PMTurkeyCOLPEm Resource

From: Comar, Manny

Wednesday, May 21, 2014 11:18 AM Sent:

orthen, Richard; Raymond Burski; Steve Franzone; STEVEN.HAMRICK; TurkeyCOL To:

Resource; William Maher; Bavol, Bruce; Burkhart, Lawrence; Comar, Manny; Galletta,

Thomas; Gleaves, Bill; Habib, Donald; Hale, Jerry; Hughes, Brian; Segala, John

McCoppin, Michael: Tammara, Seshagiri

REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION LETTER NO.80 RELATED TO SRP Subject:

SECTION 02.01.03 POPULATION DISTRIBUTION FOR THE TURKEY POINT NUCLEAR

PLANT UNITS 6 AND 7 COL

Attachments: PTN-ltr-80-rai7467.pdf

All:

Cc:

Attached is the RAI letter No. 80 related to SRP Section: 02.01.03 – Population Distribution for the Turkey Point Units 6 and 7 Combined License Application.

The Accession number is ML14141A201.

If you have any further questions, please feel free to contact me. Thanks

Manny Comar Senior Project Manager NRO/DNRL/NWE1 **Nuclear Regulatory Commission** 301-415-3863

Hearing Identifier: TurkeyPoint_COL_Public

Email Number: 889

Mail Envelope Properties (377CB97DD54F0F4FAAC7E9FD88BCA6D001691750A450)

Subject: REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION LETTER NO.80 RELATED TO SRP SECTION 02.01.03 POPULATION DISTRIBUTION FOR THE TURKEY POINT NUCLEAR PLANT

UNITS 6 AND 7 COL

Sent Date: 5/21/2014 11:18:22 AM **Received Date:** 5/21/2014 11:18:24 AM

From: Comar, Manny

Created By: Manny.Comar@nrc.gov

Recipients:

"McCoppin, Michael" < Michael. McCoppin@nrc.gov>

Tracking Status: None

"Tammara, Seshagiri" < Seshagiri. Tammara@nrc.gov>

Tracking Status: None

"orthen, Richard" <richard.orthen@fpl.com>

Tracking Status: None

"Raymond Burski" <raymond.burski@fpl.com>

Tracking Status: None

"Steve Franzone" <steve.Franzone@fpl.com>

Tracking Status: None

"STEVEN.HAMRICK" <steven.hamrick@fpl.com>

Tracking Status: None

"TurkeyCOL Resource" < TurkeyCOL.Resource@nrc.gov>

Tracking Status: None

"William Maher" < William.maher@fpl.com>

Tracking Status: None

"Bavol, Bruce" < Bruce.Bavol@nrc.gov>

Tracking Status: None

"Burkhart, Lawrence" <Lawrence.Burkhart@nrc.gov>

Tracking Status: None

"Comar, Manny" < Manny.Comar@nrc.gov>

Tracking Status: None

"Galletta, Thomas" < Thomas. Galletta@nrc.gov>

Tracking Status: None

"Gleaves, Bill" <Bill.Gleaves@nrc.gov>

Tracking Status: None

"Habib, Donald" < Donald. Habib@nrc.gov>

Tracking Status: None

"Hale, Jerry" <Jerry.Hale@nrc.gov>

Tracking Status: None

"Hughes, Brian" < Brian. Hughes@nrc.gov>

Tracking Status: None

"Segala, John" < John.Segala@nrc.gov>

Tracking Status: None

Post Office: HQCLSTR01.nrc.gov

Files Size Date & Time

MESSAGE 482 5/21/2014 11:18:24 AM

PTN-ltr-80-rai7467.pdf 120633

Standard

Options
Priority:
Return Notification:
Reply Requested:
Sensitivity:
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received: No No Normal

TurkeyPointRAIsPEm Resource

From: Comar, Manny

Sent: Wednesday, May 21, 2014 9:59 AM
To: TurkeyPointRAIsPEm Resource

Subject: REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION LETTER NO.80 RELATED TO SRP

SECTION 02.01.03 POPULATION DISTRIBUTION FOR THE TURKEY POINT NUCLEAR

PLANT UNITS 6 AND 7 COL

Attachments: PTN-RAI-LTR-080.doc

Hearing Identifier: TurkeyPoint_COL_eRAIs

Email Number: 92

Mail Envelope Properties (377CB97DD54F0F4FAAC7E9FD88BCA6D001691750A38B)

Subject: REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION LETTER NO.80 RELATED TO SRP SECTION 02.01.03 POPULATION DISTRIBUTION FOR THE TURKEY POINT NUCLEAR PLANT

UNITS 6 AND 7 COL

Sent Date: 5/21/2014 9:59:01 AM **Received Date:** 5/21/2014 9:59:02 AM

From: Comar, Manny

Created By: Manny.Comar@nrc.gov

Recipients:

"TurkeyPointRAIsPEm Resource" < TurkeyPointRAIsPEm.Resource@nrc.gov>

Tracking Status: None

Post Office: HQCLSTR01.nrc.gov

Files Size Date & Time

MESSAGE 8 5/21/2014 9:59:02 AM

PTN-RAI-LTR-080.doc 63034

Options

Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal

Expiration Date: Recipients Received:

Mano K. Nazar Senior Vice President and Chief Nuclear Officer Florida Power & Light Company Mail Stop NNP/JB 700 Universe Blvd Juno Beach, FL 33408-0420

SUBJECT: REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION LETTER NO.080 RELATED TO SRP SECTION 02.01.03 POPULATION DISTRIBUTION FOR THE TURKEY POINT NUCLEAR PLANT UNITS 6 AND 7 COMBINED LICENSE APPLICATION

Dear Mr. Nazar:

By letter dated June 30, 2009, as supplemented by letters dated August 7, 2009, September 3, 2010, December 21, 2010, December 16, 2011, December 14, 2012 and December 16, 2013, Florida Power and Light submitted its application to the U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) for a combined license (COL) for two AP1000 advanced passive pressurized water reactors pursuant to 10 CFR Part 52. The NRC staff is performing a detailed review of this application to enable the staff to reach a conclusion on the safety of the proposed application.

The NRC staff has identified that additional information is needed to continue portions of the review. The staff's request for additional information (RAI) is contained in the enclosure to this letter.

To support the review schedule, you are requested to respond within 30 days of the date of this letter. If you are unable to provide a response within 30 days, please state when you will be able to provide the response. In the event the response submitted is incomplete, please indicate in the response when the complete response will be provided. If changes are needed to the final safety analysis report, the staff requests that the RAI response include the proposed wording changes. Your response should also indicate whether any of the information provided is to be withheld as exempt from public disclosure pursuant to 10 CFR 2.390.

If you have any questions or comments concerning this matter, you may contact me at 301-415-3863 or manny.comar@nrc.gov.

Sincerely,

/RA/

Manny Comar, Lead Project Manager AP1000 Licensing Branch 4 Division of New Reactor Licensing Office of New Reactors

Docket Nos. 52-040 52-041

Enclosure:

Request for Additional Information

CC: see next page

If you have any questions or comments concerning this matter, you may contact me at 301-415-3863 or manny.comar@nrc.gov.

Sincerely,

/RA/

Manny Comar, Lead Project Manager AP1000 Licensing Branch 4 Division of New Reactor Licensing Office of New Reactors

Docket Nos. 52-040

52-041

eRAI Tracking No. 7467

Enclosure:

Request for Additional Information

Distribution:

Public MComar BHughes RidsNroDnrlLB4 **TGalletta** LBurkhart RidsOgcMailCenter DMcGovern TSeshagiri RidsAcrsAcnwMailCenter **BGleaves** BBavol RidsRgn2MailCenter JHale **MMcCoppin** DJaffe DHabib JSegala

NRO-002

OFFICE	RPAC/BC	LB4/PM	LB4/L-PM
NAME	MMcCoppin*	MComar*	MComar*
DATE	3/24/14	3/26/14	5/20/14

^{*}Approval captured electronically in the electronic RAI system.

Request for Additional Information 080

Turkey Point Units 6 and 7
Operating Company: Florida Power and Light
Docket No. 52-040 and 52-041
Review Section: 02.01.03 - Population Distribution
Application Section: COL FSAR 2.1.3

QUESTIONS

02.01.03-3

In a letter #055, dated March 28, 2012, NRC Staff requested the applicant to provide additional information (RAI 6079), pertaining to SRP Section 02.01.03, Population Distribution, addressing population density. In a letter dated April 25, 2012, the applicant provided the response with a proposed revision to FSAR Section 2.1.3.6. The response stated that "A comparison of the environmental impacts from construction and operation for the proposed site and each of the top alternative sites indicated that environmental impacts would, in general, be higher than or similar to those at the Turkey Point Site. Therefore, based on these analyses, **FPL concluded that no alternative site is environmentally preferable to the proposed Turkey Point Site.** When identifying Turkey Point as the preferred alternative, criteria such as safety, environmental, and economic factors, including population density, were taken into account along with advantages the Turkey Point site has due to the existing nuclear units." However, the response did not provide the basis, rationale and justification that Turkey Point had clear advantages over the alternative sites. Because Turkey Point exceeds the Regulatory Guide 4.7 criterion of population density of 500 persons/ sq. mile within 20 miles of the site, more information is needed regarding the relative merits of the proposed site.

The staff requests the applicant to explain how the Turkey Point Site faired compared to the alternative sites to finally conclude that the Turkey Point Site is the most suitable site compared to the other four sites considered for alternative site analysis. Provide documentation in the FSAR of the basis that satisfies the requirement of (10 CFR 100.21(h)), "Reactor sites should be located away from very densely populated centers. Areas of low population density are, generally, preferred. However, in determining the acceptability of a particular site located away from a very densely populated center but not in an area of low density, consideration will be given to safety, environmental, economic, or other factors, which may result in the site being found acceptable."

"Examples of these factors include, but are not limited to, such factors as the higher population density site having superior seismic characteristics, better access to skilled labor for construction, better rail and highway access, shorter transmission line requirements, or less environmental impact on undeveloped areas, wetlands or endangered species, etc. Some of these factors are included in, or impact, the other criteria included in this section."

To the extent that the response to this request may contradict information that has been provided in the Environmental Report or other submittals associated with the environmental review, please provide an explanation of how the new information changes the information that has been previously submitted.