# ACCELERATED DISTRIBUTION DEMONSTRATION SYSTEM

## REGULATORY INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (RIDS)

CESSION NBR: 9012270003 DOC.DATE: 90/12/20 NOTARIZED: NO DOCKET # FACIL:50-261 H.B. Robinson Plant, Unit 2, Carolina Power & Light C 05000261 AUTH. NAME AUTHOR AFFILIATION DIETZ, C.R. Carolina Power & Light Co. RECIP. NAME RECIPIENT AFFILIATION Document Control Branch (Document Control Desk) R SUBJECT: Responds to violations noted in Insp Rept 50-261/90-24. I Corrective actions: verification of accuracy of inservice insp isometric sketches will be performed during first D period of third 10-yr interval. S DISTRIBUTION CODE: IE01D COPIES RECEIVED:LTR / ENCL TITLE: General (50 Dkt)-Insp Rept/Notice of Violation Response NOTES: RECIPIENT COPIES RECIPIENT COPIES D ID CODE/NAME LTTR ENCL LTTR ENCL ID CODE/NAME PD2-1 PD 1 1 LO,R 1 1 D INTERNAL: AEOD 1 AEOD/DEIIB 1 1 AEOD/TPAB 1 1 DEDRO S 1 1 NRR MORISSEAU, D 1 1 NRR SHANKMAN, S 1 1 NRR/DLPQ/LPEB10 1 1 NRR/DOEA/OEAB 1 1 NRR/DREP/PEPB9D 1 1 NRR/DRIS/DIR 1 1 NRR/DST/DIR 8E2 1 1 NRR/PMAS/ILRB12 1 1 OE DIR NUDOCS-ABSTRACT 1 1 1 1 OGC/HDS1 1 1 REG FILE 02 1 1 RGN2 FILE 01 1 1 EXTERNAL: EG&G/BRYCE, J.H. 1 1 NRC PDR 1 1 NSIC 1 1

NOTE TO ALL "RIDS" RECIPIENTS:

PLEASE HELP US TO REDUCE WASTE! CONTACT THE DOCUMENT CONTROL DESK, ROOM PI-37 (EXT. 20079) TO ELIMINATE YOUR NAME FROM DISTRIBUTION LISTS FOR DOCUMENTS YOU DON'T NEED!

TAL NUMBER OF COPIES REQUIRED: LTTR 22 ENCL 22

R I D

> / A

D

D

S



ROBINSON NUCLEAR PROJECT DEPARTMENT POST OFFICE BOX 790 HARTSVILLE, SOUTH CAROLINA, 29550

DEC. 2 0 1990

Robinson File No: 13510E

RNPD/90-4132

United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission Attn: Document Control Desk Washington, D. C. 20555

H. B. ROBINSON STEAM ELECTRIC PLANT

DOCKET NO. 50-261

LICENSE NO. DPR-23

NRC INSPECTION REPORT NO. 50-261/90-24; REPLY TO A NOTICE OF VIOLATION

#### Gentlemen:

Carolina Power and Light Company (CP&L) provides this reply to the notice of violation identified by NRC Inspection Report No. 50-261/90-24:

#### Severity Level IV Violation (RII-90-24-01)

10 CFR 50, Appendix B, Criterion V as implemented by Carolina Power and Light Company Corporate Quality Assurance Manual, Section 6, paragraph 6.3.2 requires that, the accomplishment of activities affecting quality shall be in accordance with approved procedures and/or drawings which are appropriate to the circumstances.

Contrary to the above, on October 3, 1990, the inspector observed that an inservice inspection isometric sketch (No. CP&L-234B) did not accurately depict the actual pipe configuration in that, three welds were not shown for the piping run illustrated. Subsequent review by the licensee established that similar errors existed on other isometric sketches. Inaccurate isometric sketches could result in an inadequate total weld population subject to inservice inspection and could result in nondestructive examiners performing inservice inspections on incorrect welds.

9012270003 901220 PDR ADDICK 05000261 PDR JE01 /

Letter to U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Serial: RNPD/90-4132

Page 2

#### 1. The Reason for the Violation

The cause of this violation is attributed to the fact that the drawings used to select the welds to be inspected had not been updated to reflect the as-built configuration of the piping. As these drawings have continued to be used for the ISI program, it was not recognized that because not all welds are shown, the possibility existed for the nondestructive examiners to inspect the wrong weld.

The selection criteria used at H. B. Robinson for Class 1 and 2 weld examinations is based on 10 CFR 50.55a, which allows for the use of the rules contained in the 1974 edition through the 1975 summer addenda of Section XI of the ASME Code for the extent of the examination. In establishing the program for the second ten year inspection interval, H. B. Robinson utilized a conservative accounting method based on drawing review to identify the weld population to be examined.

## 2. The Corrective Steps That Have Been Taken and the Results Achieved

The Isometric Sketch discussed in the violation was walked down in the field following the inspector's findings and revised accordingly. This walkdown and subsequent revision of the weld count resulted in the determination that, based on the conservative counting method used in the development of the second ten year interval program, an adequate number of welds had in fact been selected for examination in the original program. In that the Code only requires that a percentage of the total weld population be selected, and that the required percentage was met, a violation of the Code does not exist. Therefore, further immediate corrective action is not required.

## 3. The Corrective Steps That Will Be Taken to Avoid Further Violations

The methodology for selection of the number of welds for inspection will be continued to assure that an adequate and conservative population is identified. In order to preclude additional violations of this nature, verification of the accuracy of the ISI isometric sketches will be performed during the first period of the third ten year interval. This verification will include a physical walkdown as well as other means as may be determined appropriate, and will be followed by drawing revision as necessary.

# 4. The Date When Full Compliance Will Be Achieved

Full compliance will be achieved during the first period of the third ten year interval, which is presently scheduled to start no later than February, 1992, and end in June, 1995.

Letter to U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Serial: RNPD/90-4132

Page 3

Should you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact Mr. J. D. Kloosterman at (803) 383-1491.

Very truly yours

Charles R. Dietz

Manager

Robinson Nuclear Project Department

RDC

cc: Mr. S. D. Ebneter
Mr. L. W. Garner

INPO