suppl should						D
not be issued since industry aware of test results.						S
DISTRIBUTION CODE: A064D COPIES RECEIVED:LTR $\int encl \mathcal{O} encl 2$ TITLE: Response to Generic Ltr 89-10, "Safety-Related MOV Testing & Surveill						/
NOTES: Application for permit renewal filed.					05000400	Α
interesting production for permit renewar filled,					0000400	D
		000000				_
	RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME		RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME			D
	PD2-1 LA		PD2-1 PD			S
	MOZAFARI, B		LO,R	īĮ		5
	LE,N	1				
INTERNAL:	ACRS	1	AEOD/DSP/TPAB	1		
	BAER, B RES		NRR GODY,A., JR	i i		
	NRR/DET/EMEB 7E	1	NRR/LPEB/BC	1 1		
	REG FILE	1	RES/DSIR/EIB/B	1 1		
EXTERNAL:	NRC PDR	1	NSIC	1 1		
		1				

NOTE TO ALL "RIDS" RECIPIENTS:

PLEASE HELP US TO REDUCE WASTE! CONTACT THE DOCUMENT CONTROL DESK, ROOM P1-37 (EXT. 20079) TO ELIMINATE YOUR NAME FROM DISTRIBUTION LISTS FOR DOCUMENTS YOU DON'T NEED!

TOTAL NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED: LTTR 15 ENCL

R

Ι

D

S

1

Α

D

D

S



TAUG 0 6 1992

SERIAL: NLS-92-213

United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission ATTENTION: Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555

H. B. ROBINSON STEAM ELECTRIC PLANT, UNIT NO. 2 DOCKET NO. 50-261/LICENSE NO. DPR-23

SHEARON HARRIS NUCLEAR POWER PLANT DOCKET NO. 50-400/LICENSE NO. NPF-63

BRUNSWICK STEAM ELECTRIC PLANT, UNIT NOS. 1 AND 2 DOCKET NOS. 50-325 AND 50-324/LICENSE NOS. DPR-71 AND DPR-62

PROPOSED GENERIC COMMUNICATION - NRC GENERIC LETTER 89-10, SUPPLEMENT 5: INACCURACY OF MOTOR-OPERATED VALVE (MOV) DIAGNOSTIC EQUIPMENT RESULTING FROM VALVE STEM DIRECTIONAL EFFECTS

RE: Federal Register Volume 57, No. 131, July 8, 1992

Gentlemen:

208110004

920806

PDR

ADOCK 05000261

Carolina Power & Light Company appreciates the opportunity to review and provide comments on the proposed Generic Letter 89-10, Supplement 5. The following are comments provided for your consideration.

Review of the proposed Generic Letter 89-10, Supplement 5 determined that the primary subject was improper calibration and use of particular spring-pack displacement diagnostic equipment. However, each licensee was requested to review "any equipment" used to establish current torque switch settings for safety-related MOVs even though the Motor-Operated Valve Users Group (MUG) study and report results identify concerns of the use and methodology with certain diagnostic equipment.

As stated in the supplement, NUMARC and ITI-MOVATS have provided guidance, based upon the MUG study results, for licensees on addressing the effects of the increased uncertainty of that particular diagnostic equipment; and that the NRC staff found the guidance to be an acceptable approach for addressing the uncertainty resulting from the use of the ITI-MOVATS equipment. Also stated in the supplement is that some licensees have initiated their programs in response to Generic Letter 89-10 and have conducted a significant amount of testing of MOVs under design-basis conditions.

411 Fayetteville Street • P. O. Box 1551 • Raleigh, N. C. 27602

(1724GLU)

Generic Letter 89-10, Supplement 5 reporting requirements appear to be redundant, with all the industry emphasis being placed on testing, verifying, evaluating, and trending of proper operation of MOVs to comply with Generic Letter 89-10 requirements, and the additional industry studies and guidance in this area.

The information contained in the supplement is important to ensure MOVs perform their intended function; however, this information should be incorporated into Generic Letter 89-10 programs through normal engineering processes. The separate industry effort that will be expended to address the Supplement 5 reporting requirements will place an unnecessary burden on licensee resources, especially invoking 10CFR50.54(f) to a supplement. NRC inspections can easily determine that a plant is in compliance with the requirements and Technical Specifications.

As Information Notice 92-23 states, load-sensitive behavior (i.e., rate of loading effects) is independent of the type of MOV diagnostic equipment used. The load-sensitive behavior information mentioned in the discussion paragraph does not add to the technical or safety significance of Supplement 5 information and, therefore, should be removed.

In summary, Generic Letter 89-10, Supplement 5 appears unnecessary and should not be issued since the industry is aware of the MUG test results and of the guidance document to address proper diagnostic equipment use. The industry should continue to use this and other information that is identified through industry efforts to continually improve and enhance their programs. NRC staff inspections will be able to determine compliance on an individual basis and take corresponding actions.

Should you have any questions regarding this response, please contact Mr. Fred Emerson at (919) 546-7573.

Yours very truly,

for David C. McCarthy N Manager Nuclear Licensing Section

JHH/jbw

cc: Mr. S. D. Ebneter Mr. L. W. Garner Mr. N. B. Le Mr. R. H. Lo Ms. B. L. Mozafari Mr. R. L. Prevatte Mr. J. E. Tedrow