

Entergy Operations, Inc. River Bend Station 5485 U. S. Highway 61N St. Francisville, LA 70775 Tel 225 381 4374 Fax 225 381 4872 eolson@entergy.com

Eric W. Olson Site Vice President

RBG-47449

March 12, 2014

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ATTN: Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555

Subject:

Licensee Event Report 50-458 / 2014-002-00

River Bend Station - Unit 1

Docket No. 50-458 License No. NPF-47

RBF1-14-0034

Dear Sir or Madam:

Seix In Of

In accordance with 10 CFR 50.73, enclosed is the subject Licensee Event Report. This document contains no commitments. If you have any questions, please contact Mr. Joseph Clark at 225-381-4177.

Sincerely,

EWO/dhw

Enclosure

JE22 NRR Licensee Event Report 50-458 / 2014-002-00 March 12, 2014 RBG-47449 Page 2 of 2

cc: U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Region IV 1600 East Lamar Blvd. Arlington, TX 76011-4511

> NRC Sr. Resident Inspector P. O. Box 1050 St. Francisville, LA 70775

INPO (via ICES reporting)

Central Records Clerk
Public Utility Commission of Texas
1701 N. Congress Ave.
Austin, TX 78711-3326

Department of Environmental Quality
Office of Environmental Compliance
Radiological Emergency Planning and Response Section
JiYoung Wiley
P.O. Box 4312
Baton Rouge, LA 70821-4312

NRC FORM 366

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

APPROVED BY OMB: NO. 3150-0104

EXPIRES: 01/31/2017

(01-2014)



LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

(See Page 2 for required number of digits/characters for each block)

Estimated burden per response to comply with this mandatory collection request 80 hours. Reported lessons learned are incorporated into the licensing process and fed back to industry. Send comments regarding burden estimate to the FOIA, Privacy and Information Collections Branch (T-5 F53), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, or by internet e-mail to Infocollects.Resource@nrc.gov, and to the Desk Officer, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, NEOB-10202, (3150-0104), Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503. If a means used to impose an information collection does not display a currently valid OMB control number, the NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, the information collection.

digits/characters for each block)						control number, the NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, the information collection.										
1. FACILITY NAME							2. DOCKET NUMBER 3				3. PAGE					
River Bend Station - Unit 1									05000 458			1 OF				
4. TITLE																
Operati	ions Pro	ohibited by	/ Technica	al Specific	ations fo	or Reactor	Pressure	Vessel	Pressure / Temp	erature L	imits					
5. EVENT DATE			6.	7. F	REPORT	DATE	8. OTHER FACILITIES INVOL)				
MONTH	DAY	YEAR	YEAR	SEQUENTIA NUMBER	REV NO.		DAY-	YEAR	FACILITY NAME		DOCKET NUMBER 05000					
01	16	2014	2014	002	- 00	03	12	2014	FACILITY NAME		DOCKET NUMBER					
9. OP	ERATIN	G MODE	11. T	HIS REPO	RT IS SU	BMITTED P	URSUAN	NT TO TH	E REQUIREMEN	TS OF 10	CFR §	: (Check	all th	at ap	ply)	
1 10. POWER LEVEL 100			20.2201(b)			20.2	2203(a)(3)(i)	50.73(a)	50.73(a)(2)(vii)						
			20.2201(d)			20.2	2203(a)(3)(ii)	50.73(a)(2)(ii)(A)			50.73(a)(2)(viii)(A)				
			20.2203(a)(1)			20.2203(a)(4))	50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B)				0.73(a)(2)(viii)(B)			
			20.2203(a)(2)(i)			50.36(c)(1)(i)(A)			50.73(a)		50.73(a)(2)(ix)(A)					
			20.2203(a)(2)(ii)			50.36(c)(1)(ii)(A)			50.73(a)(2)(iv)(A)				50.73(a)(2)(x)			
			20.2203(a)(2)(iii)			50.36(c)(2)			50.73(a)(2)(v)(A)			73.71(a)(4)				
			20.2203(a)(2)(iv)			50.4	46(a)(3)(ii)	50.73(a)(2)(v)(B)			73.71(a)(5)				
			20.2203(a)(2)(v)			50.7	73(a)(2)(i)	(A)	50.73(a)(2)(v)(C)			OTHER				
			20.2203(a)(2)(vi)			√ 50.7	73(a)(2)(i)	(B)	50.73(a)(2)(v)(D)				Specify in Abstract below or in NRC Form 366A			
					12. 1	ICENSEE	CONTAC	T FOR TI	HIS LER							
FACILITY NAME Joseph A. Clark										TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include Area Code) (225) 381-4177						
			13. COMPL	ETE ONE	INE FO	R EACH CO	MPONE	NT FAILU	RE DESCRIBED	IN THIS R	EPOR	т				
CAUS	iE	SYSTEM	MANUE PEPOPTADIE		SYSTEM			MANU- FACTURE								
na																
14. SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED						10 m		10. 2.1. 20.22			DA	Y	YEAR			
_ \ \	ES (If ye	es, complete	e 15. EXPECTED SUBMISSION DA			DATE)	DATE) NO		SUBMISSION DATE		[
On Janu	uary 16	, 2014, a f	inal reviev	v of indus	ry opera		ience de		I that the station	-		_		_		

On January 16, 2014, a final review of industry operating experience determined that the station's practice of allowing reactor pressure vessel (RPV) pressure to become negative during plant startup constituted a violation of Technical Specifications (TS). The plant was operating at 100 percent power at the time of this determination. Negative RPV pressure is not bounded by TS 3.4.11, Reactor Coolant System Pressure and Temperature Limits. As such, this condition constitutes operations prohibited by TS, and is being reported in accordance 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(i)(B). This condition occurred due to a failure to recognize that a negative RPV pressure is not allowed by the TS. Consequently, the practice was incorporated into the plant startup procedure and operator training. Interim action was taken to modify the use of the plant startup procedure. Subsequently, a revision to the procedure was developed and instituted. An engineering determined that the RPV remained within the established material stress margins. The RPV also remained within established margins with regard to brittle fracture of the RPV ferritic materials. As such, this condition was of minimal significance to the health and safety of the public.

NRC FORM 366A

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION



APPROVED BY OMB: NO. 3150-0104

EXPIRES: 01/31/2017

Estimated burden per response to comply with this mandatory collection request 80 hours. Reported lessons learned are incorporated into the licensing process and fed back to industry. Send comments regarding burden estimate to the FOIA, Privacy and Information Collections Branch (T-5 F53), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, or by internet e-mail to Infocollects.Resource@nrc.gov, and to the Desk Officer, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, NEOB-10202, (3150-0104), Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503. If a means used to impose an information collection does not display a currently valid OMB control number, the NRC may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, the information collection.

1. FACILITY NAME	2. DOCKET	6. LER NUMBER			3. PAGE			
River Bend Station - Unit 1		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REV NO.				
	05000 458	2014	- 002 -	00	2	OF	2	

NARRATIVE

REPORTED CONDITION

On January 16, 2014, a final review of industry operating experience determined that the station's practice of allowing reactor pressure vessel (RPV) pressure to become negative during plant startup constituted a violation of Technical Specifications (TS). The plant was operating at 100 percent power at the time of this determination. Negative RPV pressure is not bounded by TS 3.4.11, Reactor Coolant System Pressure and Temperature Limits. As such, this condition constitutes operations prohibited by TS, and is being reported in accordance 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(i)(B).

CAUSAL ANALYSIS

This condition occurred due to a failure to recognize that a negative RPV pressure is not allowed by the TS. As a consequence, the practice was incorporated into the plant startup procedure and operator training.

CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

Interim action was taken in the issuance of an Operations Standing Order to modify the use of the plant startup procedure. Subsequently, a revision to the procedure was developed and instituted.

SAFETY SIGNIFICANCE

An engineering evaluation of this condition found that, during the past three years (the period of applicability for this reporting criterion), five plant startups were performed in which the RPV pressure was allowed to become negative, with maximum values ranging from -1 psig to -16 psig. An average pressure of -12 psig was assumed for the purposes of assessing the effects on RPV integrity. The lowest reactor coolant temperature recorded during those startups was 122F. This evaluation determined that the RPV remained within the established material stress margins. The RPV also remained within established margins with regard to brittle fracture of the RPV ferritic materials. As such, this condition was of minimal significance to the health and safety of the public.