

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: February 07, 2014
 Received: January 30, 2014
 Status: Pending_Post
 Tracking No. 1jy-8a5r-653f
 Comments Due: February 07, 2014
 Submission Type: Web

Docket: NRC-2013-0227

Operator Licensing Examination Standards for Power Reactors

Comment On: NRC-2013-0227-0001

Operator Licensing Examination Standards for Power Reactors

Document: NRC-2013-0227-DRAFT-0005

Comment on FR Doc # 2013-29302

RECEIVED

2014 FEB -7 PM 3:56

RULES AND DIRECTIVES
 BRANCH
 USNRC

Submitter Information

Name: Mark Otten

12/9/2013
 78 FR 73898

General Comment

6

Subject: Comments on NUREG 1021 Rev 10 Docket ID NRC-2013-0227

1. ES-601 thru ES-604 are written for when the NRC writes a requalification exam. It would be more helpful if these were written similar to ES 301 and ES 401. This would allow for both the utility and the NRC to prepare requalification examinations to the same standard as well as improve the quality of facility developed exam across all regions.
2. ES-602 Attachment 1 would be a better fit as part of Appendix B. These examples of open reference questions would be better suited as part of Appendix B to improve consistency of utility developed exams.
3. Form ES-604-1 Quantitative Attributes do not match the numbers in the IP 71111.11 inspection manual. Specifically ES-604-1 gives ranges for each scenario and the scenario set for malfunctions, abnormal events and other items and Appendix C of the IP 71111.11 gives minimums for each scenario of these items. A utility could meet the items in ES-601-1 and not meet the requirements in IP 71111.11 App C.
4. Appendix C "Job Performance Measure Guidelines" could be improved if it contained examples similar to Appendix B "Written Examination Guidelines". Examples of good and flawed JPMs and examples of Alternate Path JPMs would improve the quality of JPM developed by utilities.

SUNSI Review Complete

Template = ADM - 013

E-RIDS= ADM-03

Add= R. pector (comp1)