Official Transcript of Proceedings

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Title: Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station Draft Environmental Impact Statement Public Meeting: Afternoon Session

Docket Number: (n/a)

Location: Port Clinton, Ohio

Date:

Tuesday, March 25, 2014

Work Order No.: NRC-650

Pages 1-56

NEAL R. GROSS AND CO., INC. Court Reporters and Transcribers 1323 Rhode Island Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005 (202) 234-4433

	1
1	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
2	NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
3	+ + + + +
4	DAVIS-BESSE NUCLEAR POWER STATION
5	PUBLIC MEETING
6	DRAFT SUPPLEMENTAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT
7	+ + + + +
8	Tuesday,
9	March 25th, 2014
10	+ + + +
11	Port Clinton, Ohio
12	The Public Meeting was held at 2:00 p.m. at the
13	Camp Perry Conference Center, 1000 Lawrence Road,
14	Building 600, Port Clinton, Ohio, Alison Rivera,
15	Facilitator, presiding.
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
	NEAL R. GROSS

		2
1	APPEARANCES:	
2	ALISON RIVERA - FACILITATOR	
3	JOHN LUBINSKI	
4	BRIAN WITTACK	
5	BOB HOFFMAN	
б.	ELAINE KEEGAN	
7	JAMNES CAMERON	
8	DAVID HILLS	
9	VIKTORIA MITLYNG	
10	HARRAL LOGARAS	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
	NEAL R. GROSS	

		3
1	A-G-E-N-D-A	
2	WELCOME AND OPENING REMARKS	4
3	OVERVIEW OF DRAFT SEIS	
4	ELAINE KEEGAN	7
5	QUESTIONS	33
6	PUBLIC COMMENTS	33
7	CLOSING REMARKS	50
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
	NEAL R. GROSS	

	4
1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
2	1:30 p.m.
3	FACILITATOR RIVERA: Good afternoon,
4	everyone. I want to welcome you and thank you for
5	participating in this meeting, to provide comments on
6	the draft supplemental environmental impact statement
7	for the prepared by the NRC staff, as part of an NRC
8	independent review of the Davis-Besse application, to
9	renew its operating license, for an additional 20 years.
10	My name is Alison Rivera and I will be the
11	facilitator for this meeting. My role, as the
12	facilitator, is to help in making sure that this meeting
13	runs smoothly, that everyone who wants to speak has an
14	opportunity to do so and to try to keep us running on
15	time. So thank you for taking your seats in a timely
16	manner.
17	This is a category 3 public meeting, to
18	encourage active participation, and information
19	exchange, with the public, to obtain information on the
20	draft supplemental environmental impact statement.
21	Hopefully everyone had a chance to sign in.
22	We had a table out front, in the lobby. But if you
23	didn't have a chance to do so, please do so at the end
24	of the meeting.
25	The agenda, for this meeting, does include
	NEAL R. GROSS

	5
1	a presentation, by NRC staff, on the preliminary
2	conclusions, on the review of the supplement
3	environmental impact statement, or the conclusions of
4	the supplement environmental impact statement, and the
5	preliminary process.
6	When the presentation concludes we will
7	open the floor for questions and answers, on the
8	presentation itself.
9	Finally, we will move right into the public
10	comment period. There are a few ground rules for this
11	public meeting to ensure it runs smoothly.
12	First, and most important, please be
13	respectful of your fellow participants. We want to
14	make sure that everyone has a chance to be heard, and
15	we are transcribing this meeting.
16	So I ask that you do turn off electronic
17	devices or put them on vibrate. If you do need to take
18	a phone call please go out into the lobby to do so.
19	Logistically, you all may be more familiar
20	with this facility, but the rest rooms are out in the
21	lobby, and to the left. Emergency exits are well marked
22	throughout this area.
23	And if we have to evacuate please follow the
24	directions from the security officers. I would also
25	like to let everyone know, as I alluded to, that this
	NEAL R. GROSS

(202) 234-4433

	6
1	meeting is being recorded.
2	So we need to ask that everyone keep their
3	side bar conversations, or background noise, to a
4	minimum.
5	When speaking also, because of the
6	recording, we do ask that you use a mic. We have this
7	podium mic up here, or there is a center mic towards the
8	middle of the room.
9	Finally, the NRC is always looking for ways
10	to improve our meetings, and your feedback is important
11	to us. We have some postage paid, pre-addressed,
12	envelopes that were out on the registration table, if
13	you would like to provide feedback at the close of the
14	meeting.
15	You can either provide the feedback form to
16	any NRC staff, or you may mail it in.
17	There are some NRC staff here, at the
18	meeting, today that I'd like to take a moment to
19	introduce. First, from NRC headquarters, we have John
20	Lubinski, the director of the division of license
21	renewal in the office of nuclear reactor regulation.
22	Next we have Brian Wittack the chief of the
23	environmental projects management branch; Bob Hoffman
24	is standing by the door, he was at the registration
25	table. He is an environmental scientist, with the
ĺ	NEAL R. GROSS

	7
1	environment review and guidance update branch.
2	Elaine Keegan will be delivering the
3	presentation. She is a senior project manager for the
4	Davis-Besse environmental projects. From Region 3, we
5	have Jamnes Cameron, the reactor projects branch chief,
6	in the division of reactor projects.
7	David Hills, the chief of the engineering
8	branch, in the division of reactor safety; Victoria
9	Mitlyng is the senior public affairs officer, she is in
10	mid-room. And Harral Logaras is the regional
11	governmental liaison specialist.
12	With that I would like to turn the meeting
13	over to Elaine Keegan, project manager in the division
14	of license renewal, for a short presentation.
15	Please hold any questions you have, on the
16	materials you hear, until the end.
17	MS. KEEGAN: Thank you, Alison. I thank you
18	all for taking the time to come to this meeting.
19	My name is Elaine Keegan, I'm the
20	environmental project manager for the Davis-Besse
21	Nuclear Power Station, license renewal review.
22	I hope the information we provide, today,
23	will help you understand what we have done so far, and
24	the role you can help in making sure that the Final
25	Environmental Impact Statement is accurate and
	NEAL R. GROSS

(202) 234-4433

	8
1	complete.
2	I would like to emphasize that the
3	environmental review is not yet complete. I'd like to
4	start by briefly going over the agenda for today's
5	presentation.
6	I will discuss the NRC's regulatory role,
7	the preliminary findings of our environmental review,
8	which addresses the impacts associated with extending
9	the operating license, at Davis-Besse, for an
10	additional 20 years, and the current schedule for the
11	remainder of the environmental review and how you can
12	submit comments outside of this meeting.
13	The NRC was established to regulate
14	civilian use of nuclear materials, including facilities
15	producing electric power. The NRC conducts license
16	renewal reviews for plants whose owners wish to operate
17	them beyond their initial license period.
18	NRC license renewal reviews address safety
19	issues related to managing the effects of aging on the
20	plant, and the environmental issues related to an
21	additional 20 years of operation.
22	In all aspects, of the NRC's regulation,
23	our mission is three-fold; to ensure adequate
24	protection of public health and safety, to promote
25	common defense and security, and to protect the
	NEAL R. GROSS

	9
1	environment.
2	License renewal involves two parallel
3	reviews, the safety review and the environmental
4	review. Safety review focuses on the aging of the
5	components and structures that the NRC deems important
6	to plant safety.
7	The staff's main objection, in the safety
8	review, is to determine that the effects of aging will
9	be adequately managed by the Applicant.
10	The results of the safety review are
11	documented, in a safety evaluation report. The
12	environmental review considers, evaluates, and
13	discloses, the environmental impacts of continued plant
14	operation for an additional 20 years.
15	As part of our environmental review, the
16	staff considers the scoping comments, submitted by the
17	public, reviews the licensee's environmental report,
18	conducts an environmental site audit, and consults with
19	its other federal and state agencies.
20	The staff then prepares a supplement
21	environmental impact statement, or SEIS, to document
22	its environmental review.
23	We are here, today, to discuss the
24	potential site-specific impacts of license renewal for
25	Davis-Besse.
	NEAL R. GROSS

	10
1	The Generic Environmental Impact
2	Statement, or GEIS, which was first published in 1996,
3	and updated in 2013, examines the possible
4	environmental impacts that could occur as a result of
5	renewing licenses of individual nuclear power plants
6	under the regulations in 10 CFR Part 54.
7	The GEIS, to the extent possible,
8	establishes the bounds and significance of these
9	potential impacts. The analysis, in the GEIS,
10	encompasses all operating light water power reactors.
11	For each type of environmental impact the
12	GEIS established generic findings covering as many
13	plants as possible.
14	For some environmental issues the GEIS
15	found that a generic evaluation was not sufficient, and
16	that a plant specific evaluation was required.
17	The site specific findings, for
18	Davis-Besse, are contained in the draft SEIS, which was
19	published on February 26th, 2014.
20	The draft SEIS contains analyses of all
21	applicable site specific issues, as well as a review of
22	issues covered by the GEIS, to determine whether the
23	conclusions in the GEIS remain valid for Davis-Besse.
24	In this process the NRC staff also reviews
25	the environmental impacts of potential power generation
	NEAL R. GROSS

(202) 234-4433

	11
1	alternatives, to license renewal, to determine if the
2	impacts expected from license renewal are unreasonable.
3	For each environmental issue, identified,
4	an impact level was assigned. The NRC standard of
5	significance for impacts was established by the White
6	House's Council on Environmental Quality, a term for
7	significant.
8	The NRC established the three levels of
9	potential impacts, small, moderate and large, as
10	defined on the slide.
11	This slide provides a summary of our
12	findings with respect to cumulative impacts in the area
13	where Davis-Besse is located. Cumulative impacts are
14	defined, by the Council on Environmental Quality, as the
15	impacts on the environment which result from the
16	incremental impact of the action, when added to other
17	past, present, and reasonably foreseeable actions,
18	regardless of which agency, federal or none, or person,
19	undertakes other actions.
20	NRC's review of cumulative impacts include
21	the effects, on the environment, from other past,
22	present, reasonably foreseeable, human actions.
23	These effects not only include the
24	operation of the plant but, also, impacts from
25	activities unrelated to the plant.
	NEAL R. GROSS

(202) 234-4433

1	12
1	Activities such as future urbanization,
2	other energy producing facilities in the area, and
3	climate change.
4	Future actions are considered to be those
5	that are reasonably foreseeable, through the end of
6	plant operation, and including the period of extended
7	operation.
8	It is possible an action, by itself, can
9	have an impact which is small, but when the other
10	actions, in the area, are considered the impact could
11	be large or moderate.
12	For aquatic resources, when the cumulative
13	impacts, from historical condition, on Lake Erie's
14	western basin, the impacts from invasive species,
15	fishing, energy development, urbanization, and
16	shoreline development, and climate change, are taken
17	into account.
18	The staff has determined there would be
19	likely a large cumulative impact. For surface water
20	there are a number of active and proposed energy
21	projects in the area, which have the potential to impact
22	large volumes of lake water to be used for cooling
23	systems at power plants.
24	Other sources of pollution, such as
25	sanitary landfills, urbanization, forest management,
	NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

-	13
1	livestock, agricultural production, also have the
2	impact, the potential to impact tributaries and Lake
3	Eyre.
4	Based on this information, plus potential
5	impacts from climate change, the cumulative impact to
6	surface water resources, from all past, present, and
7	reasonably foreseeable actions, would be small to
8	moderate.
9	For terrestrial resources, taking into
10	account the historical conditions, at the Davis-Besse
11	site, protected species, invasive species,
12	urbanization, habitat fragmentation, and climate
13	change, the staff has determined there would likely be
14	a moderate impact.
15	With respect to cumulative human health
16	impacts, related to microbiological organisms, the
17	current operation of Davis-Besse has not been linked to
18	the presence or growth of cyanbacteria in lake area.
19	However, the staff concludes that the cumulative impact
20	would be moderate for Lake Erie.
21	In all other areas considered the staff,
22	primarily, concluded that cumulative impacts are small.
23	This slide lists the site-specific issues
24	NRC staff reviewed for the continued operation of
25	Davis-Besse, during the proposed license renewal
	NEAL R. GROSS

(202) 234-4433

1	14
1	period.
2	With the exception of historic and
3	archeological resources, the direct and indirect
4	impacts, for license renewal, on all of these issues,
5	were found to be small.
6	Which means the effects are not detectable,
7	or are so minor, that they will neither destabilize, nor
8	noticeably alter any important attribute of the
9	resource.
10	For historic and archeological resources,
11	the staff determined that based on consultation with the
12	Ohio State Historical Preservation officer, a review of
13	the Davis-Besse resource management plan, and the
14	potential for additional archeological resources to be
15	located on the Davis-Besse property, the impact to
16	historic and archeological resources would be small to
17	moderate.
18	There would be no adverse impact to
19	historic properties, in accordance with the National
20	Historic Preservation Act.
21	This slide lists the federally protected
22	species and habitats in the vicinity of Davis-Besse. The
23	level impacts, from the Endangered Species Act, are
24	different from the small, moderate, large, as seen on
25	the previous slide.
	NEAL R. GROSS

	15
1	Under the Endangered Species Act, the
2	impact to each species must be identified and
3	determined. The Endangered Species Act has three
4	determinations.
5	Lowest is no effect, means that there are
6	no impacts, positive or negative, to listed or proposed
7	resources.
8	May affect but unlikely to adversely
9	effect, means that all effects are beneficial and
10	significance, or discountable.
11	May affect and is likely to adversely
12	affect, means listed resources are likely to be exposed
13	to the action, or its environmental consequences, and
14	will respond in a negative manner to the use exposure.
15	Staff consulted with the Fish and Wildlife
16	Service, National Marine Fisheries Service, to identify
17	any federally listed endangered species or habitats.
18	No species, under the jurisdiction of the
19	National Marine Fishery service are present on the
20	Davis-Besse site or within Lake Erie.
21	The Fish and Wildlife Service identified
22	four federally listed species that occur in Ottawa
23	County. And of those only the Indiana bat was
24	determined to have an impact rating of may affect, but
25	is unlikely to adversely affect.
ĺ	NEAL R. GROSS

	16
1	The National Environmental Policy Act
2	mandates that each Environmental Impact Statement
3	consider alternatives to any proposed major federal
4	action.
5	A major step in determining whether a
6	license renewal is reasonable or not is comparing the
7	likely impacts of continued operation of the nuclear
8	power plant, with the likely impacts of alternative
9	means of power generation.
10	Alternatives must provide an option that
11	allows for power generation capability, beyond the term
12	of the current nuclear power plant operating license,
13	to meet future system generating needs.
14	In the Draft SEIS, the NRC initially
15	considered 17 different alternatives. After this
16	initial evaluation the staff then chose the most likely
17	and analyzed these in depth.
18	The three on the slide are the most likely
19	to be reasonable alternatives. Some of the
20	alternatives, considered but rejected, because they
21	could not produce sufficient actual electricity
22	production, include wind power, solar power, wood
23	waste, conventional hydroelectric.
24	Finally the staff considered what would
25	happen if no action is taken, and Davis-Besse shuts down

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

·	17
1	at the end of its current license, without a specific
2	replacement alternative.
3	This alternative would result in no power
4	generation capacity, and would not meet the needs
5	currently met by Davis-Besse.
6	NRC's preliminary conclusion is that the
7	environmental impacts of renewal, of the operating
8	license for Davis-Besse, would be smaller than those
9	feasible and commercially viable alternatives.
10	The no action alternative would have a
11	small environmental impact in most areas, with the
12	exception of socioeconomic impacts, which would be
13	small to moderate.
14	The staff concluded that continued
15	operation, of Davis-Besse, is the environmentally
16	preferred alternative.
17	The preliminary conclusion, that we have
18	reached, based on a review of likely environmental
19	impacts from license renewal, as well as potential
20	environmental impacts of alternatives to license
21	renewal, the NRC's staff preliminary recommendation, in
22	the Draft SEIS, is that the adverse environmental
23	impacts, of license renewal for Davis-Besse, are not
24	great enough to deny the option of license renewal for
25	energy planning decisionmakers.
	NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

	18
1	For the term beyond the 20 year period, of
2	extended operation, the NRC addresses the management of
3	spent nuclear fuel and the waste confidence decision and
4	rule.
5	Previous license renewal SEIS noted that
6	environmental impacts of temporary storage, of nuclear
7	power, of nuclear fuel, for the period following the
8	reactor operating license term were addressed by the
9	Rule.
10	This Draft SEIS does not address potential
11	environmental impacts of storing spent fuel for an
12	extended period. That issue will be addressed in the
13	NRC's Waste Confidence Environmental Impact Statement
14	and Rule.
15	The Draft Rule, and EIS, Environmental
16	Impact Statement, was published on September 13th,
17	2013. Public comment period was from September 13th,
18	2013, through December 20th, 2013.
19	NRC staff is now reviewing, and working to
20	resolve, all the public comments that were received.
21	The final Rule and EIS are expected to be published in
22	the Fall of 2014.
23	Additional information, on the Waste
24	Confidence Rulemaking and EIS can be found on the NRC
25	public website, that is at the link at the bottom of the
	NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

		s	1	i	d	е	

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

25

And in August of 2012 the Commission decided that the Agency will not issue licenses dependent upon the Waste Confidence Decision until the Waste Confidence Rule is completed.

However, the Commission directed the staff to proceed with license renewals if the result of the Waste Confidence EIS, and Rule, identify information that impacts the analysis in the SEIS for Davis-Besse, the NRC staff will perform the appropriate review for these issues, and may supplement the SEIS before the NRC makes a final decision as to whether or not to review Davis-Besse's license.

I would like to re-emphasize that the environmental review is not yet complete. Your comments today, as well as all written comments, received by the end of the comment period on April 21st, will be considered by the NRC staff as we develop the Final SEIS.

We currently plan to issue the Final in September of 2014. Those comments that are within the scope of the environmental review, and provide new and significant information, can help to change the staff's findings.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

The Final SEIS will contain the staff's

	20
1	final recommendation on the acceptability of license
2	renewal, based on the work we have already performed,
3	and any new and significant information received in the
4	form of comments during the comment period.
5	I am the primary contact for the
6	environmental review. Juan Uribe is the primary
7	contact for the safety review. There are a limited
8	number of copies of the Draft SEIS available on CD in
9	the entryway.
10	In addition the Ida Rupp Public Library in
11	Port Clinton and the Toledo Lucas County Public Library
12	in Toledo, have paper copies available for review.
13	You can also find electronic copies of the
14	Draft SEIS, along with other information, about the
15	Davis-Besse license renewal, on-line.
16	Please be aware that any comments that you
17	provide, to the NRC, will be considered public records,
18	and entered into the Agency-wide documents access and
19	management system, or ADAMS.
20	Do not include any information, address,
21	telephone number email, that you do not want made
22	public.
23	The staff will address written comments in
24	the same way we address the spoken comments received
25	today. You can submit written comments, either on-line,
	NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

	21
1	or via convention mail.
2	To submit comments on-line visit the
3	website regulations.gov. Search for docket ID
4	NRC-2010-0298.
5	If you have written comments, today, you
6	may give them to me, or any other NRC staff member. And
7	that concludes my presentation.
8	FACILITATOR RIVERA: Thank you, Elaine
9	and, thank you for your attention, everyone. Before we
10	do move into the public comment period, we are going to
11	go ahead and open the floor up, for about ten minutes,
12	of question and answer on the materials you just heard,
13	or if you need a clarification on the presentation.
14	If you raise your hand we will recognize you
15	and you can move to the mic. Yes, sir. And I ask, as
16	you approach the mic, when you get there, could you
17	please introduce yourself so we can get your name on the
18	record. Thank you.
19	PARTICIPANT: Can we be anonymous?
20	FACILITATOR RIVERA: I'm afraid not.
21	MR. PUBLIC: John Q. Public. As I'm
22	listening to this, it sounds like a boilerplate that
23	came out of a Wordperfect file.
24	And I'd like to know what the premise of
25	this was. Am I to believe that you are assuming in this,
	NEAL R. GROSS

(202) 234-4433

	22
1	and it looks like you are assuming, but you don't always
2	assume, that the plant will discharge nothing more than
3	heat.
4	And that is how it looks. You are looking
5	at the impact of fish, of people, and things like that.
6	Is
7	MS. KEEGAN: We would
8	MR. PUBLIC: that am I correct to
9	believe that, that you are not even addressing a
10	radiation discharge?
11	MS. KEEGAN: No, we look at all,
12	everything. We look at all the radiological,
13	environmental data. We look at radiological effluent
14	data, we evaluate that, make sure that it is within the
15	regulations. We look at everything.
16	MR. PUBLIC: Okay, a second part of this
17	question, there are a couple of parts. You made no
18	mention of the condition of the structures, the
19	compromised structures, and what the level of
20	compromise is.
21	And should that be in this?
22	MS. KEEGAN: That is on the safety side.
23	That is being evaluated.
24	MR. PUBLIC: But if that structure fails
25	you are going to be too late.
	NEAL R. GROSS

	23
1	MS. KEEGAN: But the safety of the
2	safety evaluation is looking at all the safety part of
3	the plant. If it is not deemed safe we are going to look
4	into it further.
5	Our review isn't complete until our staff
6	is satisfied that we have all the answers that we need.
7	MR. PUBLIC: Okay. Since this is an
8	environmental impact, somewhere in the middle of your
9	briefing, there was a matrix of impact of entities,
10	people, socioeconomic, the fish.
11	The biggest one was missing, if you can go
12	back to that.
13	MS. KEEGAN: I'm trying. That slide?
14	MR. PUBLIC: Well, it could be that one.
15	Okay, let's say it is that one. You are talking about
16	the fish, you are talking I don't know, aquatic
17	resources.
18	The biggest impact, I would believe, would
19	the aviary resources, because they are going to have the
20	quickest emission, and quickest exposure to the
21	facility, here, if there is a leakage or a release of
22	radiation, because they are going to be airborne.
23	And we are on the Black Swamp, which is one
24	of the biggest flyways in North America. I mean, North
25	America, South America, the butterflies come through
	NEAL R. GROSS

(202) 234-4433

(202) 234-4433

•

	24
1	here, the birds come through here, many, many other
2	organisms come here.
3	And I think you are missing the boat,
4	literally, on this slide right here, not considering
5	that.
6	MS. KEEGAN: Well, it is not on the slide,
7	but our staff looked at the impacts on aquatic and
8	terrestrial species in the whole evaluation.
9	This is just a brief summary. In the
10	document it lists everything that was looked at, and
11	everything that was reviewed. And they looked at the
12	swamp, I forget the name of it.
13	But they looked at that, they evaluated the
14	impact to it. So our staff looked at as much as they
15	could, they did an environment, or an independent
16	review.
17	MR. PUBLIC: Okay, because that impact
18	goes from the Arctic Circle to the tip of South America.
19	And that is a serious impact.
20	MS. KEEGAN: Our biologists looked at all
21	the species that are in this area, and the impact that
22	would be to these. We had people look at the
23	radiological impact from the site releases.
24	It was a very thorough review. We looked
25	at a lot of independent information, not just what was
	NEAL R. GROSS

	25
1	provided by the licensee.
2	MR. PUBLIC: Well, your next slide, or it
3	was the next one after that, it talked about the
4	organisms that are here. It should really talk about
5	any organism that comes through here.
6	MS. KEEGAN: Well, these are only the ones
7	that are threatened and endangered, that is what this
8	slide is.
9	MR. PUBLIC: Right, residents.
10	MS. KEEGAN: Right. And in the
11	Environmental Impact Statement, and we have a copy out
12	there, they look at the bald eagles. There are other
13	species that are identified. I don't remember them
14	off-hand, but it is looked at, it is reviewed.
15	MR. PUBLIC: Okay. I guess the key word is
16	fly-way. That is all I got to say.
17	MS. KEEGAN: We will look into that and
18	evaluate it.
19	FACILITATOR RIVERA: Thank you, sir. Are
20	there any other questions, or clarifications, needed on
21	the presentation? Yes, ma'am?
22	Could you please approach the mic and
23	introduce yourself? You can come up here, if you want,
24	it is closer.
25	MS. KEEGAN: It is closer, feel free.
ļ	NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. (202) 234-4433 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701 (202) 234-4433

	26
1	MS. KLINE: This is just procedural. And
2	I just wanted to be on the record.
3	FACILITATOR RIVERA: Could you just
4	introduce yourself?
5	MS. KLINE: My name is Connie Kline. I
6	attend a lot of NRC meetings. I have, and I will put
7	this in my comments, too.
8	I have never attended one where there has
9	not been a copy of the powerpoint information that is
10	presented. And I have also attended one where there
11	haven't been name plates.
12	I know that you are wearing name tags. I
13	was just at a Perry meeting last week. That is a big
14	major oversight. I know it is going to be available
15	after the meeting, but you know we should all have it
16	right in front of us.
17	I will have that information.
18	FACILITATOR RIVERA: Do you all have
19	cards? Thank you. Thank you, that is great feedback.
20	MS. KLINE: That is not everything.
21	FACILITATOR RIVERA: Okay.
22	MS. KLINE: I didn't get, on the slide, the
23	800 number for Juan Uribe.
24	MS. KEEGAN: We will go ahead and put that
25	slide back up.
	NEAL R. GROSS

	27
1	MS. KLINE: Okay, good, thank you.
2	MS. KEEGAN: I will just leave that slide
3	up for a while.
4	FACILITATOR RIVERA: Does anyone else have
5	questions on the presentation?
6	MS. JODLOWSKI: Yes, ma'am. My name is
7	Janet Jodlowski and I just wanted some clarifications
8	on a couple of things that were said.
9	When you are talking about the Waste
10	Confidence Decision, and there were comments that, I
11	believe if I understood correctly, to be the NRC staff
12	that would be working to resolve all public comments
13	received.
14	And under the environmental review that the
15	staff continues to consider all public comments because
16	they are not all in yet.
17	And I was wondering if you could define
18	resolve, and consider what depth will it be? Will it
19	be to resolutions and considerations?
20	MS. KEEGAN: With respect to the Waste
21	Confidence Rule a large number of public comments were
22	received during the public comment period.
23	NRC staff is reviewing the comments now,
24	and they are working to address each comment. And the
25	actual responses to the comment will be published in the
	NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

.

	28
1	Final Environmental Impact Statement for Waste
2	Confidence.
3	So you will be able to see how staff
4	actually answered your comments.
5	MR. LUBINSKI: Elaine, if you don't mind,
6	if I could add to that? This is John Lubinski. And I'm
7	the Director of the Division of License renewal at NRC
8	Headquarters.
9	And your question was specific to the Waste
10	Confidence Decision, and the Waste Confidence Rule.
11	And let me, also, clarify one difference in between what
12	we are doing here, today, and the Waste Confidence
13	Decision.
14	This is a plant specific supplement
15	environmental impact statement, with respect to
16	Davis-Besse. And we are having this public meeting to
17	gain input.
18	As part of the Waste Confidence Decision
19	that is, actually, a rulemaking that is being done under
20	the Administrative Procedures Act, and the rules that
21	are required to follow for amending our regulations, and
22	developing a rule.
23	So we will be addressing the comments, as
24	Elaine said, each of the comments received will be
25	specifically addressed, to determine its
	NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

	29
1	applicability, to determine its impact, whether there
2	would be changes needed to that Rule.
3	And once the Rule is published those
4	comments, as well as the NRC's response to those
5	comments, and how they were handled, whether they were
6	accepted, whether changes were made to the Rule, or
7	whether the Rule was sufficient, even based on the
8	comment, will be documented as part of the requirements
9	of the Administrative Procedures Act.
10	MS. KEEGAN: Does that answer your
11	question?
12	We will be around after the meeting if you
13	would like to talk further.
14	FACILITATOR RIVERA: Any other? I see one
15	more hand.
16	MS. CLEMONS: Victoria Clemons, and I'm a
17	resident in Port Clinton.
18	I want to ask, first, about the slide on the
19	threatened and endangered species. What date did the
20	Ohio DNR provide that information to you? Do you know
21	the date that that information was provided?
22	You have listed four species. Today there
23	are actually six endangered species in Ottawa County and
24	there is, actually, evidence of two more. With this new
25	information I believe a Generic Environmental Impact
	NEAL R. GROSS

(202) 234-4433

	30
1	Statement would not be adequate.
2	I think NRC, EPA, Fish and Wildlife
3	Service, need to do a new survey, an actual full scale
4	survey and a new full scale impact statement.
5	The shoreline and marshes, I would add to
6	the statement that John Q Public made. The shoreline
7	and marshes of the western basin of Lake Erie are of
8	global importance.
9	Davis-Besse rests on the crossroads of two
10	major migration pathways, both east and west, from the
11	Atlantic to the Pacific, and from the North Pole to the
12	South Pole.
13	Some of these new identified species are
14	migratory. So, thank you.
15	FACILITATOR RIVERA: Thank you. It
16	sounds a little bit like we are moving into more comment
17	type feedback. So does anybody have questions on the
18	presentation, or the materials you heard?
19	If not we can go ahead and move sure, hold
20	on one second.
21	MR. LUBINSKI: Actually, I'm sorry, you
22	did start with a question about the date of the survey.
23	What we can do is we do have the document here, and there
24	are a whole list of references in the back.
25	And if you catch us afterwards, during the
i	NEAL R. GROSS

.

	31
1	break, we can specifically tell you that. Sorry, we
2	would have to look that back in the references. And if
3	not we can get that for you.
4	FACILITATOR RIVERA: Are there any
5	questions before we move on to the public comment
6	portion of the meeting?
7	(No response.)
8	FACILITATOR RIVERA: Okay, seeing none we
9	are going to go ahead and move into the public comment.
10	This is the part of the meeting where you have an
11	opportunity to provide your comments on the Draft
12	Supplement environmental impact statement.
13	As I said, earlier, there are a number of
14	things that we can do to make sure that this part runs
15	smoothly. I will go ahead and remind you of that now.
16	First, as I mentioned earlier, we are
17	recording the meeting, so we do need you to speak at
18	mics, and keep conversations down to a minimum, to avoid
19	the background noise.
20	We do have yellow cards that those who
21	pre-registered to sign up I have them. If you do, while
22	you are hearing other people's comments, feel compelled
23	to speak, please feel free to go ahead and get a yellow
24	card.
25	We are asking that everyone who speaks puts
	NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. (202) 234-4433 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701 (202) 234-4433

	32
1	their name down on a yellow card, so that we can give
2	them to the reporter, so that they have your official
3	name for the transcript.
4	If you have written comments, as Elaine
5	mentioned, you can give them to any NRC staff member,
6	or we will ensure that they get into the transcript, or
7	submit them through the methods she mentioned.
8	Finally, for those, when you are making
9	your comments, I will apologize in advance if I
10	mispronounce your name, when I call you to the mic.
11	But please do begin by identifying
12	yourself, with your name. And if you would like to
13	mention an affiliation, you may do so at that time.
14	And, finally, because we do want to make
15	sure that everyone has an opportunity to be heard, we
16	would appreciate it if you would keep your comments to,
17	approximately, five minutes.
18	If you start going over you will start
19	seeing me giving you some signals, or maybe I might start
20	moving toward your personal space a little bit.
21	Please forgive me. Again, it is all in the
22	interest of trying to make sure that everyone who would
23	like to speak has an opportunity to do so.
24	So with that, our first speaker is going to
25	be Guy Parmigian.
	NEAL B. GROSS

[33
1	MR. PARMIGIAN: Good afternoon. My name
2	is Guy Parmigian, P-A-R-M-I-G-I-A-N. And I'm the
3	Superintendent with the BEnton-Carroll-Salem local
4	school district.
5	Our school district's geographical
6	boundaries include more than 100 square miles. And our
7	school district includes the Davis-Besse Nuclear Power
8	Station.
9	I know I speak for the educators across
10	northwest Ohio when I say that Davis-Besse serves an
11	important role supporting the educational backbone of
12	our communities.
13	In fact the plant provides more than 5.8
14	million dollars, locally, in annual property taxes
15	which provide a direct and substantial benefit to our
16	school districts.
17	Benton-Carroll-Salem school district is
18	unique in that approximately 20 percent of our revenues
19	are the results of Davis-Besse's operations within the
20	boundaries of our school district.
21	Given the unique relationship between our
22	school district institution, and the Davis-Besse
23	Nuclear Power Station, I would be remiss if I did not
24	discuss how Davis-Besse has been a good neighbor,
25	community minded, and invested in the success of the
	NEAL R. GROSS

	34
1	kids of Benton-Carroll-Salem schools.
2	For example, site vicepresident Ray Lief,
3	and some of his key staff members, have committed to
4	ongoing communications for the benefit of both of our
5	institutions.
6	In our discussions we have talked about
7	working together to install light poles, to illuminate
8	our soccer field. The donation of computers, and the
9	partnering on educational and mentoring opportunities
10	for our students.
11	We are eager to continue to work together
12	on projects that benefit our kids. But Davis-Besse
13	commitment to the community goes much farther than
14	simply contributing to the tax base.
15	The plant's young nuclear professionals
16	have taken on a highly active role in public and
17	educational outreach about nuclear power generation.
18	For example, over the past year,
19	Davis-Besse hosted two Teach the Teacher events for
20	middle and high school teachers across northwest Ohio.
21	About 85 teachers attended these events to learn more
22	about the fission process, nuclear power plant electric
23	generation and distribution, and careers in nuclear
24	power.
25	This program has helped us develop enhanced
	NEAL R. GROSS

	35
1	curriculums that embrace science and technology, two
2	subjects of ever-increasing importance in our world
3	today.
4	Davis-Besse employees also reached out to
5	more than 1,000 families, through Bowling Green State
6	University Science, Technology, Engineering, and Math
7	Fair.
8	At the Davis-Besse booth children learned
9	about nuclear power by dressing in radiation worker
10	clothing, generating electricity by cranking a wheel,
11	and operating a steam turbine.
12	The Davis-Besse facility has also extended
13	a welcoming, and transparent, hand to college students,
14	and other professional development groups. These
15	groups are invited to tour the plant on a regular basis.
16	And Davis-Besse representatives often
17	visit schools, and other organizations, to provide
18	presentations on nuclear power.
19	Recently the plant has hosted groups from
20	Ohio State University, Bowling Green State University,
21	and the University of Toledo.
22	Davis-Besse employees have organized
23	several fundraisers, earning more than 5,000 dollars,
24	to benefit local schools through events such as a golf
25	outing and a chili cook-off.
	NEAL R. GROSS

	36
1	Continued, long-term operation, of the
2	plant will allow the Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station,
3	to maintain its commitment to education in Ottawa
4	County, and beyond, both through annual tax
5	contributions and the public outreach activities
6	conducted by its dedicated professionals.
7	This is an invaluable contribution to our
8	communities that will benefit students for generations
9	to come.
10	Thank you for the opportunity to share my
11	perspective on the benefits of license renewal for the
12	Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station.
13	FACILITATOR RIVERA: Thank you. For the
14	future speakers I will give a list of three so that you
15	will know when your turn is coming.
16	Next we are going to have Brad Goetz,
17	followed by Jodi Regal, and then Larry Tscherne. Brad?
18	MR. GOETZ: Good afternoon. My name is
19	Brad Goetz, I'm the business manager of International
20	Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, Local 1413. We
21	represent the security folks at Davis-Besse.
22	I have been the business manager for 24
23	years. I have been an employee for 30 years, and I have
24	been a life-long resident of Ottawa County, and raised
25	my family nine miles from the plant.
ļ	NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

	37
1	The IBEW and local 1413 has a long history
2	with First Energy, and our experience with safety has
3	been positive.
4	As all of you know, as a parent, you
5	wouldn't allow your family to come in harm's way, and
6	I do not believe that is the case with Davis-Besse, nor
7	as a business manager would I let any of our members go
8	into a plant that was not safe.
9	Our members have worked millions of hours,
10	at the facility, since it began its operations in 1977.
11	Failing to approve the new 20 year operating license for
12	Davis-Besse would eliminate good paying union jobs.
13	And it would also be devastating, I
14	believe, to Ottawa County. IBEW 1413 believes that the
15	approval of the additional 20 year license for
16	Davis-Besse is not an option, but a must.
17	The Draft Environmental Impact Statement
18	supports this position and indicates that the impact,
19	from extending the life of the plant is minimal, at most.
20	Thank you.
21	FACILITATOR RIVERA: Thank you. Jodi
22	followed by Larry Tscherne, and then Ron Donnal.
23	Again, I'm very sorry if I mispronounce your name.
24	MS. REGAL: Good afternoon, I'm Jodi Regal,
25	president of the Board of Ottawa County Commissioners.
	NEAL R. GROSS

÷

(202) 234-4433

ı	38
1	I appreciate the opportunity to comment on
2	the environmental impact of continued operations for
3	the Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station, through an
4	extended license period.
5	The NRC has concluded, in its Draft
6	Environmental Impact Statement, the adverse
7	environmental impacts of license renewal for
8	Davis-Besse are not great enough to deny the option of
9	license renewal for energy planning decisionmakers.
10	From my viewpoint, as a county
11	commissioner, adverse impacts don't arise from the
12	continued operation of the plant, but would result from
13	the shutdown of the plant at the end of its current
14	licensing period.
15	Our nuclear energy facilities provide
16	substantial economic benefits to the state, and the
17	local community, including high paying jobs, and tax
18	revenue, that help to fund local services, and help to
19	keep property taxes much lower than they otherwise would
20	be.
21	Locally the Davis-Besse Nuclear Power
22	Station is one of Ottawa County's largest employers,
23	with more than 700 full-time employees. The plant
24	contributes more than 13 million dollars, annually, in
25	local and state taxes.
	NEAL R. GROSS

ĺ	39
1	In addition, because of supplier demand,
2	created by the plant, and consumer demand created by its
3	employees, an additional 1,100 jobs are supported.
4	Most of these are small businesses that
5	rely on this support to remain successful. We also
6	appreciate the personal contributions the employees of
7	Davis-Besse bring to our community.
8	Many are involved in civic organizations
9	and educational endeavors, as well as providing many
10	hours of community service. They are a good neighbor
11	and friend to all of us.
12	From the safety standpoint, as life-long
13	residents of Ottawa County, the Board has confidence in
14	the safe long-term operation of Davis-Besse. We also
15	have confidence in the ability, of the plant leadership,
16	to keep the best interest of the public in mind.
17	Our communications with the plant are
18	frequent and transparent. And we are aware of the
19	investment and enhancements that have been made at the
20	plant, including the 2011 reactor head replacement, and
21	the steam generators being installed with this current
22	outage, and believe they will support a period of safe
23	extended operations.
24	We urge the NRC to continue its strong
25	independent oversight of commercial nuclear
Ì	NEAL R. GROSS

	40
1	facilities, the operation of existing plants, the
2	licensing of new reactors, and the renewal of existing
3	facilities, including the Davis-Besse Nuclear Power
4	Station.
5	We also believe it is important that the NRC
6	continue its efforts to resolve, in a timely fashion,
7	the outstanding issues that have placed a hold on
8	license renewal approvals nation-wide.
9	I would like to thank the NRC for hosting
10	this public meeting and for providing the opportunity
11	for comments. Thank you.
12	FACILITATOR RIVERA: Thank you. Next we
13	will have Larry, followed by Ron, and then Bill Buckles.
14	MR. TSCHERNE: Good afternoon, and thank
15	you for the opportunity to speak to you this afternoon
16	about the license renewal for Davis-Besse.
17	My name is Larry Tscherne, and I'm the
18	business manager of IBEW Local 245. A position that I
19	have held for over 17 years.
20	Local 245 has a service territory of 22
21	counties here in northwest Ohio, including Ottawa
22	County. In addition to the 22 counties, we represent
23	over 200 physical employees, at the plant, from the
24	operation, maintenance, chemistry, warehousing,
25	radiation protection.
	NEAL R. GROSS

	41
1	The plant, as you know, has provided a solid
2	tax base to the area, has kept the economy moving, and
3	has employed hundreds of workers, in outages, in
4	addition to the regular full-time employees.
5	You know, with these full-time employees,
6	I don't think there are very many others out there, that
7	have their hands on, like the members of IBEW Local 245.
8	From the reactor operators, right on down
9	to maintenance services, the warehousing, all the
10	maintenance activities, we are very, very familiar with
11	the safety culture.
12	The labor-management meetings that we
13	hold, on a regular monthly basis, in addition to the work
14	that we have done together, both here locally, and in
15	Washington, through our labor-management committees,
16	and through the IBEW's Code of Excellence.
17	Again, the safety culture, the dedication,
18	and the craftsmanship, I could tell you, is second to
19	none. First Energy has been very open and honest.
20	Davis-Besse has been very open and honest on all issues
21	with us.
22	I'm here to tell you that we test them, and
23	we question them, on everything that goes, and there
24	isn't anything that goes unturned.
25	And I think it is imperative that you go
	NEAL B. GROSS

	42
1	along with this process. Local 245 supports the
2	approval of the license renewal, and we ask for your
3	approval, also. Thank you.
4	FACILITATOR RIVERA: Thank you. Next we
5	will have Ron Donnal, followed by Bill Buckles, and then
6	Brian Dicken.
7	MR. DONNAL: Good afternoon. My name is
8	Ron Donnal, I'm with Gem, Incorporated. Gem is a local
9	specialty contractor that provides construction and
10	maintenance services.
11	Gem has a 30-plus year history at the
12	Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station. We currently have
13	150 associates working on site.
14	Davis-Besse has provided a clean and safe
15	place for our associates to work, and provide for their
16	families, while providing reliable power for our
17	communities.
18	And I strongly support the extension of the
19	operating license. Thank you.
20	FACILITATOR RIVERA: Thank you. Bill
21	Buckles, followed by Brian Dicken. And that is the end
22	of the pre-registered speakers. I have one more card
23	over there. But if anyone else is inspired to speak we
24	do have yellow cards out in the lobby, or we can bring
25	you one if you let us know.
	NEAL B. GROSS

	43
1	MR. BUCKLES: Thank you, I'm Bill Buckles,
2	I'm a business agent with the Plumbers and Steamfitters
3	and Service Mechanics in Northwest Ohio.
4	And bear with me, because I punched this out
5	on my memo pad a little bit ago. Thank you, members of
6	the NRC for providing me the opportunity to speak today.
7	AgaIn, my name is Bill Buckles, and I have
8	been a lifelong resident of Northwest Ohio, living most
9	of the time in the view of Davis-Besse Nuclear Power
10	Plant.
11	I'm here today to support the license
12	renewal application that will allow Davis-Besse to
13	operate through 2037.
14	I would have moved my family out of this
15	area, years ago, if I did not have total confidence in
16	the safety of this facility.
17	My confidence is bolstered, in part,
18	because I have had the privilege of occasionally working
19	at the plant as a member of the Northwest Ohio Piping
20	industry.
21	As regular maintenance is done by well
22	trained, highly skilled men and women, who take great
23	pride in their work, and know the importance of
24	maintaining a safe environment for us all.
25	I also support the license renewal because
	NEAL R. GROSS

	44
1	I strongly believe that nuclear power has to be part of
2	the energy production mix in America, for both economic
3	and environmental reasons.
4	Finally, as one of the largest employers,
5	in Ottawa County, Davis-Besse is critical to the future
6	economic development of this area. The more than 10
7	million dollars that they pay every year sorry, I'm
8	losing my screen.
9	That they pay every year in local and state
10	taxes would be very nearly impossible to replace in the
11	current economic climate in this part of the state.
12	Thank you for your time.
13	FACILITATOR RIVERA: Thank you. We will
14	have Brian Dicken, followed by Chuck McCune.
15	MR. DICKEN: Good afternoon. My name is
16	Brian Dicken, I'm the vicepresident of Public Affairs
17	with the Toledo Regional Chamber of Commerce.
18	I would like to thank the Nuclear
19	Regulatory Commission for this opportunity to share our
20	perspectives on the potential license renewal for the
21	Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station.
22	The Toledo Regional Chamber of Commerce
23	represents more than 2,100 businesses, employing more
24	than 140,000 people. Our member companies are located
25	throughout the Toledo Region, including Lucas, Ottawa,
	NEAL R. GROSS

	45
1	and Wood counties.
2	The Draft Environmental Statement examines
3	a number of issues influenced by the operation of
4	Davis-Besse, not just the environment, in the
5	traditional sense of air and water.
6	My comments, today, will focus on the
7	economic impact of the power plant. The economic
8	impact of Davis-Besse can be felt not just in Ottawa
9	County, but across the entire region.
10	A recent economic impact study completed by
11	Applied Economics, paints a picture of Davis-Besse's
12	contributions. More than 700 full-time employees live
13	and work here in the region, with an annual payroll of
14	nearly 61 million dollars.
15	Indirect and local revenues, which
16	includes sales and income taxes, from these employees,
17	are estimated at 5.3 million per year.
18	A sizable portion of the employee payroll
19	is spent at local establishments throughout the region.
20	Through the multiplier effect, that is the spending from
21	the plant employees, at local businesses, an estimated
22	668 additional jobs are produced, with a payroll of
23	nearly 26 million dollars.
24	Besides the value of the production at the
25	plant, the largest component of Davis-Besse's economic
	NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. (202) 234-4433 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701 (202) 234-4433

	46
1	impact is through vendor purchases. Throughout the
2	state Davis-Besse's operations surpass 66 million,
3	annually, with vendors.
4	It supports an estimated 430 jobs, and
5	nearly 19 and a half million dollars in personal income.
6	Taken together, employee and plant spending create
7	1,100 jobs, and another 45 and a half million in payroll,
8	in the state, each year.
9	The report estimates that the total
10	economic impact, of the Davis-Besse Power Station, is
11	more than 440 million dollars.
12	Members of the Toledo Regional Chamber of
13	Commerce directly, or indirectly, benefit from the
14	operations of Davis-Besse.
15	Our members, nearly 85 percent, are small
16	businesses, of 50 or fewer employees. These
17	businesses, in particular, count on business like
18	Davis-Besse to survive.
19	As your report concluded, we agree that
20	granting an additional 20 year license, to the facility,
21	can be done without adverse impacts to the environment
22	of Northwest Ohio.
23	In fact, we believe it is necessary to
24	preserve the economic stability of our area with the
25	renewal.
	NEAL R. GROSS

	47
1	Livelihoods and jobs depend on affordable
2	energy. Davis-Besse provides that as a resource, and
3	we encourage the NRC to work with First Energy to renew
4	the license. Thank you.
5	FACILITATOR RIVERA: Thank you. Our
6	final registered speaker is Chuck McCune. If anybody
7	needs a card brought over to them, please, just raise
8	your hand.
9	MR. McCUNE: Hello, my name is Chuck
10	McCune. I'm an electrician for Local 8 of the
11	International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers.
12	I have been working, in the electrical
13	construction industry for 34 years. My brothers and
14	sisters have built this facility over 35 years ago.
15	This plant has been the livelihood of many of my brothers
16	and sisters for that time.
17	We are tradesmen, and women, who have
18	installed backup systems, the backups to the backup
19	systems, the safety systems, the radiation detection
20	systems, the emergency shutdown systems, and many, many
21	more.
22	These systems have been installed and
23	upgraded, many times, for the safety of this plant, its
24	personnel, the community, and the environment.
25	The work we have done, at this facility, is
	NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. (202) 234-4433 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701 (202) 234-4433

	48	
1	of the highest quality, because of two things. First,	
2	the management has the highest standards for human	
3	performance of any work on site and, second, we all live	
4	in this area.	
5	If we felt there was a problem, at this	
6	facility, we would be the first to bring this to the	
7	public's awareness.	
8	Because of First Energy's high standards,	
9	and commitment to excellence, in the nuclear industry,	
10	we feel that an extension of the existing license is a	
11	positive step forward and should be granted to First	
12	Energy.	
13	Thank you.	
14	FACILITATOR RIVERA: Thank you. I didn't	
15	see any hands go up, and I haven't seen any more yellow	
16	cards be submitted. Sorry. You can put a question on	
17	the record. However, you may not get a response from	
18	the NRC at this time. But they will be around after the	
19	meeting. Okay, go ahead. This is Victoria Clemons.	
20	MS. CLEMONS: This is to talk about the	
21	economic benefits of having a nuclear power plant,	
22	reminded me of the question I forgot to ask, and I have	
23	always wanted to ask, and I have never found it on the	
24	NRC website.	
25	You do socioeconomic studies, you do oodles	
	NEAL R. GROSS	

	49	
1	of studies on economics. I would like one question	
2	answered. What value is used in your calculations for	
3	a human life? Can one of you answer that?	
4	MR. LUBINSKI: This is John Lubinski, the	
5	Director of the Division of License Renewal.	
6	When we talk about the economic impacts,	
7	with respect to the Environmental Impact Statement, we	
8	look at it in a variety of ways. The first is we are	
9	looking at the economic impacts on the environment.	
10	That is the environment around the site,	
11	from the continued operation versus one of the	
12	alternatives, versus the shutdown of the plant. That	
13	economic impact does not consider any impacts on human	
14	life, if you will.	
15	That has to do with just the impacts of the	
16	changes in the economy. So when we talk about those	
17	economic impacts, that is the result we are talking	
18	about there.	
19	When we start to look at the radiological	
20	impacts we are looking at the radiological impacts with	
21	respect to our current regulations, what the impact	
22	would be.	
23	A question was also asked, earlier, about	
24	do we look at the impacts of the effluence, the radiation	
25	effluents? We do look at those, as well, as part of our	
	NEAL R. GROSS	

(202) 234-4433

	50			
1	Environmental Impact Statement, and look at our			
2	regulations.			
<u>,</u> 3	Within the regulations themselves, if they			
4	meet the regulatory standards, then we consider it			
5	acceptable from the standpoint of being small,			
6	moderate, large as defined by Ms. Keegan, earlier in her			
7	discussion.			
8	So we don't do an analysis from the			
9	standpoint of the environmental impacts with respect to			
10	specific radiation doses, and doing a conversion of that			
11	radiation dose, to the value of human life, is part of			
12	that study.			
13	So, again, it is the economic impacts are,			
14	the economic impacts of the environment, and then from			
15	the standpoint of the health impacts, we do look at the			
16	human health impacts, we look at with respect to the			
17	radiation dose.			
18	We take that into consideration with			
19	respect to the safety standards, to ensure that there			
20	is plant safety.			
21	Also, with respect to safety, that question			
22	has come up a number of times. We don't wait for license			
23	renewal to assess the safety of the plant. We do that			
24	on a continuous basis.			
25	If we identify a safety issue, at the plant,			
	NEAL R. GROSS			

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

	51		
1	we address that issue when it is identified, and we do		
2	consider, at that point, again the radiation impacts,		
3	what the dose would be to the members of the public, and		
4	whether that would have a negative impact.		
5	And we take those actions as they occur. We		
6	don't wait for license renewal to address those safety		
7	issues.		
8	FACILITATOR RIVERA: Thank you. Any other		
9	yellow cards out there, for speakers?		
10	This is Connie Kline.		
11	MS. KLINE: Mine is also a question, so I		
12	don't know if you will be able to answer it now.		
13	Was the recently approved wind farm in		
14	Herndon and Logan Counties, I don't see how it could have		
15	been factored in to the Environmental Impact Statement,		
16	because it was just approved by the Ohio Power Siting		
17	Commission last week, I believe.		
18	It is a 300 megawatt wind farm. Are you		
19	familiar with this at all, or is this something you are		
20	unfamiliar with?		
21	MR. WITTACK: This is Brian Wittack, from		
22	NRC headquarters.		
23	With regards to that particular wind farm		
24	application, I would have to say at this point we are		
25	not familiar with that. We will have to take that back		
ļ	NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. (202) 234-4433 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701 (202) 234-4433		

.

	52	
1	and take a look at the specifics of that.	
2	But given the recency of it, it was probably	
3	not part of the assessment.	
4	MS. KLINE: Because it is, actually, it is	
5	planned to have a capacity larger than 300 megawatts.	
6	And I believe there is 176 turbines. And then Cleveland	
7	proper is also going to be building, both on-shore and	
8	off-shore turbines, that haven't been approved yet.	
9		
10	But would that be something that could also	
11	be taken into consideraTion?	
12	MS. KEEGAN: This is Elaine Keegan, again.	
13	The combination alternative that was evaluated did	
14	evaluate, it is a combination of wind for 1,500	
15	megawatts capacity; with solar for 400 megawatt	
16	capacity, along with the natural gas combined	
17	alternative.	
18	MS. KLINE: Well, why would wind capacity	
19	have to be twice as much as the megawatt capacity of	
20	Davis-Besse?	
21	MS. KEEGAN: That was what was available	
22	for this, it wasn't	
23	MS. KLINE: You are saying that that is	
24	current capacity?	
25	MS. KEEGAN: That was the alternative	
	NEAL R. GROSS	

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

	53	
1	MS. KLINE: Not projected	
2	MS. KEEGAN: Right, that was what was	
3	evaluated.	
4	MS. KLINE: I'm sorry, I misunderstood what	
5	you were saying.	
6	MS. KEEGAN: No, this is what was	
7	evaluated.	
8	MS. KLINE: So I have only plowed through	
9	a very small amount of this. I'm still recovering from	
10	plowing through 600 pages of the Waste Confidence Draft	
11	Environmental Statement.	
12	So 1,500 megawatts of wind power is what is	
13	currently available?	
14	MS. KEEGAN: That is what is currently	
15	available, or projected to be available.	
16	MS. KLINE: Okay. It is, probably, more	
17	than that, because this hasn't been factored in. And	
18	still that wind power, alone, is twice the capacity of	
19	Davis-Besse, alone, just wind. Thank you.	
20	FACILITATOR RIVERA: Thank you. Are	
21	there any other comment cards out in the audience?	
22	(No response.)	
23	FACILITATOR RIVERA: Okay. With that I'm	
24	going to go ahead and turn the meeting over to Brian	
25	Wittack for some closing remarks.	
	NEAL R. GROSS	

(202) 234-4433

	54			
1	As was mentioned earlier, the transcript of			
2	this meeting will be available on-line, along with the			
3	slides, and a meeting summary.			
4	MR. WITTACK: Good afternoon. Before I			
5	start into closing comments, I would like to provide			
6	some follow-up to Ms. Kline's earlier question with			
7	regards to the date of the sources that were used in the			
8	study.			
9	I'm sorry, Ms. Clemons, I'm sorry about			
10	that. So I did a quick scan of documents, there are			
11	numerous resources, studies, consultations, that were			
12	done. The Ohio DNR, most recent study, was dated in			
13	2011.			
14	The most recent consultations with the U.S.			
15	Fish and Wildlife Service was as of May 2013. I think			
16	the gist of your question, and correct me if I'm wrong,			
17	is that you believe that there is species that are			
18	endangered, that go beyond what the study identifies,			
19	is that correct? At least two, okay.			
20	So we are going to take that particular			
21	question as a comment, and feed that back to the			
22	reviewers, to make sure that that gets evaluated and			
23	incorporated.			
24	Also with regards to migratory species,			
25	there is a fairly extensive discussion in the			
	NEAL R. GROSS			

(202) 234-4433

	55			
1	environmental impact section, in the Environmental			
2	Impact Statement, chapter 2, particularly section 2.8,			
3	that discusses migratory species.			
4	With that, I would like to step into			
5	closing.			
6	I'd like to thank everyone for coming out			
7	on this somewhat blustery day, and contributing your			
8	valuable time to a process that we consider to be very			
9	important, and of great value for our licensing process			
10	at the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.			
11	We have, in the course of license renewal,			
12	as Elaine mentioned, we have two public meetings that			
13	are conducted, initially, in the scoping portion.			
14	And then, finally, the second public			
15	meeting is today's public meeting, to go over a review			
16	of the draft supplement environmental impact statement.			
17	We value all the comments that we received			
18	today, and hope that if you have additional comments,			
19	that you take the time to submit those additional			
20	comments.			
21	The means of communication is via			
22	regulations.gov, as well as through the project			
23	managers, and the comment period is open through April			
24	21st.			
25	So with that, thank you again for coming			
1	NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. (202) 234-4433 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701 ·(202) 234-4433			

		56
1	this afternoon, and the meeting is adjourned	d.
2	(Whereupon, at 3:15 p.m	., the
3	above-entitled meeting was adjourned.)	
I	NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS	
	1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. (202) 234-4433 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701	(202) 234-4433