ENCLOSURE 1

NOTICE OF VIOLATION

Carolina Power and Light Company H. B. Robinson

Docket No. 50-216 License No. DPR-23

During the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) inspection conducted on June 17-21, 1990, a violation of NRC requirements was identified. In accordance with the "General Statement of Policy and Procedure for NRC Enforcement Actions," 10 CFR Part 2, Appendix C (1990), the violation is listed below:

10 CFR 50, Appendix E, Section IV.F.5 requires that exercises provide for formal critiques in order to identify weak or deficient areas that need correction, and further provides that any weaknesses or deficiencies that are identified shall be corrected.

Contrary to the above, an exercise weakness identified at the critique for the 1989 Emergency Exercise for failure to activate the TSC and OSC in a timely manner was not corrected. Specifically, it was determined that the licensee's commitments for activation of the OSC and TSC (partial activation within 45 minutes and full activation within 75 minutes of an Alert declaration) were not acceptably demonstrated as indicated by the following observations: The OSC was activated 89 minutes after the ALERT declaration and the TSC was activated 125 minutes after the ALERT declaration.

This is a Severity Level IV violation (Supplement VIII).

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, Carolina Power and Light Company is hereby required to submit a written statement or explanation to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Document Control Desk, Washington, DC 20555, with a copy to the Regional Administrator, Region II, and a copy to the NRC Resident Inspector, H. B. Robinson, within 30 days of the date of the letter transmitting this Notice. This reply should be clearly marked as a "Reply to a Notice of Violation" and should include [for each violation]: (1) admission or denial of the violation, (2) the reason for the violation if admitted, (3) the corrective steps which have been taken and the results achieved, (4) the corrective steps which will be taken to avoid further violations, and (5) the date when full compliance will be achieved. Where good cause is shown, consideration will be given to extending the response time. If an adequate

Docket No. 50-216 License No. DPR-23

reply is not received within the time specified in this Notice, an order may be issued to show cause why the license should not be modified, suspended, or revoked or why such other action as may be proper should not be taken.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

for Jouglas M. Collins Division of Radiation Safety and Safeguards

Dated at Atlanta, Georgia 1990 this 27th day of July