

March 31, 2014 NRC:14:014

Ν.

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Document Control Desk 11555 Rockville Pike Rockville, MD 20852

Request for Review and Approval of ANP-10333P, Revision 0, "AURORA-B: An Evaluation Model for Boiling Water Reactors; Application to Control Rod Drop Accident (CRDA)"

- Ref. 1: Letter, Ronnie L. Gardner (AREVA NP Inc.) to H. D. Cruz (NRC), "Request for Review and Approval of ANP-10300P, Revision 0, 'AURORA-B: An Evaluation Model for Boiling Water Reactors; Application to Transient Accident Scenarios'," NRC:09:134, December 23, 2009.
- Ref. 2: Letter, Ronnie L. Gardner (AREVA NP Inc.) to H. D. Cruz (NRC), "Realistic Thermal-Mechanical Fuel Rod Methodology for Boiling Water Reactors Supplement 1: Qualification of RODEX4 for Recrystallized Zircaloy-2 Cladding," NRC:09:133, December 22, 2009.
- Ref. 3: BAW-10247PA Revision 0, "Realistic Thermal-Mechanical Fuel Rod Methodology for Boiling Water Reactors," AREVA NP, February 2008.
- Ref. 4: NRC Memorandum, Technical and Regulatory Basis for the Reactivity Initiated Accident Acceptance Criteria and Guidance, January 2007, (NRC ADAMS Accession Number ML070220400).

AREVA Inc. (AREVA) requests the NRC's review and approval of the Topical Report ANP-10333P, Revision 0, "AURORA-B: An Evaluation Model for Boiling Water Reactors; Application to Control Rod Drop Accident (CRDA)," dated March 2014, for referencing in licensing actions.

This report presents a methodology for the evaluation of Control Rod Drop Accident (CRDA) for boiling water reactors (BWRs). The methodology builds on the AURORA-B AOO Methodology currently under review by the NRC (Reference 1) and consists of the thermal-hydraulic system code S-RELAP5, the Advanced Neutron Kinetics Method for BWR Transient Analysis (MB2-K), and the NRC approved advanced fuel performance code RODEX4 (Reference 3.) This methodology implements improvements in analysis capabilities to address items identified as deficiencies in the Reference 4 NRC Memorandum and provides a method to address the Interim Acceptance Criteria presented in Appendix B of SRP 4.2 Revision 3, as well as the anticipated final acceptance criteria. In addition to addressing the fuel failure thresholds, the methodology also incorporates the application of enthalpy dependent release fractions for the radiological consequence. The topical addresses both the gas release factions of the interim acceptance criteria as well as the proposed revised release fractions for Reg. Guide 1.183.

This topical report is part of AREVA's response to NRC Information Notice 2009-23: Nuclear Fuel Thermal Conductivity Degradation. This information notice states that previous fuel performance codes did not model the impact of irradiation on fuel thermal conductivity adequately. The RODEX4 fuel performance code in BAW-10247PA, Revision 0, "Realistic Thermal-Mechanical Fuel Rod Methodology for Boiling Water Reactors," (Reference 3) contains a nuclear fuel thermal conductivity model which accurately reflects the impact of

## AREVA INC.

-1001 NRR

irradiation. Also, the Supplement 1 to BAW-10247PA, "Realistic Thermal-Mechanical Fuel Rod Methodology for Boiling Water Reactors Supplement 1: Qualification of RODEX4 for Recrystallized Zircaloy-2 Cladding," (Reference 2) is currently under review by the NRC, and will extend capabilities to include a hydrogen up-take model upon approval. The updated S-RELAP5 Models and Correlations Code Theory Manual and the MB2-K Theory Manual will be provided for information in a follow-on transmittal to support review of this Topical Report.

AREVA considers some of the material contained in the enclosed documents to be proprietary. As required by 10 CFR 2.390(b), an affidavit is enclosed to support the withholding of the information from public disclosure. Proprietary and non-proprietary versions of the report are found in Enclosures 1 and 2, respectively. Enclosure 3 is the notarized Affidavit.

In support of the Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation's prioritization efforts, the prioritization scheme matrix is included in Attachment A.

There are no commitments contained within the enclosures to this letter.

If you have any questions related to this submittal please contact Mr. Alan B. Meginnis, Product Licensing Manager, by telephone at (509) 375-8266, or by e-mail at Alan.Meginnis@areva.com.

Sincerely,

Philip Q. Opsal for POORD SALAS PHILIP A. OPSAL for POORD SALAS

Pedro Salas, Director Regulatory Affairs AREVA Inc.

Attachments:

A. NRC Prioritization Matrix

Enclosures:

- 1. Proprietary Version of ANP-10333P, Revision 0, "AURORA-B: An Evaluation Model for Boiling Water Reactors; Application to Control Rod Drop Accident (CRDA)"
- 2. Non-Proprietary Version of ANP-10333NP, Revision 0, "AURORA-B: An Evaluation Model for Boiling Water Reactors; Application to Control Rod Drop Accident (CRDA)"
- 3. Notarized Affidavit

cc: J. G. Rowley Project 728

## ATTACHMENT A: NRC Prioritization Matrix

TR Prioritization Scheme Matrix for Metric and Resources				
Title: ANP-10333P, Revision 0, "AURORA-B Evaluation Model for Boiling Water Reactors; Application to				
Control Rod Drop Acc	ident (CRDA)"			2/31/0014
Expect submitting FY TAC PM			Today's Date: 3/31/2014	
Technical Review Division(s)		ew Branch(s)		
Factors	Select the Criteria That the TK Satisfies		Assigned for Each Criteria	Assigned Points
TR Classification	Resolve Generic Safety Issue (GSI).		6	
(Select one only)	Emergent NRC Technical Issue.		3	
	New technology improves safety.		2	
	TR Revision reflecting current requirements or analytical methods.		2	3
	Standard TR.		1	
TR Applicability	Potential industry-wide applications.		3	
(Select one only)	Potentially applicable to entire groups of licensees.		2	2
	Intended for only partial groups of licensees.		1	
TR Implementation	Industry-wide Implementation expected.		3	
<b>Certainty</b> (Select one only)	Expected implementation by an licensees (BWROG, PWROG, who sponsored the TR.	entire group of BWRVIP, etc.)	2	
	Docketed intent by U.S. plant(s) but no formal LAR schedule yet.		1	
	No U.S. plant(s) have indicated docket to implement yet.	strong intent on	0	
<b>Tie to a LAR</b> (Select if applicable)	A SE is requested by a certain c two years) to support a licensing renewal date (note it in Comme	late (less than g activity or nts).	3	0
Review Progress	Accepted for review.		0.3	0
(Points are cumulative	RAI issued.		0.5	0
as applicable)	RAI responded.		1.2	0
	SE drafted.		2.0	0
Management (LT/ET) discretion adjustment			-3 to +3	
Total Points (Add the total points from each factor and total here):				6

**Comments:** 

The 3 points for "TR Classification" is justified as the Methodology in ANP-10333P in that it provides a method to address revised criteria for the Control Rod Drop and it implements the RODEX4 models in the BWR CRDA Analysis. This methodology is needed to demonstrate compliance with the current Interim acceptance criteria of SPR 4.2 Appendix B, the anticipated final criteria, as well as the anticipated revision to RG 1.183 and 1.195.

The 2 points for "TR Applicability" are justified because AREVA could apply this methodology in a fuel transition for all BWRs.

The 1 point for "TR Implementation Certainty" is justified based on the assumption that all US BWRs will be required to meet the revised criteria of SRP 4.2 after it is published. This new methodology will be required for AREVA analyses to demonstrate compliance with the new criteria.

## AFFIDAVIT

## STATE OF WASHINGTON ) ) ss. COUNTY OF BENTON )

1. My name is Alan B. Meginnis. I am Manager, Product Licensing, for AREVA Inc. and as such I am authorized to execute this Affidavit.

 I am familiar with the criteria applied by AREVA to determine whether certain AREVA information is proprietary. I am familiar with the policies established by AREVA to ensure the proper application of these criteria.

3. I am familiar with the AREVA information contained in the report ANP-10333P, Revision 0, "AURORA-B: An Evaluation Model for Boiling Water Reactors; Application to Control Rod Drop Accident (CRDA)," dated March 2014 and referred to herein as "Document." Information contained in this Document has been classified by AREVA as proprietary in accordance with the policies established by AREVA for the control and protection of proprietary and confidential information.

4. This Document contains information of a proprietary and confidential nature and is of the type customarily held in confidence by AREVA and not made available to the public. Based on my experience, I am aware that other companies regard information of the kind contained in this Document as proprietary and confidential.

5. This Document has been made available to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission in confidence with the request that the information contained in this Document be withheld from public disclosure. The request for withholding of proprietary information is made in accordance with 10 CFR 2.390. The information for which withholding from disclosure is requested qualifies under 10 CFR 2.390(a)(4) "Trade secrets and commercial or financial information."

6. The following criteria are customarily applied by AREVA to determine whether information should be classified as proprietary:

- (a) The information reveals details of AREVA's research and development plans and programs or their results.
- (b) Use of the information by a competitor would permit the competitor to significantly reduce its expenditures, in time or resources, to design, produce, or market a similar product or service.
- (c) The information includes test data or analytical techniques concerning a process, methodology, or component, the application of which results in a competitive advantage for AREVA.
- (d) The information reveals certain distinguishing aspects of a process, methodology, or component, the exclusive use of which provides a competitive advantage for AREVA in product optimization or marketability.
- (e) The information is vital to a competitive advantage held by AREVA, would be helpful to competitors to AREVA, and would likely cause substantial harm to the competitive position of AREVA.

The information in the Document is considered proprietary for the reasons set forth in paragraphs 6(b), 6(d) and 6(e) above.

7. In accordance with AREVA's policies governing the protection and control of information, proprietary information contained in this Document have been made available, on a limited basis, to others outside AREVA only as required and under suitable agreement providing for nondisclosure and limited use of the information.

8. AREVA policy requires that proprietary information be kept in a secured file or area and distributed on a need-to-know basis.

9. The foregoing statements are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief.

UL3 Mig-

SUBSCRIBED before me this  $\underline{-24}$ day of Marc , 2014.

aer

Susan K. McCoy NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF WASHINGTON MY COMMISSION EXPIRES: 1/14/2016

