

Rulemaking1CEm Resource

From: RulemakingComments Resource
Sent: Wednesday, January 08, 2014 12:37 PM
To: Rulemaking1CEm Resource
Cc: RulemakingComments Resource
Subject: PR-51 Waste Confidence
Attachments: Comment of Margaret Wright.pdf

**DOCKETED BY USNRC—OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
SECY-067**

PR#: PR-51

FRN#: 78FR56775

NRC DOCKET#: NRC-2012-0246

SECY DOCKET DATE: 12/20/13

TITLE: Waste Confidence—Continued Storage of Spent Nuclear Fuel

COMMENT#: 00900

Hearing Identifier: Secy_RuleMaking_comments_Public
Email Number: 945

Mail Envelope Properties (377CB97DD54F0F4FAAC7E9FD88BCA6D0014435D7374E)

Subject: PR-51 Waste Confidence
Sent Date: 1/8/2014 12:37:06 PM
Received Date: 1/8/2014 12:37:06 PM
From: RulemakingComments Resource

Created By: RulemakingComments.Resource@nrc.gov

Recipients:

"RulemakingComments Resource" <RulemakingComments.Resource@nrc.gov>

Tracking Status: None

"Rulemaking1CEM Resource" <Rulemaking1CEM.Resource@nrc.gov>

Tracking Status: None

Post Office: HQCLSTR01.nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	254	1/8/2014 12:37:06 PM
Comment of Margaret Wright.pdf		68170

Options

Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received:

Comments Related to the Waste Confidence
Proposed Rulemaking and Draft Generic Environmental Impact Statement

Name: Margaret Z. Wright

Affiliation (if any): Sierra Club

Email Address (optional): mzwright@att.net

re: Docket ID NRC-2012-0246

Comment:

Thirty years ago I was working in the
Records Department at Battelle Memorial Institute,
we made copies of reports from universities from
all over the United States. The records were of
studies made to try to find a place to store
nuclear waste.

At that time, the agency called the
Office of Nuclear Isolation, was looking for
places such as former salt mines or mountains
which are stable as a place to store the
wastes. It was thought that having the
wastes, which take ages to deplete to harmless
state, located in places where they would
be relatively harmless.

I cannot believe that what was the
case then is now considered unimportant,
that is that it is all right to have containers
of radioactive material left on site where it
is vulnerable to weather, fire, or terrorism.

These wastes should not be stored near
our lakes, rivers, or oceans where they can
contaminate our water.

If, as is rumored, public comments will not
be permitted after the NRC decision is made I would
consider that a denial of my First Amendment rights.

or earthquake