J G

Southern California Edison Company

P. O. BOX 800

2244 WALNUT GROVE AVENUE ROSEMEAD, CALIFORNIA 91770

M. O. MEDFORD

MANAGER OF NUCLEAR ENGINEERING

AND LICENSING

February 18, 1988

TELEPHONE (818) 302-1749

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Attention: Document Control Desk Washington, D.C. 20555

Gentlemen:

Subject: Docket Nos. 50-206, 50-361 and 50-362 San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station Units 1, 2 and 3

NRC Generic Letter 88-02, dated January 20,1988 requested that utilities respond to a survey relating to the Integrated Safety Assessment Program II (ISAP II). The Southern California Edison (SCE) Company response to the survey is enclosed. At this time, SCE is not interested in participating in ISAP II.

If you have any questions regarding the survey response, please call me.

Very truly yours,

Enclosure

cc: D. Hickman, NRR Project Manager, San Onofre Units 2 and 3

J. B. Martin, Regional Administrator, NRC Region V

F. R. Huey, NRC Senior Resident Inspector, San Onofre Units 1, 2 and 3

A062,

8802240070 880218 PDR ADOCK 05000206 DCD

Integrated Safety Assessment Program (ISAP) II Generic Letter 88-02 Survey Response

Facility Name: San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station
Units 1, 2 and 3

Utility: Southern California Edison Company (SCE)

Individual Contact Name: M. O. Medford Phone: (818) 302-1749

1. Would you be interested in participating in ISAP II? If so, in what time frame?

No. Not at this time.

2. Do you believe that an industry/NRC seminar consisting of a brief discussion by NRC followed by a question and answer period would be beneficial prior to making a decision?

Yes. A meeting of this nature may be beneficial for those considering participation.

3. Would you be interested in a one-on-one meeting with the NRC to discuss your particular facility or facilities?

SCE is currently not interested in participating in ISAP II. A one-on-one meeting is unnecessary.

4. If you remain undecided regarding participation, what additional information do you need in order to make a decision?

SCE is currently not interested in participating.

5. Do you have any potential concerns about participating in ISAP II?

SCE is concerned about the impact of this program on Integrated Implementation Schedule methodology already licensed as well as uncertainty in the final Individual Plant Examination (IPE) requirements which may result in additional effort.

6. Do you have any suggestions for program improvements or changes?
No.