JOHN L. NAPIER

Congress of the United States House of Representatives

Mashington, **D.C. 20515**January 5, 1982

RE: Mr. Clarence M. Johnson

TO: Mr. Carlton C. Kammerer, Director Office of Congressional Affairs U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Sir:

The attached is respectfully referred to you for such consideration as may be appropriate, and for a report thereon in duplicate, if possible.

Your assistance in enabling me to provide a prompt response to my constituent is greatly appreciated. PLEASE RETURN THIS CORRESPONDENCE WITH YOUR REPLY.

Yours very truly,

John L. Nanier

John L. Napier Member of Congress

Clarence M. Johnson Route 2 Box 275 Darlington, S.C. 29532

28 December 1981

The Honorable John L. Napier U.S. House Of Representatives Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Mr. Napier:

Thank you for your reply to my recent expression of interest in regards to gun control. Your stand against gun controls has been highly commendable and reveals your interest in constitutional government. Your honorable stand has recently been highlighted by the recent action that it was not funded and the firearms law enforcement responsibilities are being transferred to the Secret Service. Here, too, a very watchful eye is required so that constitutional government is maintained. It is now important to repeal the Gun Control Act of 1968.

The elimination of the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms is only the tip of the iceburg. A careful and continued study is paramount for the elimination of a host of other bureaus and and committees that are eating away the substance of the taxpayer. If they are eating away his substance they are eating away his life and his liberties. All three go hand in hand; when one is affected all are affected. To protect these three virtues is constitutional; to do otherwise is unconstitutional.

Therefore, I now come to the 'Tobacco Program' so recently saved from extinction by the vote of congress. I greatly question that this was a victory for the tobacco farmers as it leaves them in the same rut that they were placed in back inthe '30's' and continues to allow the large producer large benefits and the small producer little or no benefits. Also, the burden of taxation to support these farm subsidies falls far heavier on the small farmer who has to pay the same price for his machinery and materials as does the large farmer. Too, eliminating the farm subsidies and the related programs would help balance the budget and lower the interest rate. This would do more to help the farmer than the U.S.D.A. could ever think of. It is not the roll of the federal government to subsidize any thing or any one. It causes the government to be thief - to take from the taxpayers and give to a selected few.

I know full well that from a political point of view you have gained and so have the bureaucrats concerned. It seems you have fallen from your thrown of grace. I urge you to rethink your actions and work to secure self respect to your constituents.

An item of local concern that was introduced to the Darlington County Council by the county administrator is a multi-million dollar emergency operating center. The Administrator states that such a center is a requirement by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission(NCR). We already have a multi-million dollar court house, an outreach center in Hartsville, Lamar and one to built in Society Hill plue the Mozingo building in the city of Darlington. I am beginning to get the feeling that the Darlington County Council is in the construction business.

If the NCR is putting forth these requirements who is to benefit other than the produceres of the goods required and bureacrats concerned? I can see Civil Defense being improved so as to be capable of rendering adequate service in time of need, but the claimed requirements of the NCR seem to be getting out of hand. So I ask, is the Nuclear Facility at Lake Robinson manufacturing Atomic bombs that all this extra activity is necessary? A nuclear power plant is not and atom bomb.

Sincerely, Clarence M. Johnson

JOHN L. NAPIER

HOUSE COMMITTEE ON AGRICULTURE

SUBCOMMITTEES:
TOBACCO AND PEANUTS
COTTON, RICE AND SUGAR
CONSERVATION, CREDIT AND RURAL
DEVELOPMENT

ASSISTANT REGIONAL WHIP

Congress of the United States

House of Representatives

Washington, **B.C.** 20515

January 5, 1982

Room 1511
LONGWONTH HOUSE OFFICE BUT BING
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20515
202-225-5315
DISTRICT OFFICES:

201 WEST EVANS
P.O. BOX 1931
FLORENCE, SOUTH CAHOLINA 29503
803-667-9551

HORRY COUNTY COURTHOUSE
CONWAY, SOUTH CAROLINA 29521
803-248-5247

Mr. Clarence M. Johnson Route 2, Box 275 Darlington, S.C. 29532

Dear Mr. Johnson:

Thank you for your recent letter and your kind comments concerning my position on gun control.

In regard to your comments on the tobacco price support program, I am pleased that the Congress rejected an amendment that would have abolished this vital program. This program is operated as a non-recourse loan program, and only normal administrative expenses are borne by the taxpayer. There is no payment of taxpayer funds to the tobacco grower. The program gears tobacco production to annual demand, maintains an adequate pricing structure, and prevents flooding the market with underpriced tobacco. The program has been very beneficial to many small farmers, as well as retired farmers and the widows of farmers. They are able to rent their allotments and thereby increase their incomes. There may well be some adjustments in the way the tobacco program is operated in future years. You may be assured that I will work to continue this program and to work for improvements that benefit the people of the District.

I have asked the Nuclear Regulatory Commission to comment you your concerns regarding the Robinson Nuclear Power Plant. As soon as I receive a reply to my inquiry, I will be back in touch with you.

Please do not hesitate to contact me if I can be of assistance to you in any other manner during the interim.

With kind regards,

Yours very truly,

John L. Napier Member of Congress

JLN/bh