

## **Rulemaking1CEm Resource**

---

**From:** RulemakingComments Resource  
**Sent:** Wednesday, December 18, 2013 3:02 PM  
**To:** Rulemaking1CEm Resource  
**Cc:** RulemakingComments Resource  
**Subject:** PR-51 Waste Confidence  
**Attachments:** 0899 sheridan.pdf

**DOCKETED BY USNRC—OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY  
SECY-067**

**PR#:** PR-51

**FRN#:** 78FR56775

**NRC DOCKET#:** NRC-2012-0246

**SECY DOCKET DATE:** 12/15/13

**TITLE:** Waste Confidence—Continued Storage of Spent Nuclear Fuel

**COMMENT#:** 00455

**Hearing Identifier:** Secy\_RuleMaking\_comments\_Public  
**Email Number:** 478

**Mail Envelope Properties** (377CB97DD54F0F4FAAC7E9FD88BCA6D0014433AE4741)

**Subject:** PR-51 Waste Confidence  
**Sent Date:** 12/18/2013 3:02:24 PM  
**Received Date:** 12/18/2013 3:02:24 PM  
**From:** RulemakingComments Resource

**Created By:** RulemakingComments.Resource@nrc.gov

**Recipients:**

"RulemakingComments Resource" <RulemakingComments.Resource@nrc.gov>

Tracking Status: None

"Rulemaking1CEM Resource" <Rulemaking1CEM.Resource@nrc.gov>

Tracking Status: None

**Post Office:** HQCLSTR01.nrc.gov

<b>Files</b>	<b>Size</b>	<b>Date &amp; Time</b>
MESSAGE	254	12/18/2013 3:02:24 PM
0899 sheridan.pdf	78419	

**Options**

**Priority:** Standard  
**Return Notification:** No  
**Reply Requested:** No  
**Sensitivity:** Normal  
**Expiration Date:**  
**Recipients Received:**

# PUBLIC SUBMISSION

<b>As of:</b> December 17, 2013
<b>Received:</b> December 15, 2013
<b>Status:</b> Pending_Post
<b>Tracking No.</b> 1jx-89b5-ot3v
<b>Comments Due:</b> December 20, 2013
<b>Submission Type:</b> Web

**Docket:** NRC-2012-0246

Consideration of Environmental Impacts on Temporary Storage of Spent Fuel After Cessation of Reactor Operation

**Comment On:** NRC-2012-0246-0456

Waste Confidence - Continued Storage of Spent Nuclear Fuel; Extension of Comment Period

**Document:** NRC-2012-0246-DRAFT-0899

Comment on FR Doc # 2013-26726

---

## Submitter Information

**Name:** Paul Sheridan

**Address:** United States,

**Email:** sheridanpa@earthlink.net

---

## General Comment

Although no viable long term solutions are currently available, I insist on the immediate transfer of highly radioactive fuel rods which have sufficiently cooled in the vulnerable pools into more secure, hardened on site, dry cask storage. This process should be accelerated in anticipation of California's next big earthquake.

Making matters far worse, years ago the NRC quietly approved burning the fuel in the reactors longer, resulting in "high burnup" waste, which turns out may not actually be safe for storage or transport. High burnup fuel, and it's excessive thermal and radioactive heat accelerating the degradation of dry cask storage containers, has not been adequately addressed in the GEIS.

While the NRC has licensed the storage of "normal" radioactive fuel for up to 50 years, you can't endorse the storage of high burnup fuel for even 20 years. It is urgent for the NRC to look into best practices for each specific site and not try to apply a convenient and unscientific solution to all nuclear power plants. Realistic options must be explored and implemented before time runs out. I strongly object to the seemingly arbitrary change in the NRC policy stating that it will now be okay to leave the nuclear waste where it is, for however long it takes the federal government to create a permanent waste site. That is simply unacceptable.