

## Rulemaking1CEm Resource

---

**From:** RulemakingComments Resource  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 14, 2014 7:42 AM  
**To:** Rulemaking1CEm Resource  
**Cc:** RulemakingComments Resource  
**Subject:** PR-51 Waste Confidence  
**Attachments:** Comment 2 of Susan Fasten.pdf

**DOCKETED BY USNRC—OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY  
SECY-067**

**PR#:** PR-51

**FRN#:** 78FR56775

**NRC DOCKET#:** NRC-2012-0246

**SECY DOCKET DATE:** 12/20/13

**TITLE:** Waste Confidence—Continued Storage of Spent Nuclear Fuel

**COMMENT#:** 00908

**Hearing Identifier:** Secy\_RuleMaking\_comments\_Public  
**Email Number:** 953

**Mail Envelope Properties** (377CB97DD54F0F4FAAC7E9FD88BCA6D0014435FE4B9B)

**Subject:** PR-51 Waste Confidence  
**Sent Date:** 1/14/2014 7:41:52 AM  
**Received Date:** 1/14/2014 7:41:52 AM  
**From:** RulemakingComments Resource

**Created By:** RulemakingComments.Resource@nrc.gov

**Recipients:**

"RulemakingComments Resource" <RulemakingComments.Resource@nrc.gov>  
Tracking Status: None  
"Rulemaking1CEM Resource" <Rulemaking1CEM.Resource@nrc.gov>  
Tracking Status: None

**Post Office:** HQCLSTR01.nrc.gov

<b>Files</b>	<b>Size</b>	<b>Date &amp; Time</b>
MESSAGE	254	1/14/2014 7:41:52 AM
Comment 2 of Susan Fasten.pdf		126877

**Options**

**Priority:** Standard  
**Return Notification:** No  
**Reply Requested:** No  
**Sensitivity:** Normal  
**Expiration Date:**  
**Recipients Received:**



NRC PUBLIC MEETING FEEDBACK

Category

3

Meeting Date: October 28, 2013

Meeting Title: Public Meeting to Receive Comments on the Waste Confidence Draft Generic Environmental Impact Statement and Proposed Rule - Chelmsford, MA

Thank you for attending this public meeting hosted by the NRC. In order to help us understand your views about this meeting and improve future meetings, please take a couple minutes to answer the following questions.

Please address the following statements in terms of your experience at the meeting. 1 is "strongly disagree" and 5 is "strongly agree."

	"STRONGLY DISAGREE"	"DISAGREE"	"NEITHER AGREE OR DISAGREE"	"AGREE"	"STRONGLY AGREE"
THIS MEETING WAS A SHAM, IT WAS HELD SO THE NRC 1. The meeting achieved its stated purpose. CAN SAY IT LISTENS TO THE PUBLIC'S CONCERNS.	1	2	3	4	5
2. This meeting helped me to understand the topics discussed.	1	2	3	4	5
3. The meeting location, starting time, and duration were reasonably convenient.	1	2	3	4	5
4. The meeting facility, room set up, microphones, and visuals used contributed to the success of the meeting.	1	2	3	4	5
5. Attendees were given sufficient opportunity to ask questions or express their views. COMMENTS WERE CUT SHORT	1	2	3	4	5
6. Attendees were listened to and understood by NRC staff. NOT HEARD OR UNDERSTOOD	1	2	3	4	5
7. The presentations and explanations given by the NRC staff were understandable, fair and balanced.	1	2	3	4	5
8. I am satisfied overall with the NRC staff who participated in the meeting.	1	2	3	4	5

Please provide any suggestions you have on ways the NRC could improve their public meetings:

ACKNOWLEDGE THAT WE ARE ALL IN THIS TOGETHER  
IT IS NOT YOUR JOB TO "HOLLY" THE MASSES" BY PROTECTING THE NUCLEAR INDUSTRY WITH CLAIMS THAT THE ENVIRONMENTAL RISKS ARE "SMALL TO MODERATE" AND THAT NUCLEAR POWER IS ONE SOLUTION TO GLOBAL WARMING. WE ARE NOT STUPID. WE ALL UNDERSTAND THAT THE DAMAGE AND THREAT TO THE WORLD AND HUMANITY BY NUCLEAR TECHNOLOGY MAKES GLOBAL WARMING LOOK LIKE CHILD'S PLAY.  
ACKNOWLEDGE THAT THERE ARE NO REAL SOLUTIONS TO THE PROBLEMS POSED BY THE NUCLEAR INDUSTRY. SHOW SOME HUMILITY!  
RESPECT WHAT CRITICS HAVE TO SAY. INCLUDE THEIR REQUESTS, FEARS, AND CONCERNS IN YOUR "REGULATING". THEIR LIVES, FAMILIES, HOMES, AND COMMUNITIES ARE AT RISK. THEY KNOW WHAT THE PROBLEMS AND RISKS ARE AND YOUR BEST AND MOST INVESTED SOURCE FOR THE CORRECTIONS AND PRECAUTIONS NEEDED.  
TREATING THE CRITICS OF THE PRESENT NUCLEAR SITUATION WITH ABRUPTNESS, DISMISSIVENESS, AND A SHUG AIR OF SUPERIORITY IS NOT CONSTRUCTIVE OR SMART.

Please fold on the dotted lines with Business Reply side out, tape the bottom, and mail back to the NRC.

Please provide any additional comments:

THESE CARING, DEDICATED, KNOWLEDGEABLE PEOPLE HAVE NOTHING TO GAIN POLITICALLY OR FINANCIALLY, NO JOB TO PROTECT, NO SUPERIORS TO ANSWER TO. THEY SPEND THEIR OWN TIME AND MONEY TRAVELLING TO AND PREPARING FOR THESE MEETINGS AND SPEAKING UP BECAUSE THEY UNDERSTAND THAT THEIR LIVES AND ALL OF OUR LIVES ARE ON THE LINE.

DO YOUR JOB. REGULATE. EARN THE "CONFIDENCE" LABEL.

INVITE, INCORPORATE, AND EMBRACE THESE VERY CONCERNED, KNOWLEDGEABLE, INVESTED CITIZENS IN YOUR DECISIONS AND ACTIONS.

REMEMBER THAT WE ARE ALL IN THIS TOGETHER

**OPTIONAL**

Name SUSAN FASTEN Organization EARTH OCCUPANT


Telephone No. \_\_\_\_\_ E-Mail SFASTEN@AOL.COM

Check here if you would like a member of NRC staff to contact you.

UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY  
COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON DC 20555-0001



NO POSTAGE  
NECESSARY  
IF MAILED  
IN THE  
UNITED STATES





**BUSINESS REPLY MAIL**  
FIRST CLASS MAIL PERMIT NO. 12904 WASHINGTON DC  
POSTAGE WILL BE PAID BY U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

ATTN: Susan Wittick  
NMSS/WCD

MAIL STOP:  
(HQ Staff Only) 3WFN14-C64

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, DC 20555-0001



Rec'd by NRC  
1/7/14