

December 13, 1982

Docket No. 50-206

LS05-82-12-027

LICENSEE: SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

FACILITY: SAN ONOFRE NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION, UNIT NO. 1

SUBJECT: SUMMARY OF OCTOBER 7, 1982 MEETING

On October 7, 1982 members of the NRC staff met with representatives of Southern California Edison Company. The purpose of the meeting was to discuss the status of the licensee's preparation of guidelines for the development of emergency operating instructions for San Onofre Unit No. 1. Enclosure 1 is a list of attendees.

TMI Action Plan, NUREG-0737, Item I.C.1 requires that licensees (1) reanalyze transients, accidents, and inadequate core cooling, (2) prepare guidelines for development of emergency procedures, and (3) revise and implement the emergency procedures. The licensee's representatives discussed the status of their work on this subject. Enclosure 2 is a set of handouts used during the meeting.

The licensee expects to complete the technical guidelines and background documents by January 1983 and to submit them to the NRC in February 1983. The recently upgraded emergency operating instructions will then be rewritten as required. Completion of initial operator training and implementation of the rewritten emergency operating instructions is scheduled for June 1983.

Original signed by
Walter A. Paulson, Project Manager
Operating Reactors Branch #5
Division of Licensing

Enclosures:
As stated

cc w/enclosures:
See next page

SEO1
psa use(08)

8212210293 821213
PDR ADOCK 05000206
P PDR

OFFICE	DL: ORB #5	DL: ORB #5					
SURNAME	WPaulson:cc	WRutchfield					
DATE	12/17/82	12/13/82					

December 13, 1982

cc

Charles R. Kocher, Assistant
General Counsel
James Beoletto, Esquire
Southern California Edison Company
Post Office Box 800
Rosemead, California 91770

David R. Pigott
Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe
600 Montgomery Street
San Francisco, California 94111

Harry B. Stoehr
San Diego Gas & Electric Company
P. O. Box 1831
San Diego, California 92112

Resident Inspector/San Onofre NPS
c/o U. S. NRC
P. O. Box 4329
San Clemente, California 92672

Mayor
City of San Clemente
San Clemente, California 92672

Chairman
Board of Supervisors
County of San Diego
San Diego, California 92101

California Department of Health
ATTN: Chief, Environmental
Radiation Control Unit
Radiological Health Section
714 P Street, Room 498
Sacramento, California 95814

U. S. Environmental Protection Agency
Region IX Office
ATTN: Regional Radiation Representative
215 Fremont Street
San Francisco, California 94111

Robert H. Engelken, Regional Administrator
Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Region V
1450 Maria Lane
Walnut Creek, California 94596

LIST OF ATTENDEES

October 7, 1982 Meeting

NRC staff & Southern California Edison

<u>NAME</u>	<u>AFFILIATION</u>
W. Paulson	NRC
W. Lyon	NRC
D. Fadden	INPO
D. Minton	SCE
M. Thomas	SCE
L. Bennett	SCE
J. Clifford	NRC
A. Marinos	NRC
J. Lyons	NRC

SONGS 1SHORT TERM EOI PROJECT HISTORY1982

- MAR Deficiencies in Unit 1 emergency procedures were identified by the NRC.
- APR Short Term EOI Project requirement was defined and an EOI Team established.
- Team (with Westinghouse support) commenced the Short Term Project.
- MAY Westinghouse & SCE presented the analytical basis to the NRC for the project.
- Westinghouse conducted training of SCE training personnel.
- SCE conducted pre-implementation training.
- NRC NWL conducted a PTS review of the EOIs (B. Clayton).
- NRC reviewed Loss Of Secondary Coolant And Steam Generator Tube Rupture Procedures (A. Marinos).
- JUN SCE H.F. Consultant (LUND) conducted Human Factors review and update of EOIs incorporating SCE (Operations, Training and Technical) inputs.
- AUG Westinghouse conducted a review of the final version of the EOIs.
- SEP Unit 1 OSRC approved the EOIs and they were implemented.
- SCE forward copies of the Short Term EOIs to NRC.

SONGS 1

LONG TERM EOI PROJECT

SCHEDULE MILESTONES

1982

- 11 OCT SCE approve Writers Guide.
- 25 OCT Complete Technical Guidelines and Background Documents for the Short Term EOIs.
- 15 NOV Complete Technical Guidelines and Background Documents for remainder of EOIs.
- 6 DEC SCE approve V & V and Training Programs.

1983

- 1 JAN SCE approve the Technical Guidelines and Background Documents.
- 1 FEB Submit Generation Package to NRC.
- 7 MAR Complete writing EOIs.
- 4 APR Westinghouse complete review of EOIs.
- 1 MAY Commence Operator Training.
- 21 JUN Complete V&V of EOIs
- 28 JUN SCE (OSRC) approval of EOIs.
- 30 JUN Complete initial Operator Training and implement EOIs.

DM:js:0095A

SONGS 1

WRITERS GUIDE

I. COMMENTS

1. Initial Draft effort was supported by H. F. Consultant (LUND).
2. Copies of this draft were provided to you for information and comment.
3. Further SCE review has necessitated a revision with the following major changes:
 - a. Organization of the Guide was rearranged to follow the INPO Writers Guide.
 - b. Layered step philosophy was revised to require all operators (experienced or not) to read and follow the EOI substeps.
 - c. Appendices were added to cover instructions for writing Technical Guidelines and Background Documents, Critical Safety Function Status Trees and Verification and Validation Program.
4. Copies of the current draft are available now if you desire them.

VERIFICATION AND VALIDATION PROGRAMI. OBJECTIVES

1. That EOIs and Background Documents are technically correct, i.e., they accurately reflect the technical guidelines.
2. That EOIs and Background Documents are written correctly, e.e., they accurately reflect the writers guide.
3. That EOIs are usable, i.e., they can be understood and followed without confusion, delays, errors, etc.
4. That there is a correspondence between the EOIs and the control room/plant hardware, ie. , control/equipment/indications that are referenced, are available (inside and outside of the control room), use the sam designation, use the same units of measurement, and operate, as specified in the EOIs.
5. That the language and level of information presentation in the EOIs are compatible with the minimum number, qualifications, training and experience of the operating staff.
6. That there is a high level of assurance that the EOIs will work, i.e., the EOIs guide the operator in mitigating transients and accidents.

II. METHODS

1. Desk top reviews.
2. Seminars and workshops.
3. Mock up walk throughs.
4. Control room walk throughs.
5. Generic simulator operations.

III. CHECK LISTS

1. EOI review checklist/sign off.
2. Writers Guide verification.
3. Technical review checklist.
4. Seminar/workshop review checklist.
5. Mockup walk through checklist.
6. Control room walk through check list.
7. Simulator operations checklist.

VERIFICATION AND VALIDATION PROGRAM

I. <u>OBJECTIVES</u>	II. <u>METHODS</u>	III. <u>CHECK LISTS</u>	
		<u>EOIs</u>	<u>Background Document</u>
1. That EOIs and Background Documents are technically correct, i.e., they accurately reflect the technical guidelines.	(1), (2)	(c) (d)	(c) (d)
2. That EOIs and Background Documents are written correctly, i.e., they accurately reflect the writers guide.	(1), (2)	(b) (d)	(b) (d)
3. That EOIs are usable, i.e., they can be understood and followed without confusion, delays, errors, etc.	(1), (2), (3), (4), (5)	(a) (d) (e) (f) (g)	-
4. That there is a correspondence between the EOIs and the control room/plant hardware, i.e., control/equipment/indications that are referenced, are available (inside and outside of the control room), use the sam designation, use the same units of measurement, and operate, as specified in the EOIs.	(3), (4)	(e) (f)	-
5. That the language and level of information presentation in the EOIs are compatible with the minimum number, qualifications, training and experience of the operating staff.	(1), (2)	(a) (d)	-
6. That there is a high level of assurance that the EOIs will work, i.e., the EOIs guide the operator in mitigating transients and accidents.	(1), (2), (5)	(a) (c) (d) (g)	-
	(1) Desk top reviews. (2) Seminars and workshops. (3) Mock up walk throughs. (4) Control room walk throughs. (5) Generic simulator operations.	(a) EOI review checklist/sign off. (b) Writers Guide verification. (c) Technical review checklist. (d) Seminar/workshop review checklist. (e) Mockup walk through checklist. (f) Control room walk through check list. (g) Simulator operations checklist.	

IV. OTHER REVIEWS/CHECKS

1. Westinghouse Technical Review
2. Licensing Review (previous commitments)
3. SCE QA Review
4. SCE Corporate Engineering Review
5. Unit 1 (OSRC) Review and Approval

V. RECORDS

1. Each EOI and Background Document will have a file of checklists documenting their compliance with objectives of the Verification and Validation Program.
2. Completion of the V&V Program will be based on the review of the checklists to determine if the required checks have been satisfactorily accomplished and that no outstanding deficiencies remain.

VI. SUPPORT

1. Human Factors Consortium, Inc. is providing technical support for the V & V project in the development and utilization of checklists and a final human factors review of the EOIs.

SONGS 1

TRAINING PROGRAM

I. OBJECTIVES

1. Develop a comprehensive training program to teach SONGS 1 operators, Shift Technical Advisors and applicable administrative supervisors the following:
 - a. Overall understanding of the EOI Package and the interrelationship between its various instructions and elements. (Including TG, Writers Guide, Background Documents, EOIs and Status Trees).
 - b. Detailed knowledge of the EOIs and their technical basis.
 - c. Organization, task assignment and procedures to be used by the Watch Team during an Emergency. (Including communications procedures).
2. Conduct Team training using simulated casualty walk throughs (including generic simulator where applicable).
3. Evaluate and document the effectiveness of training. (Team and individual).
4. Develop follow-on training requirements to insure that new operators are effectively trained in the EOIs and that the training readiness of the Watch Teams and operators is maintained.

II. SCHEDULE

The schedule of required training sessions (both classroom and simulator) and oral evaluations will be promulgated in the Training Program.

III. LESSON PLAN

Requirements for lesson plans (including format) will be described in detail in the Training Program.

IV. DOCUMENTATION

Documentation of the training will be described in detail in the Training Program. It will provide auditable proof that each operator has satisfactorily completed the initial EOI training and provide continuing records of retraining.

V. FOLLOW-ON TRAINING

Training for new operators (after EOI package implementation), team training requirements after implementation, and retraining for operators satisfactorily completing the initial training will also be included in the Training Program.