Rulemaking1CEm Resource

From: RulemakingComments Resource
Sent: Thursday, December 26, 2013 3:59 PM

To: Rulemaking1CEm Resource
Cc: RulemakingComments Resource

Subject: PR-51 Waste Confidence

Attachments: 1144 carlton.pdf

DOCKETED BY USNRC—OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY SECY-067

PR#: PR-51

FRN#: 78FR56775

NRC DOCKET#: NRC-2012-0246 SECY DOCKET DATE: 12/20/13

TITLE: Waste Confidence—Continued Storage of Spent Nuclear Fuel

COMMENT#: 00768

Hearing Identifier: Secy_RuleMaking_comments_Public

Email Number: 794

Mail Envelope Properties (377CB97DD54F0F4FAAC7E9FD88BCA6D0014433C4A18A)

 Subject:
 PR-51 Waste Confidence

 Sent Date:
 12/26/2013 3:59:00 PM

 Received Date:
 12/26/2013 3:58:59 PM

From: RulemakingComments Resource

Created By: RulemakingComments.Resource@nrc.gov

Recipients:

"RulemakingComments Resource" < RulemakingComments.Resource@nrc.gov>

Tracking Status: None

"Rulemaking1CEm Resource" < Rulemaking1CEm.Resource@nrc.gov>

Tracking Status: None

Post Office: HQCLSTR01.nrc.gov

Files Size Date & Time

MESSAGE 254 12/26/2013 3:58:59 PM

1144 carlton.pdf 74806

Options

Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal

Expiration Date: Recipients Received:

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: December 20, 2013 **Received:** December 19, 2013

Status: Pending Post

Tracking No. 1jx-89e1-4rqf

Comments Due: December 20, 2013

Submission Type: Web

Docket: NRC-2012-0246

Consideration of Environmental Impacts on Temporary Storage of Spent Fuel After Cessation of Reactor

Operation

Comment On: NRC-2012-0246-0456

Waste Confidence - Continued Storage of Spent Nuclear Fuel; Extension of Comment Period

Document: NRC-2012-0246-DRAFT-1144

Comment on FR Doc # 2013-26726

Submitter Information

Name: Paul Carlton

General Comment

I am very much opposed to continuing the storage of spent fuel at the San Onofre site. It is absolutely essential that the NRC find and locate a site for the storage of all of our spent fuel. It has been so many years since Yucca Mountain was rejected. And why are you still approving new nuclear plants when you have not been able to find permanent safe storage for spent fuels from over 100 nuclear plants.