

Rulemaking1CEm Resource

From: RulemakingComments Resource
Sent: Thursday, December 26, 2013 1:43 PM
To: Rulemaking1CEm Resource
Cc: RulemakingComments Resource
Subject: PR-51 Waste Confidence
Attachments: 1227 barker.pdf

**DOCKETED BY USNRC—OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
SECY-067**

PR#: PR-51

FRN#: 78FR56775

NRC DOCKET#: NRC-2012-0246

SECY DOCKET DATE: 12/20/13

TITLE: Waste Confidence—Continued Storage of Spent Nuclear Fuel

COMMENT#: 00717

Hearing Identifier: Secy_RuleMaking_comments_Public
Email Number: 743

Mail Envelope Properties (377CB97DD54F0F4FAAC7E9FD88BCA6D0014433C4A141)

Subject: PR-51 Waste Confidence
Sent Date: 12/26/2013 1:42:53 PM
Received Date: 12/26/2013 1:42:55 PM
From: RulemakingComments Resource

Created By: RulemakingComments.Resource@nrc.gov

Recipients:

"RulemakingComments Resource" <RulemakingComments.Resource@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Rulemaking1CEM Resource" <Rulemaking1CEM.Resource@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None

Post Office: HQCLSTR01.nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	254	12/26/2013 1:42:55 PM
1227 barker.pdf	75818	

Options

Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received:

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: December 20, 2013
Received: December 20, 2013
Status: Pending_Post
Tracking No. 1jx-89ej-9gp8
Comments Due: December 20, 2013
Submission Type: Web

Docket: NRC-2012-0246

Consideration of Environmental Impacts on Temporary Storage of Spent Fuel After Cessation of Reactor Operation

Comment On: NRC-2012-0246-0456

Waste Confidence - Continued Storage of Spent Nuclear Fuel; Extension of Comment Period

Document: NRC-2012-0246-DRAFT-1227

Comment on FR Doc # 2013-26726

Submitter Information

Name: Laurenn Barker

Address:

P. O. Box 1913

Fallbrook, CA, 92088

General Comment

It is extremely unsafe to continue to store the Spent Nuclear Fuel at the San Onofre site because of the vulnerability of the site location at the ocean's edge and the lack of adequate storage at the site. What could possibly happen would affect approximately 7.5 million residents and Southern California's major cities and render uninhabitable vast lands of the area and all of the wildlife. I strongly support finding a safe and permanent storage elsewhere.

Thank you for your immediate consideration,