



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION  
RELATED TO AMENDMENT NO. 89 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-23

CAROLINA POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY

H. B. ROBINSON STEAM ELECTRIC PLANT, UNIT NO. 2

DOCKET NO. 50-261

Introduction

Generic Letter (GL) 83-43, dated December 19, 1983, informed utilities of changes to Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR) with respect to notification requirements for operating nuclear power reactors and requested utilities to revise Technical Specifications to incorporate these changes. These changes involved revision to Section 50.72 of 10 CFR for immediate notification requirements and addition of a new Section 50.73 of 10 CFR for a revised Licensee Event Report System. Both of these changes became effective January 1, 1984. GL 83-43 provided a model Technical Specification showing the revisions which should be made in the "Administrative Control" and "Definitions" sections of Technical Specifications to incorporate these regulation changes.

Carolina Power and Light Company, the licensee for H. B. Robinson Unit No. 2, provided a response to GL 83-43 and forwarded proposed Technical Specification changes in order to incorporate the provisions of GL 83-43 by letters dated March 21, 1984, and November 8, 1984. The proposed Technical Specification changes of the November 8, 1984 letter superseded the proposed changes of the March 21, 1984 letter.

The licensee response to GL 83-43 and the proposed Technical Specification changes have been reviewed.

Evaluations and Conclusions

The licensee's response to GL 83-43 and the proposed Technical Specification changes forwarded by the November 8, 1984 letter meet the intent of GL 83-43 and are acceptable. The proposed Technical Specification changes forwarded by letter dated November 8, 1984 are approved as proposed.

The licensee's second submittal dated November 8, 1984 was largely due to Amendments 83, 84, and 85 issued subsequent to their March 21, 1984 submittal. The subsequent amendments affected pages of the reporting requirements as described in the licensee's November 8, 1984 forwarding letter. Minor changes of a clarification nature were also made as a result of the NRC review process. Therefore, no substantive changes were made by the licensee's November 8, 1984 resubmittal.

### Environmental Consideration

This amendment involves a change in the installation or use of a facility component located within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20. The staff has determined that the amendment involves no significant increase in the amounts, and no significant change in the types, of any effluents that may be released offsite, and that there is no significant increase in individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. The Commission has previously issued a proposed finding that this amendment involves no significant hazards consideration and there has been no public comment on such finding. Accordingly, this amendment meets the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR Sec 51.22(c)(9). Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.22(b) no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the issuance of this amendment.

### Conclusion

We have concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that: (1) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, and (2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations and the issuance of this amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

Dated: March 15, 1985

### Principal Contributors:

F. R. McCoy, RII