

Rulemaking1CEm Resource

From: RulemakingComments Resource
Sent: Tuesday, January 14, 2014 7:45 AM
To: Rulemaking1CEm Resource
Cc: RulemakingComments Resource
Subject: PR-51 Waste Confidence
Attachments: Comment of Marina Bethlenfalvay.pdf

**DOCKETED BY USNRC—OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
SECY-067**

PR#: PR-51

FRN#: 78FR56775

NRC DOCKET#: NRC-2012-0246

SECY DOCKET DATE: 12/20/13

TITLE: Waste Confidence—Continued Storage of Spent Nuclear Fuel

COMMENT#: 00912

Hearing Identifier: Secy_RuleMaking_comments_Public
Email Number: 957

Mail Envelope Properties (377CB97DD54F0F4FAAC7E9FD88BCA6D0014435FE4BA3)

Subject: PR-51 Waste Confidence
Sent Date: 1/14/2014 7:45:09 AM
Received Date: 1/14/2014 7:45:24 AM
From: RulemakingComments Resource

Created By: RulemakingComments.Resource@nrc.gov

Recipients:

"RulemakingComments Resource" <RulemakingComments.Resource@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Rulemaking1CEM Resource" <Rulemaking1CEM.Resource@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None

Post Office: HQCLSTR01.nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	254	1/14/2014 7:45:24 AM
Comment of Marina Bethlenfalvay.pdf		25771

Options

Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received:

Marina Bethlenfalvay
255 Hermosa Way
San Luis Obispo, CA 93405

15 December, 2013

Secretary
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555-0001
ATTN: Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff

Re: Closing of the Diablo Canyon Reactor (DCR)

Dear Mr. Secretary:

The NRC and PG&E claim that DCR provides clean (CO2-free) power for 3 million homes. That's a big plus. It also produces dirty (radioactive) residues for millennia. That's a big minus. Which one is bigger?

The NRC Draft Generic Environmental Impact Statement's arguments in favor of DCR are fundamentally flawed; they are clearly drawn up to support PG&E's financial interests. The grave risks of DCR outweigh all arguments in favor. The risks are not only contamination by the ever-increasing, unprotected stores of spent fuel, but even more so the threat of a catastrophic meltdown when (not if) the active fault moves, on which DCR is built.

You in Washington are safe from all this, Mr. Secretary. We, who live in DCR's shadow, are not. We will gladly pay more for our electricity to have the threat of a radiation catastrophe - brought on by an earthquake, a terrorist plane strike or simple operator error - removed from us. Please side with the people and not with PG&E, and **have DCR closed down now.**

Sincerely,



Marina Bethlenfalvay