

Summary of Feedback
Public Meeting to Discuss Draft White Paper of Conceptual RMRF Policy Statement

January 30, 2014

Key comments and questions received at the meeting from stakeholders are summarized below. The NRC staff will consider feedback received at the public meeting. The NRC staff will not be providing formal responses to comments and questions.

- Need additional clarification that this white paper is not the final policy statement, but more of a “proof of concept.”
- Not sure that a policy statement is needed at this time
- Too much detail and emphasis on DID, level of detail should be addressed more in implementation guidance documents, in collaboration with industry
- Difficulty understanding the applicability or merit of the policy statement without a specific example of implementation
- Elaborate on the purpose of the policy statement; e.g., this is how the Commission intends to do business
- Clarify which program areas the RMRF policy statement applies to
- Consider including the concept of “resilience” as set forth in presidential policy directive 21
- PRA Policy Statement should be updated via a supplement rather than be incorporated into the RMRF Policy Statement
- Need to involve agreement/non-agreement states in developing the RMRF policy statement
- The policy statement may be inappropriate for non-power reactors
- Address implementation issues particularly those related to non-power reactors early and consider developing guidance for implementation based on specific business line rather than to incorporate in a generic policy statement
- The ASME-ANS Joint Committee on Nuclear Risk Management (JCNRM) is currently beginning a year-long process to develop the next Edition of the PRA standard. If the NRC, either through a Commission policy statement or via a different vehicle, has expectations concerning how NPP PRAs “should be capable of evaluating and

Enclosure 2

quantifying uncertainties” [your slide’s words], it would be helpful to have a dialog between NRC staff and our JCNRM standards committee sometime soon, so that our work on the next Edition of the PRA standard can have the benefit of any thinking on the NRC’s side on this matter.