## ULATURY DUCKET FILE GU

May 30, 1980

Docket No. 50-261

Mr. J. A. Jones Senior Executive Vice President Carolina Power and Light Company 336 Fayetteville Street Raleigh, North Carolina 27602

Distribution-Docket File 50-26] NRC PDR Local PDR TERA NSIC NRR Reading ORB1 Reading D. Eisenhut T. Novak S. Varga D. Neighbors C. Parrish I&E (3) Attorney, OELD

Dear Mr. Jones:

By letter dated September 9, 1977, and supplemented August 14, 1978, you provided the results of examinations on the first containment surveillance tendon sample which was removed from H. B. Robinson Unit 2.

We have reviewed the information that you submitted and we found that continuity among the individual surveillance test was lacking and we recommend that you establish a common baseline for future tests. baseline should be able to provide a basis to determine the movements of those reference points for monitoring the displacements of the containment and its base mat. Since the lack of continuity does not impair the structural integrity of the containment, and you have satisfactorily resolved our past concerns, we conclude that the capability of the containment to perform its function has not been impaired.

Sincerely,

Original signed by: S. A. Varga

Steven A. Varga, Chief Operating Reactors Branch #1 Division of Licensing

cc: See next page

Completes TAC 7192 8006260080

DL:ORBAN OFFICE JDM€ ghbors /80:jb





## UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

May 30, 1980

Docket No. 50-261

Mr. J. A. Jones Senior Executive Vice President Carolina Power and Light Company 336 Fayetteville Street Raleigh, North Carolina 27602

Dear Mr. Jones:

By letter dated September 9, 1977, and supplemented August 14, 1978, you provided the results of examinations on the first containment surveillance tendon sample which was removed from H. B. Robinson Unit 2.

We have reviewed the information that you submitted and we found that continuity among the individual surveillance test was lacking and we recommend that you establish a common baseline for future tests. This baseline should be able to provide a basis to determine the movements of those reference points for monitoring the displacements of the containment and its base mat. Since the lack of continuity does not impair the structural integrity of the containment, and you have satisfactorily resolved our past concerns, we conclude that the capability of the containment to perform its function has not been impaired.

Sincerely,

Steven A. Varga, Chief Operating Reactors Branch #1 Division of Licensing

cc: See next page

- 2 -

cc: G. F. Trowbridge, Esquire
Shaw, Pittman, Potts and Trowbridge
1800 M Street, N.W.
Washington, D. C. 20036

Hartsville Memorial Library Home and Fifth Avenues Hartsville, South Carolina 29550

Michael C. Farrar, Chairman Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Board Panel U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D. C. 20555

Richard S. Salzman
Atomic Safety and Licensing
Appeal Board Panel
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D. C. 20555

Dr. W. Reed Johnson
Atomic Safety and Licensing
Appeal Board Panel
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D. C. 20555