

Rulemaking1CEm Resource

From: RulemakingComments Resource
Sent: Monday, December 02, 2013 8:25 AM
To: Rulemaking1CEm Resource
Cc: RulemakingComments Resource
Subject: PR-51 Waste Confidence
Attachments: Public Meeting (11-20-13) Comment of Nancy Mauter.pdf

**DOCKETED BY USNRC—OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
SECY-067**

PR#: PR-51
FRN#: 78FR56775
NRC DOCKET#: NRC-2012-0246
SECY DOCKET DATE: 11/20/13
TITLE: Waste Confidence—Continued Storage of Spent Nuclear Fuel
COMMENT#: 00274

Hearing Identifier: Secy_RuleMaking_comments_Public
Email Number: 289

Mail Envelope Properties (377CB97DD54F0F4FAAC7E9FD88BCA6D001386AB8BBFE)

Subject: PR-51 Waste Confidence
Sent Date: 12/2/2013 8:24:41 AM
Received Date: 12/2/2013 8:24:54 AM
From: RulemakingComments Resource

Created By: RulemakingComments.Resource@nrc.gov

Recipients:

"RulemakingComments Resource" <RulemakingComments.Resource@nrc.gov>

Tracking Status: None

"Rulemaking1CEM Resource" <Rulemaking1CEM.Resource@nrc.gov>

Tracking Status: None

Post Office: HQCLSTR01.nrc.gov

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	254	12/2/2013 8:24:54 AM
Public Meeting (11-20-13) Comment of Nancy Mauter.pdf		62271

Options

Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received:

Comments Related to the Waste Confidence
Proposed Rulemaking and Draft Generic Environmental Impact Statement

Name: Nancy Maute

Affiliation (if any): Mother, Homeowner, concerned citizen

Email Address (optional): DSOMaute3@a11.net

Comment:

I am very concerned, even more so, after
listening to this meeting.

PG&E need to be brought into safety
compliance. ~

- Earthquake Faults (13 ??)

- No final repository plan

- No one knows how long the dry casks
will remain safe -

- NRC is experimenting with my
families health, & property value

- Only 1/3 of the spent fuel is in
dry casks - why hasn't PG&E taken
a more aggressive stance to
protect the community -

- Who will pay for the supervision
of the nuclear waste after PG&E closes?

- I am dismayed that the NRC is allowing
PG&E to make more nuclear waste without
PG&E safely storing all the waste in
dry casks. -

- The only people who spoke in favor
of PG&E nuclear power industry was
the employees who benefit financially from
the plant.

waste must not be produced if the safety of

storage is in question