ENCLOSURE 1

NOTICE OF VIOLATION

Carolina Power and Light Company H. B. Robinson

Docket No. 50-261 License No. DPR-23

During the NRC inspection conducted on April 11 - May 10, 1990, a violation of NRC requirements was identified. In accordance with the "General Statement of Policy and Procedure For NRC Enforcement Actions," 10 CFR Part 2, Appendix C (1990), the violation is listed below:

10 CFR 50 Appendix B Criterion XVI requires measures to be established to assure that conditions adverse to quality are promptly identified and corrected. In the case of significant conditions adverse to quality, the measures shall assure that the cause of the condition is determined and corrective action taken to preclude repetition. TS 6.5.1.1.1.c requires procedures to be established for surveillance testing. Technical Specification Table 4.1-1, item 27 requires monthly testing of the power range high flux - low setpoint reactor trip and two-out-of-three loop low flow reactor trip logic channels.

Contrary to the above, adequate measures were not established to assure that corrective action was taken to preclude repetition in that on March 2, 1990, site personnel identified that procedures implementing monthly logic testing of power range high flux - low setpoint reactor trip and two-out-of-three loop low flow reactor trip logic channels were not adequately established and consequently these logic channels were not completely tested monthly. This is a repetitive problem, in that, on June 23, 1988, a Notice of Violation was issued for written procedures not being adequately established to perform testing of the turbine redundant overspeed trip system as required by Technical Specification Table 4.1-1 item 28.

This is a Severity Level IV Violation (Supplement I).

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, Carolina Power and Light Company is hereby required to submit a written statement or explanation to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Document Control Desk, Washington, DC 20555, with a copy to the Regional Administrator, Region II, and a copy to the NRC Resident Inspector, within 30 days of the date of the letter transmitting this Notice. This reply should be clearly marked as a "Reply to a Notice of Violation" and should include for each violation: (1) admission or denial of the violation, (2) the reason for the violation if admitted, (3) the corrective steps which have been taken and the results achieved, (4) the corrective steps which will be taken to avoid further violations, and (5) the date when full compliance will be achieved. Where good cause is shown, consideration will be given to

extending the response time. If an adequate reply is not received within the time specified in this Notice, an order may be issued to show cause why the license should not be modified, suspended, or revoked or why such other action as may be proper should not be taken.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Luis A. Reyes, Director Division of Reactor Projects

Dated at Atlanta, Georgia this 772 day of June 1990