



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION
RELATED TO AMENDMENT NO. 92 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-23
CAROLINA POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY
H. B. ROBINSON STEAM ELECTRIC PLANT, UNIT NO. 2
DOCKET NO. 50-261

Introduction

In their letter dated December 10, 1984, Carolina Power and Light Company (the licensee) proposed changes to the Administrative Controls requirements, Section 6, of the Technical Specifications. The licensee's request for the proposed change was based on an organizational change that replaced the Manager of Operations and Maintenance (a dual position) and adding a manager to provide two singular positions; Manager Operations and Manager Maintenance. Figure 6.2-2 and Specification 6.4.2 and 6.5.1.6.2 have been revised to reflect the two new positions.

Discussion and Evaluation

In support of their requested change, the licensee states that by splitting the previous dual position of Manager-Operations and Maintenance into the two positions of Manager Operations and Manager Maintenance would allow more dedicated management over both the operation and maintenance functions.

The staff has reviewed the proposed change and finds that "unloading" multiple duties of a manager and dividing the work load between several managers can allow more dedicated management to each duty, therefore, the change could have a positive safety impact. Based on the above discussion the staff finds the proposed change acceptable.

Environmental Consideration

This amendment involves a change in the installation or use of a facility component located within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20. The staff has determined that the amendment involves no significant increase in the amounts, and no significant change in the types, of any effluents that may be released offsite, and that there is no significant increase in individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. The Commission has previously issued a proposed finding that this amendment involves no significant hazards consideration and there has been no public comment on such finding. Accordingly, this amendment meets the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR Sec 51.22(c)(9). Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b) no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the issuance of this amendment.

8506050405 850517
PDR ADOCK 05000261
P PDR

Conclusion

We have concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that: (1) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, and (2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations and the issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

Dated: May 17, 1985

Principal Contributor:

G. Requa