

Rulemaking1CEm Resource

From: RulemakingComments Resource
Sent: Tuesday, December 24, 2013 8:42 AM
To: Rulemaking1CEm Resource
Cc: RulemakingComments Resource
Subject: PR-51 Waste Confidence
Attachments: 1126 wells.pdf

**DOCKETED BY USNRC—OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
SECY-067**

PR#: PR-51
FRN#: 78FR56775
NRC DOCKET#: NRC-2012-0246
SECY DOCKET DATE: 12/19/13
TITLE: Waste Confidence—Continued Storage of Spent Nuclear Fuel
COMMENT#: 00634

Hearing Identifier: Secy_RuleMaking_comments_Public
Email Number: 659

Mail Envelope Properties (377CB97DD54F0F4FAAC7E9FD88BCA6D0014433C49FA2)

Subject: PR-51 Waste Confidence
Sent Date: 12/24/2013 8:41:56 AM
Received Date: 12/24/2013 8:41:57 AM
From: RulemakingComments Resource

Created By: RulemakingComments.Resource@nrc.gov

Recipients:

"RulemakingComments Resource" <RulemakingComments.Resource@nrc.gov>

Tracking Status: None

"Rulemaking1CEM Resource" <Rulemaking1CEM.Resource@nrc.gov>

Tracking Status: None

Post Office: HQCLSTR01.nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	254	12/24/2013 8:41:57 AM
1126 wells.pdf	79949	

Options

Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received:

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: December 20, 2013
Received: December 19, 2013
Status: Pending_Post
Tracking No. 1jx-89dv-5pal
Comments Due: December 20, 2013
Submission Type: Web

Docket: NRC-2012-0246

Consideration of Environmental Impacts on Temporary Storage of Spent Fuel After Cessation of Reactor Operation

Comment On: NRC-2012-0246-0456

Waste Confidence - Continued Storage of Spent Nuclear Fuel; Extension of Comment Period

Document: NRC-2012-0246-DRAFT-1126

Comment on FR Doc # 2013-26726

Submitter Information

Name: Jim Wells

Address:

18 W Stewart Ave

Medford, OR, 97501

Email: homegrown53@hotmail.com

General Comment

The US policy concerning radioactive "waste" (terminology somewhat akin to the term "side-effects" of medications, as if they are inconsequential -- when they are actually just not the effects being marketed to consumers) has always been woefully negligent from a standpoint of public safety, is apparently being considered by the NRC to be doubled-down on.

Polite discourse has not the means to express my derision of you as individuals for being lap-dogs for a nuclear industry that is headed by military-state maniacs. It makes me wish there truly was a God, so that there would be a Hell for you and they to spend eternity in for what you have done to endanger life on this planet.

I once studied nuclear chemistry, and performed some laboratory work in the field. It seemed (and seems) to me that enormous amounts of research money went in to discovering commercial applications, and almost zero into how to deal with its consequences responsibly, Being true alchemy and having the unlimited funding behind it of the Pentagon, the only true barrier to having concurrently figured out how to completely de-toxify any and all nuclear material was will -- which to say was sorely lacking is an understatement, because it was purposefully ignored. The shame on you all for that only scratches the surface of the personal consequences you deserve.

I doubt you have the cajones to exercise the appropriate amends, but you ought to feel compelled to do everything you can, beginning NOW, by rejecting this absurd farce of a Propose Rule.