

## **Rulemaking1CEm Resource**

---

**From:** RulemakingComments Resource  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 14, 2014 7:41 AM  
**To:** Rulemaking1CEm Resource  
**Cc:** RulemakingComments Resource  
**Subject:** PR-51 Waste Confidence  
**Attachments:** Comment of Susan Fasten.pdf

**DOCKETED BY USNRC—OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY  
SECY-067**

**PR#:** PR-51

**FRN#:** 78FR56775

**NRC DOCKET#:** NRC-2012-0246

**SECY DOCKET DATE:** 12/20/13

**TITLE:** Waste Confidence—Continued Storage of Spent Nuclear Fuel

**COMMENT#:** 00907

**Hearing Identifier:** Secy\_RuleMaking\_comments\_Public  
**Email Number:** 952

**Mail Envelope Properties** (377CB97DD54F0F4FAAC7E9FD88BCA6D0014435FE4B97)

**Subject:** PR-51 Waste Confidence  
**Sent Date:** 1/14/2014 7:40:57 AM  
**Received Date:** 1/14/2014 7:40:58 AM  
**From:** RulemakingComments Resource

**Created By:** RulemakingComments.Resource@nrc.gov

**Recipients:**

"RulemakingComments Resource" <RulemakingComments.Resource@nrc.gov>  
Tracking Status: None  
"Rulemaking1CEM Resource" <Rulemaking1CEM.Resource@nrc.gov>  
Tracking Status: None

**Post Office:** HQCLSTR01.nrc.gov

<b>Files</b>	<b>Size</b>	<b>Date &amp; Time</b>
MESSAGE	254	1/14/2014 7:40:58 AM
Comment of Susan Fasten.pdf	131840	

**Options**

**Priority:** Standard  
**Return Notification:** No  
**Reply Requested:** No  
**Sensitivity:** Normal  
**Expiration Date:**  
**Recipients Received:**



NRC PUBLIC MEETING FEEDBACK

Category

3

Meeting Date: October 28, 2013

Meeting Title: Public Meeting to Receive Comments on the Waste Confidence Draft Generic Environmental Impact Statement and Proposed Rule - Chelmsford, MA

Thank you for attending this public meeting hosted by the NRC. In order to help us understand your views about this meeting and improve future meetings, please take a couple minutes to answer the following questions.

Please address the following statements in terms of your experience at the meeting. 1 is "strongly disagree" and 5 is "strongly agree."

	"STRONGLY DISAGREE"	"DISAGREE"	"NEITHER AGREE OR DISAGREE"	"AGREE"	"STRONGLY AGREE"
1. The meeting achieved its stated purpose. CAN SAY IT LISTENED TO THE PUBLIC'S CONCERNS.	1	2	3	4	5
2. This meeting helped me to understand the topics discussed. REGULATING THIS DEADLY TECHNOLOGY - ONLY IN PROTECTING THE NUCLEAR INDUSTRY.	1	2	3	4	5
3. The meeting location, starting time, and duration were reasonably convenient.	1	2	3	4	5
4. The meeting facility, room set up, microphones, and visuals used contributed to the success of the meeting.	1	2	3	4	5
5. Attendees were given sufficient opportunity to ask questions or express their views. MORE ATTENTION WAS PAID TO NOT LETTING THEM TALK TOO LONG THAN TO THE CONTENT OF THEIR VALID CONCERNS.	1	2	3	4	5
6. Attendees were listened to and understood by NRC staff. BASICALLY DISMISSED AND IGNORED BY NRC STAFF.	1	2	3	4	5
7. The presentations and explanations given by the NRC staff were understandable, fair and balanced.	1	2	3	4	5
8. I am satisfied overall with the NRC staff who participated in the meeting.	1	2	3	4	5

Please provide any suggestions you have on ways the NRC could improve their public meetings:

ACKNOWLEDGE THAT WE ARE ALL IN THIS TOGETHER. IT IS NOT YOUR JOB TO "MOULIFY THE MASSES" BY PROTECTING THE NUCLEAR INDUSTRY WITH CLAIMS THAT THE ENVIRONMENTAL RISKS ARE "SMALL TO MODERATE" AND THAT NUCLEAR POWER IS ONE SOLUTION TO GLOBAL WARMING. WE ARE NOT STUPID, WE ALL UNDERSTAND THAT THE DAMAGE AND THREAT TO HUMANITY AND THE WORLD BY NUCLEAR TECHNOLOGY MAKES GLOBAL WARMING LOOK LIKE CHILD'S PLAY.

ACKNOWLEDGE THAT THERE ARE NO REAL SOLUTIONS TO THE PROBLEMS POSED BY THE NUCLEAR INDUSTRY. SHOW SOME HUMILITY.

RESPECT WHAT ATTENDEES HAVE TO SAY AND INCLUDE THEIR REQUESTS, FEARS, AND CONCERNS IN YOUR "REGULATING." THEIR LIVES, FAMILIES, HOMES, AND COMMUNITIES ARE ON THE LINE. THEY KNOW WHAT THE PROBLEMS AND RISKS ARE AND ARE YOUR BEST SOURCE FOR THE CORRECTIONS NEEDED.

TREATING THE CRITICS OF THE PRESENT NUCLEAR SITUATION WITH ARROGANCE, DISMISSIVENESS, AND A SINGULAR AIR OF SUPERIORITY IS NOT CONSTRUCTIVE OR SMART, NOR IS CUTTING THEIR COMMENTS SHORT. THESE CARING, DEDICATED PEOPLE HAVE

(OVER)

Please provide any additional comments:

NOTHING TO GAIN POLITICALLY OR FINANCIALLY, HAVE NO JOB TO PROTECT, HAVE NO SUPERIORS TO ANSWER TO. THEY SPEND THEIR DIME TIME AND MONEY TRAVELING TO AND PREPARING FOR THOSE MEETINGS AND SPEAKING UP BECAUSE THEY UNDERSTAND THAT THEIR LIVES AND ALL OF OUR LIVES ARE ON THE LINE, WHY DO YOU NOT GET IT THAT YOUR LIVES AND FAMILIES AND COMMUNITIES ARE ON THE LINE AS WELL?

DO YOUR JOB, REGULATE, EARN THE "CONFIDENCE" LABEL, EMBRACE AND INCORPORATE THESE VERY KNOWLEDGEABLE, CONCERNED, INVESTED CITIZENS IN YOUR DECISIONS AND ACTIONS,

REMEMBER THAT WE ARE ALL IN THIS TOGETHER.

**OPTIONAL**

Name SUSAN EASTON Organization HUMAN RACE

Telephone No. \_\_\_\_\_ E-Mail SUEASTON@AOL.COM  Check here if you would like a member of NRC staff to contact you.

UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY  
COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON DC 20555-0001



NO POSTAGE  
NECESSARY  
IF MAILED  
IN THE  
UNITED STATES

**BUSINESS REPLY MAIL**  
FIRST CLASS MAIL PERMIT NO. 12904 WASHINGTON DC  
POSTAGE WILL BE PAID BY U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

ATTN: Susan Wittick  
NMSS/WCD

MAIL STOP:  
(HQ Staff Only) 3WFN14-C64

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, DC 20555-0001

Rec'd by NRC  
1/7/14

