

REGULATORY INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (RIDS)

ACCESSION NBR: 9410030213 DOC.DATE: 94/10/01 NOTARIZED: NO DOCKET #
 FACIL: 50-261 H.B. Robinson Plant, Unit 2, Carolina Power & Light C 05000261
 AUTH.NAME AUTHOR AFFILIATION
 JURY, K.R. Carolina Power & Light Co.
 YOUNG, D.E. Carolina Power & Light Co.
 RECIP.NAME RECIPIENT AFFILIATION

SUBJECT: LER 94-021-00: on 940901, TS violation occurred due to failure of operator to properly reactivate license. Operations Mgt Procedure that provides specific direction for complete tour of plant will be issued by 941007.W/940927 ltr.

DISTRIBUTION CODE: IE22T COPIES RECEIVED: LTR 1 ENCL 1 SIZE: 4
 TITLE: 50.73/50.9 Licensee Event Report (LER), Incident Rpt, etc.

NOTES:

	RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME	COPIES LTTR ENCL	RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME	COPIES LTTR ENCL
	PD2-1 PD	1 1	MOZAFARI, B	1 1
INTERNAL:	AEOD/ROAB/DSP	2 2	AEOD/SPD/RRAB	1 1
	<u>FILE CENTER</u> 02	1 1	NRR/DE/EELB	1 1
	NRR/DE/EMEB	1 1	NRR/DORS/OEAB	1 1
	NRR/DRCH/HHFB	1 1	NRR/DRCH/HICB	1 1
	NRR/DRCH/HOLB	1 1	NRR/DRSS/PRPB	2 2
	NRR/DSSA/SPLB	1 1	NRR/DSSA/SRXB	1 1
	NRR/PMAS/IRCB-E	1 1	RES/DSIR/EIB	1 1
	RGN2 FILE 01	1 1		
EXTERNAL:	EG&G BRYCE, J.H	2 2	L ST LOBBY WARD	1 1
	NOAC MURPHY, G.A	1 1	NOAC POORE, W.	1 1
	NRC PDR	1 1	NUDOCS FULL TXT	1 1

NOTE TO ALL "RIDS" RECIPIENTS:
 PLEASE HELP US TO REDUCE WASTE! CONTACT THE DOCUMENT CONTROL
 DESK, ROOM P1-37 (EXT. 504-2083) TO ELIMINATE YOUR NAME FROM
 DISTRIBUTION LISTS FOR DOCUMENTS YOU DON'T NEED!

FULL TEXT CONVERSION REQUIRED
 TOTAL NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED: LTTR 26 ENCL 26

A04

P
R
I
O
R
I
T
Y

D
O
C
U
M
E
N
T



10 CFR 50.73

Carolina Power & Light Company
Robinson Nuclear Plant
PO Box 790
Hartsville SC 29551

Robinson File No.: 13510C

Serial: RNP/94-1731

SEP 27 1994

United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Attn: Document Control Desk
Washington, DC 20555

H. B. ROBINSON STEAM ELECTRIC PLANT, UNIT NO. 2
DOCKET NO. 50-261/LICENSE NO. DPR-23
LICENSEE EVENT REPORT NO. 94-021-00

Gentlemen:

The enclosed Licensee Event Report (LER), is submitted in accordance with 10 CFR 50.73.

Very truly yours,

D. E. Young
Plant General Manager

RDC:rdc
Enclosure

c: Mr. S. D. Ebnetter, Regional Administrator, USNRC, Region II
Ms. B. L. Mozafari, USNRC Project Manager, HBRSEP
Mr. W. T. Orders, USNRC Senior Resident Inspector, HBRSEP

9410030213 941001
PDR ADDCK 05000261
S PDR

Highway 151 and SC 23 Hartsville SC

JEZ

NRC FORM 366
(5-92)

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

APPROVED BY OMB NO. 3150-0104
EXPIRES 5/31/95

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

(See reverse for required number of digits/characters for each block)

ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST: 50.0 HRS. FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE INFORMATION AND RECORDS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (MNBB 7714), U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 20555-0001, AND TO THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104), OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20503.

FACILITY NAME (1) H. B. ROBINSON STEAM ELECTRIC PLANT, UNIT 2	DOCKET NUMBER (2) 050-261	PAGE (3) 1 OF 3
---	-------------------------------------	---------------------------

TITLE (4) TS VIOLATION: FAILURE OF OPERATOR TO PROPERLY REACTIVATE LICENSE

EVENT DATE (5)			LER NUMBER (6)			REPORT DATE (7)			OTHER FACILITIES INVOLVED (8)	
MONTH	DAY	YEAR	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	MONTH	DAY	YEAR	FACILITY NAME	DOCKET NUMBER
09	01	94	94	-- 021 --	00	10	01	94	FACILITY NAME	DOCKET NUMBER 05000
									FACILITY NAME	DOCKET NUMBER 05000

OPERATING MODE (9) N	THIS REPORT IS SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF 10 CFR §: (Check one or more) (11)			
POWER LEVEL (10) 100	<input type="checkbox"/> 20.402(b)	<input type="checkbox"/> 20.405(c)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(iv)	<input type="checkbox"/> 73.71(b)
	<input type="checkbox"/> 20.405(a)(1)(i)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.36(c)(1)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(v)	<input type="checkbox"/> 73.71(c)
	<input type="checkbox"/> 20.405(a)(1)(ii)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.36(c)(2)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(vii)	<input type="checkbox"/> OTHER
	<input type="checkbox"/> 20.405(a)(1)(iii)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(i)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(viii)(A)	(Specify in Abstract below and in Text, NRC Form 366A)
	<input type="checkbox"/> 20.405(a)(1)(iv)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(ii)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(viii)(B)	
	<input type="checkbox"/> 20.405(a)(1)(v)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(iii)	<input type="checkbox"/> 50.73(a)(2)(x)	

LICENSEE CONTACT FOR THIS LER (12)

NAME K. R. Jury: Manager - Licensing/Regulatory Prog.	TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include Area Code) (803) 383-1363
---	---

COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT (13)

CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANUFACTURER	REPORTABLE TO NPRDS	CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANUFACTURER	REPORTABLE TO NPRDS

SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)

YES (If yes, complete EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE).	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	NO	EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE (15)	MONTH	DAY	YEAR
--	-------------------------------------	-----------	--------------------------------------	--------------	------------	-------------

On September 1, 1994, as a result of an evaluation to determine if inactive operator licenses were properly reactivated in accordance with 10 CFR 55.53(f)(2), a Shift Foreman holding a Senior Reactor Operator's license was determined to have performed watch duties without having properly conducted a complete plant tour as required for reactivation. This condition constitutes a condition prohibited by Technical Specifications (TS). Specifically, the TS requirements for the shift complement during hot operations were not met. This event was caused by a lack of written guidance delineating the plant tour requirements for operator license reactivation, and utilization of poor judgement on the part of the individual involved. Upon identification of this situation, the individual was restricted from his shift responsibilities pending proper reactivation, and the Acting Operations Manager issued a directive providing the necessary guidelines for conducting complete plant tours during license reactivation. A procedure is being developed to ensure license reactivation includes a thorough familiarization of equipment conditions and any plant changes that have occurred during the period the license was inactive. Although the individual licensee did not conduct a complete plant tour, there were no adverse safety consequences resulting from this situation. This report is submitted pursuant to 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(i) as a condition prohibited by TS.

NRC FORM 366A
(5-92)

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

APPROVED BY OMB NO. 3150-0104
EXPIRES 5/31/95

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST: 50.0 HRS. FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE INFORMATION AND RECORDS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (MNBB 7714), U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 20555-0001, AND TO THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104), OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20503.

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)			PAGE (3)
H. B. ROBINSON, UNIT 2	050-261	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	2 OF 3
		94	021	00	

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

I. DESCRIPTION OF EVENT

On September 1, 1994, H. B. Robinson Steam Electric Plant (HBRSEP), Unit No. 2 was operating at 100% power. During evaluation of a potential violation identified by the NRC concerning a failure to properly activate inactive operator licenses as required by 10 CFR 55.53(f)(2), the Acting Operations Manager determined that a Shift Foreman holding a Senior Reactor Operator's license did not adequately conduct a complete plant tour as required for reactivation of his license. This individual had performed watch duties as Shift Foreman on approximately five separate occasions prior to discovery that he had not properly reactivated his license.

Technical Specifications (TS) section 6.2.3(a) states, in part, "The shift complement during hot operations shall consist of one Shift Foreman holding a Senior Reactor Operator's License...." The failure of the Shift Foreman to properly reactivate his license prior to performing watch duties constitutes a condition prohibited by TS.

II. CAUSE OF EVENT

This event was caused by a lack of written guidance delineating the plant tour requirements for reactivation of operator licenses, and utilization of poor judgement on the part of the individual involved. 10 CFR 55.53(f)(2) states, in part, "That the licensee has completed a minimum of 40 hours of shift functions under the direction of an operator or senior operator as appropriate and in the position to which the individual will be assigned. The 40 hours must have included a complete tour of the plant and all required shift turnover procedures." The requirement for performing a "complete tour of the plant" was informally interpreted by Operations personnel as requiring a tour of areas not recently entered, to identify equipment conditions which may have changed since their latest entry into an area. The Acting Operations Manager determined that the extent of the tour by the individual involved was neither of sufficient duration nor thorough enough for him to have become familiar with plant conditions which may have changed.

NRC FORM 366A
(5-92)

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

APPROVED BY OMB NO. 3150-0104
EXPIRES 5/31/95

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST: 50.0 HRS. FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE INFORMATION AND RECORDS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (MNBB 7714), U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 20555-0001, AND TO THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104), OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20503.

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)			PAGE (3)
H. B. ROBINSON, UNIT 2	050-261	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	3 OF 3
		94	021	00	

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

III. ANALYSIS OF EVENT

Although the individual did not fully meet the requirement of 10 CFR 55.53(f), he did maintain his Licensed Operator Regualification training current by attending scheduled training sessions, and completing 40 hours of shift functions under the direction of another Shift Foreman. This individual did perform a "partial" tour of the plant. Additionally, based on the previous experience of the individual involved and his awareness of plant conditions at the time of reactivation, we have concluded that there were no adverse safety consequences or operational implications resulting from this situation. A review of security access data for all individuals reactivated during 1994 was conducted. No other instances of inadequate plant tours during the reactivation process were identified.

This report is submitted pursuant to 10 CFR 50.73 (a) (2) (i) as a condition prohibited by TS.

IV. CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

Upon identification of this situation, the individual was restricted from his shift responsibilities pending proper reactivation. Immediate corrective actions included the Acting Operations Manager issuing a Night Order that provided the necessary guidelines for conducting plant tours during reactivation of licensed operators.

The corrective actions to prevent recurrence that will be taken include issuance of an Operations Management Procedure that provides specific direction for "complete tour of the plant." This procedure will be designed to ensure license reactivation includes a thorough familiarization of equipment conditions and any plant changes that have occurred, and will require a tour of all areas of the plant normally accessible to personnel. This procedure will be issued by October 7, 1994.

V. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

A. Component Failures

None

B. Previous Similar Events

None