

## Bowman, Adriane

---

**From:** Harris, Paul  
**Sent:** Friday, March 07, 2014 12:26 PM  
**To:** ADAMS IM; NSIR\_DSP Document Processing Resource  
**Cc:** Huyck, Doug; Canty, Adetutu; Ryan, Tom; Madden, Edward; Janney, Margie; Bowman, Adriane  
**Subject:** ACTION - Removal of STP and SouthernCo FFD Records from ADAMS  
**Attachments:** FW: Vogtle Units 3&4 Annual Program performance test data; FW: I have another e-report issue. - South Texas Project; 665 - Multiple Documents.doc

ADAMS IM = As indicated by the Deputy Director approval below, please delete the following 3 records from ADAMS: ML 14063A159, ML 14063A553, and ML14059A280.

NSIR DSP Processing = please place this email and the attached emails in ADAMS as a public record.

Thank you,  
Paul

---

**From:** Thaggard, Mark  
**Sent:** Friday, March 07, 2014 9:39 AM  
**To:** Harris, Paul  
**Cc:** Huyck, Doug; Resner, Mark  
**Subject:** RE: DDD Approval Requested - Removal of STP and SouthernCo FFD Records from ADAMS

Yes, I approve of the deletion of the three records. Please let me know if they need something direct from me.

*Mark Thaggard*, Deputy Director  
Division of Security Policy  
Office of Nuclear Security and Incident Response  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
W: 301-287-3598  
BB: xxx-xxx-xxxx

---

**From:** Huyck, Doug  
**Sent:** Friday, March 07, 2014 9:27 AM  
**To:** Thaggard, Mark  
**Cc:** Harris, Paul; Resner, Mark  
**Subject:** Fw: DDD Approval Requested - Removal of STP and SouthernCo FFD Records from ADAMS  
**Importance:** High

Mark, please review, comment, and approve as requested by Paul H.

If you have questions, Paul is in the office today.

Doug

Sent from NRC blackberry

**From:** Harris, Paul  
**Sent:** Friday, March 07, 2014 09:16 AM  
**To:** Huyck, Doug  
**Cc:** Held, Wesley; Smith, Will; Bowman, Adriane  
**Subject:** DDD Approval Requested - Removal of STP and SouthernCo FFD Records from ADAMS

Doug,

Please concur and request from Deputy Division Director, the deletion of three FFD-related "official" records from ADAMS.

Please have Mark "approve" by responding to this email.

The licensees for South Texas Project and Southern Company are making this request as summarized below and documented in the attached emails.

Upon DDD approval:

- (1) We will direct ADAMS-IM to permanently remove (i.e., delete) the records from ADAMS; regulatory decisions have not been made on these records.
- (2) We will place the DDD approval email, with the attachments, in ADAMS as official, publicly-available records (packaged).





Summary

- (1) South Texas Project informed the NRC that they submitted two FFD-related records in error to the NRC on March 4, 2014. These records were submitted in error because the records already existed in ADAMS as official records. The cause of this occurrence appears to be a simple administrative error on part of the licensee in the execution of electronic reporting. The records to be deleted are: ID 815 (ML 14063A159) and ID 821 (ML 14063A553).
- (2) SouthernCo (the Vogtle site) informed the NRC that two "official" records were published in ADAMS but only one record was provided by SouthernCo to the NRC. Based on NRC staff review, it appears that the cause of this occurrence was that the recently-implemented initiative enabling the auto-population of FFD-related records into ADAMS, to help reduce NRC staff burden, may have cause the submission duplication. This occurrence is being reviewed by OIS and has not yet repeated. The record to be deleted is: ML14059A280.

Paul

*Paul Harris*

**U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission / Office of Nuclear Security and Incident Response  
Division of Security Policy / Security Programs Support Branch  
Senior Program Manager, Fitness for Duty Programs**

|  E-mail: [Paul.Harris@NRC.gov](mailto:Paul.Harris@NRC.gov) |  Office: (301) 287-9294 |  Fax: (301) 415-5278 |  Cell: (xxx) xxx-xxxx

The information in this response is provided as a public service and solely for informational purposes and is not, nor should be deemed as, an official NRC position, opinion or guidance, or "a written interpretation by the General Counsel" under 10 CFR 26.7, on any matter to which the information may relate. The opinions, representations, positions, interpretations, guidance or recommendations which may be expressed by the NRC technical staff responding to an inquiry are solely the NRC technical staff's and do not necessarily represent the same for the NRC. Accordingly, the fact that the information was obtained through the NRC technical staff will not have a precedential effect in any legal or regulatory proceeding.