

NRR-PMDAPEm Resource

From: Finfera, Jennifer [jennifer_finfera@fws.gov]
Sent: Monday, June 16, 2014 9:53 AM
To: Grange, Briana
Subject: Re: Davis Besse operating license renewal

Briana,

Sorry I have not gotten anything to you yet. I have been discussing the project with one of our Biologists who specializes in birds and we are surprised by the information that increased lighting led to reduced bird collisions.

Could we get a copy of the mortality monitoring reports?

Also could you provide a determination for the Kirtland's warbler? If you can follow the vegetation removal dates that would avoid impacts to migratory habitat and then the only aspect to consider would be the potential impact from collisions with the tower. I believe that Kirtland's warbler populations have continued to increase so I don't think that continued operations will have a negative impact on this species.

Thank you,

Jenny

On Thu, Jun 12, 2014 at 1:54 PM, Grange, Briana <Briana.Grange@nrc.gov> wrote:

Hi Jenny,

Any further update on the timeframe for the evaluation of the red knot?

My understanding is that the FWS has to determine within 12 months of publishing a proposed rule whether it will issue a final rule. For the red knot, that would be a decision by May 14, 2015.

For your information, the NRC's review schedule for the Davis-Besse license renewal is on our website [here](#). The NRC doesn't have a clear date when it will make a decision on issuing the renewed license due to some complications with the ongoing safety review. However, we do plan to wrap up the NEPA process and issue the final EIS in September 2014. I don't think I included those dates in the letter we sent your office, so maybe these will be helpful in determining how to address the red knot in this consultation.

Do you need any other info from me or the applicant (FENOC, the owner and operator of Davis-Besse) for your review? Do you foresee the possibility of a conference opinion for the red knot?

Thanks,

Briana

Briana A. Grange

Biologist

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

NRR/DLR/RERB

(301) 415-1042

briana.grange@nrc.gov

From: Finfera, Jennifer [mailto:jennifer_finfera@fws.gov]

Sent: Tuesday, May 27, 2014 11:48 AM

To: Grange, Briana

Subject: Re: Davis Besse operating license renewal

Briana,

For the tower lights if it possible these should either be eliminated or set so that if they blink they are off more than on. The longest time off possible would be the most beneficial. For lights within the complex they should be down-shielded or set on motion detectors so they are not on continuously. I am going to check and see if there is any sort of timeframe for the evaluation of the red knot and that will help us determine how to proceed.

Jenny

On Tue, May 6, 2014 at 1:44 PM, Grange, Briana <Briana.Grange@nrc.gov> wrote:

Okay. Sounds good. Thanks for the update!

From: Finfera, Jennifer [mailto:jennifer_finfera@fws.gov]

Sent: Tuesday, May 06, 2014 1:43 PM

To: Grange, Briana

Subject: Re: Davis Besse operating license renewal

Briana,

I need to talk with one of our biologist who does most of the bird work. I know that having the lights on continuous instead of flashing does help reduce bird collisions and by the information you included these collisions have been reduced. After I find out if there are any other avoidance or minimization measures I will give you a call so we can discuss this further. It will probably be next week. As I will be in the field most of the rest of this week.

Jenny

Jenny

On Tue, May 6, 2014 at 11:37 AM, Grange, Briana <Briana.Grange@nrc.gov> wrote:

Hi Jenny,

Per your request, I have attached an assessment for the proposed long-eared bat. In the course of considering the bat, I also identified another proposed species—the red knot—that occurs in the action area. Both species are considered in the attached assessment.

As you will see, I concluded “not likely to adversely affect” for the long-eared bat, but “may affect” for the red knot due to the potential for collision with plant structures. Given the “may affect” conclusion and the fact that the red knot is a proposed species at this time, I am not sure what the appropriate path forward will be. Maybe we can talk after you read the assessment? Thanks,

Briana

Briana A. Grange

Biologist

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

NRR/DLR/RERB

(301) 415-1042

briana.grange@nrc.gov

--

Jenny Finfera

Wildlife Biologist

Ecological Services

4625 Morse Road, Suite 104

Columbus, Ohio 43230

Phone: 614-416-8993 ext.13

Fax: 614-416-8994

--

Jenny Finfera

Wildlife Biologist

Ecological Services

4625 Morse Road, Suite 104

Columbus, Ohio 43230

Phone: 614-416-8993 ext.13

Fax: 614-416-8994

--

Jenny Finfera

Wildlife Biologist

Ecological Services

4625 Morse Road, Suite 104

Columbus, Ohio 43230

Phone: 614-416-8993 ext.13

Fax: 614-416-8994

Hearing Identifier: NRR_PMDA
Email Number: 1384

Mail Envelope Properties (CAAtVZcM7gUPk19JD5BUy42r7uSZmHB9NBdsp_ipPVu2TeCjtJw)

Subject: Re: Davis Besse operating license renewal
Sent Date: 6/16/2014 9:53:02 AM
Received Date: 6/16/2014 9:53:19 AM
From: Finfera, Jennifer

Created By: jennifer_finfera@fws.gov

Recipients:
"Grange, Briana" <Briana.Grange@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None

Post Office: mail.gmail.com

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	5462	6/16/2014 9:53:19 AM

Options
Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received: