Bowman, Adriane

From: Harris, Paul Sent: Friday, February 14, 2014 3:53 PM 'Robert Kelm'; 'Anna M. Lee'; 'Tammy Bond'; 'theresa.steckler@aps.com'; To: 'mark.pecora@cengllc.com'; 'paul.fisher@cengllc.com'; 'Marilyn V. Mongello'; 'Candy Nicholas'; 'Timothy P Duffy'; 'Thomas K. McQuarrie'; 'jagloyn@energy-northwest.com'; 'CLAUDIA PARKER'; 'Russell Sears'; 'Mary Yerkes'; 'Susan C. Techau'; 'Kevin Concannon'; 'Bonthron, David'; 'michael.tate@energyfutureholdings.com'; 'dipietron@firstenergycorp.com'; 'Jeff L. Schwarting'; 'randall.dcleveland@xenuclear.com'; 'CHILDS JR, HERBERT L'; 'William F. Ryan'; 'mgpalmer@pplweb.com Palmer'; 'Elizabeth Watson'; 'Robert E. Pope'; 'Terry.Wiggs@sce.com'; 'Maudester Woodard-Hall'; 'rlcasey1@tva.com'; 'Carla A. Hoch'; 'Timmy Lovett'; 'david.carter@pgnmail.com'; 'teddy.reed@pgnmail.com'; 'Jerud Hanson'; 'ajc@nei.org'; 'paul.fischer@cengllc.com'; 'aeenloe@southernco.com'; 'kfrench@ameren.com'; 'jmbickers@aep.com'; 'dlayman@aep.com'; 'jessica.guajardo@aps.com'; 'brett.boismenu@cengllc.com'; 'michele.mannino@cengllc.com Mannino'; 'susan.powers@cengllc.com'; 'patrick.w.anhalt@dom.com'; 'linda.m.leonard@dom.com'; 'Johnny Rogers'; 'fergusonr@dteenergy.com'; 'anita.mcdaniel@duke-energy.com'; 'Julie A. Hansen'; alwitt@energy-northwest.com; GAYNELL T BECNEL; dcaltab@entergy.com Caltabiano; cdrape1@entergy.com; temeryh@entergy.com Emery-Howe; Ifloyd1@entergy.com; akane@entergy.com; paulette.mccarty@entergy.com; Mike Nock; knoland@entergy.com; kpettus@entergy.com; Laura Unger; dwilso3@entergy.com; jwoods@entergy.com; jwill13@entergy.com; Dolores Adams; vicki.farbo@exeloncorp.com; Marsha Hiter; Rocco Pezzella; Michael R. Fisher; mtgulliford@firstenergycorp.com; lilleyd@firstenergycorp.com; sidorat@firstenergycorp.com; Randy Smoot; Brenda K. Thorbahn; Bobby D. Hammer; sandra.mangum@luminant.com; Scott W. Driskell; Jody Uglow; darla.grimm@fpl.com; don.popp@nexteraenergy.com; james.denton@fpl.com; stella.dumais@nexteraenergy.com; mark.ginsberg@nexteraenergy.com; cheryl.sauer@nexteraenergy.com; mbentley@oppd.com BENTLEY; cburke@oppd.com; Miller, Charlene M; padieffenbacher@pplweb.com Dieffenbacher; Judith A Jagoda; cindy.cunningham@pgnmail.com; Cecilia A. Homan; bchambers@scana.com CHAMBERS; Victoria Beard; Billie P. Rooks; Lisa H. Matula; rlcasey1@entergy.com; capullia@tva.gov; Grace P. Sufka; Robert J. Southworth; 'Carr, James'; 'Brenda Kay Sowter'; 'Impate@tva.gov'; 'rdhammonsjr@energy-northwest.com'; 'danny.nitsch@cbi.com'; 'George S. Wells'; 'plnix@southernco.com'; 'mlowe@southernco.com'; 'jadavila@energysolutions.com'; 'Janet J Thiel'; 'Carter, John A'; 'kjgreer@nuclearfuelservices.com'; 'Nielsen, John A (INPO)' Cc: 'Dave Kline'; 'Robert Kelm'; Shropshire, Alan Subject: IMPORTANT - Coding Error on Annual Reporting Form (1.5.0) for D&A Testing Attachments: AnnualReportForm_REV1_FINAL_02-12-2014.pdf

Folks,

We have a form issue with the Annual Reporting Form for D&A testing, version 1.5.0. The issue was identified by the industry (e.g., Brian, Michele, Brett, Jessica, and others).

On form version 1.5.0, all data goes in, the form locks properly, and it saves properly. But, when you re-open the form from your hard drive, the two "dilute" blocks (shown below) do not show up. They are "hidden" from view, even though the data is there. The form in error is Version 1.5.0. – Jan 1, 2014.

Special Analyses Testing Results Total Number of "Dilute" Specimen Test Results (Optional)	Total Number of "Dilute" Specimens (Special Analyses Testing Conducted)
---	--

It is best to use the attached and corrected form (Version 1.5.1 – February 12, 2014)

This form is also located in the NRC's Agencywide Documents Management and Access System (ADAMS) at ML14045A301 as a publicly-available official record.

Contingency Thoughts

If the form-in-error (version 1.5.0 – Jan 1, 2014) is "in process" at your site, and if you do dilute testing, and you still desire to use the form-in-error:

- (1) Continue filling out the form-in-error and then
- (2) Select "Summary of Management Actions"
- (3) Select "LOD Testing"
- (4) And then please state in the "Description" that you do LOD testing and provide the values for (1) Total Number of "Dilute" Specimens Test Results (optional) = _____. And (2) "Total Number of "Dilute" Specimens (Special Analyses Testing Conducted = ____."
- (5) Then lock and submit the form as usual.

If you do not do LOD testing (e.g., B&W, Lynchburg), you can either use either form. No action necessary; a submission update is not necessary.

If you have already submitted the form-in-error to the NRC and you do LOD testing and the 26.717(b)(2) dilute testing info was not visible:

(1) Please strongly consider a "Submission Update" to make the dilute information visible.

It will take me a few days to update the NRC website to the corrected form (version 1.5.1 – February 14, 2014), in the meantime, use the ADAMS version above or the attached as provided.

Similar to previous errors identified on the e-forms, I again am very sorry that this occurred and apologize for the inconvenience. Last year, as I have mentioned, we initiated an internal ticket requiring us to compete a self-assessment of this process in CY 2014. This latest item will be added to the self-assessment.

Respectfully, Paul

Paul Harris, Senior Program Manager
10 CFR Part 26, Fitness for Duty (Drug & Alcohol Testing)
Office of Nuclear Security and Incident Response
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
(w) 301-287-9294

The information in this response is provided as a public service and solely for informational purposes and is not, nor should be deemed as, an official NRC position, opinion or guidance, or "a written interpretation by the General Counsel" under 10 CFR 26.7, on any matter to which the information may relate. The opinions, representations, positions, interpretations, guidance or recommendations which may be expressed by the NRC technical staff responding to an inquiry are solely the NRC technical staff's and do not necessarily represent the same for the NRC. Accordingly, the fact that the information was obtained through the NRC technical staff will not have a precedential effect in any legal or regulatory proceeding.