10/23/2013 TRP #: USQ-FTF-2013-00320 Rev: 0 Date

Technical Review Package Content Sheet

TRP #: USQ-FTF-2013-00320 Rev: 0

Technical Review Package Title

Use-As-Is Disposition of the Non-Conformance Report (NCR) 2013-NCR-15-WFC-0007 "F Tank Farm Grout - Tank 5 and 6". Non-Conformance Tanks 5 and 6 Grout Test Cylinders Deviation from Requirements of C-SPP-F-00055, Rev. 4 "Furnishing and Delivery of Tank Closure Grout"

> **Functional Classification:** GS Documents included in package **X** DATR ☐ DATR Summary X USQS ☐ USQE X CHAPS ☐ TSQS ☐ TSQE ■ MSBS ☐ MSBE

USQ-FTF-2013-00320 "Use-As-Is Disposition of Non-Conformance Report (NCR) 2013-NCR-15-WFC-0007" FTF Applicability Determination for Unreviewed Waste Management Question

Other Documents Included (List)

CLASSIFICATION REVIEW

UNCLASSIFIED - Does Not Contain Unclassified Controlled **Nuclear Information**

DC/RO: N/A

Date: 11/12/2013

Guidance / Exemption:

Design Authority Technical Review Report

Design Authority Technical Review Report No. JSQ-FTF-2013-00320		Rev	Date	
		0	10/23/2013	
			Section 1.0 - Scope	of Review
Building 241906	System			Functional Classification GS
-itle				
Jse-As-Is Disposition of the N Cylinders Deviation from Require		•		Farm Grout – Tank 5 and 6". Non-Conformance Tanks 5 and 6 Grout Tes losure Grout"

Modification Number

2013-NCR-15-WFC-0007

Brief Description of the Modification Being Reviewed

See Attachment

NCR USE AS IS

Type of Modification

Listing of Documents Reviewed

2013-NCR-15-WFC-0007 "F-Tank Farm Grout - Tank 5 and 6"

C-SPP-F-00055, Revision 4, "Furnishing and Delivery of Tank Closure Grout"

ASTM C31/C31M, Revision 12 "Standard Practice for Making and Curing Concrete Test Specimens in the Field"

ASTM C511, Revision 9 "Standard Specification for Mixing Rooms, Moist Cabinets, Moist Rooms, and Water Storage Tanks Used in the Testing of Hydraulic Cements and Concretes"

Section 2.0 - Review Categories

ASTM C39/C39M, Revision 12 "Standard Test Method for Compressive Strength of Cylindrical Concrete Specimens"

Design Authority Technical Review Report (Continued)

Design Authority Technical Review Report No.	Rev	Date			
JSQ-FTF-2013-00320	0	10/23/2013			
	Se	ection 2.0 - Review Categ	ories (Continued)		
		Section 2.2 - Technical A	gency Reviews		
Are all Technical Agency Reviews identified and complete (i.e. Fire Protection, Safeguards and Security, HPT, Pressure Protection, etc.)?					☐ No
If any of the questions below are answered "YES," an Environm proposed activity:	nental Evaluat	ion Checklist is required.	Will the		
result in a change in emissions, generation rates, or new dis sanitary/industrial solid or liquid wste, petroleum substance,	-				
				Yes	X No
be located outside of a previously developed area?				☐ Yes	X No
involve siting, construction, modification, renovation, closure	e or D&D of fa	cilities or processes?		☐ Yes	X No
potentially affect environmentally sensitive areas/resources					
significant areas, threatened or endangered species and/or	their habitat, s	special sources of water (e.g. aquiter)?	☐ Yes	X No
involve site characterization, environmental monitoring, or R	&D program?			☐ Yes	X No
involve any type of land disturbance, Underground Storage Tank (UST), or subsurface injection/extraction?				☐ Yes	X No
involve a Site Evaluation (SE) area, RCRA/CERCLA area/fa	acility, or asso	ciated 200 foot Buffer Zo	ne?	☐ Yes	X No
		Section 2.3 - Safety B	asis Review		
s the Modification to a Nuclear Facility or will the Modification impact a Nuclear Facility?	X Yes	☐ No	USQS No.	USQ-FTF-20°	13-00320
	;	Section 2.4 - System Acc	eptablity Review		
The PA (Use-As-Is disposition of the Non-Conformance R to be acceptable. The PA does not impact grout formulating results exceeded the minimum requirement of 2000 psi a Grout". The PA had no adverse impact to grout strength, the Facility. A USQS has been performed and found the Performance Assessment, Section 3116 Waste Determination and the performance Assessment (Section 3116 Waste Determination and Section 3116 Waste Determination 3116 Waste	on, flammabi as required l Therefore it PA to be ac	lity or grout strength. by procurement specific was deemed acceptable. A UWMQE	For all cylinders in question, the ation C-SPP-F-00055, Revision e. There was no adverse influence.	e compressive strength tests n 4, "Furnishing and Deliver ences identified and therefore	concluded that the y of Tank Closure has not impact on

TRP #: USQ-FTF-2013-0032	20 Rev : 0		Date	10/23/2013
	Section 2.5 - System Ir	terface Reviews		
Are all other impacted Design Authori Compressed Air, Domestic Water, etc	ty reviews identified and complete (i.e. Electrical, c.)?	X Yes	☐ No	
Is FOSC review required?		Yes	X No	
	Section 3.0 - A	Approval		
Preparer	VOEGTLEN, ROBERT O		11/11/2013	
	(PRINT NAME)		(Date)	
Contributing Reviewers	N/A		11/11/2013	
	N/A	_	11/11/2013	
	N/A		11/11/2013	
	N/A		11/11/2013	
	(PRINT NAME)		(Date)	
Approver (Design Authority)	VOEGTLEN, ROBERT O		11/11/2013	
	(PRINT NAME)		(Date)	

UNREVIEWED SAFETY QUESTION REVIEW (USQS)					
USQ No. USQ-FTF-2013-00320	Functional Classification	GS			
Title: Use-As-Is Disposition of the Non-Conformance Report (NCR) 2013-NCR-15-WFC-0007 "F Tank Farm Grout – Tank 5 and 6". Non-Conformance Tanks 5 and 6 Grout Test Cylinders Deviation from Requirements of C-SPP-F-00055, Rev. 4 "Furnishing and Delivery of Tank Closure Grout"					
Description of Proposed Activity:					
See Attachment					
Is the Proposed Activity a change to TSRs or JCO controls?		Yes x No			
Justification:					
See Attachment If "Yes", prior DOE approval through the TSR change process is req "No", continue with Screening.	uired (see 11Q, 1.01), no further USQ screening or USQ Eva	aluation is required. If			
Does the Proposed Activity permanently eliminate a DID/ITS or Degi in the Safety Basis?	rade its safety Function as explicitly described	Yes x No			
Justification:					
See Attachment					
If "Yes", prior DOE approval is required, no further USQ screening o	or evaluation is required. If "No", continue with Screening.				
References: See Attachment					
	Screening				
Does the Proposed Activity involve a: a. Change to the facility as described in the Safety Basis?		Yes x No			
b. Change to the procedures as described in the Safety Basis?		Yes x No			
c. Test or experiment not described in the Safety Basis?		Yes X No			
Justification:					
See Attachment					
Screening Conclusion					
🗶 All answers above are 'No' and a USQ Evaluation is not required.					
Screening not performed or any answer above is 'Yes' and a USQ I	Evaluation is required.				
Screen Originator:		Date:			
VOEGTLEN, ROBERT O		11/11/2013			
Screen Reviewer:		Date:			
CARROLL, PAUL EUGENE		11/11/2013			

Consolidated Hazard Analysis Process (CHAP) Screening

CHAP Screening No.	Rev No.	Functional Classification:	Building/Location		System	
USQ-FTF-2013-00320	0	GS	241906			
Title						
Use-As-Is Disposition of the Non-Conformance Report (NCR) 2013-NCR-15-WFC-0007 "F Tank Farm Grout – Tank 5 and 6". Non-Conformance Tanks 5 and 6 Grout Test Cylinders Deviation from Requirements of C-SPP-F-00055, Rev. 4 "Furnishing and Delivery of Tank Closure Grout"						
Brief Description of the Proposed Activity	y (include refere	nce to Modification Traveler number or	other Engineering change of	document	as applicable)	
See Attachment						
Part A CHAP Determination			+			
1 le this a now facility, now process n	rocoss chango	or physical modification to an existing	facility that	□ v	If Yes, the CHA process is required.	
		the consequence or frequency of a cur	ront	∐ Yes	If NO, CHA is not required.	
hazard, thereby impacting safety ba	sis controls?			X No	ii NO, CHA is not required.	
					ovide justification for	
				CO	nclusion (required).	
Justification (consult with Nuclear Safety	as needed to just	ify conclusion):				
See Attachment	ao 1100aoa to jao	,				
Part B DHAP Determination						
2. Is this a new facility, new process, process change, or physical modification to an existing facility that could potentially introduce new hazards, increase the consequence or frequency of a current hazard, or result in impacting the controls associated with a current hazard that may cause a worker fatality or serious injury, CW or FW Radiation exposure > 5 rem, CW or FW Toxic Material Exposure > PAC-2, loss of equipment			or result in rious injury,	☐ Yes ✗ No	If Yes, the DHA process is required. If No, DHA is NOT required	
or facilities > \$2,000,000, or loss of	production > 0 III	onthis:		Pro	ovide justification for	
				CO	nclusion (required).	
Justification (consult with Nuclear Safety	or Design Engine	ering as needed to justify conclusion).				
See Attachment	or Booigir Engine	orning as needed to justify sometasion,				
See Attacriment						

Review & Approval signatures:

- Preparer and Design Authority Engineer can be the same.
- Safety Basis Regulatory Authority. If the Part A screening is positive, obtain Safety Basis Regulatory Authority approval.
- If the Part A screening is negative, Design Authority Manager may substitute for Safety Basis Regulatory Authority.

*Number per Smartplant Foundation (SPF). If SPF not used, Numbers should be of the form: X-CHA-Y-seq # where X is the discipline code and Y is the facility designator (e.g., S-CHA-H-0001).

**This form is intended to address unmitigated process hazards for any system/unit operation, regardless of functional classification.

Reviewer Preparer VOEGTLEN, ROBERT O	Date 11/11/2013
Design Authority Engineer VOEGTLEN, ROBERT O	Date 11/11/2013
Safety Basis Regulatory Authority or Designee ARTHUR, GREGORY CLARK	Date 11/12/2013

Title:

Use-As-Is Disposition of the Non-Conformance Report (NCR) 2013-NCR-15-WFC-0007 "F Tank Farm Grout – Tank 5 and 6". Non-Conformance Tanks 5 and 6 Grout Test Cylinders Deviation from Requirements of C-SPP-F-00055, Rev. 4 "Furnishing and Delivery of Tank Closure Grout"

Proposed Activity:

The Proposed Activity (PA) is the Use-As-Is disposition of the Non-Conformance Report (NCR) 2013-NCR-15-WFC-0007 "F Tank Farm Grout – Tanks 5 and 6".

Background:

There were two deviations from the requirements of the procurement specification C-SPP-F-00055, Revision 4, "Furnishing and Delivery of Tank Closure Grout".

First, the C-SPP-F-00055, Revision 4, Attachment 5.3 invokes the test method evaluation specimen curing per ASTM C31/C31M, Revision 12 "Standard Practice for Making and Curing Concrete Test Specimens in the Field". Per paragraph 10.1.3.1 of ASTM C31/C31M, cure specimens to be stored in a temperature range of 73.5 +/- 3.5 °F. Furthermore this standard invokes ASTM Standard C511, Revision 9 "Standard Specification for Mixing Rooms, Moist Cabinets, Moist Rooms, and Water Storage Tanks Used in the Testing of Hydraulic Cements and Concretes". Per C511 paragraph 6.1 the storage of the cure specimens shall be maintained in an atmosphere of 95% or greater relative humidity. It must be demonstrated that the required temperatures and humidity are within the required tolerances. Due to equipment failure, the temperature and humidity were not recorded from 9/17/2013 to 10/18/2013 and therefore it could not be demonstrated that the temperatures and humidity are within the required tolerances. There were twenty-six (26) cylinder specimens which did not comply with this requirement.

Second, the C-SPP-F-00055, Revision 4, Attachment 5.3 invokes the test method evaluation for compressive strength of ASTM C39/C39M, Revision 12 "Standard Test Method for Compressive Strength of Cylindrical Concrete Specimens". Paragraph 7.3 of ASTM C39/C39M invokes a test time tolerance for the 7-day and 28-day test cylinders. There were seventeen (17) cylinder specimens which did not comply with the required test frequency and tolerance.

A Non-Conformance Report (NCR number 2013-NCR-15-WFC-0007) was issued to document the non-compliance. The NCR was dispositioned for Use-As-Is.

The basis for the Use-As-Is disposition is as follows:

a. ASTM C31/C31M was developed to ensure that the test specimens are handled in such a way that accurate strength data can be obtained when tested. While the temperature and humidity conditions in the room could not be verified for these specimens, the cylinder break test results verified the compressive strengths exceeded the minimum requirement of 2000 psi as required

by procurement specification C-SPP-F-00055, Revision 4, "Furnishing and Delivery of Tank Closure Grout". Therefore it was deemed acceptable.

b. ASTM C39/C39Mrequires the 7 day and 28 day cylinder test breaks to ensure timely required concrete (grout) strength attainment. While the lab was unable to perform these within the permissible tolerances, the compressive strength test results showed the results exceeded the minimum requirement of 2000 psi as required by procurement specification C-SPP-F-00055, Revision 4, "Furnishing and Delivery of Tank Closure Grout". Therefore it was deemed acceptable.

Compliance with the procurement specification C-SPP-F-00055, Revision 4 inherently bounds the inputs, assumptions, results, and conclusions of the DOE approved Performance Assessment, Section 3116 Waste Determination (WD) as well as any Special Analyses (SA). Therefore, non-compliance with the procurement specification C-SPP-F-00055, Revision 4 will require evaluation. An FTF Applicability Determination for Unreviewed Waste Management Question (UWMQ) was performed and forwarded to Closure & Waste Disposal Authority (C&WDA) for disposition (a copy is included in this TRP).

There are no interim configuration issues associated with the PA. The Functional Classification of the PA is GS.

References (USQ):

WSRC-IM-94-10 Section: 3.0, Rev. 287

Date: 9/26/13

LWD/WS PROJECTS SAFETY BASIS MANUAL Concentration, Storage, and Transfer Facilities (CSTF)

1.0 SAFETY BASIS DOCUMENTS:

WSRC-SA-2002-00007, Rev. 14, July 2012, "Concentration, Storage, and Transfer Facilities Documented Safety Analysis."

S-TSR-G-00001, Rev. 40, April 2013, "Concentration, Storage, and Transfer Facilities Technical Safety Requirements."

Evaluation of the Safety of the Situation (ESS): Management of Mercury Hazards in Tank Farm Evaporators and Satellite Accumulation Areas (SAA) (PISA PI-2013-0002), March 27, 2013 (copy contained in WSRC-IM-99-00009)

U-ESR-F-00055, Rev. 0, April 2013, "Repair Plan for F-Area Pump Tank 1 / F-Area Pump Pit 1" (copy contained in WSRC-IM-99-00009)

SB Document Change Request Packages:

Note: These are SB Document Change Request Packages that have been approved by the FOSC, implemented, but have not been incorporated into the affected document.

Copies of the CRFs are contained in WSRC-IM-99-00009

HLW-CRF-12008, Rev. 2 (8/20/13) "Revised Nuclear Criticality Barriers for ARP/MCU per NCSASR, Rev. 7" (Change to DSA, Chapter 6)

HLW-CRF-12009, Rev. 0 (9/26/12) "RKI Eagle 2 Portable LFL Monitor Reference Update" (Change to DSA, Chapters 3 and 5)

HLW-CRF-12013, Rev. 0 (12/18/12) "F Tank Farm Control Room Consolidation" (Change to DSA, Chapters 2, 3, 4, 5, 11, 13, and 18)

HLW-CRF-12011, Rev. 0 (10/15/12) "HPT-3/4 Recirculation Jet and Tank 42 Steam Sparger PISA Resolution" (Change to DSA, Chapters 2 and 3)

HLW-CRF-12012, Rev. 0 (12/03/12) "Type I/II/IV Waste Tank Grouting" (Change to DSA, Chapters ES, 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5)

HLW-CRF-13002, Rev. 1 (8/22/13) "Next Generation Solvent Deployment at MCU" (Change to DSA, Chapters ES, 2, 3, 5, and 6)

HLW-CRF-13004, Rev. 0 (5/1/13) "HDB-2 Inlet HEPA" (Change to DSA, Chapters 2 and 4)

2.0 CONFIGURATION MANAGED DOCUMENTS:

Copies of the Configuration Managed Documents are contained in WSRC-IM-99-00009

WSRC-SA-2002-00007, Rev. 15, June 2013, "Concentration, Storage, and Transfer Facilities Documented Safety Analysis."

S-TSR-G-00001, Rev. 2013-A, TBD, "Concentration, Storage, and Transfer Facilities Technical Safety Requirements."

S-TSR-G-00001, Rev. 2013-B, TBD, "Concentration, Storage, and Transfer Facilities Technical Safety Requirements."

SB Document Change Request Packages:	

Note: These are SB Document Change Request Packages that have been approved by the FOSC but have not been implemented or incorporated into the affected document(s).

HLW-CRF-13005, Rev. 2 (9/19/13) "Revised Transfer Line Volume for SEHT transfers to DWPF and ppm to mg/L for Isopar L carryover" (Change to DSA, Chapter 5)

HLW-CRF-13007, Rev. 0 (9/25/13) "MCU Solvent Hold Tank Sampler Installation" (Change to DSA, Chapters 3 and 5)

3.0 CATEGORICAL EXCLUSIONS:

None

4.0 TSR INTERPRETATIONS:

None

DATR List of Documents Reviewed:

2013-NCR-15-WFC-0007 "F-Tank Farm Grout - Tank 5 and 6"

C-SPP-F-00055, Revision 4, "Furnishing and Delivery of Tank Closure Grout"

ASTM C31/C31M, Revision 12 "Standard Practice for Making and Curing Concrete Test Specimens in the Field"

ASTM C511, Revision 9 "Standard Specification for Mixing Rooms, Moist Cabinets, Moist Rooms, and Water Storage Tanks Used in the Testing of Hydraulic Cements and Concretes"

ASTM C39/C39M, Revision 12 "Standard Test Method for Compressive Strength of Cylindrical Concrete Specimens"

DATR – System Acceptability Review

The PA (Use-As-Is disposition of the Non-Conformance Report (NCR) 2013-NCR-15-WFC-0007 "F Tank Farm Grout - Tank 5 and 6") has found the non-conforming items to be acceptable. The PA does not impact grout formulation, flammability or grout strength. For all cylinders in question, the compressive strength tests concluded that the results exceeded the minimum requirement of 2000 psi as required by procurement specification C-SPP-F-00055, Revision 4, "Furnishing and Delivery of Tank Closure Grout". The PA had no adverse impact to grout strength. Therefore it was deemed acceptable. There was no adverse influences identified and therefore has not impact on the Facility. A USQS has been performed and found the PA to be acceptable. A UWMQE will be performed to ensure there is no negative impact to the DOE approved Performance Assessment, Section 3116 Waste Determination and SRS Composite Analysis.

Screening Questions:

Is the Proposed Activity a change to TSRs or JCO controls?

Justification:

Reviewed S-TSR-G-00001 (Rev. 40 and pending 2013-A and B). Specifically, Sections 1.6 "Modes", 5.8.2.27 "Flammability Control Program" and associated bases applied to the PA. The TSR interacts with waste tank grouting process by requiring that an active process area waste tank to be grouted must be in the required TSR mode. For TSR Rev 40, that mode will be "Closure". There are no TSR requirements for grout quality during the grouting process. This PA does not add or cause a change to any process or SSCs as described in the TSRs. Therefore, there are no changes to the TSR controls. There are no JCO controls associated with CSTF.

Does the Proposed Activity permanently eliminate a DID/ITS or Degrade its safety Function as explicitly described on the Safety Basis?

Justification:

No, the PA is the Use-As-Is disposition of the Non-Conformance Report (NCR) 2013-NCR-15-WFC-0007 "F Tank Farm Grout - Tank 5 and 6" and has found the non-conforming items to be acceptable. The PA and associated activities do not alter, adversely impact and/or degrade a DID/ITS as explicitly described in the Safety Basis (SB) as stated in WSRC-SA-2002-00007, Revision 14, Section 3.3.3.3.2 and Table 3.3-22 CSTF Defense-in-Depth / Important-to-Safety Hazard Controls, therefore, this PA does not eliminate or degrade a DID/ITS SSC or its function.

Does the Proposed Activity involve a:

- a. Change to the facility as described in the Safety Basis?
- b. Change to the procedures as described in the Safety Basis?
- c. Test or experiment not described in the Safety Basis?

Justification:

a. No, the PA does not change the facility as described in the Safety Basis (SB). WSRC-SA-2002-00007, Rev. 14 (including pending Rev. 15), and HLW-CRF-12012, Rev. 0, were reviewed. The PA has no impact to flammability or to tank structure. WSRC-SA-2002-00007, Rev. 14 (including pending Rev. 15), and HLW-CRF-12012, Rev. 0, Sections were identified as related to the PA:

2.5.7 "Waste Tank/Equipment Grouting

The Section 2.5.7 describes the grouting of tanks. The PA is consistent with SB.

The PA does not add additional MAR, change the consequences or frequency of a previously analyzed accident or add a new accident. The tank closure grout quality is not credited in the SB.

Therefore, based on this review it was concluded the PA is not a change to the facility as it is described in the SB.

b. No, the PA (Use-As-Is disposition of the Non-Conformance Report (NCR) 2013-NCR-15-WFC-0007 "F Tank Farm Grout - Tank 5 and 6") is in compliance with the requirements of the 1Q "Quality Assurance Manual" and is a routine and normal function by the Facility. The PA is not a procedure change.

c. No, the PA (Use-As-Is disposition of the Non-Conformance Report (NCR) 2013-NCR-15-WFC-0007 "F Tank Farm Grout - Tank 5 and 6") does not involve any test or experiments in its generation or execution. Therefore, the PA does not involve a test or experiment not described in the SB.

Consolidated Hazard Analysis Process (CHAP) Screening

1. Is this a new facility, new process, process change, or physical modification to an existing facility that could potentially introduce new hazards or increase the consequence or frequency of a current hazard, thereby impacting safety basis controls?

Justification:

The PA is not a new facility, new process, process change or physical modification. The PA is the Use-As-Is disposition to an NCR. The disposition of the NCR concluded the non-confirming items are acceptable. The "Waste Tank Grouting Consolidated Hazard Analysis" S-CHA-F-00010, Rev. 2 (including U-DCF-F-00171, Rev. 0 "Modify Waste Tank Grouting CHA, S-CHA-F-00010, for Clarification of NFPA 69 Compliance") was performed and evaluated the tank grouting process. The PA is within the scope evaluated by S-CHA-F-00010, Revision 2. This PA does not impact or invalidate any conclusions of this CHA.

2. Is this a new facility, new process, process change, or physical modification to an existing facility that could potentially introduce new hazards, increase the consequences or frequency of a current hazard, or result in impacting the controls, associated with a current hazard that may cause a worker fatality or serious injury, CW or FW Radiation exposure > 5 rem, CW or FW Toxic Material Exposure > PAC-2, loss of equipment or facility > \$2,000,000, or loss of production > 6 months?

Justification:

The PA is not a new facility, new process, process change or physical modification. The PA is the Use-As-Is disposition to an NCR. The disposition of the NCR concluded the non-confirming items are acceptable. As a result of implementation of the PA, radiation exposure and toxic material exposure will not be impacted. The PA will not result in loss of equipment (>\$2,000K), facilities (>\$2,000K), or production (>

6 months). The PA is within the scope evaluated by S-CHA-F-00010, Revision 2. Therefore, the DHA process is not required.

OSR 46-572 Rev. 1 2/14/12

LW Form

Savannah River Site (SRS)

FTF APPLICABILITY DETERMINATION FOR UNREVIEWED WASTE MANAGEMENT QUESTION

Page 1 of

DATR Number: USQ-FTF-2013-00320

Title:

Indet. Qty of Grout per Req. of C-SPP-F-00055, Rev. 4, Compressive. Samp. Test Age Out of Limits and Failed Moisture & Temp. Recorder

SEE PAGE Z - NON-CONFORMANCE REPORT (NCR) NO. 2013. NCR. 15-WFC-0007 COVERPAGE FOR MORE DETAILS.

Fill out this checklist for proposed activities defined as applicable to Tank Closure Activities. If the answer is YES to any of the following questions, the Closure & Waste Disposal Authority (C&WDA) group shall perform an Unreviewed Waste Management Question (UWMQ) Evaluation.

FTF UWMQ Applicability Determination

Does the proposed activity:				
(1) Change the waste tank or waste tank annulus structure?	0	Yes		No
(2) Impact the waste tank concrete vault integrity (waste tank top, side walls and basemat)?	0	Yes	•	No
(3) Change the waste tank stabilization fill grout formulation?		Yes	0	No
(4) Introduce new material (liquid or solid) into the Tank/Annulus after residual sampling has begun?	0	Yes	0	No
(5) Change the final equipment configuration within the Waste Tank/Annulus?	0	Yes	•	No

Note: use shift key to deselect radio buttons.

) FROM R. VORUTIEN 10/31/1)

PDF completed form and attach to DATR in SPF.

See Next Page

116 MITCHOASIL 00320 -FTF- 2013 DATE No. PZU

X Undassified

(6-21-07)

Other, Refer To WSRC 7Q Security Manual 10. Hold Tags Issued 7a. Specification C-SPP-F-00055 requires that concrete cylinders be cured in accordance with ASTM C31 and ASTM C511. Paragraph 10.1.3.1 of ASTM (0/25/13 C31 requires that cylinders be cured at 73.5 degrees Fahrenheit +/- 3.5 degrees, and paragraph 6.1 of ASTM C511 requires a moist room with relative humidity of 95%. Contrary to this, due to equipment failure, the temperature and humidity in the curing room could not be verified from 9/17/13 thru Dave Hooks requires that 7-day and 28-day test cylinders be tested within 6 hours and 20 hours, respectively. Contrary to this, due to the recent furlough, the the following lab numbers were not tested within the 6 hour timeframe for 7-day tests: 130088, 130089, and 130090. The following lab numbers 10/23/13 SRR Construction 22. Results 2. Page 1 3c. Responsible CESM 7b. Specification C-SPP-F-00055 requires that concrete cylinders be compressive strength tested per ASTM C39. Paragraph 7.3 of ASTM C39 Date 6. Organization / Contractor / Supplier 23. inspection / Verification Record(s), Work Package(s) or N/A were not tested within the 20 hour timeframe for 28-day tests: 130062, 130063, 130067 thru 130072, and 130076 thru 130081. 3b. Work Package No. 1199252-30 & 1199254-18 13a. Design Engineering Disposition GS If Required, Document No. No. of Hold Tags removed 3d. Design Classification 10/8/13 for the following lab numbers: 130038 thru 130063, 130067 thru 130072, and 130074 thru 130090. OCE / CDE Tank 5 and Tank 6 Grout ORIGINAL Nonconformance Report 3a. Project Name or No. Andrew R. Redwood STAR NO. F Tank Farm 2 운 Rev. Rev. M.D. Weener 9d. Site Tracking Analysis Report Yes 16. Design Change Required. 12. Field Engineering Disposition / Recommendation Yes 9a. Validated By: 19. Design Change No. -NCR-15-5. Area / Location 13b. USQ / MSB Required: 17. Drawing No. 18. Spec. No. 2013 Probable Cause 16 Recent Furiough/Equip Fail WENO. Use As is Rev. % × Date Date Date # Problem 9c. DOE Reportable Yes 10/23/13 14. Disposition Concurrence Date C-SPP-F-00055 8-1447 7. Nonconforming Condition 8 N **Andy Redwood** 9b. Affects Operability 8. Trend Codes: Commodity 20. Construction Engineering 4. Drawing / Spec. / Item No. Control 1. Originator Rework 21. Quality 15. Design