

EXAM ASSIGNMENT TICKLER

X0Z521

11-11
11-22
11-22
12-16
1-20
1-6
1-6
2-24

Chief: Larson		ANO2	Date of Written Exam: 2/7/2014	
Start of Op Test: 2/10/2014		End of Op Test: 2/15/2014		
Written Exam Developed By: NRC / Facility		Operating Test Developed By: NRC / Facility		
Due Date	Description	Date Complete	Initials	Notes
8/12/2013	Written Exam & Op Test Dates Confirmed	8.12.13	ARL	
10/7/2013	NRC Examiners & Facility Contact Assigned	8.22.13	ARL	
10/7/2013	Facility Contact Briefed on Security & Other Req's	8.22.13	ARL	
10/7/2013	Corporate Notification Letter sent	9.6.13	ARL	ES-201 Att-4 produced by CE
11/11/2013	Reference material due (if NRC authored)	N/A		ES-201 Att-3
11/25/2013	Integrated exam outlines due	11.11.13	ARL	
12/2/2013	Outlines reviewed by CE; feedback approved by BC	11.27.13	ARL	ES-201-2 signed by CE & BC
12/2/2013	Feedback on integrated outlines provided to facility	11.27.13	ARL	
12/23/2013	DRAFT exam / docs / support reference material due	12.23.13	ARL	Extension to due date approved by CE.
1/6/2014	Peer review of written exam complete	1.13.14	ARL	Document review on ES-401-9
1/6/2014	Preliminary license applications due	1.4.14	ARL	NRC Forms 398/396
1/13/2014	Preliminary license applications and waivers reviewed	1.13.14	ARL	
1/13/2014	DRAFT exam reviewed by CE; feedback approved by BC	1.20.14	ARL	
1/13/2014	Feedback on DRAFT exam provided to facility	1.20.14	ARL	
1/13/2014	On-site validation & 10% audit of license applications	1.13.14	ARL	
1/27/2014	Final applications due & List of Applicants prepared	1.27.14	ARL	ES-201-4 prepared by LA
2/3/2014	Final applications approved & waiver letters sent	2.3.14	ARL	
2/3/2014	Branch Chief approves FINAL exam (Written & Op Test)	Op Test 2.10.14 Written 2.26.14	ARL	LA produces / BC signs Exam Approval Letter (ES-201 Att-5)
2/3/2014	Proctoring/written exam admin guidelines reviewed w/ facility	2.25.14	ARL	
2/3/2014	Exam material to exam team	2.3.14	ARL	
2/10/2014	Administer Operating Test	2.10.14	ARL	
2/14/2014	Facility post-exam documentation due	3.4.14	ARL	Written exam - 2/27/14
2/14/2014	NRC written exam grading completed	3.14.14	ARL	ES-403-1 to BC
2/22/2014	Examiner's document op test results on ES 303's	3.14.14	ARL	
2/21/2014	Chief Examiner review of written exam & op test completed	3.14.14	ARL	Signed ES 303's to BC
2/28/2014	Branch Chief review of exam results completed	3.19.14	ARL	
3/7/2014	Waivers/deferrals reviewed for impact on licensing decision	3.20.14	ARL	
3/7/2014	License/Denial letters mailed; Facility notified of results	3.20.14	ARL	
3/7/2014	RPS/IP number of examinees updated	3.14.14	ARL	print Report-21
3/21/2014	Examination Report Issued	4.17.14	ARL	produced by CE
3/28/2014	SUNSI checklist complete and exam docs to ADAMS	6.4.14	ARL	SUNSI checklist to LA
4/4/2014	Ref Mat'l Returned after Final Resolution of Appeals	N/A		

Facility: Arkansas Nuclear One Unit 2		Date of Examination: 2/7/2014																	
Item	Task Description	Initials																	
		a	b*	c#															
1. W R I T T E N	a. Verify that the outline(s) fit(s) the appropriate model, in accordance with ES-401.	MR	MS	BR															
	b. Assess whether the outline was systematically and randomly prepared in accordance with Section D.1 of ES-401 and whether all K/A categories are appropriately sampled	MR	MS	BR															
	c. Assess whether the outline over-emphasizes any systems, evolutions, or generic topics.	MR	MS	BR															
	d. Assess whether the justifications for deselected or rejected K/A statements are appropriate.	MR	MS	BR															
2. S I M U L A T O R	a. Using Form ES-301-5, verify that the proposed scenario sets cover the required number of normal evolutions, instrument and component failures, technical specifications, and major transients.	MR	MS	BR															
	b. Assess whether there are enough scenario sets (and spares) to test the projected number and mix of applicants in accordance with the expected crew composition and rotation schedule without compromising exam integrity, and ensure that each applicant can be tested using at least one new or significantly modified scenario, that no scenarios are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s), and scenarios will not be repeated on subsequent days.	MR	MS	BR															
	c. To the extent possible, assess whether the outline(s) conform(s) with the qualitative and quantitative criteria specified on Form ES-301-4 and described in Appendix D.	MR	MS	BR															
3. W / T	a. Verify that the systems walk-through outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-2. (1) the outline(s) contain(s) the required number of control room and in-plant tasks distributed among the safety functions as specified on the form (2) task repetition from the last two NRC examinations is within the limits specified on the form (3) no tasks are duplicated from the applicants' audit test(s) (4) the number of new or modified tasks meets or exceeds the minimums specified on the form (5) the number of alternate path, low-power, emergency, and RCA tasks meet the criteria on the form	MR	MS	BR															
	b. Verify that the administrative outline meets the criteria specified on Form ES-301-1: (1) the tasks are distributed among the topics as specified on the form (2) at least one task is new or significantly modified (3) no more than one task is repeated from the last two NRC licensing examinations	MR	MS	BR															
	c. Determine if there are enough different outlines to test the projected number and mix of applicants and ensure that no items are duplicated on subsequent days	MR	MS	BR															
4. G E N E R A L	a. Assess whether plant-specific priorities (including PRA and IPE insights) are covered in the appropriate exam section.	MR	MS	BR															
	b. Assess whether the 10 CFR 55.41/43 and 55.45 sampling is appropriate.	MR	MS	BR															
	c. Ensure that K/A importance ratings (except for plant-specific priorities) are at least 2.5.	MR	MS	BR															
	d. Check for duplication and overlap among exam sections.	MR	MS	BR															
	e. Check the entire exam for balance of coverage.	MR	MS	BR															
	f. Assess whether the exam fits the appropriate job level (RO or SRO).	MR	MS	BR															
<table border="0"> <tr> <td></td> <td>Printed Name / Signature</td> <td>Date</td> </tr> <tr> <td>a. Author</td> <td>Michael W Foster / Zac Lacy <i>[Signature]</i></td> <td>11-7-13</td> </tr> <tr> <td>b. Facility Reviewer (*)</td> <td>Clay Simpson <i>[Signature]</i></td> <td>11-8-13</td> </tr> <tr> <td>c. NRC Chief Examiner (#)</td> <td>GRANT LARSON <i>[Signature]</i></td> <td>11-26-13</td> </tr> <tr> <td>d. NRC Supervisor</td> <td>VIRLENT <i>[Signature]</i></td> <td>11-27-13</td> </tr> </table>			Printed Name / Signature	Date	a. Author	Michael W Foster / Zac Lacy <i>[Signature]</i>	11-7-13	b. Facility Reviewer (*)	Clay Simpson <i>[Signature]</i>	11-8-13	c. NRC Chief Examiner (#)	GRANT LARSON <i>[Signature]</i>	11-26-13	d. NRC Supervisor	VIRLENT <i>[Signature]</i>	11-27-13			
	Printed Name / Signature	Date																	
a. Author	Michael W Foster / Zac Lacy <i>[Signature]</i>	11-7-13																	
b. Facility Reviewer (*)	Clay Simpson <i>[Signature]</i>	11-8-13																	
c. NRC Chief Examiner (#)	GRANT LARSON <i>[Signature]</i>	11-26-13																	
d. NRC Supervisor	VIRLENT <i>[Signature]</i>	11-27-13																	
NOTE: # Independent NRC Reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required. * Not applicable for NRC-prepared examination outlines.																			

Facility: Arkansas Nuclear One U2		Date of Exam: 2/21/2014		Exam Level: RO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SRO <input type="checkbox"/>		
Item Description	Initial					
	a	b*	c#			
1. Questions and answers are technically accurate and applicable to the facility.	NR	SW	AR			
2. a. NRC K/As are referenced for all questions. b. Facility learning objectives are referenced as available.	NR	SW	AR			
3. SRO questions are appropriate in accordance with Section D.2.d of ES-401	NR	SW	AR			
4. The sampling process was random and systematic (If more than 4 RO or 2 SRO questions were repeated from the last 2 NRC licensing exam, consult the NRR OL program office).	NR	SW	AR			
5. Question duplication from the license screening/audit exam was controlled as indicated below (check the item that applies) and appears appropriate: ___ the audit exam was systematically and randomly developed; or ___ the audit exam was completed before the license exam was started; or ___ the examinations were developed independently; or <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> the licensee certifies that there is no duplication; or ___ other (explain)	NR	SW	AR			
6. Bank use meets limits (no more than 75 percent from the bank, at least 10 percent new, and the rest new or modified); enter the actual RO / SRO-only question distribution(s) at right.	Bank	Modified	New	NR	SW	AR
	16/75	8/75	51/75			
7. Between 50 and 60 percent of the questions on the RO exam are written at the comprehension /analysis level; the SRO exam may exceed 60 percent if the randomly selected KAs support the higher cognitive levels; enter the actual RO / SRO question distribution(s) at right.	Memory	C/A		NR	SW	AR
	35 / 75	40 / 75				
8. References/handouts provided do not give away answers or aid in the elimination of distractors.	NR	SW	AR			
9. Question content conforms with specific K/A statements in the previously approved examination outline and is appropriate for the Tier to which they are assigned; deviations are justified	NR	SW	AR			
10. Question psychometric quality and format meet the guidelines in ES Appendix B.	NR	SW	AR			
11. The exam contains the required number of one-point, multiple choice items; the total is correct and agrees with value on cover sheet	NR	SW	AR			
		Printed Name / Signature		Date		
a. Author	Michael Foster <i>Michael Foster</i>			2/17/14		
b. Facility Reviewer (**)	Clay Simpson <i>Clay Simpson</i>			2-17-14		
c. NRC Chief Examiner (#)	BRIAN T. LARSON <i>Brian T. Larson</i>			2-26-14		
d. NRC Regional Supervisor	Kelly Clayton / <i>Kelly Clayton for Vince Gaddy</i>			2/26/14		
Note: * The facility reviewer's initials/signature are not applicable for NRC-developed examinations. # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required.						

① NRC REVIEWER PROVIDED ORIGINAL SAMPLE PAPER.

Rev 1

Facility: Arkansas Nuclear One U2 Date of Exam: 2/21/2014

Exam Level: RO SRO

Item Description	Initial		
	a	b*	c#
1. Questions and answers are technically accurate and applicable to the facility.	NR	Syl	SR
2. a. NRC K/As are referenced for all questions. b. Facility learning objectives are referenced as available.	NR	Syl	SR
3. SRO questions are appropriate in accordance with Section D.2.d of ES-401	NR	Syl	SR
4. The sampling process was random and systematic (if more than 4 RO or 2 SRO questions were repeated from the last 2 NRC licensing exam, consult the NRR OL program office).	NR	Syl ^①	SR
5. Question duplication from the license screening/audit exam was controlled as indicated below (check the item that applies) and appears appropriate: ___ the audit exam was systematically and randomly developed; or ___ the audit exam was completed before the license exam was started; or ___ the examinations were developed independently; or <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> the licensee certifies that there is no duplication; or ___ other (explain)	NR	Syl	SR
6. Bank use meets limits (no more than 75 percent from the bank, at least 10 percent new, and the rest new or modified); enter the actual RO / SRO-only question distribution(s) at right.	Bank	Modified	New
	4/25	1 -2/25 SR	20 -10/25 SR
7. Between 50 and 60 percent of the questions on the RO exam are written at the comprehension /analysis level; the SRO exam may exceed 60 percent if the randomly selected KAs support the higher cognitive levels; enter the actual RO / SRO question distribution(s) at right.	Memory	C/A	
	7 / 25	18 / 25	
8. References/handouts provided do not give away answers or aid in the elimination of distractors.	NR	Syl	SR
9. Question content conforms with specific K/A statements in the previously approved examination outline and is appropriate for the Tier to which they are assigned; deviations are justified	NR	Syl	SR
10. Question psychometric quality and format meet the guidelines in ES Appendix B.	NR	Syl	SR
11. The exam contains the required number of one-point, multiple choice items; the total is correct and agrees with value on cover sheet	NR	Syl	SR

	Printed Name / Signature	Date
a. Author	Michael Foster <i>Michael Foster</i>	2/17/14
b. Facility Reviewer (*)	Clay Simpson <i>Clay Simpson</i>	2-17-14
c. NRC Chief Examiner (#)	BRIAN T. LARSON <i>Brian Larson</i>	2/26/14
d. NRC Regional Supervisor	Kelly Clayton / Kelly Clayton for Vince Gaddy	2/26/14

Note: * The facility reviewer's initials/signature are not applicable for NRC-developed examinations.
 # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required.

① NRC EXAMINER PROVIDED ORIGINAL SAMPLE PLAN

Rev 1

Facility: Arkansas Nuclear One		Date of Examination: 2-10-14		Operating Test Number: 2014-1	
1. General Criteria		Initials			
		a	b*	c#	
a.	The operating test conforms with the previously approved outline; changes are consistent with sampling requirements (e.g., 10 CFR 55.45, operational importance, safety function distribution).	ze	BJ	AR	
b.	There is no day-to-day repetition between this and other operating tests to be administered during this examination.	ze	BJ	AR	
c.	The operating test shall not duplicate items from the applicants' audit test(s). (see Section D.1.a.)	ze	BJ	AR	
d.	Overlap with the written examination and between different parts of the operating test is within acceptable limits.	ze	BJ	AR	
e.	It appears that the operating test will differentiate between competent and less-than-competent applicants at the designated license level.	ze	BJ	AR	
2. Walk-Through Criteria		--	--	--	
a.	Each JPM includes the following, as applicable: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • initial conditions ✓✓ • initiating cues ✓✓ • references and tools, including associated procedures ✓✓ • reasonable and validated time limits (average time allowed for completion) and specific designation if deemed to be time-critical by the facility licensee ✓✓ • operationally important specific performance criteria that include: ✓✓ <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - detailed expected actions with exact criteria and nomenclature ✓✓ - system response and other examiner cues ✓✓ - statements describing important observations to be made by the applicant ✓✓ - criteria for successful completion of the task ✓✓ - identification of critical steps and their associated performance standards ✓✓ - restrictions on the sequence of steps, if applicable ✓✓ 	ze	BJ	AR	
b.	Ensure that any changes from the previously approved systems and administrative walk-through outlines (Forms ES-301-1 and 2) have not caused the test to deviate from any of the acceptance criteria (e.g., item distribution, bank use, repetition from the last 2 NRC examinations) specified on those forms and Form ES-201-2.	ze	BJ	AR	
3. Simulator Criteria		-	--	--	
The associated simulator operating tests (scenario sets) have been reviewed in accordance with Form ES-301-4 and a copy is attached.		ze	BJ	AR	
	Printed Name / Signature	Date			
a.	Author <u>Zac Lacy / Zac Jones</u>	1-31-14			
b.	Facility Reviewer(*) <u>CLAY SIMPSON / Brian Simpson</u>	1-31-14			
c.	NRC Chief Examiner (#) <u>BRIAN T. LARSON / Brian T. Larson</u>	2-6-14			
d.	NRC Supervisor <u>Vincent Gaddy / Vincent Gaddy</u>	2/6/14			
NOTE: * The facility signature is not applicable for NRC-developed tests. # Independent NRC reviewer initial items in Column "c"; chief examiner concurrence required.					

Rev. 1

Facility: Arkansas Nuclear One		Date of Exam: 02-10-14		Scenario Numbers: 1 / 2 / 3 / 4		Operating Test No.: 2014-1		
QUALITATIVE ATTRIBUTES						Initials		
						a	b*	c#
1.	The initial conditions are realistic, in that some equipment and/or instrumentation may be out of service, but it does not cue the operators into expected events.	ce	aj	AR				
2.	The scenarios consist mostly of related events.	ce	aj	AR				
3.	Each event description consists of <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - • the point in the scenario when it is to be initiated ✓ - • the malfunction(s) that are entered to initiate the event ✓ - • the symptoms/cues that will be visible to the crew ✓ - • the expected operator actions (by shift position) ✓ - • the event termination point (if applicable) ✓ 	ce	aj	AR				
4.	No more than one non-mechanistic failure (e.g., pipe break) is incorporated into the scenario without a credible preceding incident such as a seismic event. ✓	ce	aj	AR				
5.	The events are valid with regard to physics and thermodynamics. ✓	ce	aj	AR				
6.	Sequencing and timing of events is reasonable, and allows the examination team to obtain complete evaluation results commensurate with the scenario objectives. ✓	ce	aj	AR				
7.	If time compression techniques are used, the scenario summary clearly so indicates. Operators have sufficient time to carry out expected activities without undue time constraints. Cues are given. ✓	ce	aj	AR				
8.	The simulator modeling is not altered. ✓	ce	aj	AR				
9.	The scenarios have been validated. Pursuant to 10 CFR 55.46(d), any open simulator performance deficiencies or deviations from the referenced plant have been evaluated to ensure that functional fidelity is maintained while running the planned scenarios.	ce	aj	AR				
10.	Every operator will be evaluated using at least one new or significantly modified scenario. All other scenarios have been altered in accordance with Section D.5 of ES-301.	ce	aj	AR				
11.	All individual operator competencies can be evaluated, as verified using Form ES-301-6 (submit the form along with the simulator scenarios).	ce	aj	AR				
12.	Each applicant will be significantly involved in the minimum number of transients and events specified on Form ES-301-5 (submit the form with the simulator scenarios).	ce	aj	AR				
13.	The level of difficulty is appropriate to support licensing decisions for each crew position.	ce	aj	AR				
Target Quantitative Attributes (Per Scenario; See Section D.5.d)		Actual Attributes		-	-	-		
1.	Total malfunctions (5-8)	8 / 7 / 6 / 7		ce	aj	AR		
2.	Malfunctions after EOP entry (1-2)	2 / 2 / 2 / 2		ce	aj	AR		
3.	Abnormal events (2-4)	2 / 4 / 3 / 2		ce	aj	AR		
4.	Major transients (1-2)	2 / 1 / 1 / 1		ce	aj	AR		
5.	EOPs entered/requiring substantive actions (1-2)	1 / 1 / 1 / 1		ce	aj	AR		
6.	EOP contingencies requiring substantive actions (0-2)	1 / 0 / 0 / 0		ce	aj	AR		
7.	Critical tasks (2-3)	3 / 3 / 2 / 2		ce	aj	AR		

Facility: ANO Unit 2 _____		Date of Exam: 2/27/2014		Exam Level: RO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SRO <input type="checkbox"/>	
Item Description	Initials				
	a	b	c		
1. Clean answer sheets copied before grading	NR		BTZ		
2. Answer key changes and question deletions justified and documented	NR		BTZ		
3. Applicants' scores checked for addition errors (reviewers spot check >25% of examinations)	NR		BTZ		
4. Grading for all borderline cases (80% ± 2% overall and 70 or 80, as applicable, ± 4% on the SRO-only) reviewed in detail	NR		BTZ		
5. All other failing examinations checked to ensure that grades are justified	NR		BTZ		
6. Performance on missed questions checked for training deficiencies and wording problems; evaluate validity of questions missed by half or more of the applicants	NR		BTZ		
		Printed Name / Signature		Date	
a. Author	CLAY SIMPSON / <i>Clay Simpson</i> MICHAEL W FOSTER / <i>MW Foster</i>		3-5-14 2/27/14		
b. Facility Reviewer(*)	NR				
c. NRC Chief Examiner(*)	BRIAN T. LARSON / <i>Brian T. Larson</i>		3/15/14		
d. NRC Supervisor(*)	VINCENT GADDY / <i>Vincent Gaddy</i>		4/14/14		
(*) The facility reviewer's signature is not applicable for examinations graded by the NRC; two independent NRC reviews are required.					

Facility: ANO Unit 2 _____		Date of Exam: <u>2/27/2014</u>		Exam Level: RO <input type="checkbox"/> SRO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Item Description	Initials				
	a	b	c		
1. Clean answer sheets copied before grading	NR		BTZ		
2. Answer key changes and question deletions justified and documented	NR		BTZ		
3. Applicants' scores checked for addition errors (reviewers spot check >25% of examinations)	Comp NR		BTZ		
4. Grading for all borderline cases (80% ± 2% overall and 70 or 80, as applicable, ± 4% on the SRO-only) reviewed in detail	Comp NR		BTZ		
5. All other failing examinations checked to ensure that grades are justified	Comp NR		BTZ		
6. Performance on missed questions checked for training deficiencies and wording problems; evaluate validity of questions missed by half or more of the applicants	Comp NR		BTZ		
		Printed Name / Signature	Date		
a. Author	Cathy Simpson / Cathy Simpson MICHAEL W FOSTER / Michael Foster		3-5-14 2/27/14		
b. Facility Reviewer(*)	N/A				
c. NRC Chief Examiner(*)	BRIAN T. LARSON / Brian T. Larson		3/15/14		
d. NRC Supervisor(*)	Vincent Gaddy / Vincent Gaddy		4/14/14		
(*) The facility reviewer's signature is not applicable for examinations graded by the NRC; two independent NRC reviews are required.					

Operator Licensing Exam Schedule

From 01/01/2014 To 03/30/2014

Region: 4 Phase Code: Operating Reactors (5)

Exam Week	Site/Docket No./Insp Rpt #	# Candidates	Type	Exam Author	Chief Examiner	Examiners Assigned
08/01/2013	Arkansas Nuclear One / 05000313 / TAC #: X02531		Prep	NFF	GARCHOW, STEPHEN M.	GARCHOW, STEPHEN M. HEDGER, SEAN D. STEELE, CHRISTOPHER D.
08/01/2013	Arkansas Nuclear One / 05000368 / 2014301 TAC #: X02521		Prep	NFF	LARSON, BRIAN T.	APGER, GABRIEL W. FARINA, THOMAS J. LARSON, BRIAN T.
01/13/2014	Arkansas Nuclear One / 05000368 / 2014301 TAC #: X02521		Prep	NFF	LARSON, BRIAN T.	STRICKLAND, DUANE G. APGER, GABRIEL W. FARINA, THOMAS J.
02/01/2014	Arkansas Nuclear One / 05000368 / TAC #: X02545		Prep	NFF	LARSON, BRIAN T.	LARSON, BRIAN T. STRICKLAND, DUANE G. CLAYTON, KELLY D. GARCHOW, STEPHEN M.
02/10/2014	Arkansas Nuclear One / 05000368 / 2014301 TAC #: X02521	RO - 8 SROU - 3	Admin	NFF	LARSON, BRIAN T.	HAYES, MAHDI O. KENNARD, MICHAEL N. LARSON, BRIAN T. APGER, GABRIEL W. FARINA, THOMAS J.
02/17/2014	Arkansas Nuclear One / 05000368 / 2014301 TAC #: X02521		Doc	NFF	LARSON, BRIAN T.	GARCHOW, STEPHEN M. LARSON, BRIAN T. STRICKLAND, DUANE G. APGER, GABRIEL W. FARINA, THOMAS J. GARCHOW, STEPHEN M. LARSON, BRIAN T. STRICKLAND, DUANE G.

Page 2 of 4
 03/14/2014 17:28:43
 Report 21

Operator Licensing Exam Schedule

From 01/01/2014 To 03/30/2014

Region: 4 Phase Code: Operating Reactors (5)

Summary By Date

01/2014	ANO - Arkansas Nuclear One	RO - 0	SROI - 0	SROU - 0	LSRO - 0	Total for Arkansas Nuclear One: 0
01/2014		RO - 0	SROI - 0	SROU - 0	LSRO - 0	Total for 01/2014: 0
02/2014	ANO - Arkansas Nuclear One	RO - 8	SROI - 3	SROU - 3	LSRO - 0	Total for Arkansas Nuclear One: 14
02/2014		RO - 8	SROI - 3	SROU - 3	LSRO - 0	Total for 02/2014: 14
08/2013	ANO - Arkansas Nuclear One	RO - 0	SROI - 0	SROU - 0	LSRO - 0	Total for Arkansas Nuclear One: 0

Operator Licensing Exam Schedule

From 01/01/2014 To 03/30/2014

Region: 4 Phase Code: Operating Reactors (5)

Summary By Site

ANO - Arkansas Nuclear One	SROI - 3	SROU - 3	LSRO - 0	Total for Arkansas Nuclear One: 14
RO - 8				

Operator Licensing Exam Schedule
From 01/01/2014 To 03/30/2014

Page 4 of 4
03/14/2014 17:28:43
Report 21

Region: 4 Phase Code: Operating Reactors (5)

Summary By Region

Region 4	SROI - 3	SROU - 3	LSRO - 0	Total for Region 4: 14
RO - 8				

X

2/17/2014 and *

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 10/15/2014 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 2-10/27-14. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

Table with columns: PRINTED NAME, JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY, SIGNATURE (1), DATE, SIGNATURE (2), DATE NOTE. Rows include: 1. Zac Leep, Exam Developer; 2. MICHAEL FOSTER, Exam Developer / LEPD; 3. Denver K Walker Jr, Simulator Support; 4. JEFFERY D HUNTER, CRS CREW A; 5. Jose R. Bustos, Control Room Operator; 6. Jonathan Cheek, Control Room Operator; 7. CLAY SIMPSON, Beam Operator; 8. TIGER BAKER, Control Room Supervisor; 9. BLOYD CAWLEY, OPS REP; 10. JEFF SKINE, REACTOR ENGS.; 11. Randal Martin, Trng Supt; 12. Nick Lalbetter, Reactor Operator / Shift Tech Advisor; 13. Chris Miller, Instructor; 14. David Davis, Reactor Operator; 15. Wes McGill, Reactor Operator.

- * WALKER EXAM 2-21-14
1. Per Telecon
2. NO longer employed, Left the AKAR

1. Pre-Examination

2-7-2014 + *

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 2-10-15/2014 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 2-10-14. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE NOTE
Darrell Don Thompson	CRS		1/3/14		3/3/14
Albert G Martin III	STA/CAS		1-13-14	MARTIN, A. MARTIN	3/3/14
VAND P. OERTLING	SM		1-21-14		2-27-14
Telma W. Cahn	Instr		1-27-14		2-27-14
Thomas L. Malise	CRS/Instr. Nuclear Ops (N)		2-1-14		2-27-14
FRANCIS X. MCGEE	Instr		2-6-14		2-27-14
Daniel E. Walls	SM		2-6-14		2-27-14
Daniel Schambroek	Instr.		2-6-14		3/5/14
STEVEN J. SYDER	NLO		2-6-14		3-3-2014
ROD JONSSON	NLO		2-6-14		2-27-14
CIF McNeely	Instr		2-6-14		2-27-14
NLM GRIFFIT	Instr		2-6-14		3/3/14
Brian Hurley	Instructor / Booth OPS		2/6/14		3/3/14
Vody Seif	Instr / SCRAMMER		2/7/14		3/3/14

NOTES:

1. Ref: Tele.com

1. Pre-Examination

I acknowledge that I have acquired specialized knowledge about the NRC licensing examinations scheduled for the week(s) of 2-10-14 - 2-14-14 as of the date of my signature. I agree that I will not knowingly divulge any information about these examinations to any persons who have not been authorized by the NRC chief examiner. I understand that I am not to instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants scheduled to be administered these licensing examinations from this date until completion of examination administration, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC (e.g., acting as a simulator booth operator or communicator is acceptable if the individual does not select the training content or provide direct or indirect feedback). Furthermore, I am aware of the physical security measures and requirements (as documented in the facility licensee's procedures) and understand that violation of the conditions of this agreement may result in cancellation of the examinations and/or an enforcement action against me or the facility licensee. I will immediately report to facility management or the NRC chief examiner any indications or suggestions that examination security may have been compromised.

2. Post-Examination

To the best of my knowledge, I did not divulge to any unauthorized persons any information concerning the NRC licensing examinations administered during the week(s) of 2-10-21-14. From the date that I entered into this security agreement until the completion of examination administration, I did not instruct, evaluate, or provide performance feedback to those applicants who were administered these licensing examinations, except as specifically noted below and authorized by the NRC.

PRINTED NAME	JOB TITLE / RESPONSIBILITY	SIGNATURE (1)	DATE	SIGNATURE (2)	DATE NOTE
1. Rich Cusilly	Sequester	<i>Rich Cusilly</i>	2-10-14	<i>Rich Cusilly</i>	2-27-14
2. Jim Brandon	SEQUESTER	<i>Jim Brandon</i>	2-10-14	<i>Jim Brandon</i>	2-27-14
3. John Brown	Sim MNTC	<i>John Brown</i>	2-10-14	<i>John Brown</i>	3-3-14
4.					
5.					
6.					
7.					
8.					
9.					
10.					
11.					
12.					
13.					
14.					
15.					

NOTES: