U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION (07-2012) 10 CFR 2.201 SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION								
1. LICENSEE/LOCATION INSPECTED: 2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE								
Beam, Longest and Neff, LLC 8126 Castleton Road Indianapolis, IN 46250			Region III U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 2443 Warrenville Road, Suite 210 Lisle, IL 60532-4352					
REPORT NUMBER(S) 2014-001								
3. DOCKET NUMBER(S)		4. LICENSE NUMBER(S)		5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION				
030-38095		13-32758-01		6/13/14, with in-office review through 6/17/14	ce review			
LICENSEE: The inspection was an examination of the activities conducted under your license as they relate to radiation safety and to compliance with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) rules and regulations and the conditions of your license. The inspection consisted of selective examinations of procedures and representative records, interviews with personnel, and observations by the inspector. The inspection findings are as follows:								
✓ 1. Based on the inspection findings, no violations were identified.								
2. Previous violation(s) closed.								
3. The violations(s), specifically described to you by the inspector as non-cited violations, are not being cited because they were self-identified, non-repetitive, and corrective action was or is being taken, and the remaining criteria in the NRC Enforcement Policy, to exercise discretion, were satisfied.								
	Non-cited violation(s) were discuss	sed involving the foll	owing requirement(s):					
4. During this inspection, certain of your activities, as described below and/or attached, were in violation of NRC requirements and are being cited in accordance with NRC Enforcement Policy. This form is a NOTICE OF VIOLATION, which may be subject to posting in accordance with 10 CFR 19.11.								
(Violations and Corrective Actions)								
	`							
Statement of Corrective Actions								
I hereby state that, within 30 days, the actions described by me to the Inspector will be taken to correct the violations identified. This statement of corrective actions is made in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 2.201 (corrective steps already taken, corrective steps which will be taken, date when full compliance will be achieved). I understand that no further written response to NRC will be required, unless specifically requested.								
TITLE	PRINTED NAME		SIGNATURE		DATE			
LICENSEE'S REPRESENTATIVE								
NRC INSPECTOR	Ryan Craffey		Ref- Calarey		6/23/14			
BRANCH CHIEF	Aaron McCraw		ATMO		6 26 14			
NRC FORM 591M PART 1 (07-2012)								

NRC FORM 591M PART 3 (07-2012) 10 CFR 2.201	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION						
SAFETY INSPECTION REPORT AND COMPLIANCE INSPECTION							
4. LICENSEE/LOCATION INSPECTED:			2. NRC/REGIONAL OFFICE				
Beam, Longest and N 8126 Castleton Road Indianapolis, IN 462 REPORT NUMBER(S) 20	50		Region III U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 2443 Warrenville Road, Suite 210 Lisle, IL 60532-4352				
3. DOCKET NUMBER(S)		4. LICENSE NUMBER(S)	5. DATE(S) OF INSPECTION			
030-38095		13-32758-01		6/13/14, with in-office review through 6/17/14			
6. INSPECTION PROCEDURES USED		7. INSPECTION FOCU	7. INSPECTION FOCUS AREAS				
87124		All	All				
	SUPP	LEMENTAL INSPECT	ION INFORMAT	ION			
1. program code(s) 03121	2. PRIORITY 5	3. LICENSEE CONTAC Guy Della Valle		4. TELEPHONE NUMBER (317) 849-5832			
Main Office Inspection		Next Inspection	Date:	June 2019			
Field Office In	spection						
✓ Temporary Jo	b Site Inspection	1302 Port Road, Jeffers	onville, IN 4713	30			
		PROGRAM SO	COPE				

This was a re-initial inspection of an engineering and consulting company authorized to use and store Troxler portable moisture/density gauges at its facility in Indianapolis, Indiana and at temporary job sites within NRC jurisdiction. At the time of the inspection, the licensee did not own a gauge; rather, on 5/16/14 it rented one from the manufacturer, and on 6/3/14 notified the NRC as required by Condition 20 of its license that it had taken possession of licensed material. The licensee had three authorized users (including the RSO), maintained quarterly dosimetry, and was in the process of acquiring a survey meter for routine area surveys and for emergencies. The licensee had plans in place to conduct leak tests at semi-annual intervals, should it keep the rented gauge long enough, and to conduct refresher hazmat training for authorized users at three-year intervals.

PERFORMANCE OBSERVATIONS

The inspector toured the temporary job site in Jeffersonville, Indiana and found that the gauge was adequately secured by two independent physical controls. Independent surveys of unrestricted areas found no readings in excess of public dose limits. The inspector was unable to observe the conduct of licensed activities, as the weather was not permitting for gauge use. However, the authorized user on-site was able to demonstrate the implementation of licensee procedures for gauge transportation, use, and emergency response. Through these and other discussions, the inspector found that the licensee's staff was knowledgeable of radiation protection principles and licensee procedures. While on-site, the inspector reviewed use logs, leak tests, authorized user training, shipping papers and emergency procedures.

During subsequent in-office review through 6/17/14, the inspector discussed with the RSO the licensee's future plans for gauge use, including the maintenance of training, dosimetry, leak tests and audits of the radiation safety program as necessary. The RSO also described the licensee's means for securing the gauge at its facility in Indianapolis. The NRC has previously evaluated the licensee's facility during past attempts at completing an initial inspection (on 5/10/10, 10/17/11, and 6/18/13), and so with the additional observations of gauge use and storage in Jeffersonville, the initial inspection can now be considered complete in accordance with IMC 2800.

No violations of NRC requirements were identified as a result of this inspection.