



**Public meeting to discuss specific issues
related to risk assessment methods used
in the NRC Significance Determination
Process**

Division of Risk Assessment
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
May 19, 2014

NRC Significance Determination Process ~ Risk-Informed Tools

Inspection Manual Chapter
(IMC) 0609
IMC 308, Att. 3 (Technical Basis)

Risk Assessment of Operational Events
Handbook

NEI Letter
January 13. 2012
(ML12019A146)

Volume 1 – Internal Events
Exposure Time Modeling – Failure Modeling
Mission Time Modeling – Common-Cause Failure Modeling – Recovery Modeling
Multi-Unit Considerations – Initiating Event Analysis – Human Reliability Analysis – Loss of
Offsite Power Initiating Events – Support Systems Initiating Events - Analysis Road Map



Revision 2.0
January 2013
SDP Phase 3 • ASP • MD 8.3

NRC Significance Determination Process

~ Rules of Engagement

- Risk-Informed SDP Tools
 - Considered Acceptable Starting Points from Which [They] are to be **Continuously Improved as Experience Was Gained ...**, page 2, IMC 308, Att. 3, 10/16/2006
- **SDP is an NRC Process!**
 - [It] Is NOT a **Consensus Process** with a Licensee or Other Parties,” page 4, IMC 308, Att. 3, 10/16/2006
- External Stakeholder Participation in SDP Development
 - It is permissible to make changes which, in the judgment of the staff, DO NOT REQUIRE external stakeholder engagement,” page 4, IMC 308, Att. 3, 10/16/2006

NRC Significance Determination Process

~ Fundamental Attributes

- **Transparent Regulator**
 - Communication to Stakeholders
- **Enhancements to SDP Tools**
 - Enhance Predictability and Stability of ROP Outcomes and Decisions
 - Meet Timeliness Goals (90-day Clock)
 - Risk Information/Output from Quantitative Assessment is Observable as “Reasonable” Based on Disciplined Approach to Provide One Input to Risk-Informed Decision Making; “.....Basis is Reasoned Based on “Best Available Information” and “.....No Trade Off in Risk Model..”